Annihilation detector for an in-beam spectroscopy apparatus to measure the ground state hyperfine splitting of antihydrogen
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Abstract
The matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the universe today still lacks a quantitative explanation. One possible mechanism that could contribute to the observed imbalance is a violation of the combined Charge-, Parity- and Time symmetries (CPT). A test of CPT symmetry using anti-atoms is being carried out by the ASACUSA-CUSP collaboration at the CERN Antiproton Decelerator using a low temperature beam of antihydrogen—the most simple atomic system built only of antiparticles. While hydrogen is the most abundant element in the universe, antihydrogen is produced in very small quantities in a laboratory framework. A detector for in-beam measurements of the ground state hyperfine structure of antihydrogen has to be able to detect very low signal rates within high background. To fulfill this challenging task, a two layer barrel hodoscope detector was developed. It is built of plastic scintillators with double sided readout via Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs). The SiPM readout is done using novel, compact and cost efficient electronics that incorporate power supply, amplifier and discriminator on a single board. This contribution will evaluate the performance of the new hodoscope detector.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction
For the production of antihydrogen, low energetic antiprotons are extracted from the CERN Antiproton Decelerator (AD) facility, and are collected by a first Penning trap (MUSASHI trap). In a process called “mixing”, the trapped antiprotons are then combined with positrons inside a so-called CUSP trap which is a combination of electrostatic fields and inhomogeneous magnetic fields created by a pair of anti Helmholtz coils [1]. The produced neutral antihydrogen atoms can escape the trapping field, and are then focused, through the spectroscopy apparatus [2], towards the detector by strong cusp field gradients1.

The amount of antihydrogen produced by this techniques is low, and even less is reaching the end of the spectroscopy apparatus, imposing unique challenges for the design and operation of the detector. These challenges include the detection of very rare annihilation events under tough background conditions, especially, since the detector needs good discrimination probabilities between cosmic rays, annihilations in the beampipe, and the antihydrogen annihilations in the detector. Additionally, the detector is required to perform equally well for beam diagnosis and for the measurement of the ground state hyperfine transitions in antihydrogen.

2. Detector
The ASACUSA antihydrogen detector consists of a central detector and a surrounding two layer hodoscope. The central detector unit is a 10 cm diameter bismuth germanate (BGO) disc with a thickness of 5 mm. The BGO crystal is read by 4 Hamamatsu H8500 multi anode photomultipliers (SiPMs). The SiPM readout is done using novel, compact and cost efficient electronics that incorporate power supply, amplifier and discriminator on a single board. This contribution will evaluate the performance of the new hodoscope detector.
connected in series, of type PM3350TS (3 × 3 mm² surface, 50 μm micropixel size, with optical trench separation) are glued on each side of every detector bar. This results in 128 readout channels with 256 SiPMs for the full hodoscope. The SiPMs are read by self developed frontend modules called IFES [4]. The IFES modules provide the diode bias, a discriminator signal, an analogue differential output signal, and a differential SiPM readout. The amplified analogue signal is recorded by five CAEN V1742 waveform digitisers, whereas, the discriminator signal is used to produce the data acquisition trigger. The hodoscope is displayed in Fig. 1 with the cabling removed, and the IFES modules mounted.

Each individual bar is wrapped using aluminium foil. In the case of the outer hodoscope layer, two bars are wrapped together in light-blocking foil to ensure light tightness. Two of the scintillator packs are then mounted onto a colour coded removable panel for installation on the detector frame. In the case of the inner layer, four bars are combined and wrapped in the light blocking foil. The bars of the inner layer are mounted onto a 1 mm thick stainless steel pipe that stabilises the detector frame. The system has a total length of 606 mm, and a diameter of 350 mm for the outer hodoscope layer and a diameter of 200 mm for the inner layer.

3. Performance evaluation

The detector characterisation presented here uses cosmic rays and includes both tests of the individual components, and performance evaluation of the fully assembled structure with the complete data acquisition in place.

3.1. Time-of-flight, and position resolution

In a laboratory frame the individual detector components were characterised and tested using cosmic rays. Measurements for inferring the time-of-flight (ToF) resolution have been performed by placing two hodoscope bars, wrapped in aluminium foil, on top of each other in a light tight box. The SiPMs were operated using the IFES modules, and the data was recorded using a CAEN DT5742 digitiser with 5 GS/s Fig. 2A. The data were analysed using a self developed modular waveform analysis library [5]. The position resolution was measured by placing a small plastic scintillator with a thickness of 1 mm, a width of 3 mm, and a length of 27 mm perpendicular to the hodoscope bars on both sides Fig. 2B. For the inner bar, the distance from the centre of the bar was 123.5 mm, and for the outer hodoscope the distance was 199.5 mm.

In the case of the ToF measurement a coincidence between both scintillator bars was used as trigger condition. Whereas, the position resolution was measured by requiring a coincidence between the hodoscope bar and the small plastic scintillator.

In Fig. 3 the ToF performances for both hodoscope layers are shown. The ToF spectra were obtained by using constant fraction (CF) timestamps of both sides of each scintillator, and then calculating the mean time difference between the two bars. The timing resolution is then inferred by performing an orthogonal distance regression (ODR) [6] with a Gaussian distribution.

The ToF resolution between two bars of the outer hodoscope was measured to be $551 \pm 5$ ps full width half maximum (FWHM). In the case of the inner detector bars, the ToF resolution is $497 \pm 3$ ps FWHM.

A relativistic particle with a velocity close to the speed of light can only travel a distance of $\approx 30$ cm in 1 ns. It follows that the achieved ToF resolution allows one to impose stringent cuts for

![Fig. 1. Photograph of the ASACUSA hodoscope detector. Two outer panels, along with all cables, have been removed for better visibility. The IFES modules for SiPM readout are mounted.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Schematic diagrams of laboratory measurements. A: setup for ToF measurements. Two identical hodoscope bars are placed on top of each other. A readout trigger for the DT5742 is generated by a quad coincidence between SiPM signals from both sides of each bar. B: Setup for position resolution measurements. A triple coincidence between a small reference scintillator and both sides of the hodoscope bar is used to generate a readout trigger for the waveform digitiser.](image2)

![Fig. 3. ToF measurements for inner and outer hodoscope bars. Top graph: measured mean time difference between two outer hodoscope bars, with Gaussian ODR. Bottom: measured mean time difference between two inner hodoscope bars, with Gaussian ODR.](image3)
separating events that are generated inside of the detector, due to antihydrogen annihilations, from those background particles passing through with a distant origin.

Evaluating the position resolution along the bar was attempted with both amplitude information and timing differences. Due to the high light output and the resulting saturation of the SiPMs, the amplitude evaluation was demonstrated to be unfeasible. Whereas, utilising the difference between the CF timestamps of both sides of the bars yielded satisfactory results.

The position resolution was measured by fitting the CF time differences ($\Delta t$) between both sides of one hodoscope bar with a normal distribution. The two resulting Gaussian location parameters in the time domain ($\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$) are used to calculate a scale factor ($f$) and offset ($T$) with the spacial distance of the two measurements ($l$), for transforming the time difference into a position ($x$) along the bar

$$f = \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \mu_1}; \quad T = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}; \quad x = (\Delta t - T)f.$$ (1)

The resulting distributions, after reconstructing the hit positions using Eq. (1), are shown in Fig. 4. The two positions of the reference scintillator correspond to the central moments of the two Gaussian shaped peaks in each spectrum.

Measurement for inferring the position resolution are very time consuming due to the small cross section of the reference scintillator. From the evaluation of the data for the outer hodoscope it was concluded, that less data for the required precision in the inner hodoscope is required.

From the ODR one can extract the position resolution. In the case of the outer hodoscope bar the resolution was determined to be $73 \pm 3$ mm FWHM (16.2 $\pm$ 0.7% of bar length). For the inner hodoscope layer, the resolution becomes $59 \pm 3.8$ mm FWHM (19 $\pm$ 1.3% of bar length). This result enables the implementation of rudimentary 3D tracking.

3.2. Multiplicity, and angular distributions

An evaluation of the detector performance for the full assembly was done by measuring cosmic rays. All data were recorded with CAEN V1742 waveform digitisers (5 GS/s), and were analysed by the waveform library [5]. The final analysis was done using the rootpy [7] and scipy [8] packages. Simulations were performed with the Geant4 toolkit [9], and the CRY library [10] using a $3 \times 3$ m$^2$ particle emitting surface placed 30 cm above the detector geometry. The statistical significance of deviations between data and simulations, and between data of inner and outer hodoscope layer is evaluated using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test. All reported $p$ values were calculated under the null hypothesis that there is significant deviation between simulations and measured data.

A comparison between simulations and measured multiplicity distribution is shown in Fig. 5. The agreement between the predictions and the measurements is significant with all $p$ values being $> 0.99$. Small deviations are observed that can be attributed to inaccuracies and computational limitations of the simulation conditions.

In Fig. 6, the angular distribution, represented by the hodoscope bar number, for cosmic data is shown. In the top panel the distributions for both hodoscope layers are compared. In the other panels, the measured data are compared with Geant4 and CRY simulations. All measurements are in good agreement with simulations and agree with each other with all $p$ values being $> 0.99$.

From the results presented in Figs. 5 and 6 one can conclude, that the hodoscope of the ASACUSA antihydrogen detector is well...
prepared for measuring antihydrogen annihilations. The results show that the detector can discriminate these rare events against a high level of background from cosmic rays, and annihilations of stray antihydrogen atoms on the beampipe walls.

4. Summary

A two layer hodoscope detector, made of plastic scintillators and read out by SiPMs, was developed and successfully tested. In a test run with cosmic rays, the full detector performed as required for background discrimination, and the data reproduced the expected distributions from Geant4 simulations with the CRY library.

Individual detector components have been further examined in a laboratory experiment to determine the position resolution along the bar axis, allowing rudimentary 3D tracking for a future analysis. Furthermore, the ToF resolution between two hodoscope bars was measured. In particular, the ToF resolution between two antipodal outer bars was found to be $551 \pm 5$ ps FWHM, therefore directly allowing discrimination between particles penetrating the detector from the outside and particles originating from annihilation events in the centre.
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