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LHCb proved itself to be the **Forward General-Purpose Detector** at the LHC:

- forward arm spectrometer with unique coverage in pseudorapidity 
  \( 2 < \eta < 5 \), 4% of solid angle
- catching 40% of heavy quark production cross-section
- precision measurements in beauty and charm sectors
  - \( \frac{\Delta p}{p} = 0.4\% \) at 5 GeV/c to 0.6% at 100 GeV/c
  - impact parameter resolution 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) for high-pT tracks
  - decay time resolution 45 fs for \( B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi \) and \( B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi \)
Current LHCb detector

- Particle ID
- Calorimeters
- Muon

First-level HW trigger

- Vertexing
- Tracking

- Vertex Locator
- Magnet
- Calorimeters
- ECAL/HCAL
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LHCb long-term plan

LHCb startup

LHCb to collect ~8 fb⁻¹

LHCb Phase-I upgrade

LHCb to collect ~50 fb⁻¹

LHCb Phase-II upgrade

LHCb to collect ~300 fb⁻¹

LHCb LS I

2010

LHC Run I

E = 7 (2010-2011) & 8 (2012) TeV (pp) @ 50 ns

LHCb LS II

2013

LHC Run II

E = 13 TeV (pp) @ 25 ns

LHCb LS III

2016

LHC Run III

E = 14 TeV (pp) @ 25 ns Physics production

LHCb LS IV

2019

LHC Run IV

Physics production

2022

LHC Run V

Physics production

2025

LHC LS V

2028

2031

2034

HL-LHC upgrade! LHC Phase II

2034

Federico Alessio
The amount of data and the physics yield from data recorded by the current LHCb experiment is limited by its detector.

While LHC accelerator will keep steadily increasing …

• energy / beam (3.5 → 4 → 6.5 TeV → 7 TeV)
• luminosity (peak 8x10^{33} → 2x10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1} → HL-LHC)

… but LHCb will stay limited in terms of

• data bandwidth: limited to 1.1 MHz / 40 MHz max
• physics yields for hadronic channels at the hardware trigger
• detectors degradation at higher luminosities

Factor ~40 between LHCb and ATLAS/CMS instantaneous luminosity!
First-level hardware trigger is limited at higher luminosities for hadronic channels:

- almost a factor 2 between di-muon events and fully hadronic decays
- due to trigger criteria based on $p_T$ and $E_T$ to reduce trigger rate to the bandwidth limited to 1.1 MHz

At higher luminosities → harsher cuts on $p_T$ and $E_T$

- waste luminosity while not retaining amount of data
- increases complexity of track reconstruction
  - higher computational times in processing farm
- ageing and fast degradation of sub-detectors
  - designed to operate 5 yr at $2 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$
  - currently reaching 5 years at $>3 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and still two years to go…
Beyond Flavour Physics:

from exploration studies → to precision studies

- $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ down to $\sim 10\%$ of SM
- CKM $\gamma$ angle to $< 1^\circ$
- $2\beta_s$ to precision $< 20\%$ of SM value
- charm CPV search below $10^{-4}$

but also beyond heavy flavour physics:

- search for lepton-flavour violating tau decays
- low mass Majorana neutrinos
- electroweak physics
- long-lived new particles
- QCD

Even more important to maximize the possibility of discovery of NP by studying flavor observable to highest precision possible!
LHCb physics prospects for a Phase-I upgrade

Expect to collect a total of $\sim 8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of data up to 2018 and $50 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of data after 2018 → moving towards theory precision measurements!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Observable</th>
<th>Current precision</th>
<th>LHCb 2018</th>
<th>Upgrade (50 fb$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Theory uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B_s^0$ mixing</td>
<td>$2\beta_s (B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi)$</td>
<td>0.10 [9]</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>$\sim 0.003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2\beta_s (B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0(980))$</td>
<td>0.17 [10]</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>$\sim 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_{f_S}(B_s^0)$</td>
<td>6.4 x 10$^{-3}$ [18]</td>
<td>0.6 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.2 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.03 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluonic penguin</td>
<td>$2\beta_{s\text{eff}} (B_s^0 \to \phi \phi)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2\beta_{s\text{eff}} (B_s^0 \to K^*0 \bar{K}^0)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2\beta_{s\text{eff}} (B_s^0 \to \phi \bar{K}^0)$</td>
<td>0.17 [18]</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-handed currents</td>
<td>$2\beta_{s\text{eff}} (B_s^0 \to \phi \gamma)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\tau_{\text{eff}} (B_s^0 \to \phi \gamma) / \tau_{B_s^0}$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroweak penguin</td>
<td>$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 &lt; q^2 &lt; 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$</td>
<td>0.08 [14]</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s_0 A_{FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$</td>
<td>25% [14]</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_{t}(K\mu^+ \mu^-; 1 &lt; q^2 &lt; 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$</td>
<td>0.25 [15]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>$\sim 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/B(B^0 \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$</td>
<td>25% [16]</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>$\sim 10%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higgs penguin</td>
<td>$B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ [2]</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$0.15 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$0.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)/B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$\sim 100%$</td>
<td>$\sim 35%$</td>
<td>$\sim 5%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarity triangle</td>
<td>$\gamma (B \to D^{(<em>)} K^{(</em>)})$</td>
<td>$\sim 10-12^\circ$ [19, 20]</td>
<td>$4^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.9^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angles</td>
<td>$\gamma (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$11^\circ$</td>
<td>$2.0^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta (B^0 \to J/\psi K_S^0)$</td>
<td>0.8$^\circ$ [18]</td>
<td>0.6$^\circ$</td>
<td>0.2$^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charm CP violation</td>
<td>$\Delta A_T$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ [18]</td>
<td>$0.40 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.07 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta A_{CP}$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ [5]</td>
<td>$0.65 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.12 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Outdated estimations, already doing better ($\gamma$ at $\sim 7^\circ$ already…)
- For more up-to-date results, see F. Muheim presentation @ ALPS2017
LHCb physics reach with a Phase-I upgrade

\[ \sigma(\text{BR}(B_d\rightarrow\mu\mu)/\text{BR}(B_s\rightarrow\mu\mu)) \]

Assuming SM

\[ \sim 35\% \]

\[ \phi_s \text{ from } B_s\rightarrow J/\psi\phi \]

\[ <0.01 \]

CKM angle \( \gamma \)

\[ <1^\circ \]

\[ \phi_s \text{ from } B_s\rightarrow \phi\phi \]

\[ <0.02 \]
Phase-I upgrade strategy

Straightforward idea: remove the first-level hardware trigger
Implications of upgrade strategy

Removal of first-level hardware trigger implies

- read out every LHC bunch crossing
  - trigger-less Front-End electronics
  - multi-Tb/s readout network
- fully software flexible trigger
  - full event information available to improve trigger decision
  - maximize signal efficiencies at high events rate

→ higher luminosities: redesign (incompatible) sub-detectors for a **peak luminosity of** $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ($x5$-$x10$ more than today)

→ more data by increasing bandwidth: redesign readout architecture to record 40 MHz events
Phase-I upgraded LHCb detector

**New Vertex Detector**

**New Tracking stations**

**Particle ID**
- Replace HPDs + electronics

**Calorimeters**
- Reduce PMT gain + new electronics

**Muon**
- New electronics + trigger-less readout system
New LHCb Vertex Detector

- Pixel Silicon detector modules cooled down with fluid (bi-phase CO$_2$) which passes under the chips in etched microchannels ($\Delta T = 4$-$7$ °C between fluid and sensor)
- Getting closer to beam to improve IP resolution!

New Proposed Aperture 3.5mm

Current Inner Aperture 5.5 mm
New Upstream Tracking Stations

R&D upstream:

• Replace current TT with UT (Upstream Tracker), also based on Si-strips
  o reduced thickness
  o finer granularity
  o improved coverage (innermost cut-out at 34 mm)
  o much less material budget (<5% $X_0$)
New UT + New VELO

better $p_T$ resolution  
+  
drastic reduction in ghost rate  
+  
large gain in reconstruction time!
New LHCb Sci-Fi detector

Build a completely new detector based on Scintillating Thin Fibers

- Blue-emitting multi clad fibers, laid down as a mat
- 2.5m long, 250 um diameter (2.8 ns decay time)
- 12 layers of modules in different layout (x-u-v-x)
- read out with SiPM (at -40C): new trigger-less FE
Present Ring-Imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detector will be upgraded:

- Current RICH1 (aerogel $C_4F_{10}$) + RICH2 (CF$_4$) to maintain excellent Particle ID!

Main changes:

Exchange Hybrid-PhotoDetectors (HPD) with Multi-AnodePMTs

- Hamamatsu R11265 with 80% active area

+ new Front-End electronics at 40 MHz
LHCb Phase-II upgrade

Just recently submitted an EoI to install an upgraded LHCb detector that can operate up to a peak instantaneous luminosity of $2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

- between $x_{50}-x_{100}$ more than today and $x_{10}$ more than Phase-I upgrade
- to be ready for LHC Run V and to fully exploit HL-LHC

Improve even more the Phase-I LHCb precision:

- Comprehensive measurement programme of observables in a wide range of $b \rightarrow s \ell^+\ell^-$ and $b \rightarrow d \ell^+\ell^-$ employing both muon and electron modes
- Measurement of the CP-violation phases $\gamma$ and $\phi_s$ with a precision of $0.4^\circ$ and $3$ mrad

NP contribution to $\phi_s$

(dark blue 68%, light blue 95%)
LHCb Phase-II upgrade

Improve even more the Phase-I LHCb precision:

- Measurement of $\frac{B(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}{B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}$ with 20% uncertainty
- CP-violation studies in charm with $10^{-5}$ precision
- Exotica searches, dark photons?

Close up on $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ with 14% precision on ratio

Prospect with Phase-II upgrade

Contribution to knowledge of $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$
Phase-II upgraded LHCb detector

- New Vertex Detector II
- Particle ID: Replace HPDs + TORCH
- New ECAL, remove HCAL
- More MUON filters + replace MWPC
- Additional Tracking stations
- Improve granularity
- Better radiation hardness
- Better coverage for low momentum tracking
- Use timing to distinguish vertices (high-pileup)
- + keep trigger-less readout
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LHCb is currently taking data successfully and efficiently

• Well-earned title as Forward General Purpose Detector at the LHC

Two upgrade plans are set out to increase the amount of data and physics yields

• Phase-I upgrade aim at collecting 10x more data with 20x more hadronic events
• Phase-II upgrade aim at collecting 100x more data with particular emphasis in muon channels, time resolution and efficient/challenging pileup discrimination

Both upgrades are technologically challenging

• But LHCb can shine light in many areas in flavour physics to extreme and world-leading precision

We have exciting times ahead in LHCb!

Thank you for your attention!
Backup
• Brief Introduction to LHCb
• Motivations for upgrading the LHCb detector
  o Timeline plans
• Phase-I Upgrade:
  o Physics prospects
  o Strategy and detector changes
  o Detector changes
• Phase-II Upgrade:
  o Physics prospects
  o Strategy and detector changes
• Conclusions
Is it feasible?

YES! We already tried in 2012: took some data at $10^{33}$ (5x designed values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Status</th>
<th>ATLAS</th>
<th>ALICE</th>
<th>CMS</th>
<th>LHCb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instantaneous Lumi [(ub.s)$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>5460.0</td>
<td>6.595</td>
<td>5604.2</td>
<td>999.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAN Luminosity [(ub.s)$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>5494.5</td>
<td>4.272</td>
<td>5521.6</td>
<td>1123.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill Luminosity (nb)$^{-1}$</td>
<td>27394.6</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>28708.4</td>
<td>2803.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKGD 1</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>2.195</td>
<td>1.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKGD 2</td>
<td>102.929</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>5.478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B S/N almost independent of pileup
D S/N shows some degradation vs pileup.
Current LHCb Vertex Detector

Current Vertex Detector (VELO) is at the heart of LHCb tracking, triggering and vertexing

- Excellent performance, reliable, cluster efficiency >99.5%, best hit resolution down to <4μm
- Movable device! ~50mm to ~5mm close to LHC beams when in collisions (autonomously…)

Si-strips measuring $r$ and $\phi$
Future VELO must maintain same performance, but in harsher conditions

- Low material budget, cope with > radiation damage, deal with > multiplicities
- Trigger-less readout ASICs and provide fast and efficient reconstruction at HW level

→ Recent technology reviews favored the choice of a

**Si-pixel detector with microchannel cooling**
Present Tracking System will be upgraded:

- VELO + TT (Si-strip) + DIPOLE (no change) + IT (2% inner area, Si) / OT (Straw Tubes)

Current pattern-recognition based on current tracking system would not be efficient in upgraded scenario:

- Too high occupancy in central region
- R&D for different solutions

 arrows for downstream and upstream tracking

Sidenote: R&D in increasing Dipole field (x1.8 Bdl)

Courtesy JC. Wang
New Downstream Tracking Stations

**R&D downstream:**

- Various options still on the table
  - All aimed at reducing the occupancy in the inner region

**Baseline option:**

- Enlarged, thinner and lighter IT
  - Based on Si-strip
- Replace central region with Central Tracker (Sci-Fi detector)
  - Based on Scintillating fibers and SiliconPM
- Replace entire IT+OT with Sci-Fi
Upgraded Calorimeters

Present Calorimeters detectors will be kept:

- ECAL (Shashlik 25 $X_0$ Pb + scintillator)
- HCAL (TileCal Fe + scintillator)

→ PreShower / ScintillatingPadDetector (PS/SPD) will be removed

Main changes:

PMT gain will be reduced by a factor 5
- to reduce ageing due to higher luminosities

Front-End electronics will be redeveloped
- to be compatible with the reduced gain (R&D)
- to be compatible with trigger-less readout
Upgraded Muon Detectors

Present Muon detector will be kept:

• 4 layers (M2-M5) of Multi-Wire Proportional Chambers (MWPC)

→ first layer of Muon Detector (M1 – used in first-level trigger, with GEMs) will be removed

Main changes:

Front-End electronics will be redeveloped

• to be compatible with trigger-less readout

R&D:

Replace inner part of M2 (closest to IP) with GEMs detectors

• to have higher-granularity
Upgraded Readout Architecture

Reminder: remove the first-level hardware trigger

→ accept all LHC bunch crossing: trigger-less Front-End electronics!

Current

Upgrade

~0.5 Tb/s

~30 Tb/s

Courtesy K. Wyllie
1. Need to compress (zero-suppress) data already at the FE to reduce data throughput
   • reduce # of links from ~80000 to ~12500 (20 MCHF to 3.1 MCHF)
2. Use separate link bandwidth efficiently for data
   • Pack data across data link continuously with elastic buffer before link
3. Compact links merging Timing, Fast (TFC) and Slow Control (ECS).
   • Extensive usage of the CERN GBT development
→ Support data driven readout (asynchronous) + big latencies!
Future LHC DAQs in numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Event-size [kB]</th>
<th>Rate [kHz]</th>
<th>Bandwidth [Gb/s]</th>
<th>Year [CE]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALICE</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATLAS</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Exploit the economies of scale → try to do what everybody does but smarter!
• Some overlapping trends across experiments, at least conceptually
  o custom-made Readout Boards with fast optical links and big&powerful FPGAs
    ✓ ideally with fast interface to PCs (PCIe Gen3 or future…)
    ✓ ideally with some co-processing (GPUs…)
  o commercial network technologies following market trends in terms of BW & costs
    ✓ distributed vs data-center-like network. Ethernet vs InfiniBand.

Courtesy N. Neufeld
Currently two options under R&D:

- Very compact, high density, FPGAs-based ATCA card with >0.5 Tb/s throughput onboard (distributed approach)
  - Profit from interconnectivity on backplane
  - High link density on board
  - Technologically-dependent (Ethernet)
  - Custom-made

- PCIe Gen3 NIC cards, with FPGAs and ~150 Mb/s throughput to host PC (data-center approach)
  - Technologically-independent
    - host PC acts as FARM PC already: open choice for interface technology as late as possible
  - Commercially available
  - Put everything in a box, keep distances short, reduce costs for interconnects and network switches
LHCb physics prospects for a Phase-I upgrade

Rare decays can give hints against Minimal Flavour Violation (MFV) hypothesis in case of significantly inconsistent measurements with the SM.

→ Important to make sure that ratio of $B(\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-) / B(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ since MFV predicts that this is given by its SM value, $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|^2$.

Observation of $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ requires huge statistics and excellent control of background and can only be made by the upgraded LHCb background to the desired precision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Observable</th>
<th>Current precision</th>
<th>LHCb 2018</th>
<th>Upgrade (50 fb$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Theory uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higgs</td>
<td>$B(B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ [2]</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$0.15 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$0.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penguin</td>
<td>$B(B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)/B(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>$\sim 100%$</td>
<td>$\sim 35%$</td>
<td>$\sim 5%$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarity</td>
<td>$\gamma (B \to D(s)K(s^*)$</td>
<td>$\sim 10-12^\circ$ [19, 20]</td>
<td>$4^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.9^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triangle</td>
<td>$\gamma (B^0 \to D_sK)$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$11^\circ$</td>
<td>$2.0^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angles</td>
<td>$\beta (B^0 \to J/\psi K_s^0)$</td>
<td>$0.8^\circ$ [18]</td>
<td>$0.6^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.2^\circ$</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charm</td>
<td>$A_T$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ [18]</td>
<td>$0.40 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.07 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP violation</td>
<td>$\Delta A_{CP}$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ [5]</td>
<td>$0.65 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.12 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary goal of LHCb is to probe NP in $B_s$ mixing
$\rightarrow$ $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ dominated by $b \rightarrow c \bar{c} s$ tree diagram and sensitive to the weak phase
$\beta_s = \arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*)$

If no anomalous effect is seen in this channel, then it is necessary to control experimental systematics from an experiment point of view
$\rightarrow$ LHCb upgrade will address such systematics
Charmless hadronic B decays highly sensitive to NP

- Rare decay topologies such as penguin diagrams
- Big experimental challenge to control SM uncertainties to the necessary precision
Only the LHCb upgrade will provide the huge statistics needed to reach the precision that is necessary to remove the SM uncertainty in NP searches.

> γ measurement is ideally suited for LHCb as it’s largely based on analyses
  1. that do not require flavour-tagging
  2. that exploit LHCb’s unique capability to trigger on fully hadronic decay modes.

> With 50 fb⁻¹, γ will be determined to better than 1° precision