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Abstract

This is the third out of five chapters of the final report [1] of the Workshop on Physics at HL-LHC, and perspectives on HE-LHC [2]. It is devoted to the study of the potential, in the search for Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics, of the High Luminosity (HL) phase of the LHC, defined as $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of data taken at a centre-of-mass energy of $14 \text{ TeV}$, and of a possible future upgrade, the High Energy (HE) LHC, defined as $15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of data at a centre-of-mass energy of $27 \text{ TeV}$. We consider a large variety of new physics models, both in a simplified model fashion and in a more model-dependent one. A long list of contributions from the theory and experimental (ATLAS, CMS, LHCb) communities have been collected and merged together to give a complete, wide, and consistent view of future prospects for BSM physics at the considered colliders. On top of the usual standard candles, such as supersymmetric simplified models and resonances, considered for the evaluation of future collider potentials, this report contains results on dark matter and dark sectors, long lived particles, leptoquarks, sterile neutrinos, axion-like particles, heavy scalars, vector-like quarks, and more. Particular attention is placed, especially in the study of the HL-LHC prospects, to the detector upgrades, the assessment of the future systematic uncertainties, and new experimental techniques. The general conclusion is that the HL-LHC, on top of allowing to extend the present LHC mass and coupling reach by $20−50\%$ on most new physics scenarios, will also be able to constrain, and potentially discover, new physics that is presently unconstrained. Moreover, compared to the HL-LHC, the reach in most observables will generally more than double at the HE-LHC, which may represent a good candidate future facility for a final test of TeV-scale new physics.
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1 Introduction and overview

The LHC physics program represents one of the most successful experimental programs in Science, and has been rewarded as such with the discovery, in 2012, of the Higgs boson \([3, 4]\). However, this discovery was only one of the targets of the LHC, which aims at constraining, and possibly discovering, an incredible variety of new physics (NP) scenarios with imprints at the TeV scale. In order to fully profit from the LHC potential, an upgrade of its luminosity \([5,6]\), together with consistent upgrades of the major experiments \([7, 8]\), has already been approved by the CERN Council \([9]\). The High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) upgrade will eventually collect an integrated luminosity of \(3 \text{ ab}^{-1}\) of data in \(pp\) collisions at a centre-of-mass (c.o.m.) energy of 14 TeV, which should maximise the LHC potential to uncover new phenomena.

The lack of indications for the presence of NP so far may imply that either NP is not where we expect it, or that it is elusive. The first case should not be seen as a negative result. Indeed the theoretical and phenomenological arguments suggesting NP close to the electroweak (EW) scale are so compelling, that a null result should be considered itself as a great discovery. This would shake our grounds, falsifying some of the paradigms that guided research in fundamental physics so far. In the second case, while these paradigms would be vindicated, Nature may have been clever in protecting its secrets. It may be hiding the NP at slightly higher masses or lower couplings than we expected or, perhaps, in more compressed spectra and involved signatures, making it extremely difficult to address experimentally. Both cases would lead to a discovery happening at the edge of the LHC potential, with little space left for identifying the new particles, or the new paradigms.

These considerations drove, in the last few years, intense activity worldwide to assess the future of collider experiments beyond the HL-LHC. Several proposals and studies have been performed, also in the view of the forthcoming update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics (ESPP), that will take place in 2019-2020. Several options for future colliders have been and are being considered, such as future lepton colliders, either linear \(e^+e^-\) machines like ILC \([10–14]\) and CLIC \([15,16]\), or circular \(e^+e^-\) ones like FCC-ee/TLEP \([17]\) and CepC \([18, 19]\) and \(\mu^+\mu^-\) accelerators like MAP \([20]\) and LEMMA \([21,22]\), or hadron \(pp\) colliders such as a 27 TeV c.o.m. upgraded HE-LHC \([23]\), a 50 — 100 TeV SppC \([18, 19]\), and a 100 TeV FCC-hh \([24–28]\). Comparing the physics potentials, the needed technology and prospects for its availability, and the cost to benefit ratio of such machines is extremely challenging, but also very timely. The proposal for an \(e^-p\) collider, the LHeC \([29]\), is also being considered to further upgrade the HL-LHC with a 60 GeV energy, high current electron beam by using novel Energy Recovery Linear Accelerator (ERL) techniques. The same facility could be hosted at the FCC \([24]\).

A crucial ingredient to allow a comparison of proposed future machines is the assessment of our understanding of physics at the end of the HL-LHC program. Knowing which scenarios remain open at the end of the approved HL-LHC allows one to set standard benchmarks for all the interesting phenomena to study, that could be used to infer the potential of different future machines. Moreover, in the perspective of pushing the LHC program even further, one may wonder if the LHC tunnel and the whole CERN infrastructure, together with future magnet technology, could be exploited to push the energy up into an unexplored region with the HE-LHC, that could collect an integrated luminosity of \(15 \text{ ab}^{-1}\).

These two points are the foundations of the Workshop on Physics at HL-LHC, and perspectives on HE-LHC \([2]\), that has been devoted, between 2017 and 2018, to the study of the physics potential of the HL- and HE-LHC. This document is the third out of five chapters of the final report \([1]\) of the Workshop. In this chapter, the attention is focused on beyond the SM (BSM) phenomena, one of the key reasons to continue to pursue an hadron collider physics program.

Naturalness, also often referred to as the Hierarchy Problem (HP), is the main motivation to expect new physics close to the EW scale. This theoretical puzzle can be understood in different ways: from a more technical perspective, it refers to radiative corrections to the Higgs mass parameter, which can
receive contributions from new physics present up at ultraviolet (UV) scales. We have at least one important example: the scale at which gravity becomes strongly coupled, usually identified with the Planck scale, $M_{Pl}$. From a more conceptual point of view it can be phrased as the question why is the Fermi constant $G_F \approx 1.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$ (EW scale $v = 246$ GeV) so much bigger (smaller) than the Newton constant $G_N \approx 6.7 \cdot 10^{-39}$ GeV$^{-2}$ (Planck scale $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \cdot 10^{19}$ GeV).

Despite the different ways of phrasing and understanding the HP, its importance is intimately related to our reductionist approach to physics and our understanding of effective field theory. We do not expect the infrared (IR) physics, i.e., for instance, at the energies that we are able to probe at colliders, to be strongly affected by the details of the UV theory. Therefore, unless Naturalness is only an apparent problem and has an anthropic explanation, or it is just the outcome of the dynamical evolution of our universe, all of its solutions are based on mechanisms that screen the effects of UV physics from the IR, by effectively reducing the UV cut-off to the TeV scale.

Such mechanisms can be dynamical, similarly to what occurs for the QCD scale, or can instead arise from extended space-time symmetries, such as in Supersymmetry (SUSY) or in Extra Dimensions (ED). All of these solutions share the prediction of new degrees of freedom close to the EW scale. How close is determined by where we are willing to push the UV scale, still accepting IR parameters to strongly dependent on it. In other words, it depends on the level of cancellation between different UV parameters that we are willing to accept to reproduce the observed IR parameters. Nature gives few examples of such large cancellations, which could be a few percent accidents, but are never far below the percent level. The LHC is a machine designed to test such cancellations at the percent level in most of the common solutions to the HP. There are some exceptions, as for instance in models where the so-called top partners are neutral under the SM colour group, where the LHC can only probe the few-to-10\% region. Obviously, tests of our understanding of Naturalness pass through three main approaches, addressed in the first four chapters of this report. The first is the precise test of the SM observables, both in the EW and QCD sectors, discussed in the first chapter [30], and in the flavour sector, discussed in the fourth chapter [31]; the second is the study of the properties of the Higgs boson, presented in the second chapter [32]; the third is the direct search for new physics, which is the topic of this chapter.

Since the top quark is the particle that contributes the most to the radiative correction to the Higgs mass, the main prediction of the majority of models addressing the HP is the existence of coloured particles “related” to the top quark, that can generally be called “top-partners”. These may be scalars, like the top squarks (stops) in SUSY, or (vector-like) fermions, like in models of Higgs compositeness. These particles have to be light for Naturalness to be properly addressed and, due to their strong production cross section, they are among the primary signatures of Naturalness at hadron colliders. To address the HP other particles have to be light too, such as for instance the gluinos in SUSY, that in turn affect the stops masses, and the EW partners of the Higgs boson. However, while the gluino profits from a strong production cross section at hadron colliders, the EW sector remains much more difficult to test, due to the smaller cross sections. All these signatures, together with others, less tightly related to Naturalness, are studied in details in this report.

Dark Matter (DM) is one of the big puzzles of fundamental physics. While there is stunning evidence for its existence, in the form of non-baryonic contribution to the matter abundance in the Universe, there are no particular indications on what it actually is. This is due to the fact that, so far, we have only probed it through its gravitational interactions, which tell us about its abundance, but do not tell us anything about its form. It could be made of particles, but this is not the only option. However, if DM is made of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs), then the observed abundance can only be reproduced for a relatively small window in its mass/coupling parameter space, which turns out to lie roughly in the ten GeV to ten TeV range, making it relevant for collider experiments.

Several theoretical constructions addressing the HP also naturally predict a WIMP DM candidate. The most notable is SUSY, where EW neutral fermionic partners of the Higgs and the SM gauge bosons, the neutralinos, could be, in proper regions of the parameter space, good WIMPs. Another compelling
paradigm for DM that may be relevant for collider experiments is that of the so-called Minimal Dark Matter (MDM), that corresponds to neutral particles belonging to EW multiplets that remains stable due to accidental symmetries. The simplest examples are just the wino and higgsino DM candidates arising in SUSY, but larger multiplets are also allowed. In this case the DM mass required to provide the observed abundance grows with the dimension of the EW group representation (multiplet) and usually lies between one to ten TeV. Therefore, a coverage of the whole MDM window provides a good benchmark for future hadron colliders, such as the HE-LHC or the FCC-hh (see Ref. [28] for prospect studies of MDM at a 100 TeV collider).

Finally, the third big mystery of the SM is flavour. Why are there such big hierarchies among fermion masses, and how do neutrino masses arise? These are two of the most compelling questions of fundamental physics. The generation of the flavour structure of the SM (the Yukawa couplings) and of the neutrino masses may be tied to a scale much above the EW scale. Thus, precision flavour observables are the most sensitive window to high-scale UV physics. Indeed, the ability of LHC experiments, with a leading role of LHCb in this context, to observe extremely rare flavour transitions, allows one to set constraints on new physics corresponding to scales of hundreds, or even thousands of TeV, completely inaccessible to direct searches.

Flavour transitions indirectly constraining NP at the TeV scale and above, have a crucial interplay with direct searches for the particles that may induce such transitions. A clear example of this interplay is given by the recent flavour anomalies in neutral and charged current $B$ decays ($R_K$-$R_{K^*}$, $R_D$-$R_{D^*}$, etc., which are discussed at length in the fourth chapter of this report [31]. Due to the relevance of such anomalies at the time of writing this report, prospect studies on high transverse momentum particles, as vector resonances or lepto-quarks (LQ), that could explain them, are presented by both working groups.

Concerning neutrino masses, the seesaw mechanism predicts the existence of heavy (sterile) neutrinos that can provide, in particular regions of the parameter space, peculiar signatures with several leptons in the final state. These neutral particles, coupled to leptons, can also arise in cascade decays of heavy right-handed charged gauge bosons. Whether produced directly, or in decays, the HL- and HE-LHC will be able to significantly reduce the parameter space of models predicting heavy neutrinos.

The report is not structured based on a separation of the HL-LHC from the HE-LHC studies, since several analyses were done for both options, and showing them together allows for a clearer understanding. However, when summarising our results in Section 7, we present conclusions separately for HL- and HE-LHC. The report is organised as follows. The introductory part includes a brief discussion of the future detector performances in analysis methods and objects identification and of the projected systematic uncertainties. Section 2 is devoted to the study of SUSY prospects. Section 3 shows projections for DM and Dark Sectors. Section 4 contains studies relevant for Long Lived Particles (LLPs). Section 5 presents prospects for high-$p_T$ signatures in the context of flavour physics. Section 6 is devoted to resonances, either singly or doubly produced, and to other BSM signatures. Finally, in Section 7 we present our conclusions, with a separate executive summary of the HL- and HE-LHC potentials.

### 1.1 Analysis methods and objects definitions

Different approaches have been used by the experiments and in theoretical prospect studies, hereafter named projections, to assess the sensitivity in searching for new physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC. For some of the projections, a mix of the approaches described below is used, in order to deliver the most realistic result. The total integrated luminosity for the HL-LHC dataset is assumed to be $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$ at a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV. For HE-LHC studies the dataset is assumed to be $15 \text{ab}^{-1}$ at a c.o.m. of 27 TeV. The effect of systematic uncertainties is taken into account based on the studies performed for the existing analyses and using common guidelines for projecting the expected improvements that are foreseen thanks to the large dataset and upgraded detectors, as described in Section 1.2.
Detailed-simulations are used to assess the performance of reconstructed objects in the upgraded detectors and HL-LHC conditions, as described in Sections 1.1.1 and 1.1.2. For some of the projections, such simulations are directly interfaced to different event generators, parton showering (PS) and hadronisation generators. Monte Carlo (MC) generated events are used for SM and BSM processes, and are employed in the various projections to estimate the expected contributions of each process.

Extrapolations of existing results rely on the existent statistical frameworks to estimate the expected sensitivity for the HL-LHC dataset. The increased c.o.m. energy and the performance of the upgraded detectors are taken into account for most of the extrapolations using scale factors on the individual processes contributing to the signal regions. Such scale factors are derived from the expected cross sections and from detailed simulation studies.

Fast-simulations are employed for some of the projections in order to produce a large number of Monte Carlo events and estimate the reconstruction efficiency for the upgraded detectors. The upgraded CMS detector performance is taken into account encoding the expected performance of the upgraded detector in DELPHES3 [33], including the effects of pile-up interactions. Theoretical contributions use DELPHES with the commonly accepted HL-LHC card corresponding to the upgraded ATLAS and CMS detectors.

Parametric-simulations are used for some of the projections to allow a full re-optimisation of the analysis selection criteria that benefit from the larger available datasets. Particle-level definitions are used for electrons, photons, muons, taus, jets and missing transverse momentum. These are constructed from stable particles from the MC event record with a lifetime larger than $0.3 \times 10^{-10}$ s within the observable pseudorapidity range. Jets are reconstructed using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [34] implemented in the Fastjet [35] library, with a radius parameter of 0.4. All stable final-state particles are used to reconstruct the jets, except the neutrinos, leptons and photons associated to $W$ or $Z$ boson or $\tau$ lepton decays. The effects of an upgraded ATLAS detector are taken into account by applying energy smearing, efficiencies and fake rates to generator level quantities, following parameterisations based on detector performance studies with the detailed simulations. The effect of the high pileup at the HL-LHC is incorporated by overlaying pileup jets onto the hard-scatter events. Jets from pileup are randomly selected as jets to be considered for analysis with $\sim 2\%$ efficiency, based on studies of pile-up jet rejection and experience from Run-2 of the LHC.

1.1.1 ATLAS and CMS performance

The expected performance of the upgraded ATLAS and CMS detectors has been studied in detail in the context of the Technical Design Reports and subsequent studies; the assumptions used for this report and a more detailed description are available in Ref.s [7, 8]. For CMS, the object performance in the central region assumes a barrel calorimeter ageing conditions corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$.

The triggering system for both experiments will be replaced and its impact on the triggering abilities of each experiment assessed; new capabilities will be added, and, despite the more challenging conditions, most of the trigger thresholds for common objects are expected to either remain similar to the current ones or even to decrease [36, 37].

The inner detector is expected to be completely replaced by both experiments, notably extending its coverage to $|\eta| < 4.0$. The performance for reconstructing charged particles has been studied in detail in Ref.s [38–40]. Electrons and photons are reconstructed from energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter and information from the inner tracker [41–44]. Several identification working points have been studied and used by the projection studies as most appropriate. Muons are reconstructed combining muon spectrometer and inner tracker information [45, 46].

Jets are reconstructed by clustering energy deposits in the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters [41,42,47] using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [34]. B-jets are identified via $b$-tagging algorithms. B-tagging
is performed if the jet is within the tracker acceptance ($|\eta| < 4.0$). Multivariate techniques are employed in order to identify $b$-jets and $c$-jets, and were fully re-optimised for the upgraded detectors [38, 40]. A working point with 70% efficiency for $b$-jet identification is used, unless otherwise noted. High $p_T$ boosted jets are reconstructed using large-radius anti-$k_T$ jets with a distance parameter of 0.8. Various jet substructure variables are employed to identify boosted $W/Z/H$ boson and top quark jets with good discrimination against generic QCD jets.

Missing transverse momentum (its modulus referred to as $E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$) is reconstructed following similar algorithms as employed in the Run-2 data taking. Its performance has been evaluated for standard processes, such as top-quark pair production [38, 48].

The addition of new precise-timing detectors and its effect on object reconstruction has also been studied in Ref.s [44, 49], although its results are only taken into account in a small subset of the projections in this report.

1.1.2 LHCb performance

The LHCb upgrades are shifted with respect to those of ATLAS and CMS. A first upgrade will happen at the end of Run-2 of the LHC, to run at a luminosity five times larger ($2 \times 10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) in LHC Run-3 compared to those in Run-1 and Run-2, while maintaining or improving the current detector performance. This first upgrade (named Upgrade I) will be followed by the so-called Upgrade II (planned at the end of Run-4) to run at a luminosity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

The LHCb MC simulation used in this document mainly relies on the PYTHIA 8 generator [50] with a specific LHCb configuration [51], using the CTEQ6 leading-order set of parton density functions [52]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the GEANT toolkit [53, 54] as described in Ref. [55].

The reconstruction of jets is done using a particle flow algorithm, with the output of this clustered using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm as implemented in FASTJET, with a distance parameter of 0.5. Requirements are placed on the candidate jet in order to reduce the background formed by particles which are either incorrectly reconstructed or produced in additional pp interactions in the same event. Different assumptions are made regarding the increased pile-up, though in general the effect is assumed to be similar to that in Run-2.

1.2 Treatment of systematic uncertainties

It is a significant challenge to predict the expected systematic uncertainties of physics results at the end of HL-LHC running. It is reasonable to anticipate improvements to techniques of determining systematic uncertainties over an additional decade of data-taking. To estimate the expected performance, experts in the various physics objects and detector systems from ATLAS and CMS have looked at current limitations to systematic uncertainties in detail to determine which contributions are limited by statistics and where there are more fundamental limitations. Predictions were made taking into account the increased integrated luminosity and expected potential gains in technique. These recommendations were then harmonised between the experiments to take advantage of a wider array of expert opinions and to allow the experiments to make sensitivity predictions on equal footing [7, 8]. For theorists’ contributions, a simplified approach is often adopted, loosely inspired by the improvements predicted by experiments.

General guide-lining principles were defined in assessing the expected systematic uncertainties. Theoretical uncertainties are assumed to be reduced by a factor of two with respect to the current knowledge, thanks to both higher-order calculation as well as reduced PDF uncertainties [56]. All the uncertainties related to the limited number of simulated events are neglected, under the assumption that sufficiently large simulation samples will be available by the time the HL-LHC becomes operational. For all scenarios, the intrinsic statistical uncertainty in the measurement is reduced by a factor $1/\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the projection integrated luminosity divided by that of the reference Run-2 analysis. Systematics driven
by intrinsic detector limitations are left unchanged, or revised according to detailed simulation studies of
the upgraded detector. Uncertainties on methods are kept at the same value as in the latest public results
available, assuming that the harsher HL-LHC conditions will be compensated by improvements to the
experimental methods.

The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity of the data sample is expected to be reduced down
to 1% by better understanding of the calibration methods, improved stability in applying those methods,
and making use of the new capabilities of the upgraded detectors [30].

In addition to the above scenario (often referred to as “YR18 systematics uncertainties” scenario),
results are often compared to the case where the current level of understanding of systematic uncertainties
is assumed (“Run-2 systematic uncertainties”) or to the case of statistical-only uncertainties.
2 Supersymmetry

One of the main goal of collider physics is to uncover the nature of EW symmetry breaking (EWSB). Supersymmetry can resolve the hierarchy problem, as well as provide gauge coupling unification and a dark matter candidate. SUSY might be realised in nature in various ways and superpartners of the SM particles could be produced at colliders leading to many different possible detector signatures. Coloured superpartners such as squarks ($\tilde{q}$) and gluinos ($\tilde{g}$) are strongly produced and have the highest cross sections. Scalar partners of the left-handed and right-handed chiral components of the bottom quark ($\tilde{b}_{L,R}$) or top quark ($\tilde{t}_{L,R}$) mix to form mass eigenstates for which the bottom squark ($\tilde{b}_1$) and top squark ($\tilde{t}_1$) are defined as the lighter of the two. The lightest bottom and top squark mass eigenstates might be significantly lighter than the other squarks and the gluinos. As a consequence, $\tilde{b}_1$ and $\tilde{t}_1$ could be pair-produced with relatively large cross-sections at the HL- and HE-LHC. In the EW sector, SUSY partners of the Higgs, photon, $Z$ and $W$ bosons are the spin-1/2 higgsinos, photino, zino, and winos that further mix in neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^{0,\pm}$) and chargino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$) states, also called the electroweakinos. Their production rate is a few order of magnitudes lower than that of coloured superpartners. Superpartners of charged leptons, the sleptons ($\tilde{\ell}$), can also have sizeable production rates and are searched for at hadron colliders. Provided that R-parity conservation is assumed, SUSY particles typically decay to final states involving SM particles in addition to significant momentum imbalance due to a collider-stable lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP).

Searches of SUSY particles are presented in the following targeting HL- and HE-LHC, under various theoretical hypotheses such as the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [57], phenomenological MSSM, light higgsinos models and more. R-parity conservation and prompt particle decays are generally assumed, whilst dedicated searches for long-lived particles are depicted in Section 4. Simplified models are also used to optimise the searches and interpret the results. The cross-sections used to evaluate the signal yields at 14 TeV are calculated to next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant, adding the resummation of soft gluon emission at next-to-leading-logarithmic accuracy (NLO+NLL), see Ref. [58] for squarks and gluinos, and Ref.s [59, 60] for electroweakinos. The nominal cross sections and the uncertainties are taken from an envelope of cross section predictions using different PDF sets and factorisation and renormalisation scales. PDF uncertainties are dominant for strongly-produced particles. In particular, PDF uncertainties on gluino pair production ranges between 30% and 60% depending on the gluino mass, in a mass range between 1 and 4 TeV. Expected improvements due to precision SM measurements in the jet and top sector are expected at HL-LHC. In this report, nominal predictions are considered and, unless stated otherwise, no attempt to evaluate the impact of theoretical uncertainties on the reach of the searches is made. For 27 TeV c.o.m. energy, cross sections are also evaluated at NLO+NLL as shown in Fig. 2.1 for gluinos and top squarks pair production and for electroweakinos and sleptons pair production. For the latter, the NLO set from PDF4LHC is used and cross sections are presented for wino and higgsino hypotheses.

Prospects for exclusion and discovery of gluinos and top squarks are reported in Section 2.1. We show that HL-LHC will probe gluino masses up to 3.2 TeV, about 0.8 – 1 TeV above the Run-2 $\tilde{g}$ mass reach for 80 fb$^{-1}$. Top squarks can be discovered (excluded) up to masses of 1.25 (1.7) TeV. This extends by about 700 GeV the reach of Run-2 for 80 fb$^{-1}$. Charginos and neutralinos studies are presented in Section 2.2, considering electroweakino decays via $W$, $Z$ (also off-shell) and Higgs boson and various hypotheses for sparticle mass hierarchy. As an example, masses up to 850 (680) GeV can be excluded (discovered) for charginos decaying as $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{(*)} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$: the results extend by about 500 GeV the mass reach obtained with 80 fb$^{-1}$ of 13 TeV pp collisions, and extend beyond the LEP limit by almost an order of magnitude. HL-LHC searches for low momentum leptons will be sensitive to $\tilde{\chi}^\pm$ masses up to 350 GeV for $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ $\approx$ 5 GeV, and to mass splittings between 2 and 50 GeV, thus bringing significant new reach to Higgsino models. In Section 2.3, dedicated searches for sleptons are presented, and in particular for the currently unconstrained pair production of staus exploiting hadronically decaying tau leptons. Finally, identification of benchmark models and probing of various natural scenarios at HL- and
HE-LHC are presented in Section 2.4. For gluinos and stops HE-LHC will further increase the reach, above that of HL-LHC, by about a factor of two, and several benchmark MSSM and pMSSM models will be discoverable.

2.1 Searches for gluinos and third generation squarks

Naturalness considerations suggest that the supersymmetric partners of the third-generation SM quarks are the lightest coloured supersymmetric particles and gluinos are also within a range of few TeV. Several prospect studies have been presented by ATLAS and CMS for gluinos, bottom and top squarks (see, for example, Ref.s \[62, 63\]). New studies and further considerations on the HL- and HE-LHC potential for gluinos and top squarks are presented in the following sections.

2.1.1 Gluino pair production at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: T. Han, A. Ismail, B. Shams Es Haghi

The potential of the HL- and HE-LHC to discover supersymmetry is presented in this section focusing on searches for gluinos within MSSM scenarios. Gluino pair production has relatively large cross section and naturalness considerations indicate that gluino masses should not exceed few TeV and lie not too far above the EW scale. Hence they are certainly among the first particles that could be discovered at HL-LHC.

In the following we assume that a simplified topology dominates the gluino decay chain, culminating in jets plus missing energy in the form of a bino-like LSP $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$. We evaluate the sensitivity of future proton colliders to gluino pair production with gluinos decaying exclusively to $q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ through off-shell first and second generation squarks, using a standard jets + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ search. Currently, the reach for this simplified model with 36 fb$^{-1}$ of 13 TeV data is roughly 2 TeV gluinos, for a massless LSP \[64, 65\]. A single search region requiring four jets and missing transverse momentum is optimised. In the compressed region where the gluino and LSP masses are similar, a search region with fewer jets is expected to be more effective (see, for example, Ref.s \[62, 66\]) but is not considered in this study.

The main SM backgrounds contributing to the final states considered are $Z(\to \nu\bar{\nu}) +$ jets, $W(\to \ell\nu) +$ jets, and $t\bar{t}$ production. Other SM background sources such as dibosons and multi-jet are considered negligible. Signal and background samples are generated with MLM matching using \textsc{MadGraph} 5 \[67\], \textsc{Pythia} 8.2 \[68\]. Detector performance are simulated using \textsc{Delphes} 3 \[33\], which employs FastJet \[35\] to cluster jets and uses the commonly accepted HL-LHC card corresponding to the
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Figs. 2.1.1: Expected reach of HL- and HE-LHC in probing gluinos, in the gluino-LSP mass plane. The left (right) plots show the gluino mass reach in 14 (27) TeV $pp$ collisions with 3 $ab^{-1}$ (15 $ab^{-1}$) of data. The decay $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ is assumed to occur with 100% branching fraction, with a bino-like LSP. Both 2$\sigma$ exclusion (dashed) and 5$\sigma$ discovery contours are shown.

upgraded ATLAS and CMS detectors prescribing anti-$k_T$ jets [34] with radius 0.4. Effects due to high pile-up are not taken into account, as we expect it to have a negligible impact on our results [66]. An overall systematic uncertainty of 20% is assumed on the SM background contributions covering, among others, jet energy scale and resolution uncertainties. A generic 10% uncertainty is assumed on the signal. This does not take into account PDF-related uncertainty which might be as large as 50% for gluinos around 3 TeV, although the impact of an uncertainty of this kind is presented below for a massless LSP scenario.

Following previous works [62,66,69], we apply a set of baseline selections at both 14 and 27 TeV. We require that signal events contain no electrons (muons) with $p_T$ above 10 (10) GeV and $|\eta|$ below 2.47 (2.4). Events are also required to contain a leading jet with $p_T > 160$ GeV and three additional jets with $p_T > 60$ GeV. In addition, a minimum missing transverse momentum of 160 GeV is required to fulfil trigger-based requirements. We reject events with $\Delta\phi(j, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.4$ for any of the first three jets to avoid contamination from multi-jet background with mis-measured jets. To further reduce SM contributions, we demand $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 10$ GeV$^{1/2}$ and $p_T(j_4)/H_T > 0.1$ where $j_4$ indicates the fourth leading jet and $H_T$ is the sum of the transverse momentum of the jets considered in the analysis. After this baseline selection, a two dimensional optimisation over selections on $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $H_T$ is performed to obtain the maximum significance. For the HL-LHC (HE-LHC), we vary $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ in steps of 0.5 (0.5) TeV from 0.5 (0.5) up to 3.0 (7.0) TeV and $H_T$ in steps of 0.5 (0.5) TeV from 0.5 (0.5) up to 5.0 (7.0) TeV. The optimisation aims to maximise the signal significance, defined as $S/\sqrt{(B + (\text{sys}B)^2 B^2 + (\text{sys}S)^2 S^2)}$, where $S$ indicates the number of signal events, $B$ the total SM background events, and $\text{sys}B = 0.2$ and $\text{sys}S = 0.1$ are the systematic uncertainties on background and signal, respectively. Thanks to the optimisation procedure used in this study, the results present an improvement with respect to the existing ATLAS HL-LHC study [62], although the impact related to different assumptions on systematic uncertainties and pile-up conditions might play a non-negligible role.

Exclusion and discovery contours are shown in Fig. 2.1.1 as 2$\sigma$ and 5$\sigma$ contours of the significance previously defined. For a massless LSP, a gluino of approximately 3.2 TeV can be probed by the HL-LHC with 3 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, with a discovery potential up to 2.9 TeV. At 27 TeV with 15 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, the exclusion (discovery) reach is roughly 5.7 (5.2) TeV for massless LSP. With the signal varied within a 50% band, mimicking current PDF uncertainties for high mass gluinos, the HL-LHC (HE-LHC) exclusion reach will decrease by about 200 (400) GeV and become
approximately 3 (5.3) TeV.

2.1.2 Third generation squarks at HL-LHC

Contributors: I. Vivarelli, ATLAS

The expected ATLAS sensitivity to stop pair production at the HL-LHC is investigated, based upon Ref. [70]. The Run-2 analysis described in Ref. [71] is taken as reference and an event selection yielding optimal sensitivity to stop pair production with 3 ab$^{-1}$ of pp collisions is developed. The $\tilde{t}_1$ decaying in $t^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mode is considered, where the star indicates that the top quark can possibly be off mass-shell, depending on the mass difference between the stop and the neutralino masses, $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. The final state analysed is that where both top quarks decay hadronically hence characterised by the presence of many jets and $b$-jets, and by missing transverse momentum $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ (whose magnitude will be indicated by $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the following) stemming from the presence of the two $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Two kinematic regimes are considered, referred to as “large $\Delta m$” and “diagonal” in the following. The large $\Delta m$ regime is where the difference between the stop and neutralino masses is large with respect to the top quark mass $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \gg m_{\text{top}}$. The top quarks emitted in the stop decay are produced on-shell, and they have a boost in the laboratory frame proportional to $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. The final state is hence characterised by high $p_T$ jets and $b$-jets, and large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. Typical analyses in this kinematic regimes have large acceptance, and the sensitivity is limited by the signal cross section that decreases steeply with increasing $m(\tilde{t}_1)$. If $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim m_{\text{top}}$, hence the diagonal regime, the extraction of the signal from the SM background stemming from mainly $t\bar{t}$ production requires a focus on events where the stop pair system recoils against substantial initial-state hadronic activity (ISR).

The analysis is performed on datasets of SM background processes and supersymmetric signals simulated through different event generators. The event selection is based on variables constructed from the kinematics of particle-level objects, selected according to reconstruction-level quantities obtained from the emulation of the detector response for HL-LHC. Particularly relevant for this analysis, jets arising from the fragmentation of $b$-hadrons which are tagged with a nominal efficiency of 70%, computed on a $t\bar{t}$ sample simulated assuming $\langle \mu \rangle = 200$. Reclustered jets are created by applying the anti-$k_t$ algorithm with distance parameters $\Delta R = 0.8$ and $\Delta R = 1.2$ on signal jets, indicated in the following as anti-$k_t^{0.8}$ and anti-$k_t^{1.2}$ jet collections. A trimming procedure is applied that removes $R = 0.4$ jets from the reclustered jets if their $p_T$ is less than 5% of the $p_T$ of the anti-$k_t^{0.8}$ or anti-$k_t^{1.2}$ jet $p_T$.

Several variables are used for the event selection in the signal regions targeting the large $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ regime. The selection on $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ exploits the presence of the non-interacting neutralinos in the final state whilst the selections on the anti-$k_t^{1.2}$ and anti-$k_t^{0.8}$ jet masses exploit the potential presence of boosted
top quarks and $W$-bosons in the final state. For the evaluation of the final exclusion sensitivity, a set of mutually exclusive signal regions is defined. The events are classified in 30 different signal regions according to the number of identified $b$-jets, the value of the mass of the second (ordering done in mass) reclustered jet reconstructed with distance parameter $R = 1.2$, $m_{\text{anti-}k_1^\perp > 2}$ mass, and the value of the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. For the evaluation of the discovery sensitivity, a set of single bin cut-and-count signal regions is defined, which apply the full preselection, and then require $N_{b\text{-jet}} \geq 2$, $m_{\text{anti-}k_1^\perp > 120}$ GeV. Four different thresholds in $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ are then defined to achieve optimal sensitivity for a 5σ discovery: $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 400, 600, 800, 1000$ GeV. For each model considered, the signal region giving the lowest $p$-value against the background-only hypothesis in presence of the signal is used. The basic idea of the diagonal analysis arises from the fact that, given the mass relation between the stop and the neutralino, the stop decay products (the top quark and the neutralino) are produced nearly at rest in the stop reference frame. When looked at from the lab reference frame, the transverse momentum acquired by the decay products will be proportional to their mass. If $p^\text{ISR}_T$ is the transverse momentum of everything that recoils against the stop pair, it can be shown that

$$ R_{\text{ISR}} = \frac{E_T^{\text{miss}}}{p^\text{ISR}_T} \sim \frac{m(\chi_1)}{m(t)} . $$

(2.1.1)

Following this considerations, a recursive jigsaw reconstruction is performed, which makes assumptions that allow the definition of a set of variables in different reference frames. The final strategy for the assessment of exclusion sensitivity for the diagonal analysis is thus to use a set of mutually exclusive signal region defined in bins of $R_{\text{ISR}}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. For the evaluation of the discovery sensitivity, four cut-and-count signal regions are defined, which apply the full preselection, and then require $R_{\text{ISR}} > 0.7$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 500, 700, 900, 1100$ GeV. For each model considered, the signal region giving the lowest $p$-value against the SM hypothesis in presence of signal is used.

The final $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ distribution in the bins with $m_{\text{anti-}k_1^\perp > 120}$ GeV, $N_{b\text{-jet}} \geq 2$ (for the large $\Delta m$ analysis) and $R_{\text{ISR}} > 0.65$ (for the diagonal analysis) are shown in Fig. 2.1.2. In all cases, the main background process is $t\bar{t}$, with significant contribution of $W$+jets events for the large $\Delta m$ analysis. A 15% uncertainty is retained as a baseline value of the expected uncertainty for both analyses to determine both the 5σ and the 95% C.L. exclusion reach of the analysis. For the case of the estimation of the 95% C.L. exclusion sensitivity, a further scenario with doubled uncertainty (30%) is also evaluated.

The final exclusion sensitivity evaluation is done by performing a profile-likelihood fit to a set of
pseudo-data providing bin-by-bin yields corresponding to the background expectations. For each of the two analyses the likelihood is built as the product of Poissonian terms, one for each of the considered bins. Systematic uncertainties are accounted for by introducing one independent nuisance parameter for each of the bins considered. For each mass of the stop and the neutralino, the analysis yielding the smallest CLs among the large \( \Delta m \) and the diagonal is used. The discovery sensitivity is obtained similarly from each of the single cut-and-count regions independently. For each signal point, the profile likelihood ratio fit is performed on pseudo-data corresponding to the sum of the expected background and the signal. The discovery contour corresponds to points expected to give a 5\( \sigma \) \( p \)-value against the background-only hypothesis. For each signal point, the discovery signal region yielding the smallest \( p \)-value is considered. The final sensitivity of the analysis is summarised in Fig. 2.1.3 assuming a 15\% uncertainty for the 5\( \sigma \) discovery and 95\% C.L. exclusion contour, and also assuming 30\% uncertainty for the 95\% C.L. exclusion contour.

Top squarks can be discovered (excluded) up to masses of 1.25 (1.7) TeV for \( m(t_\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}^0_1) \sim 0 \) under realistic uncertainty assumptions. The reach in stop mass degrades for larger neutralino masses. If \( \Delta m(t_\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}^0_1) \sim m_{stop} \), then the discovery (exclusion) reach is 650 (850) GeV.

### 2.1.3 Gluinos and top squarks at HL-LHC in hadronic boosted signatures

**Contributors: J. Karancsi, S. W. Lee, S. Sekmen, R. Ye, CMS**

This section presents the projection of a CMS search for new physics with boosted W bosons or top quarks using the razor kinematic variables to the HL-LHC conditions. The original search performed on the Run-2 2016 dataset is part of a larger inclusive new physics search with razor variables that includes an extensive set of hadronic and leptonic search regions documented in [72].

The analysis targets final states consistent with natural SUSY. The primary model of interest is gluino-pair production, where the gluino decays to a top squark and a top quark, with a mass gap between the gluino and the top squark being large enough to give the top quark from the gluino decay a significant boost. The top squark is light, and decays to the gluino and the top quark being large enough to give the top quark from the gluino decay a significant boost. In this simplified model, referred to as \( T5ttcc \) in the following, decay products of the top squark have very low transverse momentum and thus are very hard to detect. Therefore, the boosted top quark from the gluino decay is used as a handle for enhancing sensitivity. In addition, we also consider scenarios with gluinos directly decaying to \( t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \), called \( T1ttt \), and with direct production of top squark pairs, where each top squark decays to a top quark and a neutralino, referred to as \( T2tt \) in the following. The stop model here is equivalent to the model considered in Section 2.1.2. All models are illustrated by the diagrams in Fig. 2.1.4.

Boosted objects, which have high \( p_T \), are characterised by merged decay products separated by \( \Delta R \sim 2m/p_T \), where \( m \) is the mass of the decaying massive particle. A top quark or \( W \) boson can be identified via boosted objects within a jet of size 0.8 if it has a momentum of \( \gtrsim 430 \) GeV or \( \gtrsim 200 \) GeV, respectively. Boosted objects are more accessible at increased centre-of-mass energies, and thus will be produced more frequently at the HL-LHC and especially the HE-LHC. The search is performed in
hadronic final states with at least one boosted $W$-jet and one $b$-jet or at least one boosted top-jet, using razor kinematic variables $M_R$ and $R^2$ [73], which are powerful tools that can discriminate between SM processes and production of heavy new particles decaying to massive invisible particles and massless visible particles. The analysis searches for an excess in events with high values of $M_R$ and $R^2$.

The projection study, explained in detail in [74], uses the same data and MC events as in the 2016 analysis. It also follows the same object selection, event selection, background estimation, systematic uncertainty calculation, and limit setting procedures as used in the 2016 analysis. Boosted $W$ bosons and top quarks are identified using the jet mass, the n-subjettiness variables $\tau_3/\tau_1$ and $\tau_3/\tau_2$ [75], and subjet $b$-tagging. Events in all signal, control, and validation regions in the analysis are required to have at least four selected anti-$k_T$ jets with radius parameter 0.4 (AK4 jets), at least one anti-$k_T$ jet with radius parameter 0.8 (AK8 jets) and $p_T > 200$ GeV defining the boosted phase space, and $M_R > 800$ GeV and $R^2 > 0.08$. In addition, the signal regions are required to have zero leptons and an azimuthal distance between the two megajets, two partitioned sets of jets in the event used for computing the razor variables [73], $\Delta \phi_{\text{megajets}}$, to be greater than 2.8. Three event categories are defined based on boosted object and jet multiplicities: i) W 4-5 jet: $\geq 1$ AK8 $W$-jet, $\geq 1$ AK4 $b$-jet, $4 \leq n_{\text{jet}} \leq 5$; ii) W 6 jet: $\geq 1$ AK8 $W$-jet, $\geq 1$ AK4 $b$-jet, $n_{\text{jet}} \geq 6$; and iii) Top category: $\geq 1$ reconstructed AK8 top-jet.

The dominant SM backgrounds in the signal regions originate from $t\bar{t}+\text{jets}$, single top quark production, multijet events that have jets produced through the strong interaction, and the $W+\text{jets}$ and $Z+\text{jets}$ processes. Data-driven methods are employed to estimate the background contributions to the signal regions. Control regions are used to isolate a process to be estimated, defined by modifying one or more signal selection criteria. After applying the signal and control region selections, resulting data and MC event distributions are scaled to the HL-LHC cross sections and integrated luminosities. For data, a procedure is designed to mimic both the statistical precision and potential modifications in shape due to different levels of cross section scaling in the various contributing processes. After scaling all distributions, background estimates in the signal regions are obtained by multiplying the observed data yields, binned in $M_R$ and $R^2$, by the simulation transfer factors computed as the ratios of the yields of background MC simulation events in the signal regions to the yields in control regions. Other SM processes that contribute less significantly, such as diboson, triboson, and $t\bar{t}V$, are estimated directly from the simulation. The simulated events used for obtaining both the transfer factors and the direct estimates were corrected using various data-to-simulation correction factors and event weights. The uncertainties in these correction factors and weights were taken into account as systematic uncertainties. Three different scenarios for systematic uncertainties are considered, in which the systematic uncertainties are i) taken as they are in the original analysis, (Run-2) ii) scaled down according to the expected improvements in the detector and theory calculations (YR18), and iii) neglected in order to test a case with statistical uncertainties only (stat-only). Statistical uncertainties are scaled down by $1/\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\text{HL-LHC}}/\mathcal{L}_{2016}}$.

The overall background estimation for the W 4-5 jet, W 6 jet, and Top categories along with distributions for several signal benchmark scenarios versus a one-dimensional representation of the bins in $M_R$ and $R^2$ are shown in Figure 2.1.5, considering the YR18 systematic scenario. The most dominant systematic uncertainties on the total background estimate come from W/top tagging ($\sim 1 - 4\%$), $b$-tagging ($\sim 3\%$), jet energy scale (JES) ($\sim 3\%$), and pile-up ($\sim 1 - 3\%$) variations along with QCD multijet background shape uncertainties ($\sim 3 - 7\%$). For the simulated signal event yields, the largest contributions come from W/top tagging ($\sim 8\%$), jet energy scale (JES) ($\sim 3\%$) and $b$-tagging ($\sim 2\%$) variations.

The results are used to set expected upper limits on the production cross sections of various SUSY simplified models. Figure 2.1.6 shows the expected upper limits on the signal cross sections for the T5ttcc, T1tttt and T2tt simplified models for the combination of the W 4-5 jet, W 6 jet, and Top categories for the HL-LHC projection based on the YR18 scenario. Additionally, lower limits on gluino/top squark versus neutralino masses are shown for the cases of Run-2 systematic uncertainties, YR18 sys-
Fig. 2.1.5: $M_R^2-R^2$ distributions shown in a one-dimensional representation for background predictions obtained for the W 4-5 jet (upper left), W 6 jet (upper right), and Top (lower) categories for the HL-LHC. Statistical and systematic uncertainties for the YR18 scenario are shown with the hatched and shaded error bars, respectively. Also shown are the signal benchmark models T5ttcc with $m_g = 2$ TeV, $m_{\tilde{t}} = 320$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} = 300$ GeV; T1tttt with $m_g = 2$ TeV and $m_{\chi_1} = 300$ GeV; and T2tt with $m_{\tilde{t}} = 1.2$ TeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} = 100$ GeV.

Fig. 2.1.6: Projected expected upper limits on the signal cross sections for the HL-LHC using the asymptotic CLs method versus gluino/top squark and neutralino masses for the T5ttcc (top left), T1tttt (top right), and T2tt (bottom) models for the combined W 4-5 jet, W 6 jet, and Top categories for the YR18 scenario. The contours show the expected lower limits on the gluino/top squark and neutralino masses based on the Run-2 systematic uncertainties, YR18 systematic uncertainties, and statistical-only scenarios, along with the 2016 razor boost limit and the 300 fb$^{-1}$ limit for comparison.

The projection results show that HL-LHC would improve the gluino mass exclusion limits via top-quark by around 750 GeV, while making discovery possible for gluinos up to masses of 2.4 TeV. For top squark pair production, the discovery reach is up to 1.4 TeV, consistent with the ATLAS prospect studies in Section 2.1.2.
2.1.4 Implications of a stop sector signal at the HL-LHC

Contributors: A. Pierce, B. Shakya

The stop sector is intricately tied to the mass of the Higgs boson. A stop sector discovery therefore provides an opportunity to test the Higgs mass relation, as well as predict subsequent signals at the LHC. This section is devoted to illustrations of such scenarios at the HL-LHC, based on the studies in Ref. [76].

The Higgs boson mass at one-loop in the MSSM is [77]

\[
m_h^2 = m_Z^2 \cos^2(2\beta) + \frac{3\sin^2\beta y_t^2}{4\pi^2} \left[ m_t^2 \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{t}_L} m_{\tilde{t}_R}}{m_t^2} \right) + 4 c_t s_t \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{t}_2} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}}{2} \right)^2 \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2} \right) \right],
\]

where \(\tilde{t}_1\) and \(\tilde{t}_2\) are the stop mass eigenstates, \(\theta_t\) is the stop mixing angle, with \(\tilde{t}_1 = \cos \theta_t \tilde{t}_L + \sin \theta_t \tilde{t}_R\), \(s_t(c_t) = \sin \theta_t (\cos \theta_t)\), and \(y_t\) is the top Yukawa coupling. As the mass splitting between stops increases, the latter two terms in the loop correction grow stronger; in particular, the final term switches sign and becomes negative for \(m_{\tilde{t}_2} \gtrsim 2.7 m_{\tilde{t}_1}\), and can dominate for non-vanishing stop mixing and \(m_{\tilde{t}_2} \gg m_{\tilde{t}_1}\). Consequently, there exists an upper limit on \(m_{\tilde{t}_2}\) (as a function of \(m_{\tilde{t}_1}\) and \(\theta_t\)), beyond which it is impossible to accommodate \(m_h = 125\) GeV in the MSSM. In other words, a measurement of \(m_{\tilde{t}_1}\) and some knowledge of \(\theta_t\) allows for an upper limit on \(m_{\tilde{t}_2}\), and ruling out this window rules out the MSSM.

In the following, we discuss some scenarios where such ideas can be implemented at the HL-LHC.

A Sbottom Signal in Multileptons:

Consider a spectrum such that \(\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 W\) (which requires both \(\tilde{t}_1\) and \(\tilde{b}_1\) to be somewhat left-handed) and \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\chi_0\), the mass splitting \(\Delta m_{\tilde{b}_1\tilde{t}_1}\) is sufficiently large that sbottom decays give visible multilepton signals, but direct \(\tilde{t}_1\) discovery is elusive because of a squeezed spectrum. In the MSSM, \(m_{\tilde{t}_2}\) is correlated with \(\Delta m_{\tilde{b}_1\tilde{t}_1}\); as shown in Fig. 2.1.8. A large splitting involves a large stop mixing angle (otherwise, \(\tilde{b}_1\) is approximately degenerate with \(\tilde{t}_1\)); in this case, as discussed above, consistency with the Higgs mass enforces an upper limit on \(m_{\tilde{t}_2}\) as seen in Fig. 2.1.8. Alternatively, the splitting can be raised by making \(\tilde{t}_1\) mostly right-handed; however, in this case, \(m_{\tilde{t}_2} \approx m_{\tilde{t}_1}\) and again faces an upper limit. For a sub-TeV sbottom, such limits are particularly sharp, as compatibility with the Higgs mass forces \(m_{\tilde{t}_2}\) to lie within a narrow wedge shaped region, as seen in the figure.
Fig. 2.1.8: $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$ as a function of the mass splitting $m_{\tilde{b}_1} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ for points with $120 < m_h < 130$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{t}_1} < 1$ TeV in the MSSM. Red, black, green, and blue points correspond to $m_{\tilde{b}_1} > 1000$ GeV, $750 < m_{\tilde{b}_1} < 1000$ GeV, $500 < m_{\tilde{b}_1} < 750$ GeV, and $m_{\tilde{b}_1} < 500$ GeV respectively.

Detailed analysis of the multilepton excess can shed further light on the properties of $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$: inferring $\Delta m_{\tilde{b}_1\tilde{t}_1}$ (from, e.g., the lepton $p_T$ distribution) and the sbottom mass (from, e.g., the signal rate) not only narrows the allowed range of $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$ (Fig. 2.1.8) but also constrains the stop mixing angle. It is therefore possible to not only predict a relatively narrow mass window for $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$, but also get a profile of its decay channels. Such a $\tilde{t}_2$ may well be within reach of the LHC, and ruling out such a $\tilde{t}_2$ is sufficient to rule out the MSSM. This concept was implemented in Ref. [76] for a benchmark point with $m_{\tilde{t}_2} = 1022$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 885$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 646$ GeV, and $m_{\chi_0} = 445$ GeV. Using existing CMS search strategies for same-sign dileptons [78, 79] as well as multileptons [80, 81], it was shown that the sbottom decay signal could be identified at the HL-LHC at $3 - 5\sigma$ significance with $3 ab^{-1}$ of data. As discussed above, the discovery of such a sbottom signal with energetic multileptons imposes an upper limit on $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$ in the MSSM. For this benchmark point, it was also shown that modifications of the aforementioned multilepton search strategy would also allow for a $3 - 5\sigma$ significance discovery of the heavier stop decay $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 Z$ with $3 ab^{-1}$ of data, illustrating how using the MSSM Higgs mass relation in conjunction with a sbottom signal discovery can lead to predictions and discovery of $\tilde{t}_2$ at the HL-LHC. For details of the analysis, the interested reader is referred to [76].

Using Multiple Decay Channels for the Heavier Stop:

The HL-LHC could enable measurements in multiple channels with significant statistics. In particular, the heavier stop $\tilde{t}_2$ could be observed in multiple channels $\tilde{t}_1 Z$, $\tilde{t}_1 h$, $\tilde{b}_i W$, and $t\chi_0$, with branching ratios (BRs) determined by the stop masses and mixing angle. We focus on the two decays $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 Z$ and $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 h$, which give rise to boosted dibosons if the mass splitting between the two stop mass eigenstates is large. In the decoupling limit in the Higgs sector, the ratio of the decay widths into these two channels is [82]:

$$R_{hZ} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 h)}{\Gamma(\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 Z)} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}\right) \cos 2\theta_t + \frac{m_W^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2} \left(1 - \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \theta_W\right)\right]^2 \approx \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}\right)^2 \cos^2 2\theta_t. \tag{2.1.3}\right.$$  

Phase space factors as well as many experimental uncertainties cancel in this ratio, offering a clean dependence on the stop mixing angle if the two stop masses are known from other measurements, enabling a check of the MSSM Higgs mass relation.

The viability of such a strategy was explored in Ref. [76] for a benchmark scenario with $m_{\tilde{t}_2} = 994$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 977$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 486$ GeV, and $m_{\chi_0} = 406$ GeV, with $\tilde{t}_2$ decay BRs of
Fig. 2.1.9: MSSM Higgs mass as a function of $R_{hZ}$. The horizontal blue line denotes $m_h = 120$ GeV, the cutoff below which the Higgs mass is assumed to be inconsistent with the MSSM (see [76] for details). Light (dark) red bands correspond to $440 \leq m_{\tilde{t}_1} \leq 520$ GeV and $930 \leq m_{\tilde{t}_2} \leq 1030$ GeV ($450 \leq m_{\tilde{t}_1} \leq 510$ GeV and $945 \leq m_{\tilde{t}_2} \leq 1015$ GeV). The blue dot denotes the benchmark point in our analysis, which is consistent with all constraints but does not produce the correct Higgs mass in the MSSM. The golden band shows the uncertainty in the calculated value of $R_{hZ}$ with $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$ of data at the HL-LHC.

52% and 28% into $\tilde{t}_1 Z$ and $\tilde{t}_1 h$ respectively, using the strategy from Ref. [83] to reconstruct boosted dibosons via fat jets. Note that measuring the ratio $R_{hZ}$ with reasonable precision requires high statistics, motivating searches for the boosted $Z$ and $h$ bosons in their dominant (hadronic) decay channels rather than the cleaner decays into leptons or photons. Assuming that $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ has been measured to lie in the range $486 \pm 40$ GeV from monojet or charm-tagged events, while $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$ is known to fall in the $994.2 \pm 50$ GeV range from various measurements (such as by combining the knowledge of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ with information on $p_T(Z)$ in $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 Z$ events), the MSSM Higgs mass can be calculated as a function of $\theta_t$ using Eq. (2.1.2), which can be converted to a function of the ratio $R_{hZ}$ using Eq. (2.1.3); this relation is plotted in Fig. 2.1.9 in the broad red band for the above stop mass windows. The narrower, darker red band corresponds to narrower windows for the two stop masses, reflecting the improvement in the Higgs mass uncertainty with better knowledge of the stop masses.

The Higgs mass is small for vanishing stop mixing $\theta_t \rightarrow 0, \pi/2$, which corresponds to $R_{hZ} \sim \cos^2 2\theta_t$ approaching 1. On the other hand, achieving the correct Higgs mass with sub-TeV stops requires large stop mixing, which correlates with a smaller value of $R_{hZ}$. As seen in Fig. 2.1.9, an inferred value of $R_{hZ}$ above some cutoff value $R_0$ ($\approx 0.45$ in this case) is incompatible with the MSSM Higgs mass relation. Such an observation would rule out the MSSM, pointing to the need for additional contributions to the Higgs mass (as is the case for the chosen benchmark point). The golden band encodes the uncertainty in the calculated value of $R_{hZ}$ for the benchmark point that can be achieved at the HL-LHC with $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$ of data (see [76] for details). This benchmark point study illustrates that measurements of the two decay channels can be used as a consistency check of the Higgs mass and possibly rule out the MSSM at the HL-LHC.

2.2 Searches for charginos and neutralinos

The direct production of charginos and neutralinos through EW interactions may dominate the SUSY production at the LHC if the masses of the gluinos and squarks are beyond $3 - 4$ TeV. In this section, the sensitivity at the end of HL-LHC to the direct production of various SUSY partners in the EW sector under the assumption of R-parity conservation is presented. Charginos and heavier neutralinos production processes are considered, assuming they decay into the LSP via on-shell or off-shell $W$
and Z or Higgs bosons. Final state events characterised by the presence of charged leptons, missing transverse momentum and possibly jets and b-jets are studied and prospects are presented. Dedicated searches for higgsino-like, 'compressed' SUSY models, characterised by small mass splittings between electroweakinos, are also reported, and possible complements with new facilities are illustrated.

2.2.1 Chargino pair production at HL-LHC

Contributors: S. Carra, T. Lari, D. L. Noel, C. Potter, ATLAS

The charged wino or higgsino states might be light and decay via SM gauge bosons. In this search [84], the direct production of \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \) is studied. The \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \) is assumed to be pure wino, while the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) is the LSP and is assumed to be pure bino and stable. The \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \) decays with 100% branching fraction to \( W^\pm \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \). Only the leptonic decays of the \( W \) are considered, resulting in final states with two opposite electric charge (OS) leptons and missing transverse energy from the two undetected \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \).

The selection closely follows the strategies adopted in the 8 TeV [85] and 13 TeV [86] searches. Events are required to contain exactly two leptons (electrons or muons) with \( p_T > 20 \) GeV and \( |\eta| < 2.5 \) (2.47 for electrons). The lepton pair must satisfy \( m_{\ell\ell} > 25 \) GeV to remove contributions from low mass resonances. The two leptons must be OS, pass “tight” identification criteria, and be isolated (the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of charged particles with \( p_T > 1 \) GeV within a cone of \( \Delta R = 0.3 \) around the lepton candidate, excluding the lepton candidate track itself, must be less than 15% of the lepton \( p_T \)). All leptons are required to be separated from each other and from candidate jets defined with \( p_T > 30 \) GeV and \( |\eta| < 2.5 \). The latter requirement is imposed to suppress the background from semi-leptonic decays of heavy-flavour quarks, which is further suppressed by vetoing events having one or more jets tagged as originating from b-decays, “b-tagged jets”. The chosen working point of the b-tagging algorithm correctly identifies b-quark jets in simulated \( t\bar{t} \) samples with an average efficiency of 85%, with a light-flavour jet misidentification probability of a few percent (parametrised as a function of jet \( p_T \) and \( \eta \)).

The signal region is divided into two disjoint regions with a Same Flavour Opposite Sign (SFOS: \( e^+e^- \), \( \mu^+\mu^- \)) or Different Flavour Opposite Sign (DFOS: \( e^+\mu^- \)) lepton pair to take advantage of the differing SM background composition for each flavour combination. The SFOS and DFOS regions are divided again into events with exactly zero jets or one jet, which target scenarios with large or small \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ - \tilde{\chi}_1^- \) mass splittings, respectively. One lepton must have \( p_T > 40 \) GeV to suppress the SM background, and with \( p_T^\ell > 40 \) GeV and \( p_T^{\ell\ell} > 20 \) GeV, either the single or double lepton triggers may be used to accept the event at the HL-LHC. Events with SFOS lepton pairs with an invariant mass within 30 GeV of the \( Z \) boson mass are rejected to suppress the large \( Z \rightarrow \ell\ell \) SM background. Events with \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) larger than 110 GeV and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) significance (defined as \( E_T^{\text{miss}} / \sqrt{\sum p_T^{\ell\text{leptons, jets}}} \)) larger than 10 GeV\(^{1/2}\) are selected in to suppress \( Z+jets \) events with poorly measured leptons.

The transverse mass \( m_{T2} \) is calculated using the two leptons and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \), and used as the main discriminator in the SR selection to suppress the SM background. For \( t\bar{t} \) or WW decays, assuming an ideal detector with perfect momentum resolution, \( m_{T2}(\ell, \ell, E_T^{\text{miss}}) \) has a kinematic endpoint at the mass of the \( W \) boson. Signal models with sufficient mass splittings between the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \) and the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) feature \( m_{T2} \) distributions that extend beyond this kinematic endpoint expected for the dominant SM backgrounds. Therefore, events in this search are required to have high \( m_{T2} \) values. A set of disjoint signal regions “binned” in \( m_{T2} \) are used to maximise model-dependent exclusion sensitivity. Each SR is identified by the lepton flavour combination (SFOS or DFOS), number of jets (-0J or -1J) and the range of the \( m_{T2} \) interval. Ten high \( m_{T2} \) intervals: [120, 140], [140, 160], [160, 180], [180, 200], [200, 250], [250, 300], [300, 350], [350, 400], [400, 500] and [500, \( \infty \)], are used to maximise the sensitivity to \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \) production. After the application of the full selection criteria, no \( Z+jets \) or \( W+jets \) events remain. The diboson process \( WW \) is seen to dominate the total SM background across all signal regions, due to its similarity with the SUSY signal. The transverse mass \( m_{T2} \) of SM and SUSY events in the signal regions is shown
in Fig. 2.2.1, for events passing $m_{T2} > 100$ GeV. The SM background drops off at lower $m_{T2}$ values (around the $W$ mass), while the SUSY signal is seen to have long tails to high $m_{T2}$ values. The $2\ell$ diboson SM processes show long $m_{T2}$ tails, which is mostly from $ZZ \to \ell^+\ell^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ production; a small contribution from $WW$ will be present due to the imperfect measurement of the leptons and $E_T^{miss}$.

To calculate the expected sensitivity to $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production and decay via $W$ bosons, the uncertainties from the normalisation of the $WW$ background are assumed to scale inversely with the increase in luminosity, and thus decrease to $\sim 1\%$, while a better understanding of $WW$ could halve the theoretical uncertainties to $\sim 2.5 - 5\%$. It is assumed that the experimental uncertainties will be understood to the same level, or better, than the 13 TeV analysis [86]. Two scenarios are considered for $\chi_1^\pm\chi_1^-$ production and decay via $W$ bosons at the HL-LHC: the so-called Run-2 scenario, with 5% experimental uncertainty on the signal and SM background, a 10% theoretical uncertainty on the signal, and a 10% modelling uncertainty on the SM background, and the so-called baseline scenario, where the $WW$ theoretical uncertainty can be understood to a better level and modelling uncertainty on SM background halves to 5%.

The statistical combination of all disjoint signal regions is used to set model-dependent exclusion limits. For each of the three uncertainties considered, half of the value is treated as correlated across signal regions, and the other half as uncorrelated. The exclusion potentials for $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production and decay via $W$ bosons at the HL-LHC are shown in Fig. 2.2.2 for the baseline scenario. In the absence of an excess, $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production may be excluded up to 840 GeV in $\chi_1^\pm$ mass. For the Run-2, where the modelling uncertainty on the SM background are raised from 5% to 10%, the expected exclusion...
potential decreases by 10 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$ mass and 30 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass. To calculate the discovery potential, eleven inclusive signal regions are defined with $m_{T2}$ larger than the lower bound of each $m_{T2}$ interval, and the inclusive signal region with the best expected sensitivity is used. At the HL-LHC, the discovery potential reaches up to 660 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$ mass with the baseline scenario assumption for the background modelling uncertainty, and it decreases by 30 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$ mass and 60 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass if uncertainties doubled.

### 2.2.2 Chargino-Neutralino searches in multileptons at HL-LHC

**Contributors**: A. De Santo, B. Safarzadeh Samani, F. Trovato, ATLAS

Charginos and next-to-lightest neutralinos decaying via $W$ and $Z$ or Higgs bosons and LSP are searched for using three-lepton signatures characterised by large missing transverse momentum [84]. A simplified model describing the direct production of $\tilde{\chi}^+_1 \tilde{\chi}^0_2$ is studied here, where the $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$ and $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ are as-
The selection for \( \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_2 \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) at the HL-LHC follows the strategy used in the 13 TeV search [87]. Events are selected with exactly three leptons (electrons or muons) with \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) and \(|\eta| < 2.5\), two of which must form an SFOS pair consistent with a Z boson decay and have \(|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 10 \text{ GeV} \). To resolve ambiguities when multiple SFOS pairings are present, the transverse mass \( m_T \) is calculated using the unpaired lepton for each possible SFOS pairing, and the combination that minimises the transverse mass, \( m_T^{\text{min}} \), is chosen. The two leading leptons must have \( p_T > 25 \text{ GeV} \), and \( m_T^{\text{min}} \) must be larger than 20 GeV to reject low mass SM decays. To suppress the \( t\bar{t} \) background, events are vetoed if they contain \( b \)-tagged jets with \( p_T > 30 \text{ GeV} \), while the Z+jets background is suppressed by requiring \( E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV} \).

A set of disjoint signal regions binned in \( m_T^{\text{min}} \) and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) are used to maximise model-dependent exclusion sensitivity. Each SR is identified by the number of jets (-0J or -1J), the range of the \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) interval and the range of the \( m_T^{\text{min}} \) interval, as seen in Table 2.2.1. The SRs with at least one jet are defined to extend the sensitivity for the signal benchmark points in which the mass differences between the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) is small. In such scenarios higher \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) in the event is expected when the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^- \) system recoils against the initial-state-radiation jets. The distribution of \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) and \( m_T^{\text{min}} \) in the 0-jet and 1-jet categories are shown in Fig. 2.2.3 for events with \( E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_T^{\text{min}} > 150 \text{ GeV} \).

To calculate the expected sensitivity to \((\tilde{\chi}_1^+ / \tilde{\chi}_2^-)\) production, a 5% experimental uncertainty on the SM background and signal, a 10% theoretical uncertainty on the signal, and a 10% modelling uncertainty on the SM are assumed. With these uncertainty assumptions, Fig. 2.2.4 shows the expected exclusion for \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^- \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \). In the absence of an excess, chargino and neutralino masses up to 1150 GeV may be excluded. The discovery potential is also shown in Fig. 2.2.4, which reaches up to 920 GeV in chargino and neutralino masses.

### 2.2.3 Chargino-Neutralino production in the WH → ℓν b¯b channel at HL-LHC

**Contributors:** D. Bogavac, M. D’Onofrio, Y. Gao, M. Sullivan, H. Teagle, ATLAS

Chargino and next-to-lightest neutralinos can be searched for in one lepton plus \( b \)-jets final state events if the next-to-lightest neutralino decays into a SM-like Higgs boson and the LSP [84]. The Higgs decay mode into two \( b \)-quarks is exploited. Signal models with \( \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^- \) masses up to 1.5 TeV are considered in this search. The analysis is performed separately in three signal regions targeting signal models with different values of mass difference \( \Delta m = m(\tilde{\chi}_1^+ / \tilde{\chi}_2^-) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \): low (\( \Delta m < 300 \text{ GeV} \)), medium (\( \Delta m \in [300, 600] \text{ GeV} \)) and high (\( \Delta m > 600 \text{ GeV} \)).

The expected SM background is dominated by top quark pair-production \( tt \) and single top production, with smaller contributions from vector boson production \( W+b \)-jets, associated production of \( tt \) and a vector boson \( t\bar{t}W \) and dibosons.

The event selection follows a similar strategy as in the previous studies documented in Ref. [88]. Candidate leptons (electrons or muons) are required to have \( p_T > 25 \text{ GeV} \) and \(|\eta| < 2.47 \) (2.7), and pass “tight” and “medium” identification criteria for electrons and muons respectively. Candidate jets are reconstructed using the anti-\( k_t \) algorithm with \( R = 0.4 \), are required to have \( p_T \) greater than 25 GeV and \(|\eta| < 2.5\). The jets tagged as originating from \( b \)-decays are required to pass the jet requirements described previously, and pass the MV2c10 tagging algorithm operating at 77% \( b \)-jet tagging efficiency. Candidate jets and electrons are required to satisfy \( \Delta R(\ell, \text{jet}) > 0.2 \). Any leptons within \( \Delta R = 0.4 \) of the remaining jet are removed. The \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) at generator level is calculated as the vectorial sum of the momenta of neutral weakly-interacting particles, in this case neutrinos and neutralinos.

Events containing exactly one lepton, and two or three jets passing the above object definitions are selected. Two of the jets are required to be \( b \)-tagged with the criteria defined above. Four key variables are further used to discriminate signal from background: the invariant mass of the two \( b \)-tagged jets, \( m_{b\bar{b}} \), the transverse momentum imbalance in the event, \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \), the transverse mass constructed using the leading
Fig. 2.2.3: Distribution of $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $m_T^{\text{min}}$ in the events with zero jets (top) and the events with at least one jet (bottom). All baseline requirements along with the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $m_T^{\text{min}}$ selections of 150 GeV are applied. The lower pad in each plot shows the significance, $Z_N$ using a background uncertainty of 10%, for the SUSY reference points.

lepton $p_T$ and the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, $m_T$, and the contransverse mass constructed using the two $b$-tagged jets, $m_{CT}$. The $m_{bb}$ is used to select events which have dijet masses within a window of the Higgs boson mass. The transverse mass variable $m_T$, defined from the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and the leading lepton in the event, is effective at suppressing SM backgrounds containing W bosons due to the expected kinematic endpoint around the W boson mass assuming an ideal detector with perfect momentum resolution. The contransverse mass variable $m_{CT}$ is defined for the $b\bar{b}$ system as $m_{CT} = 2p_T^{b1}p_T^{b2}(1 + \cos \Delta \phi_{bb})$, where $p_T^{b1}$ and $p_T^{b2}$ are transverse momenta of the two leading $b$-jets and $\Delta \phi_{bb}$ is the azimuthal angle between them. It is an effective variable to select Higgs boson decays into $b$–quarks and to suppress the $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds.

A set of common loose requirements, referred to as preselection, are applied first to suppress the fully hadronic multijet and $W$+jets backgrounds: $m_T > 40$ GeV, $m_{bb} > 50$ GeV, $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 200$ GeV. A multivariate method based on boosted decision trees (BDT) is then chosen for the optimal sensitivity. In this approach, three independent BDTs (referred to as M1, M2 and M3), are trained separately in each signal region for events passing the preselection and within the $m_{bb}$ mass window of $[105, 135]$ GeV. In all regions, the following seven variables are used as inputs: $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, $m_T$, $m_{CT}$, the leading lepton $p_T$, the leading and sub-leading $b$-jet $p_T$, as well as the angular separation of the two $b$-jets $\Delta R(b_1, b_2)$. The BDT output distributions are then used to optimise signal regions maximising the expected significance $Z_N$ of the benchmark signal model. Examples of the BDT output distributions are shown in Fig. 2.2.5. The resulting signal region targets modeling with low (SR-M1), medium (SR-M3) and high (SR-M3) $\Delta m$, are defined by requiring the BDT ranged larger than 0.25, 0.35 and 0.30, respectively.

The SM background is dominated by the top backgrounds, including both the $t\bar{t}$ and single top
Contributors: G. Zevi Della Porta, A. Canepa, CMS

This section presents a search from CMS for the pair production of $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$, $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ in the final states with two same charge leptons, large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and modest jet activity. The search is motivated by radiatively-driven natural supersymmetry (RNS) models, such as those presented in Section 2.4.2. In these models, the mass spectra of the supersymmetric partners of the gauge and Higgs bosons are characterised by low-mass higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$, and heavier bino-like $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$ along with mass-degenerate wino-like $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$. Two complementary analyses are designed to probe the wino and higgsino sectors of this model. The final states resulting from higgsino production, discussed in Section 2.2.5.1, are characterised by very low $p_T$ SM particles, due to the small mass difference between the low mass states and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The
Fig. 2.2.6: Expected 95% C.L. exclusion and $5\sigma$ discovery contours in the $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$, $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm/\tilde{\chi}_2^0)$ masses plane for the $Wh$-mediated simplified model.

Fig. 2.2.7: Diagram for wino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm/\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ pair-production and decay into a final state with two same charge $W$ bosons.

final states resulting from wino production, discussed in this section, are expected to have a significant contribution of events (around 25% of the total BR) where $\tilde{\chi}_2^0/\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ decay into the higgsino sector emitting same-charge $W$ bosons as in Fig. 2.2.7 [89, 90]. This analysis is based on Ref. [91].

Estimates of signal and background yields are based on Monte Carlo samples followed by a DELPHES simulation [33] of the CMS Phase-2 detector. The signal samples are generated by MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO (v2.3.3) [67] with up to two additional jets at leading order precision. The supersymmetric particles are then decayed by the PYTHIA 8.2 [68] package also providing showering and hadronisation. The cross-sections for SUSY production have been calculated for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at NLO-NLL using the resumming code from Ref. [59, 60] with CTEQ6.6 and MSTW2008nlo90cl PDFs. The background samples are generated with MADGRAPH 5 at LO, followed by parton showering and hadronisation with PYTHIA 6 [92]. The DELPHES-based yields of processes containing prompt leptons are corrected by the lepton reconstruction, identification and isolation efficiencies measured in Run-2 collision data. For example, the reconstruction efficiency for centrally produced electrons ranges from 60 to 86% for $p_T$ values between 20 and 200 GeV. The DELPHES-based yields of processes containing non-prompt leptons are increased by 25%, based on Ref. [93], to account for events with misidentified leptons from light flavour quarks, which are not included by DELPHES [93].

Candidate signal events are selected if they contain two high quality and isolated leptons with $p_T \geq 20$ GeV, $|\eta| \leq 1.6$, and the same charge. Discrimination from the background processes is achieved by selecting events with no additional leptons with $p_T \geq 5$ GeV and $|\eta| \leq 4.0$ (to suppress multi-boson production), and no $p_T \geq 30$ GeV jets (to suppress events with top quarks). The remaining
background processes include the pair production of W and ZZ’ bosons, as well as the W+jets and $t\bar{t}$ processes in association with a non-prompt or misidentified lepton. These are suppressed by imposing a tight selection on the $m_T, m_{T,\text{miss}}$ processes in association with a non-prompt or misidentified lepton. These are suppressed by imposing a tight selection on the

$$m_{T,\text{min}} = \min[m_T(p_T^1, E_T^{\text{miss}}), m_T(p_T^2, E_T^{\text{miss}})].$$

Figure 2.2.8 shows the distribution of the $m_{T,\text{min}}$ observable in events satisfying the signal region selection described above. To maximise the sensitivity, seven signal regions are then defined with $m_{T,\text{min}}$ in the ranges $[0, 90), [90, 120), [120, 150), [150; 200), [200; 250), [250; 300)$, and $[300; \infty)$ GeV.

The search sensitivity is calculated using a modified frequentist approach with the CL$_S$ criterion and asymptotic results for the test statistic [94, 95]. The systematic uncertainty on the prompt (fake, signal) yields is assumed to be 20% (50%, 20%) based on the estimates computed in the corresponding search carried out in Run-2 collision data [93].

The upper limit on the production cross-section of pair produced $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decaying into a final state with two same charge W bosons with a BR of 25% is shown in Fig. 2.2.9 for two $\mu$ scenarios (where $\mu \sim m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_3^0}$). The value $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 = 150$ GeV is representative of the region of parameter space outside the reach of the Run-2 search for direct production of higgsinos in the final states with two same flavour opposite sign leptons [96], while $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 = 250$ GeV is close to the sensitivity reach of the same search when extrapolated to the HL-LHC (Section 2.2.5.1). As expected, the sensitivity depends only mildly on the value of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ at large $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_3^0$ mass values, while as the $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_3^0$ mass approaches $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ the dependence is more significant. Wino-like mass degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_3^0$ are excluded at 95% C.L. for masses up to 900 GeV in both the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 = 150$ GeV and 250 GeV scenarios. This demonstrates that the HL-LHC has the potential to probe most of the natural SUSY parameter space with EW naturalness measure $\Delta_{\text{EW}} \leq 30$ [97].

### 2.2.5 Searches for SUSY models with compressed electroweakino mass spectra

In several SUSY scenarios, higgsinos could be light, with masses below 1 TeV, and the absolute value of the higgsino mass parameter $\mu$ is expected to be near the weak scale, while the magnitude of the bino and wino mass parameters, $M_1$ and $M_2$, can be significantly larger, i.e. $|\mu| \ll |M_1|, |M_2|$. This results in the three lightest electroweakino states being dominated by the higgsino component. In this scenario, their masses are separated by hundreds of MeV to tens of GeV depending on the composition of these

![Fig. 2.2.8](https://example.com/fig228.png) Distribution of $m_{T,\text{min}}$ in candidate events satisfying the signal region selection. For coloured lines displaying the signal yields, the first number in the legend refers to the $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_3^0$ mass while the second number refers to the value of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass.
mass eigenstates, which is determined by the specific values of $M_1$ and $M_2$. Investigating either of these scenarios, with very small mass splitting between the lightest electroweakinos, is particularly challenging at hadron colliders, both due to the small cross-sections and the small transverse momenta of the final state particles. As of writing the ATLAS and CMS collaborations have searched for higgsinos in up to 36 fb\(^{-1}\) of proton-proton collision data \[99,100\] and just started probing the parameter space beyond the LEP experiments’ limits \[99,100\]. By providing 3 ab\(^{-1}\) of proton-proton collision data at a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV, the HL-LHC has the potential to significantly extend the sensitivity to higgsinos and thus to natural SUSY. This is depicted also in Section 2.4.2 of this report.

The model used for the development of the searches for higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}^\pm_i$ and $\tilde{\chi}_j^0$ by ATLAS and CMS is a SUSY simplified model where the higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are assumed to be quasi mass-degenerate and produced in pairs. The model contains both the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ production, where $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decays into $W^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ into $Z^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, respectively, with a branching fraction of 100% (Fig. 2.2.10).

Both ATLAS and CMS analyses presented in the following exploit the presence of charged leptons with low transverse momenta arising from the off-shell $W$ and $Z$ bosons in the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\rightarrow W^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\rightarrow Z^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays, and large missing transverse momentum due to the presence of an ISR jet.

2.2.5.1 Higgsino search prospects at HL- and HE-LHC at CMS

Contributors: A. Canepa, J. Hogan, S. Kulkarni, B. Schneider, CMS

The results presented here are from Ref. \[101\] from the CMS Collaboration. If the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, and

---

**Fig. 2.2.9:** Upper limit on the production cross-section of pair produced $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decaying into a final state with two same charge W boson with a BR of 25% for two assumptions on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass.

**Fig. 2.2.10:** Example Feynman diagrams for $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (left) and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (right) s-channel pair production, followed by the leptonic decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$. 
The prompt background is dominated by Drell-Yan (DY), diboson, and top production where both W bosons decay leptonically. The DY contribution is suppressed by requiring at least one jet from initial-state radiation (ISR) that recoils against the ISR candidate jet $p_T$ and the angular separation between the two candidate leptons satisfies $\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2) \leq 2.0$. Since minor hadronic activity is expected from the EW production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, an upper bound of 4 is placed on the number of candidate jets $N_j$. 

Several SM processes exhibit a signature similar to that of the signal. One background category consists of prompt processes, where both candidate leptons originate from the prompt decay of $W$ and $Z$ bosons. Another category is misclassified processes, where at least one of the two candidate leptons originates from a semi-leptonic decay of a $B$ hadron, a photon conversion, a decay in flight, or a misidentified quark or gluon. The prompt background is dominated by Drell-Yan (DY), diboson, and top production where both W bosons decay leptonically. The DY contribution is suppressed by requiring significant $p_T^{\text{miss}}$, while rejecting events with at least one $b$ jet reduces the $tt$ background. The dominant misclassified processes are $W$ and $tt$ production where one candidate lepton originates from the $W$ boson decay and an additional misclassified lepton is selected in the event. Rejecting events with at least one $b$ jet reduces both contributions. Events satisfying the criteria described above, which are summarised in Table 2.2.2, form the baseline signal region for which relevant distributions are presented in Fig. 2.2.11 and 2.2.12.

The missing transverse momentum, the invariant mass of the two candidate leptons, and the sub-leading lepton $p_T(\ell_2)$ observables are found to provide the best discrimination between signal and background.

### Table 2.2.2: Definition of the baseline signal region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observable</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\ell}$</td>
<td>= 2 (same flavour, opposite charge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2)$</td>
<td>$\leq 2.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{b\text{-jet}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{jet}}$</td>
<td>$\leq 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{ISR}}$ ($p_T^{\text{miss}}$)</td>
<td>$\geq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T^{\text{miss}}$</td>
<td>$\geq 250$ GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, p_T(\text{ISR}))$</td>
<td>$\geq 2.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{\ell_1, \ell_2}$</td>
<td>[5,40] GeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the analysis muons (electrons) are selected with $5 \leq p_T \leq 30$ GeV and $|\eta| \leq 2.4$ (1.6). Dedicated lepton identification criteria are then applied, providing 40% to 90% efficiency for muons and 20% to 80% efficiency for electrons. Finally, identified leptons are considered candidate leptons if they are isolated. The anti-$k_t$ algorithm with a size parameter of 0.4 is adopted to reconstruct jets. Candidate jets are reconstructed with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| \leq 4.0$ and are referred to as ISR jets if $p_T > 200$ GeV and $|\eta| \leq 2.4$ (ISR). Candidate jets consistent with the decay and hadronisation of a $B$ hadron are tagged as $b$ jets with an efficiency of 74%. Spacial separation is imposed between each candidate lepton and jet.

To be considered for this analysis, events are requested to contain at least two low-$p_T$, same-flavour, opposite-charge candidate leptons, $p_T^{\text{miss}} \geq 250$ GeV and at least one $j_{\text{ISR}}$. To further exploit the boosted topology of the signal, events are accepted only if the $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ and the ISR candidate jet $p_T$ satisfy $\Delta \phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, p_T(j_{\text{ISR}})) \geq 2.0$ and the angular separation between the two candidate leptons satisfies $\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2) \leq 2.0$. Since minor hadronic activity is expected from the EW production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, an upper bound of 4 is placed on the number of candidate jets $N_j$. 

Several SM processes exhibit a signature similar to that of the signal. One background category consists of prompt processes, where both candidate leptons originate from the prompt decay of $W$ and $Z$ bosons. Another category is misclassified processes, where at least one of the two candidate leptons originates from a semi-leptonic decay of a $B$ hadron, a photon conversion, a decay in flight, or a misidentified quark or gluon. The prompt background is dominated by Drell-Yan (DY), diboson, and top production where both W bosons decay leptonically. The DY contribution is suppressed by requiring significant $p_T^{\text{miss}}$, while rejecting events with at least one $b$ jet reduces the $tt$ background. The dominant misclassified processes are $W$ and $tt$ production where one candidate lepton originates from the $W$ boson decay and an additional misclassified lepton is selected in the event. Rejecting events with at least one $b$ jet reduces both contributions. Events satisfying the criteria described above, which are summarised in Table 2.2.2, form the baseline signal region for which relevant distributions are presented in Fig. 2.2.11 and 2.2.12.
Fig. 2.2.11: Distributions of the $p_T$ of the candidate lepton with the highest $p_T$ (left) and the second-highest $p_T$ (right) for background and signal events in the baseline signal region. Three selected signal models are shown, where the first number corresponds to the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) and the second one to the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The uncertainty band represents systematical uncertainties.

Fig. 2.2.12: Distributions of the $p_T$ of the candidate lepton with the highest $p_T$ (left) and the second-highest $p_T$ (right) for background and signal events in the baseline signal region. Three selected signal models are shown, where the first number corresponds to the mass of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and the second number to the mass of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The uncertainty band represents systematical uncertainties.

background. Events in the baseline signal region are therefore classified in 60 categories with $p_T^{miss}$ values in [250, 300, 350, 400, 500, $\infty$] GeV, $m_{\ell_1\ell_2}$ values in [5, 10, 20, 30, 40] GeV, and $p_T(\ell_2)$ in [5, 13, 21, 30] GeV.

Several systematic uncertainties affect the yields of both the background and the signal processes. The dominant experimental uncertainties are those originating from the jet energy corrections (1−2.5%), b-tagging efficiency (1%), lepton identification efficiency and isolation (0.5%, 2.5% for muons and electrons, respectively), and integrated luminosity (1%). An additional systematic uncertainty of 30% on the yield of the misclassified background is also assumed based on the estimate in Ref. [96]. It is assumed that the yields are not affected by the statistical uncertainty deriving from the limited number of generated events. Theoretical uncertainties in the signal cross sections and in the acceptance from the choice of parton distribution functions are considered negligible and are not included. However, a systematic
Fig. 2.2.13: 5σ discovery contours and expected 95% C.L. exclusion contours for the combined \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) production (left). Projection of the HL-LHC 5σ discovery contours and expected 95% C.L. exclusion contours for the combined \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) production for a centre-of-mass energy of 27 TeV and an integrated luminosity of 15 ab\(^{-1}\) (HE-LHC). Except for the cross sections and the integrated luminosity, the HL-LHC analysis was not modified (right). Results are presented for \( \Delta M(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 7.5 \text{ GeV} \).

uncertainty of 10% in the signal acceptance, similar to the value from Ref. [96], is included to account for the modelling of the ISR jet.

The upper limit on the cross sections is computed at 95% C.L. and shown in Fig. 2.2.13. Higgsino-like mass-degenerate \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \) are excluded for masses up to 360 GeV if the mass difference with respect to the lightest neutralino \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) is 15 GeV, extending the sensitivity achieved in Ref. [96] by \( \approx 210 \text{ GeV} \). Figure 2.2.13 also shows the 5σ discovery contour, computed using all signal regions without taking the look-elsewhere-effect into account. Under this assumption \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \) can be discovered for masses as large as 250 GeV. These results demonstrate that the HL-LHC can significantly improve the sensitivity to natural SUSY.

Figure 2.2.13 also shows the 5σ discovery contours and expected 95% C.L. exclusion contours for the combined \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) production for the HE-LHC. The main gain in sensitivity comes from the increased luminosity, since the cross section increase for signal is the same order as that for background. Except for the cross sections and the integrated luminosity, the HL-LHC analysis was not modified for this HE-LHC projection.

2.2.5.2 Higgsino search prospects at HL-LHC at ATLAS


The presented dilepton search [102] investigates final states containing two soft muons and a large transverse momentum imbalance, which arise in scenarios where \( \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) are produced and decay via an off-shell Z and W boson, as depicted in Fig. 2.2.10. Considering the \( Z \to \mu \mu \) decay is beyond the scope of this prospect study, but could further improve the sensitivity to these scenarios. Due to the very small mass splitting of the electroweakinos in this scenario, a jet arising from initial-state radiation (ISR) is required, to boost the sparticle system. First constraints surpassing the LEP limits have recently been set by the ATLAS experiment [98], excluding mass splittings down to 2.5 GeV for \( m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) = 100 \text{ GeV} \).

The search targets scenarios that contain low \( p_T \) muons selected with \( p_T > 3 \text{ GeV} \) and \( |\eta| < 2.5 \). Muons that originate from pile up interactions or from heavy flavour decays, referred as fake or non-prompt muons, are rejected by applying an isolation to the muon candidates. The main source of
these fake muons are decays from heavy flavour mesons and baryons created in the quark hadronisation process. The signal region (SR) optimisation is performed by scanning a set of variables which are expected to provide discrimination between the signal scenario under consideration and the expected SM background processes. Only events with two opposite-sign muons are used in the final selection, as the muon reconstruction rate is not expected to fall dramatically and the muon fake rate is not expected to grow largely with increased pile-up. Additional requirements are applied on the leading jet of $p_T(jet_1) > 100$ GeV, and on the azimuthal separation $\Delta \phi(jet_1, E_T^{miss}) > 2.0$. In order to discriminate the signal from SM background processes, kinematic variables are used such as the total number of muons in the event, the total number of jets and $b$-jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV, the $E_T^{miss}$, the invariant mass of the dilepton system ($m_{\ell\ell}$), the angular separation between the leptons ($\Delta R(\ell, \ell)$) and more.

Figure 2.2.14 presents a selection of kinematic distributions after the full SR selection is applied, minus the selection on the variable under consideration. The final SR definitions split the $m_{\ell\ell}$ into six non-overlapping SRs, with $m_{\ell\ell}$ selections of $[1, 3], [3, 2, 5], [5, 10], [10, 20], [20, 30]$ and $[30, 50]$ GeV.

The leading sources of background in the SR are from $t\bar{t}$, single-top, $WW$, single-top, and $Z/\gamma^* (\rightarrow \tau\tau) +$ jets. The dominant source of reducible background arises from processes where one or more leptons are fake or non-prompt, such as in $W$+jets production. The fake/non-prompt lepton background arises from jets misidentified as leptons, photon conversions, or semileptonic decays of heavy-flavour hadrons. The total uncertainty for the dilepton search is extrapolated to be 30% and are dominated by the modelling of the fake and non-prompt lepton backgrounds, followed by the experimental uncertainties related to the jet energy scale and flavour tagging. The experimental uncertainty is assumed to be fully correlated between the background and the signal.

Figure 2.2.15 shows the 95% C.L. exclusion limits in the $m(\chi_1^0), \Delta m(\chi_2^0, \chi_1^0)$ plane. With $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, $\chi_2^0$ masses up to 350 GeV could be excluded, as well as $\Delta m(\chi_2^0, \chi_1^0)$ between 2 and 25 GeV for $m(\chi_2^0) = 100$ GeV. In the figure the blue curve presents the $5\sigma$ discovery potential of the search. To calculate the discovery potential a single-bin discovery test is performed by removing the lower bound on $m_{\ell\ell}$ in the SRs previously defined.

2.2.6 Multiple leptons from resonant electroweakinos in left-right SUSY at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: M. Frank, B. Fuks, K. Huitu, S. Mondal, S. Kumar Rai, H. Waltari

Left-right supersymmetric (LRSUSY) models, based on the gauge symmetry $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$, inherit the attractive features of the left-right (LR) symmetry [103, 104], whereas
they forbid any $R$-parity violating operators thanks to the gauged $B-L$ symmetry. To naturally describe the small magnitude of the neutrino masses and preserve $R$-parity, the model superfield content includes both $SU(2)_{L}$ and $SU(2)_{R}$ triplets of Higgs supermultiplets. The neutral component of the $SU(2)_{R}$ Higgs scalar field then acquires a large vacuum expectation value $v_{R}$, which breaks the LR symmetry and makes the $SU(2)_{R}$ gauge sector heavy. In order to prevent the tree-level vacuum from being a charge-breaking one, we can either rely on spontaneous $R$-parity violation [105], one-loop corrections [106], higher-dimensional operators [107] or additional $B-L = 0$ triplets [108]. Whereas the first two options restrict $v_{R}$ to be of at most about 10 TeV, the latter ones enforce $v_{R}$ to lie above $10^{10}$ GeV. In this work, we rely on radiative corrections to stabilise the vacuum, so that the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable and can act as a dark matter candidate.

Two viable LSP options emerge from LRSUSY, neutralinos and right sneutrinos. Out of the 12 neutralinos, gauginos and LR bidoublet, higgsinos can generally be lighter than 1 TeV. The correct relic density can be accommodated with dominantly-bino LSPs with a mass close to $m_{h}/2$ [109], whilst in the bidoublet higgsinos case (featuring four neutralinos and two charginos that are nearly-degenerate), co-annihilations play a crucial role and impose higgsino masses close to 700 GeV. In this setup, the rest of the spectrum is always heavier, so that SUSY could be challenging to discover. Right sneutrino LSP annihilate via the exchange of an $s$-channel Higgs boson through gauge interactions stemming from the $D$-terms [109]. Without options for co-annihilating, the LSP sneutrino mass must lie between 250 and 300 GeV. However, potential co-annihilations with neutralinos enhance the effective annihilation cross section so that the relic density constraints can be satisfied with heavier sneutrinos. The fully degenerate sneutrinos and higgsinos case impose an upper limit on the sneutrino mass of 700 GeV. Additionally, right neutrinos can also be part of the dark sector, together with the LSP [110].

Direct detection constraints imposed by the XENON1T [111] and PANDA [112] collaborations put light DM scenarios under severe scrutiny. Hence, in LRSUSY, in order to account for the relic density and direct detection constraints simultaneously, we need to focus on various co-annihilation options. In this work, we consider one right sneutrino and one higgsino LSP scenario and highlight the corresponding implications for $W_{R}$ searches at the LHC. A robust signal of left-right symmetry consists in the discovery of a right gauge boson $W_{R}$, possibly together with a right neutrino $N_{R}$. Both
Figs. 2.2.16: The two LRSUSY spectra chosen for our study. Left: sneutrino LSP spectrum, where the $W_R$ boson decays into multiple higgsino-like chargino-neutralino pairs, each electroweakino subsequently decaying into the sneutrino LSP either directly or through an intermediate $SU(2)_L$ wino-like chargino-neutralino pair. Right: neutralino LSP spectrum, where the $W_R$ boson decays into higgsino-like chargino-neutralino pairs, the electroweakinos subsequently decaying into the lightest (higgsino-like) neutralino.

The ATLAS and CMS collaborations have looked for a $W_R$ signal in the $\ell\ell jj$ channel, excluding $W_R$ masses up to about 4.5 TeV when at least one right-handed neutrino is lighter than the $W_R$-boson [113]. These exclusion limits nevertheless strongly depend on the spectrum and could be weakened or even evaded, for instance when $M_{N_R} \approx M_{W_R}$ or for $M_{N_R} \lesssim 150$ GeV and $M_{W_R} > 3$ TeV. In addition, dijet resonance searches yield $M_{W_R} \gtrsim 3.5$ TeV [114, 115], even if these bounds can once again be relaxed by virtue of the supersymmetric $W_R$ decay modes. On different grounds, dark matter considerations lead to favoured LRSUSY scenarios in which several neutralinos and charginos are light (so that they could co-annihilate). This motivates the investigation of a new $W_R$ search channel where decays into pairs of electroweakinos are considered. In many LRSUSY setups, the corresponding combined BR can be as large as 25%, so that the production of multileptonic systems featuring a large amount of missing transverse momentum is enhanced. Whilst such a multilepton signal with $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is a characteristic SUSY signal, it also provides an additional search channel for $W_R$-bosons at the LHC. Moreover, the resonant production mode offers the opportunity to reconstruct the $W_R$-boson mass through kinematic thresholds featured by various transverse observables.

In order to illustrate the above features, we perform an analysis in the context of two LRSUSY scenarios respectively featuring a sneutrino and a neutralino LSP. The results are presented for both the high c.o.m. energy ($\sqrt{s}$) and high luminosity ($L$) cases, $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with $L = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV with $L = 15$ ab$^{-1}$ options for the future run of the LHC. For the higgsino-like neutralino LSP case, we kept the bidoublet higgsino masses in the 700 – 750 GeV region. In contrast, for the sneutrino DM case, the LSP mass can be much lower and has been fixed to about 400 GeV, with the second lightest superpartner being an $SU(2)_L$ wino lying about 30 GeV above and the higgsinos being again in the 700 – 750 GeV regime. These two mass spectra are illustrated in Fig. 2.2.16. The sneutrino DM option is expected to be reachable with a lower luminosity due to the harder charged leptons arising from the cascade decays.

For our study, we followed the CMS search of multileptonic new physics signals as could emerge from electroweakino production [93]. We tested several signal regions introduced in this CMS search, all featuring different lepton multiplicities and selections on transverse kinematic variables like the missing transverse energy, the transverse momenta of various systems, the transverse mass $M_T$ of systems made of one lepton and the missing momentum, the transverse mass $M_{T\ell}$ or the dilepton invariant mass $M_{\ell\ell}$. The two signal regions that are most suitable for the considered types of LRSUSY spectra, are listed.
Signal Regions | Requirements
--- | ---
SRA44 | $N_\ell = 3, \ N_{OS\ SF} \geq 1, \ N_\tau = 0, \ M_T > 160 \ \text{GeV}, \ E_T \geq 200 \ \text{GeV}, \ M_{\ell\ell} \geq 105 \ \text{GeV}$
SRD16 | $N_\ell = 2, \ N_{OS} = 1, \ N_{SF} = 0, \ N_\tau = 1, \ M_{T_2} > 100 \ \text{GeV}, \ E_T \geq 200 \ \text{GeV}$

Table 2.2.3: The two signal regions of the analysis of Ref. [93] that are the most suitable for discovering our considered LRSUSY $W_R$-boson signal. Here, $N_{OS\ SF}$ stands for the number of opposite-sign same-flavour lepton pairs, $N_{OS}$ for the number of opposite-sign lepton pair and $N_{SF}$ for the number of same-flavour lepton pair. Moreover, $\ell \equiv e, \mu$.

The results are presented in Fig. 2.2.17 for c.o.m. energies of 14 and 27 TeV. The two figures depict the reach in the $W_R$-boson mass $M_{W_R}$ for the two signal regions of Table 2.2.3 for the sneutrino LSP (left) and neutralino LSP (right) scenarios. The two horizontal black lines represent the $2\sigma$ (mostly equivalent to a 95% C.L. exclusion) and $3\sigma$ statistical significance. For the 14 TeV analysis, we considered the same SM background as in Ref. [93], appropriately scaled to the required luminosity and assuming relative errors similar to the $35.9 \ \text{fb}^{-1}$ case. For the 27 TeV analysis, we scaled all background
contributions by a factor of 3, since the increase in the background cross-sections (for all the dominant channels) is approximately of 3 compared with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Whilst this approximation is crude due to lack of information regarding the background contributions from non-prompt leptons and conversions at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV, it allows us to get back-of-the-envelope estimations. As the targeted $W_R$ masses are way larger than the electroweakino masses, the overall cut efficiencies do not change by more than 10%, so that uniform signal selection efficiencies could be considered throughout the entire $W_R$ mass range of $[2, 7.5]$ TeV. We however include in our estimations the effect of a 10% variation on the background uncertainties, as depicted by the dashed lines.

The SRD16 region proves to be the more favoured channel for both benchmark scenarios mainly because it features an almost background-free environment. It would even be more significant for a tau sneutrino LSP, as this leads to chargino decays into tau leptons. Our calculations however only consider cases where the sneutrino LSP is the electron sneutrino, so that they could be taken as conservative. The reach to sneutrino LSP scenarios is however better, as could be expected from the potentially substantial mass gap featured by the particle spectrum. Multiple hard leptons can indeed arise from the cascade decays, in contrast to the higgsino LSP scenarios where the decay products have softer momenta as the spectrum is more compressed. We observe that for sneutrino and neutralino LSP scenarios, $W_R$-boson masses up to respectively about 4.5 TeV and 4.2 TeV can be reached while providing enough events for electroweakino signal sensitivity, when considering $3$ ab$^{-1}$ of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. With $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV and $\mathcal{L} = 15$ ab$^{-1}$, the reach extends to about 6.5 TeV and 5.7 TeV respectively. All the limits are obtained from the sole SRD16 signal region, so that the SRA44 region could be used as a confirmatory channel if some excess would be observed.

In conclusion, within the LRSUSY framework, $W_R$-boson-induced neutralino and chargino production could be used as a probe for dark-matter motivated scenarios. The HL and HE phases of the LHC could hence push the limits on the sensitivity to electroweakino searches as well as on the $W_R$-boson mass, relying on multilepton production in association with missing transverse momentum.

2.3 Searches for Sleptons: stau pair production at HE- and HL-LHC

Slepton pair production cross sections are less than 1 fb$^{-1}$ for sparticles above 400 GeV at 14 TeV c.o.m. energy, hence searches for these processes will benefit considerably of the large datasets to be collected at the HL-LHC. In many SUSY scenarios with large $\tan\beta$, the stau ($\tilde{\tau}$) is lighter than the selectron and smuon, resulting in tau-rich final states. Co-annihilation processes favour a light stau that has a small mass splitting with a bino LSP, as it can set the relic density to the observed value. Searches for $\tilde{\tau}$ pair production are presented in this section using final state events with at least one hadronically decaying $\tau$ lepton as performed by ATLAS and CMS.

The simplified model used for the optimisation of the searches and the interpretation of the results is shown in Fig. 2.3.1. Assumptions on the mixture of left- and right-handed $\tau$ leptons as considered by the experiments are detailed where relevant.
2.3.1 Searches for $\tilde{\tau}$ pair production in the hadronic channel ($\tau_h \tau_h$) at ATLAS at the HL-LHC


In the ATLAS search [84], two models describing the direct production of stau are employed: one considers stau partners of the left-handed $\tau$ lepton ($\tilde{\tau}_L$), and a second considers stau partners of the right-handed $\tau$ lepton ($\tilde{\tau}_R$). In both models, the stau decays with a branching fraction of 100% to the SM tau-lepton and the LSP. A search for stau production is presented here, which uses a final state with two hadronically decaying taus, low jet activity, and large missing transverse energy ($E_{T\text{miss}}$) from the $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ and neutrinos. The SM background is dominated by $W$+jets, multi-boson production and top pair production.

The event pre-selection is based on that of the previous 8 TeV analysis [126] and 13 TeV analysis [127]. Hadronically decaying taus are selected with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4$, while electrons and muons are selected with $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$ ($|\eta| < 2.5$ for muons). Jets are reconstructed with the anti-$k_t$ algorithm with a radius parameter of 0.4, with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4$. To remove close-by objects from one another, an overlap removal based on $\Delta R$ is applied. In processes where jets may be misidentified as hadronically decaying taus, each jet is assigned a weight corresponding to the tau fake rate in the HL-LHC detector performance parameterisation.

Events are selected with exactly two tightly identified hadronic taus with $|\eta| < 2.5$, and the two taus must have opposite electric charge (OS). The tight tau algorithm correctly identifies one-prong (three-prong) taus with an efficiency of 60% (45%), with a light-flavour jet misidentification probability of 0.06% (0.02%). Events with electrons, muons, $b$-jets or forward jets ($|\eta| > 2.5$) are vetoed. The effect of a di-tau trigger is considered by requiring that the leading tau $p_T$ is larger than 50 GeV and the sub-leading tau $p_T$ is larger than 40 GeV, with an assumed trigger efficiency of 64%. To suppress the SM background, a loose jet veto is applied that rejects events containing jets with $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 100$ GeV.

Since the SUSY signal involves two undetected $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$, the resulting $E_{T\text{miss}}$ spectrum tends to be harder than that for the the major SM backgrounds, thus $E_{T\text{miss}} > 200$ GeV is required to reject the multi-jet background. A $Z$ veto is imposed, where the invariant mass of the two taus, $m_{\tau\tau}$, is required to be larger than 100 GeV to suppress contributions from $Z/\gamma^* +$ jets production. To suppress the top quark and multi-jet backgrounds, the sum of the two-tau transverse mass defined using the transverse momentum of the leading (next-to-leading) tau and $E_{T\text{miss}}$, must be larger than 450 GeV. The transverse mass requirement of $m_{T2} > 35$ GeV is used to to further suppress the top, $W$+jets and $Z/\gamma^* +$ jets backgrounds.

In order to increase the discrimination power between signal and SM backgrounds several kinematic variables are further applied: the $p_T$ of the next-to-leading tau, $p_{T\tau2} > 75$ GeV, and the angular separation between the leading and next-to-leading tau is required to be $\Delta\phi(\tau1, \tau2) > 2$ and $\Delta R(\tau1, \tau2) < 3$.

Following these preselection requirements, three signal regions are defined to maximise model-
The multi-jet uncertainties scale with the increased integrated luminosity, and the background theoretical uncertainties are halved. The theoretical cross-section uncertainty for direct stau production is taken as 10%, while the MC/data related systematics are considered negligible. All other uncertainties are assumed to be the same as in the 13 TeV studies. In this assumption, the total background experimental uncertainty is $\sim 19\%$, with theoretical uncertainties on the Top, $Z/\gamma^* +$ jets and Higgs backgrounds of 13%, theoretical uncertainties on the $W+$jets and multi-jet backgrounds of $10\%$, and uncertainties on the multi-boson background of $8\%$. This is referred to as the “Baseline uncertainty” scenario. The total uncertainty on the SUSY signal

Figure 2.3.2 shows the distributions of $m_{\tilde{T2}}$ in these signal regions, applying all SR selections with the exception of $m_{\tilde{T2}}$ itself.

The systematic uncertainties are evaluated based on the SR-high systematic uncertainty in Ref. [127]. A few of the experimental uncertainties are expected to be smaller at the HL-LHC compared to the 13 TeV studies. In particular, the tau energy scale in-situ uncertainty is scaled by a factor of 0.6 and the tau ID efficiency uncertainty is scaled by a factor of 0.45 – 0.9. The multi-jet uncertainties scale with the increased integrated luminosity, and the background theoretical uncertainties are halved. The theoretical cross-section uncertainty for direct stau production is taken as 10%, while the MC/data related systematics are considered negligible. All other uncertainties are assumed to be the same as in the 13 TeV studies. In this assumption, the total background experimental uncertainty is $\sim 19\%$, with theoretical uncertainties on the Top, $Z/\gamma^* +$ jets and Higgs backgrounds of 13%, theoretical uncertainties on the $W+$jets and multi-jet backgrounds of $10\%$, and uncertainties on the multi-boson background of $8\%$. This is referred to as the “Baseline uncertainty” scenario. The total uncertainty on the SUSY signal.
is $\sim 14\%$. Another scenario is also considered, where the expected uncertainties at the HL-LHC do not improve upon the 13 TeV studies for the SM background and signal. This results in a total background uncertainty of $\sim 38\%$ and a signal uncertainty of $\sim 21\%$ and is referred to as "Run-2 scenario".

To calculate the discovery potential, SR-low, SR-med and SR-High defined in Table 2.3.1 are used, while for the final exclusion limit, the best expected exclusion resulting from these and one additional region, SR-exclHigh, are used. The 95% C.L. exclusion limits and 5$\sigma$ discovery contours on the combined $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ production, and separate $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ productions under baseline systematic uncertainty assumptions are shown in Fig. 2.3.3. The exclusion limit reaches 730 GeV in $\tilde{\tau}$ mass for the combined $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ production, and 690 GeV (430 GeV) for pure $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ (pure $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$) production with a massless $\chi^0_1$. The discovery sensitivity reaches $110 - 530$ GeV ($110 - 500$ GeV) in $\tilde{\tau}$ mass for the combined $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ (pure $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$) production with a massless $\chi^0_1$. No discovery sensitivity is found for pure $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ production as the production cross section is very small although a further reduction of the systematic uncertainties might open a window for discovery in the 100 $- 200$ GeV mass range. In general, sensitivity is achieved for scenarios with large mass difference between the stau and neutralino, i.e. $\Delta m(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}^0_1) > 100$ GeV.

Under the assumption where the expected uncertainties at the HL-LHC do not improve upon the 13 TeV (Run-2 scenario), the exclusion limit is reduced slightly, which down to 720 GeV in $\tilde{\tau}$ mass for the combined $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$ production and 670 GeV (400 GeV) for pure $\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L$ (pure $\tilde{\tau}_R\tilde{\tau}_R$) production with a massless $\chi^0_1$. The discovery sensitivity is also slightly reduced by about 20 $- 50$ GeV.

### 2.3.2 Searches for $\tilde{\tau}$ pair production in the $\tau_h\tau_h$ and $\tau\tau\tau_h$ channels at CMS at the HL-LHC

**Contributors:** I. Baboumian, A. Canepa, O. Colegrove, V. Dutta, I. Melzer-Pellmann, CMS

CMS investigates the expected reach for direct stau (\$\tilde{\tau}\$) pair production, where the $\tilde{\tau}$ decays to a $\tau$ and the lightest SUSY particle, the neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$) [128]. Final states with either two hadronically decaying tau leptons ($\tau_\ell$) or one $\tau_\ell$ and one electron or muon, referred to in the following as the $\tau\tau$ and $\ell\tau$ channels, respectively, are considered. In both cases we expect missing transverse momentum from the two LSPs.

The search assumes $\tilde{\tau}$ pair production in the mass-degenerate scenario. The cross-sections have been computed for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at NLO using the Prospino code [129]. Final values are calculated using the PDF4LHC recommendations for the two sets of cross sections following the prescriptions of the LHC SUSY Cross Section Working Group [61].
The event selection for each final state requires the presence of exactly two reconstructed leptons with opposite charges, corresponding to the $\tau\tau$ or $\ell\tau$ final states. In order to pass the selection, electrons (muons) are required to have transverse momentum $p_T > 30$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.6(2.4)$ and a minimum azimuthal angle between each other of 1.5. Dedicated lepton identification criteria are applied, providing 50% to 90% efficiency for muons and 25% to 80% efficiency for electrons, depending on $p_T$ and $\eta$. Both muons and electrons are required to be isolated.

The momentum of the $\tau_h$ candidates is required to be above 40 GeV in the $\ell\tau$ final state, while we require $p_T > 50$ GeV for the $\tau_h$ in the $\tau\tau$ final state. For both final states, the $\tau_h$ is required to be within $|\eta| < 2.3$. A tight working point is chosen for the $\tau_h$ identification in order to obtain a small rate of jets being misidentified as $\tau_h$. The $\tau_h$ reconstruction efficiency for this working point is about 30%, with a fake rate of about 0.08% assuming an MVA optimisation. Overlaps between the two reconstructed leptons in the $\ell\tau$ final state are avoided by requiring them to have a minimum separation of $\Delta R > 0.3$.

In the $\ell\tau$ final state, all events with at least one jet are rejected. In the $\tau\tau$ channel, in order to suppress backgrounds with top quarks, we veto events containing any b-tagged jet with $p_T > 40$ GeV identified with the loose CSV working point in both final states, which corresponds to an identification efficiency of about 60 – 65%.

The main background for the $\tau\tau$ final state after this selection consists of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) multijet events, W+jets, DY+jets, and top quark events. Separating the background into prompt $\tau_h$ events, where both reconstructed taus are matched to a generator $\tau_h$, and misidentified events, where one or more non-generator matched jets has been misidentified as prompt $\tau_h$, we find that the misidentified background dominates our search regions.

In the $\ell\tau$ final state, all events with at least one jet are rejected. Due to kinematical constrains in the signal, we reduce the background from QCD multijet events by requiring a maximum separation of the two leptons in $\Delta R$ of 3.5.

In order to further improve discrimination against the SM background, we take advantage of the expected presence of two $\tau^0$ in the final state for signal events, which would lead to missing transverse momentum, $p_T^{\text{miss}}$, defined as the projection onto the plane perpendicular to the beam axis of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed objects in an event. Its magnitude, referred to as $p_T^{\text{miss}}$, is an important discriminator between signal and SM background.

Events are then further selected using discriminating kinematic variables for each of the two final states to improve the sensitivity of the search to a range of sparticle masses, such as the trans-
verse mass, $M_T(\ell, p_T^{miss}) = \sqrt{2p_T^{\ell}(1 - \cos \Delta \phi(\ell, p_T^{miss}))}$, where $\ell$ represents the lepton. In addition, the scalar sum of the $M_T$ calculated with the highest $p_T$ ($\ell_1$) and second highest $p_T$ ($\ell_2$) lepton and the missing transverse momentum is used to further reduce the background events: $\sum M_T = M_T(\ell_1, p_T^{miss}) + M_T(\ell_2, p_T^{miss})$. Finally the transverse mass $M_{T2}$ [130, 131] is used to discriminate the signal from the background.

The main variables that are used to define the search regions in the $\tau\tau$ final state are $\Sigma M_T$ and $M_{T2}$, where the former is shown for the baseline selection in Fig. 2.3.4 (left). While we apply a stringent requirement of at least 400 GeV for $\Sigma M_T$, we require $M_{T2}$ to be above 50 GeV. The $\tau\tau$ search regions are then binned in $M_{T2}$, $\Sigma M_T$, and the number of jets $n_{jet}$.

In the $\ell\tau$ final state, we require $M_T(\mu, p_T^{miss}) > 120$ GeV, which reduces the $W$+jets background significantly. To further suppress the SM background in the leptonic final states, $p_T^{miss}$ has to be above 150 GeV, which mainly reduces QCD multijets and Drell Yan events. Additional binning in $M_{T2}$ and the $p_T$ of the $\tau_h$ is applied to define the search regions in the $\ell\tau$ selection. Figure 2.3.4 (right) shows the $M_{T2}$ distribution after the baseline selection.

The dominant experimental uncertainties are those originating from jets being misidentified as $\tau_h$ (15%), $\tau_h$ identification efficiency (2.5%), the muon identification efficiency (0.5%), the electron identification efficiency (1%), the jet energy scale (1–3.5%) and resolution (3–5%), b-tagging efficiency (1%) and the integrated luminosity (1%). These systematic uncertainties are correlated between the signal and the irreducible background yields.

The expected upper limits and the discovery potential are given in Fig. 2.3.5. In mass-degenerate scenarios, degenerate production of $\tau$ sleptons are excluded up to 650 GeV with the discovery contour reaching up to 470 GeV for a massless neutralino. The $\tau\tau$ analysis has been found to drive the sensitivity, but adding the $\ell\tau$ channel enlarges the exclusion bounds by about 60 – 80 GeV.

### 2.3.3 Remarks on stau pair production searches at HL-LHC

Prospects for stau pair production presented by ATLAS and CMS in the previous sections generally cover a similar region of the stau-neutralino mass plane. Stau masses up to 730 GeV are excluded by ATLAS for scenarios with large mass difference between stau and neutralino, i.e. $\Delta m(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 100$ GeV. CMS contours reach up to about 650 GeV covering a similar region in the parameter space. Differences in the reaches are small but noticeable, and are briefly highlighted in the following. The main difference between the ATLAS and CMS searches is the definition of the tau object. ATLAS has optimised the

---

**Fig. 2.3.5:** Expected upper limits at the 95% C.L. (dashed line) and the 5σ discovery potential (full line) for the combination of the results of the $\tau\tau$ and $\ell\tau$ channels.
Fig. 2.3.6: Expected upper limits at the 95% C.L. (red line) and the 5\sigma discovery potential (black line) for the combination of the results of the \( \tau \tau \) and \( \ell \tau \) channels for HE-LHC.

so-called working point (WP), i.e. the combination of selection requirements leading to a certain level of identification efficiency and jet-rejection rate, and chosen a WP leading to 45% to 60% efficiency as a function of \( p_T \) and an average jet-rejection rate of 0.6% (0.02%) for 1-prong (3-prong) taus. The CMS analysis considers a tighter WP, resulting in an almost negligible level of misidentified taus but with lower efficiency (\( \sim 30\% \)). This leads to a small difference in terms of acceptance \( \times \) efficiency which translates to 80 (50) GeV differences in the exclusion (discovery) contours.

Finally, we underline that the sensitivity to more compressed scenarios, as predicted in theoretically favoured co-annihilation scenarios, might be partially recovered exploiting the presence of a high \( p_T \) ISR jet, similarly to studies presented in Section 2.2.5. For this, identification of tau objects at low \( p_T \) will be crucial.

2.3.4 Searches for \( \tilde{\tau} \) pair production in the \( \tau_h \tau_h \) and \( \tau \ell \tau_h \) channels at CMS at HE-LHC

Contributors: I. Babounikau, A. Canepa, O. Colegrove, V. Dutta, I. Melzer-Pellmann, CMS

On top of the CMS HL-LHC analysis, we also study the influence of the increased cross section for 27 TeV and the increased luminosity of 15 ab\(^{-1}\) expected to be achieved in HE-LHC [128]. For this study the cross sections of all backgrounds and signal contributions are recalculated for \( \sqrt{s} = 27 \) TeV at NLO using PROSPINO. The signal region definition and kinematic distributions are the same as described in Section 2.3.2 for the HL-LHC study, but are scaled with the new cross sections and luminosity. The main gain in sensitivity comes from the increased luminosity, since the cross section increase for signal is the same order as that for background. The applied uncertainties are the same as for HL-LHC study described in Section 2.3.2.

The expected upper limits and the discovery potential are given in Fig. 2.3.6. In the mass-degenerate scenario, \( \tau \) slepton production is excluded up to 1150 GeV with the discovery contour reaching up to 810 GeV for a massless neutralino. Signal events were generated up to neutralino mass of 300 GeV, at which point the discovery (exclusion) potential ranges from 400 – 800 GeV (350 – 1100 GeV).

2.4 Other SUSY signatures and implications on SUSY models

Supersymmetry might manifest in different ways at hadron colliders. Simplified models help in setting the search strategy and illustrate the reach for individual processes, as shown in the prospects presented in previous sections. In this section, analyses of the discovery potential of HL- and HE-LHC are reported
2.4.1 SUSY discovery potential at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: A. Aboubrahim, P. Nath

We give an analysis of the discovery potential of HE-LHC with respect to the HL-LHC for supersymmetry, based on studies presented in Ref. [132]. Specifically, a set of benchmark points which are discoverable both at HE-LHC and HL-LHC are presented. In addition, we also report on a set of benchmarks which are beyond the reach of HL-LHC but are discoverable at HE-LHC. The models we consider are supergravity grand unified models [133–136] with non-universalities in the gaugino sector. Thus, the models are described by the set of parameters $m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3, A_0, \tan \beta, \text{sgn}(\mu)$ where $m_0$ is the universal scalar mass (which can be large consistent with naturalness [137]), $m_1, m_2, m_3$ are the $U(1), SU(2)_L, SU(3)_C$ gaugino masses, $A_0$ is the universal trilinear coupling, and $\tan \beta = \langle H_2 \rangle / \langle H_1 \rangle$ is the ratio of the Higgs VEVs. The analysis is done under the constraints of Higgs boson mass and the relic density constraints which requires coannihilation [138–140]. The analysis uses signatures involving a single charged lepton and jets, two charged leptons and jets and three charged leptons and jets, resulting from the decay of a gluino pair (points (a)-(f) of Table 2.4.1) and the decay of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ (points (g)-(j) of Table 2.4.1). It is found that most often the dominant signature is the single lepton and jets signature, indicated as SR-1$_{\ell}$-B or C in the figures, depending on the specific selections applied. Twelve different kinematic variables are used to discriminate the signal from the background. These consist of

$$N_{\text{jets}}, E_T^{\text{miss}}, H_T, m_{\text{eff}}, R, H_{20}, p_T(j_n), m_T, m_T^\text{min}(j_{1-2}, E_T^{\text{miss}})$$ (2.4.1)

where $N_{\text{jets}}$ is the number of jets, $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is the missing transverse energy, $H_T$ is the sum of the jets’ transverse momenta, $m_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective mass, $R = E_T^{\text{miss}} / (E_T^{\text{miss}} + p_T)$, $H_{20}$ is the second Fox-Wolfram moment, $p_T(j_n)$ is the $n^{\text{th}}$ jet transverse momentum, $m_T$ is the leading lepton transverse mass and $m_T^\text{min}(j_{1-2}, E_T^{\text{miss}})$ is the minimum of the transverse masses of the first and second leading jets. Finally, $p_T$ denotes the transverse momentum of the leading lepton.

The left panel of Fig. 2.4.1 exhibits four parameter points which are discoverable both at HE-LHC and at HL-LHC. Here one finds that the integrated luminosities needed for discovery at HL-LHC (blue bars) are 2-50 times larger than what is needed at HE-LHC (yellow bars). For these model points one finds that discovery would require an HL-LHC run between 5-8 years while the same parameter points can be discovered in a period of few weeks to $\sim 1.5$ yr at HE-LHC running at its optimal luminosity of...
$2.5 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The right panel of Fig. 2.4.1 gives a set of benchmarks which are not accessible at HL-LHC but will be visible at HE-LHC. We note that half of the benchmarks in the right panel of Fig. 2.4.1 can be discovered with less than $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity at HE-LHC with few months of running at its optimal luminosity. Considering points that are just beyond the HL-LHC reach, point (j) requires a run of $\sim 1.6 \text{ yr}$ while point (b) $\sim 3.5 \text{ weeks}$ for discovery at the HE-LHC. In summary, the analysis above indicates that a transition from HL-LHC to HE-LHC can aid in the discovery of supersymmetry for part of the parameter space accessible to both. Further to that, HE-LHC can explore significantly beyond the realm of the parameter space accessible to HL-LHC.

### 2.4.2 Natural SUSY at HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** H. Baer, V. Barger, J. Gainer, H. Serce, D. Sengupta, X. Tata

We present HL- and HE-LHC reach calculations for supersymmetry in models with light higgsinos. The light higgsino scenario is inspired by the requirement of naturalness in that if the superpotential (higgsino) mass parameter $\mu$ is much beyond the weak scale, then the weak scale soft term $m_{H_u}^2$ will have to be fine-tuned in order to maintain $m_{W,Z,h}$ at their measured mass values.

#### HL/HE-LHC reach for gluino pair production

In Ref. [141] we evaluated the reach of the HL-LHC for gluino pair production, assuming that $\tilde{g} \to \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1^*$ and $\tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^*$ or $t\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0$ and that the decay products of the higgsinos $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are essentially invisible. In Ref. [142] we computed the reach of HE-LHC for both gluinos and top squarks in the light higgsino scenario with $\sqrt{s} = 33 \text{ TeV}$. These results have been updated for HE-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 27 \text{ TeV}$ and $15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity in Ref. [143] where more details can be found. We use MADGRAPH [67] to generate gluino pair production events and SM backgrounds. We interface MADGRAPH with PYTHIA [68] for initial/final state showering, hadronisation and underlying event simulation. The DELPHES detector simulation [33] is used with specifications as listed in Ref. [142]. SM backgrounds include $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}b$, $ttt$, $ttZ$, $ttH$, $b\bar{b}Z$ and single top production. We require at least four high $p_T$ jets, with two or more identified as $b$-jets, no isolated leptons and large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ selections.

Results are shown in the left panel of Fig. 2.4.2 where we report the gluino pair production signal versus $m_{\tilde{g}}$ for a natural NUHM2 model line with parameter choice $m_0 = 5m_{1/2}$, $A_0 = -1.6m_0$, $m_A = m_{1/2}$, $\tan \beta = 10$ and $\mu = 150 \text{ GeV}$ with varying $m_{1/2}$. The results are not expected to be sensitive to this precise choice of parameters as long as first generation squarks are much heavier than...
gluinos. From the figure, we see that the 5σ discovery reach of HE-LHC extends to $m_{\tilde{g}} = 4.9$ TeV for 3 ab$^{-1}$ and to $m_{\tilde{g}} = 5.5$ TeV for 15 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. The corresponding 95% C.L. exclusion reaches extend to $m_{\tilde{g}} = 5.3$ TeV for 3 ab$^{-1}$ and to $m_{\tilde{g}} = 5.9$ TeV for 15 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. The impact of the theoretical uncertainties related to the total production rate of gluinos is not taken into account. For comparison, the 5σ discovery reach of LHC14 is (2.4) 2.8 TeV for an integrated luminosity of (300 fb$^{-1}$) 3 ab$^{-1}$ [141].

Top-squark pair production

In Ref. [142], the reach of a 33 TeV LHC upgrade for top-squark pair production was investigated. Here, we repeat the analysis but for updated LHC energy upgrade $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV. We use MADGRAPH [67] to generate top-squark pair production events within a simplified model where $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ at 50%, and $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0$ each at 25% branching fraction, which are typical of most SUSY models [144] with light higgsinos. The higgsino-like electroweakino masses are $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm},\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0} \simeq 150$ GeV. We also used MADGRAPH-PYTHIA-DELPHES for the same SM background processes as listed above for the gluino pair production case. We required at least two high $p_T$ b-jets, no isolated leptons and large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, see Ref. [143] for details.

Using these background rates for LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV, we compute the 5σ reach and 95% C.L. exclusion of HE-LHC for 3 and 15 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity using Poisson statistics. Our results are shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.4.2 along with the top-squark pair production cross section after cuts versus $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$. From the figure, we see the 5σ discovery reach of HE-LHC extends to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 2.8$ TeV for 3 ab$^{-1}$ and to 3.16 TeV for 15 ab$^{-1}$. The 95% C.L. exclusion limits extend to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 3.25$ TeV for 3 ab$^{-1}$ and to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 3.65$ TeV for 15 ab$^{-1}$. We checked that $S/B$ exceeds 0.8 whenever we deem the signal to be observable [143]. For comparison, the Atlas projected 95% C.L. LHC14 reach [145] for 3 ab$^{-1}$ extends to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \simeq 1.7$ TeV (see Section 2.1 for details) assuming $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^{0}$ decays.

Combined reach for stops and gluinos

In Fig. 2.4.3 we exhibit the gluino and top-squark reach values in the $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ vs. $m_{\tilde{g}}$ plane. We compare the reach of HL- and HE-LHC to values of gluino and stop masses (shown by the dots) in a variety of natural SUSY models defined to have $\Delta_{\text{EW}} < 30$ [146,147], including the two- and three-extra parameter non-universal Higgs models [149] (nNUHM2 and nNUHM3), natural generalised mirage mediation [150].

---

1 The onset of fine-tuning for larger values of $\Delta_{\text{EW}}$ is visually displayed in Ref. [148].
Fig. 2.4.3: Left: points in the $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ vs. $m_{\tilde{g}}$ plane from a scan over nNUHM2, nNUHM3, nGMM and nAMSB model parameter space. We compare to recent search limits from the ATLAS/CMS experiments (shaded regions) and show the projected reach of HL- and HE-LHC. Right: cross section for SSdB production after cuts versus wino mass at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. We show the $5\sigma$ and $95\%$ C.L. reach assuming a HL-LHC integrated luminosity of $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$.

(nGMM) and natural anomaly-mediation [151] (nAMSB). These models all allow for input of the SUSY $\mu$ parameter at values $\mu \sim 100 - 350$ GeV which is a necessary (though not sufficient) condition for naturalness in the MSSM.

The highlight of this figure is that at least one of the gluino or the stop should be discoverable at the HE-LHC. We also see that in natural SUSY models (with the exception of nAMSB), the highest values of $m_{\tilde{g}}$ coincide with the lowest values of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ while the highest top squark masses occur at the lowest gluino masses. Thus, a marginal signal in one channel (due to the sparticle mass being near their upper limit) should be accompanied by a robust signal in the other channel. Over most of the parameter range of weak scale natural SUSY there should be a $5\sigma$ signal in both the top-squark and gluino pair production channels at HE-LHC.

Same-sign diboson signature from wino pair production

The wino pair production reaction $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_\pm^0 \tilde{\chi}_0^0$ can occur at observable rates for SUSY models with light higgsinos. The decays $\tilde{\chi}_\pm^0 \rightarrow W^\pm \chi_{1,2}$ and $\chi_4 \rightarrow W^\pm \chi_3^-$ lead to final state dibosons which half the time give a relatively jet-free same-sign diboson signature (SSdB). This has only tiny SM backgrounds [89, 97, 152] and excellent prospects for discovery.

We have computed the reach of HL-LHC for the SSdB signature in Fig. 2.4.3 including $t\bar{t}, WZ, t\bar{t}W, t\bar{t}Z, t\bar{t}t, WW$ and $WWjj$ backgrounds. For LHC14 with $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, the $5\sigma$ reach extends to $m(\text{wino}) \sim 860$ GeV while the $95\%$ C.L. exclusion extends to $m(\text{wino}) \sim 1080$ GeV. In models with unified gaugino masses, these would correspond to a reach in terms of $M_{\tilde{g}}$ of 2.4 (3) TeV, respectively. These values are comparable to what LHC14 can achieve via gluino pair searches with $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$. The SSdB signature is distinctive for the case of SUSY models with light higgsinos.

While Fig. 2.4.3 presents the HL-LHC reach for SUSY in the SSdB channel, the corresponding reach of HE-LHC has not yet been computed. The SSdB signal arises via EW production, and the signal rates are expected to rise by a factor of a few by moving from $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV to $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV. In contrast, some of the strongly-produced SM backgrounds like $t\bar{t}$ production will rise by much larger factors. Thus, it is not yet clear whether the reach for SUSY in the SSdB channel will be increased by moving from HL-LHC to HE-LHC. We note though that other signals channels from wino decays to higgsinos plus a $W, Z$ and Higgs boson may offer further SUSY detection possibilities.
Fig. 2.4.4: Points in the $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$ vs. $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ plane from a scan over nNUHM2, nNUHM3, nGMM and nAMSB model parameter space. We compare to recent search limits from the ATLAS/CMS experiments and to future reach contours for HL-LHC.

**Higgsino pair production at LHC upgrades**

The four higgsino-like charginos $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and neutralinos $\tilde{\chi}_0^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are the only SUSY particles required by naturalness to lie near to the weak scale at $m_{\text{weak}} \sim 100$ GeV. In spite of their lightness, they are very challenging to detect at LHC. The lightest neutralino evidently comprises just a portion of dark matter [153], and if produced at LHC via $pp \to \tilde{\chi}_0^0 \tilde{\chi}_0^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_0^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_0^0$, could escape detection. This is because the decay products of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ are expected to be very soft, causing the signal to be well below SM processes like $WW$ and $t\bar{t}$ production. The monojet signal arising from initial state radiation (ISR) $pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^j, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^j$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\mp j$ has been evaluated in Ref. [154] and was found to have similar shape distributions to the dominant $pp \to Zj$ background but with background levels about 100 times larger than signal. However, at HE-LHC harder monojet-like selections may be possible [155], and generic prospects studies are presented in Section 3.1 of this report.

A way forward has been proposed via the $pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^j$ channel where $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \ell^+\ell^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0$: a soft opposite-sign dilepton pair recoils against a hard initial state jet radiation which serves as a trigger [156]. Experimental prospect searches presented in Section 2.2.5 by ATLAS and CMS exploit this kind of signature. The projected reach for $5\sigma$ and 95% C.L. reach at the HL-LHC with 3 ab$^{-1}$ in $\ell^+\ell^- E_T^{\text{miss}}$ final state events are shown in the $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$ vs. $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ plane in Fig. 2.4.4. The ATLAS and CMS experiments contours are shown as the yellow, green, purple and red dashed contours. We see that these contours can probe considerably more parameter space although some of natural SUSY parameter space (shown by dots for the same set of models as in Fig. 2.4.3) might lie beyond these projected reaches. So far, reach contours for HE-LHC in this search channel have not been computed but it is again anticipated that HE-LHC will not be greatly beneficial here since $pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ is an EW production process so the signal cross section will increase only marginally while SM background processes like $t\bar{t}$ production will increase substantially.

It is imperative that future search channels try to squeeze their reach to the lowest $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ mass gaps which are favoured to lie in the $3 - 5$ GeV region for string landscape projections [157] of SUSY mass spectra. The Atlas red-dashed contour appears to go a long way in this regard, though the corresponding $5\sigma$ reach is considerably smaller.

**Summary**

We have delineated the reach of the HE-LHC and compared it to the corresponding reach of the HL-LHC for SUSY models with light higgsinos, expected in a variety of natural SUSY models. While the HL-
LHC increases the SUSY search range, it appears that the HE-LHC will definitively probe natural SUSY models with $\Delta_{EW} < 30$ via a 5$\sigma$ discovery of at least one of the top squark or the gluino (likely even both), possibly also with signals in other channels.

### 2.4.3 The pMSSM at HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi

The phenomenological MSSM (pMSSM) [158], contains 20 free parameters, and is the most general CP and R-parity conserving MSSM scenario with minimal flavour violation. It was introduced in order to reduce the theoretical prejudices of the constrained MSSM scenarios. In the following, we consider the case where the lightest neutralino is the LSP and can constitute part or all of the dark matter. Technical details concerning the pMSSM scans and software required for the presented analyses can be found in Ref. [159, 160].

**SUSY and Higgs searches:**

The direct SUSY searches at the LHC are extremely powerful in probing the strongly interacting sector of the MSSM. Nevertheless, scenarios with compressed spectra or with long decay chains can escape the current searches and remain challenging. In the pMSSM, such cases are not rare, and thus the complementary information from other sectors can be of interest. In particular the Higgs sector, namely the measurement of the couplings of the lightest Higgs boson as well as searches for heavier states can unveil additional MSSM phase space [32], especially during HL and HE runs of the LHC.

In the extended Higgs sector of the MSSM, the couplings of the lightest Higgs boson to up- and down-type quarks are modified by terms inversely proportional to the CP-odd $A$ boson mass as $2M_Z^2/M_A^2 \tan^2 \beta$ and $2M_Z^2/M_A^2$ respectively, providing an indirect sensitivity to the scale of $M_A$, if deviations in the branching fractions to up- and down-type quarks are detected, or a lower bound on $M_A$, if the coupling properties agree with the SM predictions. At present, the direct sensitivity to the $A$ (and $H$) boson at the LHC comes mostly from the $pp \rightarrow A/H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ process. On the other hand, the $bbH$ associate production and gluon fusion processes decrease the total cross section with $\tan \beta$ up to the point where the $b$-quark loops take over and the cross section increases. For $\tan \beta < 10$, the decay branching fraction is proportional to $\tan ^{3} \beta$. Thus, the bounds from the $\tau \tau$ final state become particularly strong for large values of $\tan ^{3} \beta$ but quite unconstrained for $\tan ^{3} \beta \simeq 10$.

The modification of the Higgs couplings to fermions induced by loops of strongly interacting SUSY particles, namely the $\Delta_b$ correction in the Higgs coupling to $b\bar{b}$ is of special importance, as the SUSY contribution scales with $\mu \tan \beta M_b/M_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{t}}$. Since the value of $\mu \tan \beta$ can be much larger than the mass of the SUSY particles in the denominator, the SUSY strongly interacting sector does not decouple. Therefore, the study of the Higgs branching fractions, or the Higgs signal strengths, can unravel SUSY scenarios with strongly interacting particles at masses well beyond the kinematic reach of the LHC [161].

The sensitivity to SUSY mass scales is summarised in Fig. 2.4.5, which gives a comparison of the direct and indirect sensitivity to $M_A$ with the mass of the gluino and squarks of the third generation, as a function of the different energies and integrated luminosities of the LHC. Direct searches are accounted for by implementing LHC Run-1 searches in jets + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ [162, 163], jets + leptons + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ [164–166], leptons + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ [85, 167] and monojets [168, 169]. Signal selection cuts corresponding to each of the analyses are applied to these simulated signal events. The number of SM background events in the signal regions are taken from the estimates reported by the experiments. Results are projected to 14 TeV for 300 fb$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, by generating events at 14 TeV and rescaling the 8 TeV backgrounds by the corresponding increase in cross section and signal cut acceptance at the higher energy [170]. The use of 7 + 8 TeV analyses at the higher energy ensures a constant scaling for the various energy and integrated luminosity conditions considered here. In addition, the constraints from the Higgs signal strengths for the $\gamma\gamma$, $WW$, $ZZ$, $\tau\tau$ and $bb$ channels have been added. Here we
Fig. 2.4.5: Sensitivity to the mass of the CP-odd $A$ boson (left) and the lightest state among $\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{t}$ (right) as a function of the energy and luminosity of the LHC, in the pMSSM. The 95% C.L. exclusion range, when the MSSM parameters are varied, are given for the direct search by the continuous line and for the indirect constraints from the $h$ decay properties by the filled bars (from Ref. [161]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LHC8 – 25 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>LHC14 – 50 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>LHC14 – 300 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>HL-LHC – 3 ab$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$j\nu+\ell+\text{MET}$</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+h\mu\nu$</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4.2: Fractions of pMSSM points excluded by the combination of LHC MET searches, and the LHC Higgs data.

Assume SM-like central values and evolve the statistical uncertainties according to the increase of signal events with energy and integrated luminosity [171] following the same procedures as in Ref. [161]. Table 2.4.2 summarises the fraction of pMSSM points with SUSY masses up to 5 TeV excluded by the LHC searches based on $E_T^{\text{miss}}$+jets signatures, and by the addition of the Higgs data.

Monojets

Monojet searches remain a powerful tool for discovery at $pp$ colliders of increasing energy and luminosity and specific prospects for WIMP searches using this signature are presented in this report (Section 3). Beyond those scenarios, the monojet signature can be sensitive to specific MSSM scenarios such as decays with two gluinos or scalar tops and an ISR hard jet, when the scalar top decays are soft enough for the event to be classified as monojet-like. Expanding on the work of [172], we consider here these monojet-like signatures at $\sqrt{s}$ energies of 8, 13, 14 and 27 TeV for two different pMSSM scenarios featuring a light gluino and a light bino neutralino with a mass splitting of 10 GeV, and a light stop and bino-wino neutralino and chargino with a mass splitting slightly smaller than the top quark mass so that the stop decays into three soft jets and the lightest neutralino.

The mass splittings have been chosen to maximise the number of monojet events, and also to ensure the consistency with the dark matter relic density constraint which requires small mass splittings for co-annihilations. It is instructive to consider the scaling of the product of the monojet production cross section times efficiency with respect to the neutralino mass and the collider energy. The acceptance is defined by $\sqrt{s}$-dependent lower cuts on the jet $p_T$ and missing energy ($E_T^{\text{miss}}$), scaled from early LHC monojet and monojet-like analyses [168,169] as discussed in details in Ref. [172]. The results are shown in Fig. 2.4.6. Although the change in cross section times efficiency from 8 to 14 TeV as a function of the mass has been relatively small, the increase in mass coverage afforded by 14 TeV is very significant. This motivates a possible further increase of the energy up to 27 TeV, and beyond.
Fig. 2.4.6: Monojet production cross section times acceptance and efficiency as a function of the neutralino mass, for scenarios with a gluino (left) and a stop (right) with small mass splittings with the neutralino LSP. The different curves correspond to \( \sqrt{s} = 8, 13, 14 \) and 27 TeV LHC c.o.m. energies. The green vertical dashed line corresponds to an indicative exclusion limit by the LHC Run-1, the light (dark) blue line to a prospective limit for the LHC 14 TeV run with 300 fb\(^{-1} \) (3 ab\(^{-1} \)) of data, and the red line to a potential limit at 27 TeV with 15 ab\(^{-1} \) of data.

2.4.4 \( Z' \) bosons in supersymmetric and leptophobic scenarios at HL- and HE-LHC

_Contributors: J. Y. Araz, G. Corcella, M. Frank, B. Fuks_

Searching for heavy neutral vector bosons \( Z' \) is one of the challenging objectives of the LHC. Such heavy bosons are predicted by \( U(1)' \) models inspired by Grand Unification Theories (GUT) as well as by the Sequential Standard Model (SSM), one of the simplest extensions of the SM wherein \( Z' \) and possible \( W' \) bosons have the same couplings as the SM \( Z \) and \( W \). The LHC experiments have searched for \( Z' \) signals by exploring high-mass dilepton and dijet systems and have set exclusion limits of a few TeV on the \( Z' \) mass. For studies of \( Z' \)-bosons at HL/HE-LHC see Section 6.

While such analyses have assumed that the \( Z' \)-boson can only decay into SM channels, recent investigations (see, e.g., Ref. [173, 174]) have considered the possibility that the \( Z' \)-boson could decay according to modes BSM, like for instance in supersymmetric final states in the so-called UMSSM framework. From the MSSM viewpoint, extending it via a \( U(1)' \) group has the advantage that the extra symmetry forbids a too quick proton decay and allows to stabilise all particle masses with respect to quantum corrections. Regarding the searches, assuming BSM decays lowers the rates into lepton and quark pairs, and therefore milder exclusion limits are to be expected.

Unlike direct sparticle production in \( pp \) collisions, the \( Z' \) mass sets one further kinematic constrain on the invariant masses of the produced supersymmetric particles. Furthermore, as will be discussed in the following, there are realisations of the \( U(1)' \) symmetry wherein, due to the kinetic mixing with the SM \( U(1) \) group, the \( Z' \) is leptophobic. Leptonic final states can therefore occur, in the UMSSM, only through supersymmetric cascades. Such scenarios avoid the present dilepton bounds and may well be worth to be investigated, especially in the high-luminosity LHC phase.

In what follows we shall review the theoretical framework of our exploration, present some phenomenological results at the HL-LHC and then some final remarks will be given.

Grand-unified theories are based on a rank-6 group \( E_6 \), where the symmetry-breaking scheme proceeds via multiple steps:

\[
E_6 \rightarrow SO(10) \otimes U(1)_\psi \rightarrow SU(5) \otimes U(1)_\chi \otimes U(1)_\psi \rightarrow SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)' \quad (2.4.2)
\]

The \( U(1)' \) symmetry surviving at the EW scale can be expressed as a combination of \( U(1)_\chi \) and \( U(1)_\psi \),

\[
U(1)' = U(1)_\psi \cos \theta - U(1)_\chi \sin \theta,
\]

where \( \theta \) is the \( E_6 \) mixing angle. The neutral vector bosons associated with \( U(1)_\psi \) and \( U(1)_\chi \) are called \( Z'_{\psi} \) and \( Z'_{\chi} \), while a generic \( Z' \) is given by their mixing.
We investigate possible $Z'$ supersymmetric decays in the UMSSM. As for the particle content of the UMSSM after EWSB, one is left in the Higgs sector with two charged $H^\pm$ and four neutral scalar bosons, namely one pseudoscalar $A$ and three neutral scalars $h$, $H$, and $H'$, where $h$ and $H$ are MSSM-like, with $h$ roughly corresponding to the SM Higgs, and $H'$ is a new singlet-like Higgs boson related to the extra $U(1)'.$ In the gaugino sector, one has two extra neutralinos with respect to the MSSM, related to the supersymmetric partners of $Z'$ and $H'$, for a total of six $\chi^0_1, \ldots, \chi^0_6$ neutralinos. The chargino sector is unchanged, since the $Z'$ is electrically neutral.

It was found in Ref. [175] that the very inclusion of supersymmetric modes lowers the exclusion limits on $M_{Z'}$ obtained from the analysis of the dilepton channels by about $200-300$ GeV, depending on the $U(1)'$ model, while searches relying on the dijet mode, which already exhibit milder limits, are basically unconstraining once BSM channels are accounted for.

In the present investigation, the mixing between the new $U(1)'$ and the SM groups plays a crucial role. First, there could be some mass mixing between the $Z$ and $Z'$ eigenstates parametrised by a mixing angle $\alpha_{ZZ'}$, which is nevertheless constrained by the EW precision tests (EWPT) to be very small [176]. Then, the $Z$ and $Z'$ can kinematically mix through an angle $\chi$, which modifies the interaction term between the $Z'$ and the fermions. In detail, after accounting for the kinetic mixing, the interaction of the $Z'$ with a fermion $\psi_i$ having charges $Y_i$ and $Q_i'$ under $U(1)'$ and $U(1)'$ is given by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -g' \tilde{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \tilde{Q}_i Z'_\mu \psi_i,$$

(2.4.3)

where $\tilde{Q}_i = \frac{Q_i'}{\cos \chi} - \frac{2}{g} Y_i \tan \chi$ is the modified fermion $U(1)'$ charge after kinetic mixing and $g'$ is the $U(1)'$ coupling constant. Leptophobic scenarios can hence be obtained by requiring $\tilde{Q}_i = 0$ for both left- and right-handed leptons, i.e., $\tilde{Q}_L = \tilde{Q}_E = 0$ [177]. As discussed in Ref. [175], the leptophobic condition can be naturally achieved for the model labelled as $U(1)'_n$, corresponding to an $E_6$ mixing angle $\theta = \arccos \sqrt{5/8}$. Furthermore, using the typical GUT-inspired proportionality relation between the coupling constants of $U(1)$ and $U(1)'$, $g' = \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} g_1$, the leptophobic condition is realised for $\sin \chi \approx -0.3$. As pointed out in Ref. [175], this relation can be defined either at the $Z'$ mass scale, i.e. O(TeV), or at the GUT scale. Imposing unification at the $Z'$ scale clearly yields a higher value of $g'$ and hence a larger $Z'$ production cross section at the LHC. In the following, we assume unification at the TeV scale.

Following [175], two UMSSM benchmark points are considered for this study, denoted by BM I and BM II, consistent with the current experimental data and featuring a leptophobic $Z'$. In both cases the $Z'$ mass is set to $M_{Z'} = 2.5$ TeV. In Table 2.4.3, the relevant parameters for these reference points are reported: the particle mass spectrum can be calculated by using the SARA H code [116] and its interface with SPheno [178]. The particle masses and the decay tables of BMI and BMMI have been given in Ref. [175] and we do not quote them here for the sake of brevity. The $Z'$ BRs into BSM final states are of about 12% for BM I and 15% for BM II. In particular, the BR of the $Z'$ into chargino pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$\tan \beta$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$ [GeV]</th>
<th>$M_{Z'}$ [TeV]</th>
<th>$M_0$ [TeV]</th>
<th>$M_1$ [GeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM I</td>
<td>$-0.79 \pi$</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>106.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM II</td>
<td>0.2 $\pi$</td>
<td>16.08</td>
<td>345.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>186.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM I</td>
<td>230.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$-0.35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM II</td>
<td>545.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>551.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4.3: UMSSM parameters for the reference points BM I and BM II.
\( \tilde{\chi}^+_1 \tilde{\chi}_1^- \) is about 2\% in BM I and 6\% in BM II.

Since in BM I and BM II the mass splitting between the lightest charginos and neutralinos is larger than the \( W \) mass (\( M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \simeq 177 \text{ GeV} \) and \( M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \simeq 95 \text{ GeV} \) in BM I, \( M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \simeq 344 \text{ GeV} \) and \( M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \simeq 178 \text{ GeV} \) in BM II), then \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \) can undergo the transition \( \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) with real \( W \)-bosons. As a case study of a leptophobic \( Z' \) in the UMSSM at the HL-LHC, we then explore the decay chain

\[
pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- E_T, \tag{2.4.4}
\]

where we have assumed that both \( W \)-bosons decay leptonically and \( E_T \) is the missing transverse energy carried away by final-state neutrinos and neutralinos. The amplitudes of the process (2.4.4) have been computed at the NLO accuracy by means of \textsc{MadGraph5}_\textsc{aMC@NLO} \cite{Alwall:2011uj}, yielding a cross section of about \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow Z') \simeq 120 \text{ pb} \). In our phenomenological study, parton showers and hadronisation are provided by \textsc{Pythia} 8 \cite{Sjostrand:2007gs}, with the response of a typical LHC detector modelled according to the \textsc{Delphes} 3 package \cite{deFavereau:2013fsa} (version 3.3.2), the detector parameterisation being the one provided by Snowmass \cite{Field:2013xqa,Brivio:2013vra}. While the default mean number of pile-up events is in this case of \( 140 \) and thus a bit low, our analysis essentially relies on very hard isolated leptons (with transverse momenta larger than \( 200 \text{ GeV} \)) and a large amount of missing energy (greater than \( 100 \text{ GeV} \)) which are expected to only be slightly affected by the differences. Jets are clustered following the anti-\( k_T \) algorithm \cite{Cacciari:2008gp} with a radius parameter \( R = 0.6 \), as implemented in the \textsc{FastJet} program (version 3.1.3) \cite{Cacciari:2011ma}.

As backgrounds to our signal, we consider single vector-boson (V) and vector-boson pair (VV) production, possibly accompanied by jets, top-quark pairs and single-top events. Our results concern \( pp \) collisions at \( 14 \text{ TeV} \) and an integrated luminosity of \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \), which corresponds to the HL-LHC. We require two charged muons in the final state at an invariant opening angle \( \Delta R \) larger than \( 2.5 \) and take into account only isolated muons, with an activity of at most 15\% of the muon transverse momentum lying in a cone of radius 0.4 centred on the muon; also, we set cuts of 300 \text{ GeV} and 200 \text{ GeV} on the hardest and next-to-hardest lepton and force the missing transverse momentum to be above 100 \text{ GeV}. Setting such cuts, we are able to separate the signal from the background with significances \( s \) and \( Z_A \), defined by

\[
s = \frac{S}{\sqrt{B + \sigma_B^2}}, \quad Z_A = \sqrt{2 \left( (S + B) \ln \frac{(S + B)(S + \sigma_B^2)}{B^2 + (S + B)\sigma_B^2} - \frac{B^2}{\sigma_B^2} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{\sigma_B S}{B(B + \sigma_B^2)} \right] \right)}, \tag{2.4.5}
\]

\[
\int_{l_1} \Delta \sigma = \int_{l_1} \frac{d^2 \hat{s}}{d \cos \theta} = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ fb}, \quad L dt = 3 \times 10^3 \text{ fb}
\]

\( s \) is the signal strength and \( Z_A \) is the significance of the signal relative to the background. The integration limits \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are chosen such that the signal contribution is maximized. The significance \( s \) is calculated using the ratio of the signal and background cross sections, while the significance \( Z_A \) is calculated using the ratio of the signal and background cross sections, minus the background cross section, divided by the square root of the background cross section. The significance \( Z_A \) is used to determine the significance of the signal relative to the background.
Fig. 2.4.8: Significances $s$ (right) and $Z_A$ (left) to discover a leptophobic $Z'$ boson decaying into charginos as a function of its mass $M_{Z'}$, for a few values of luminosity and systematic error on the SM background. All results are obtained for a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV (top) and $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV (bottom).

varying between $3\sigma$ and $7\sigma$. Besides the total number of events, one can explore differential distributions, such as the leading-lepton transverse momentum or the missing transverse energy presented in Fig. 2.4.7. As for the $p_T(l_1)$ spectrum (left), all four considered backgrounds contribute at small $p_T$, while above 100 GeV only $VV$ and $t\bar{t}$ events survive. The signal spectra are broad and below the backgrounds at low transverse momentum, whereas, for $p_T(l_1) > 300$ GeV, both BM I and BM II signals are competitive with the background. For even larger $p_T$, say $p_T(l_1) > 500$ GeV, muons coming from supersymmetric decays of a leptophobic $Z'$ become dominant, especially for the reference point BM II. In Fig. 2.4.7 (right) we present the missing transverse energy, due to the lightest neutralinos $\tilde{\chi}_0^1$ in the signal and to neutrinos in the backgrounds, after all cuts are imposed. Our $E_T$ signal spectra are well above the backgrounds due to $VV$ and $t\bar{t}$ production. The BM II scenario, in particular, is capable of yielding a few events up to $E_T \approx 600$ GeV, while all backgrounds are negligible for $E_T > 400$ GeV.

We present projections for proton-proton collisions at 14 TeV and 27 TeV as functions of the luminosity, $M_{Z'}$ and different assumptions for the systematic uncertainties on the background. We consider a leptophobic $Z'$ decaying into charginos and refer to the BM II reference point as it turns out to be the more promising setup for a possible discovery of a $Z'$ boson in supersymmetric and leptophobic scenarios. In Fig. 2.4.8 we show the significances $s$ (left) and $Z_A$ (right) at 14 TeV (up) and 27 TeV (down), for a $Z'$ mass in the $1.5$ TeV $< M_{Z'} < 4$ TeV mass window. In each figure, we consider two luminosity options chosen to be $300$ fb$^{-1}$ and $3$ ab$^{-1}$ for a collisions at a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV, and $3$ ab$^{-1}$ and 15 ab$^{-1}$ for 27 TeV collisions. We moreover allow the systematics $\sigma_B$ on the SM background to vary from 10% to 30% of the background itself.
It turns out that with 300 fb$^{-1}$ of 14 TeV collisions, leptophobic $Z'$ with masses ranging up to 2.3 TeV could be discovered (i.e. $s \geq 5\sigma$) regardless of the assumption on the systematic errors (Fig. 2.4.8, top left). In the high-luminosity phase of the LHC, with a luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$, the lower bound on the $Z'$ mass increases to 2.6 TeV (for $\sigma_B = 0.3B$) or 2.8 TeV (for more optimistic systematics of $\sigma_B = 0.1B$). In terms of $Z_A$, the discovery reach is reduced to $M_{Z'} = 2$ TeV for 300 fb$^{-1}$, again independently of any assumption on the background systematics $\sigma_B$, and to $2.5 \text{ TeV} < M_{Z'} < 2.7 \text{ TeV}$ for 3 ab$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2.4.8, top right).

In the high-energy phase of the LHC at 27 TeV (Fig. 2.4.8 bottom), a visible $Z'$ signal can be obviously obtained even for much higher masses. In detail, a significance of $s = 5\sigma$ can be reached for $Z'$ masses ranging up to $3.8 - 4$ TeV at 27 TeV and for a luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$. The limits are slightly worse for a reduced luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ and then reach $3.5 - 3.7$ TeV. Using in contrast a more conservative definition of the significance $Z_A$, we obtain a reach of $3.7 - 4$ TeV for 15 ab$^{-1}$ and only of $3.2 - 3.5$ TeV for a luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$.

We explored possible loop-holes in GUT-inspired $Z'$ searches at the LHC by investigating supersymmetric and leptophobic models, finding that the inclusion of BSM decay modes lowers the exclusion limits on $M_{Z'}$ in dilepton final states by a few hundred GeV and that the limits from dijets can be evaded as well. In leptophobic models, only supersymmetric $Z'$ decay chains can give rise to charged leptons. As a case study, we considered the decay of a leptophobic $Z'$ with mass $M_{Z'} = 2.5$ TeV into charginos, leading to final states with leptons and missing energy. We chose two benchmark points in the UMSSM parameter space and found that both yield a substantial signal at LHC, which one can separate from the background with a sensitivity between $3\sigma$ and $7\sigma$ at 14 TeV and 3 ab$^{-1}$. We finally investigated the reach of the high-luminosity and high-energy LHC runs in terms of the $Z'$ mass and systematic uncertainty on the background. We found that at 14 TeV and 3 ab$^{-1}$ a leptophobic $Z'$ can be discovered with a significance about $5\sigma$ for a mass $M_{Z'} < 2.8$ TeV, while at 27 TeV and 15 ab$^{-1}$ one can explore leptophobic and supersymmetric $Z'$ models up to about $M_{Z'} \approx 4$ TeV. These result make therefore the investigation of such scenarios both feasible and worthwhile at HL- and HE-LHC.
3 Dark Matter and Dark Sectors Searches

There is now overwhelming evidence for the existence of a new matter component of the universe, dark matter (DM). Precision measurements of the cosmic microwave background and gravitational lensing measurements confirm the presence of this non-luminous matter. Through its gravitational interactions we know that dark matter makes up \( \sim 26\% \) of the matter-energy budget of the universe, and that the stars of the Milky Way move inside a far larger, approximately spherical, dark matter halo. However, the nature and properties of DM remain largely unknown. Searches for DM particles are performed using multiple, complementary, approaches: the measurement of elastic scattering of DM with nuclei and electrons in a detector (direct detection) \[181\], the detection of SM particles produced in the annihilations or decays of DM in the universe (indirect detection) \[182–186\], the study of the effect of DM self interactions on astrophysical systems \[187\], and the production of DM particles at colliders \[188, 189\]. The latter process is the focus of this section.

These various approaches are very complementary in nature. For instance, the first three techniques all require relic DM to interact and thus suffer from uncertainties related to our knowledge of DM’s distribution whereas the production of DM at colliders does not, but is instead limited by the kinematic reach of the machine. By combining the results of all search techniques we gain a deeper understanding of the nature of dark matter.

Dark Matter production by itself does not lead to an observable signal at hadronic machines, where the total c.o.m. energy of the collision is not known. Instead if the DM system recoils against visible activity it can be searched for as missing transverse energy and momentum. We catalogue the search strategies for DM composed of a by what this visible activity is, and report on prospective DM studies in Section 3.1 to Section 3.3, while Section 3.4 is focused on searches for light vector bosons associated with forces in the dark sector. In particular, if this dark sector force is abelian then the associated “dark photon” can kinetically mix with the \( U(1) \) in the SM, leading to lepton pairs, possibly with displacement, or missing energy signals.

DM production in association with a high \( p_T \) jet is presented in Section 3.1, with sensitivities depending on the careful assessment of systematics. This channel is a useful probe of DM production through the exchange of a neutral mediator that couples to the SM. It may also be produced in the decay of an exotic coloured state. Furthermore, compressed SUSY scenarios, such as higgsino or wino DM, can be probed through the monojet signature.

DM production in association with heavy flavour quarks is presented in Section 3.2. The HL-LHC will improve the sensitivity to mediator masses by a factor of 3-8 relative to the Run-2 searches with \( 36 \text{ fb}^{-1} \), while HE-LHC will extend the coverage to otherwise inaccessible regions of the parameter space. The case of 2HDMa models is complemented by 4-top final states at HL-LHC, searched in events with two same-charge leptons, or with at least three leptons. While searches using \( 36 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) Run-2 data have limited sensitivity considering the most favourable signal scenarios (e.g. \( \tan \beta = 0.5 \)), HL-LHC will probe possible evidence of a signal with \( \tan \beta = 1 \), \( m_H = 600 \text{ GeV} \) and mixing angle of \( \sin \theta = 0.35 \), assuming \( m_a \) masses between 400 GeV and 1 TeV, and will allow exclusion for all \( 200 \text{ GeV} < m_a < 1 \text{ TeV} \).

Prospect studies where DM is produced in association with, or through interactions with, EW gauge bosons are reported in Section 3.3. Compressed SUSY scenarios, as well as other DM models, can be targeted using signatures such as mono-photon and vector-boson-fusion (VBF) production, in addition to the classic monojet channel. We show that mono-photon and VBF events allow targeting an EW fermionic triplet (minimal DM), equivalent to a wino-like signature in SUSY, for which there is no sensitivity in Run-2 searches with \( 36 \text{ fb}^{-1} \). Masses of the \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) up to 310 GeV (130 GeV) can be excluded by the mono-photon (VBF) channel, with improvements being possible, reducing the theoretical uncertainties. Projections for searches for a mono-\( Z \) signature with \( Z \to \ell^+\ell^− \) recoiling against missing \( E_T \), have been interpreted in terms of models with a spin-1 mediator and 2HDMa models. The exclusion is
expected for mediator masses up to 1.5 TeV, and for DM and pseudoscalar masses up to 600 GeV, a factor of $\sim 3$ better than the 36 fb$^{-1}$ Run-2 constraints. The potential to target Higgs portal models and prospects for the HL- and HE-LHC to probe viable multi-TeV dark matter are also presented.

Simple DM models consist of a DM particle and a mediator that couples it to the SM. The DM may, however, sit in a larger hidden (or dark) sector with additional new states and new interactions. If these interactions include a light $U(1)$ gauge boson, $A'$, this dark photon may mix, through the kinetic-mixing portal, with the SM photon leading to interesting collider signatures. In Section 3.4, searches for dark photons under various hypotheses of dark sectors are presented. Prospects for an inclusive search for dark photons decaying into muon or electron pairs indicate that the HL-LHC could cover a large fraction of the theoretically favoured $\epsilon - m_{A'}$ space, where $\epsilon$ is the size of the kinetic mixing between the photon and the dark photon.

3.1 Dark Matter and Jets

If DM is produced in association with QCD activity it is typically searched for in the monojet channel. The DM may be produced through a SM neutral mediator, see Section 3.1.1, or it may be produced as the decay product of a new heavy coloured state, see Section 3.1.2. Monojet-like signatures can be exploited to search for higgsinos and winos in SUSY, see Section 3.1.3. If the parent state that decays to DM is charged and relatively long lived it is more efficient to search in the disappearing track topology. Additional discussion of disappearing track analyses, in the context of long lived particle searches, can be found in Section 4.1.

3.1.1 Studies on the sensitivity to Dark Matter of the monojet channel at HL-LHC

Contributors: G. Frattari, V. Ippolito, G. Gustavino, J. Stupak, ATLAS

The goal of this study, detailed in [190], is to evaluate the impact of different assumed systematic uncertainty scenarios on the expected sensitivity to WIMP Dark Matter in the jet+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ channel, based on the extrapolation to higher luminosity of the limits published by the ATLAS Collaboration with 36. fb$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions at a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [191]. The WIMPs escape the detector giving rise to large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ arising if they recoil against a jet from initial state radiation (ISR) off the colliding partons, leading to the so-called monojet topology. The $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ in this study is calculated treating electrons and muons as invisible particles. The strategy pursued takes signal and background $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ distributions from the Run-2 ATLAS data analysis and scales them to 300 fb$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$, exploring various scenarios for the scaling of the systematic uncertainties.

The dominant backgrounds for the 13 TeV data analysis come from W/Z+jets processes. These backgrounds are estimated using MC samples generated with SHERPA 2.2.1. MC samples are re-weighted to account for higher-order QCD and EW corrections following the procedure described in Ref. [192] and are normalised in dedicated control regions (CR) described below. Sub-leading backgrounds consist of $t\bar{t}$ and single-top production, which are generated via POWHEG-BOX v2 and showered with PYTHIA 8, and diboson processes, which are taken from SHERPA. The benchmark signal process is generated for WIMP masses in the range 1 GeV − 1 TeV and mediator masses in the range 10 GeV − 10 TeV using POWHEG-BOX v2 with the DMV model [193], assuming mediator couplings to the quark and WIMP of $g_q = 0.25$ and $g_\chi = 1$, respectively. The small multijet and non-collision backgrounds, which are estimated from data in the 13 TeV analysis, are neglected.

The event selection follows that applied in the 13 TeV analysis and selects events with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 250$ GeV in association with at least one high-$p_T$ jet. Up to three additional jets are allowed and all jets are required to be well separated from the missing transverse momentum direction in azimuth. The signal region is required to contain no reconstructed electron or muon. Four additional CRs to isolate the dominant backgrounds are defined based on the number and type of leptons. Events with exactly one muon, no other leptons, and transverse mass in the 30–100 GeV range form the $W$+jets
The projection for high luminosity proceeds as follows:

- The $E_T^{miss}$ distributions for signal and the main backgrounds from the 13 TeV data analysis in Run-2 are scaled from 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ to 3 ab$^{-1}$. The increased statistics achieved by the higher luminosity allows the discriminant to be binned more finely, increasing the number of $E_T^{miss}$ bins from 10 in the recent data analysis to 17 for the high-luminosity projection. The lower end of the last $E_T^{miss}$ bin, 1.6 TeV, is chosen in order to keep a similar level of uncertainty on signal and control region as for the Run-2 search. This turns into an improvement of about 100 GeV in the projected mediator mass reach.

- The background distributions are further scaled up by a factor of 1.27 (1.06) for $Z/W$+jets ($t\bar{t}$ and single top) in order to reflect the observation in the CRs of the Run-2 data analysis.

- No correction is made for the increase in the c.o.m. energy to 14 TeV at the HL-LHC since the dedicated NLO QCD and EW corrections for the main $W/Z$+jets background are not available. Given the signal cross-section would be expected to increase by 20−40%, while the dominant $W/Z$+jets background cross section will increase by 10−15%, this leads to a conservative estimate of the potential and the actual sensitivity will be slightly better than estimated here.

In Ref. [191], the main background experimental uncertainties for $E_T^{miss} > 250$ GeV (> 1 TeV) are related to the leptons (jet and $E_T^{miss}$ scales and resolution), amounting up to 1.7% (5.3%), while the main background theoretical uncertainties are related to the $W/Z$ parton shower modelling and PDF ($W/Z$ QCD and EW corrections), amounting to 0.8% (2%). The signal predictions are mainly affected

\[ \text{(t\bar{t}) CR if they have zero (at least one) } b \text{-tagged jet. A second } W+\text{jets CR is built by requiring exactly one electron, no other leptons, and the same transverse mass requirement. Finally, events with 2 muons with an invariant mass consistent with the } Z \text{ boson form the } Z+\text{jets CR. A simultaneous, binned likelihood fit of a signal plus background model to the simulated } E_T^{miss} \text{ distributions of the analysis regions is performed. The signal normalisation and two additional normalisation factors, one which rescales the prediction for processes containing } Z \text{ and } W \text{ bosons produced in association with jets, and one for } t\bar{t} \text{ and single-top production, are free parameters of the fit. Nuisance parameters with Gaussian constraints are used to describe the effect of systematic uncertainties on the signal and background } E_T^{miss} \text{ distributions. Correlations of systematic uncertainties across } E_T^{miss} \text{ bins are taken into account.} \]
by jet and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ scale and resolution uncertainties on the experimental side, and on the theory side by initial/final-state radiation and PDF uncertainties. In the high-luminosity projection, three different systematic uncertainty scenarios are tested to reflect the possible improvements in detector performance and in the theoretical modelling of signal and background processes, which could be achieved in the next years thanks to the foreseen detector upgrades and to progress in QCD and EW calculations:

- standard: same uncertainties as in Ref. [191];
- reduced by factor 2: all pre-fit signal and background uncertainties are reduced by a factor two;
- reduced by factor 4: all pre-fit signal and background uncertainties are reduced by a factor four;

The projected exclusion limits with a luminosity of $3\text{ ab}^{-1}$ for these three scenarios are plotted in the $(m_\chi, m_{Z_A})$ mass plane in Fig. 3.1.1 (left), where $\chi$ is the WIMP DM candidate and $Z_A$ the axial-vector mediator. The 95% C.L. exclusion contour for $m_\chi = 1\text{ GeV}$ can be up to $m_{Z_A} = 2.65\text{ TeV}$, assuming the same uncertainties as in Ref. [191]. The excluded region that can be obtained by reducing by a factor two (four) all systematic uncertainties reaches, for low $m_\chi$, mediator masses of about $2.77\ (2.88)\text{ TeV}$. Small differences between systematic uncertainty scenarios are observed when approaching the region where the decay of the mediator in two WIMPs is off-shell ($m_{Z_A} < 2m_\chi$), due to the decrease of the signal cross-section. The discovery contours at 3 and 5σ are shown in Fig. 3.1.1 (right): for $m_\chi = 1\text{ GeV}$, a background incompatibility greater than 5σ would be reached for $m_{Z_A} = 2.25\text{ TeV}$, $2.38\text{ TeV}$ and $2.52\text{ TeV}$ assuming the same uncertainties as in Ref. [191], the scenario obtained by reducing by a factor two, and by a factor four all the systematic uncertainties, respectively. The increase in sensitivity of the scenarios with lowered systematic uncertainties was checked to be mainly driven by the reduction in the theoretical uncertainties. Among these, $V$+jets and diboson uncertainties, as well as theoretical uncertainties on signal processes, are similar in size and give the leading contributions.

### 3.1.2 Monojet Signatures from Heavy Coloured Particles at HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** A. Chakraborty, S. Kuttimalai, S. H. Lim, M. M. Nojiri, and R. Ruiz

Search strategies for hypothetical coloured particles $Q$ that can decay to dark matter candidates usually involve jets and leptons produced in association with large missing transverse energy $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. In compressed mass spectrum scenarios the visible decay products in the $Q \rightarrow \text{DM+SM}$ process do not have sufficient momenta to be readily distinguished from SM backgrounds and monojet-like topologies arise.

Were evidence for a new particle $Q$ established at the LHC, or a successor experiment such as the HE-LHC, it would be crucial to determine the properties of $Q$, especially its mass, spin, and colour representation, in order to help understand the nature of DM. Such a program would typically include investigating various collider observables that can discriminate against possible candidates for $Q$, and hence requires that observables are known to sufficiently high precision. It is the case though that leading order (LO) calculations are poor approximations for QCD processes, even when using sensible scale choices. The situation, however, is more hopeful with the advent of general-purpose precision Monte Carlo event generators HERWIG [194], MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO+PYTHIA 8 [67,68], and SHERPA [195]. With automated event generation up to NLO in QCD with parton shower (PS) matching and multijet merging, even for BSM processes [196], one can now systematically investigate the impact of crucial $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections on the inclusive monojet process.

We now summarise the key findings of a recent [197] investigation into the prospect for determining the properties of a hypothetical heavy resonance $Q$ associated with DM via the the monojet signature. This includes systematically quantifying theory uncertainties associated with the renormalisation, factorisation, and parton shower scales as well as those originating from distribution functions and and multijet merging using state-of-the-art technology. One finds that in aggregate, the total uncertainties are comparable to differences observed when varying $Q$ itself. However, the precision achievable with
Fig. 3.1.2: Top: $pp \rightarrow Q\overline{Q} + j$ cross section as a function of minimum $E_T^{miss}$ after the experimental selection criteria at 13 TeV, for $Q = T_p$, $\tilde{g}$, and $\tilde{t}$, with current 95% C.L. limits after $\mathcal{L} = 36.1$ fb$^{-1}$ of data at the 13 TeV LHC. Bottom: same plots for 14 TeV LHC. We also shown the estimated sensitivity with $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$, assuming $\delta_{\text{syst.}} = 2.5\%$ and 1% systematical errors.

Table 3.1.1: Summary of signal particles, their SU(3)$_c$ and Lorentz representations (Rep.), and decay mode to stable DM candidate ($X$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fermionic Top partner ($T_p$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dirac fermion</td>
<td>$q + X$</td>
<td>[200,201]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top squark ($\tilde{t}$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complex scalar</td>
<td>$t^* X \rightarrow b\overline{q'} + X$</td>
<td>[201,202]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluino ($\tilde{g}$)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Majorana fermion</td>
<td>$q\overline{q} + X$</td>
<td>[201,202]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) calculations, where available, can resolve this dilemma. In light of this, we further emphasise that the HL- and HE-LHC runs possibly resolve different candidates for the new coloured particle. For additional details beyond what is provided here, see Ref. [197]. The ATLAS and CMS collaborations have studied $\mathcal{L} = 36.1$ fb$^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collision data using signatures with significant transverse momentum imbalance and at least one energetic jet [198, 199]. The non-observation of significant deviations from SM predictions leads to model-independent 95% C.L. upper limits on the production cross section of new particles. In Fig. 3.1.2, we show these experimental limits along with NLO+PS-accurate cross section, and associated scale uncertainty, for the $Q\overline{Q} + j$ process, where we include a hard jet at the matrix-element level, and for $Q \in \{\tilde{t}, T_p, \tilde{g}\}$, as listed in Table 3.1.1.

We find that the lower limits on $Q$ masses stand at around $m_{T_p} = 400$ GeV for the fermionic top partner and $m_{\tilde{g}} = 600$ GeV for the gluino, while no constraint on stop masses is found within the mass range under consideration. It has been observed that for high-$p_T$ bins both the systematic and
statistical experimental uncertainties play a crucial role. Sensitivity is expected to improve at the HL-LHC due to a much larger dataset with better control on uncertainties. We calculate the expected upper limits at HL-LHC with \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) by rescaling the numbers at 13 TeV with two values of total systematic uncertainty, namely \( \delta_{\text{sys}} \approx 2.5\% \) and 1\%. From the scaled limits, we find that fermionic top partners with masses \( m_{T_p} \lesssim 800 \text{ GeV} \), gluinos with \( m_{\tilde{g}} \lesssim 1000 \text{ GeV} \), and stops with masses \( m_{\tilde{t}} \lesssim 600 \text{ GeV} \) can be excluded at 13 TeV with \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \), using the inclusive monojet signature for a compressed mass spectrum.

Another possibility that can significantly improve the sensitivity to heavy coloured particles is increasing the beam energy of the LHC to the proposed \( \sqrt{s} = 27 \text{ TeV} \) HE-LHC [203]. Here, we assume that the SM background is still dominated by \( Z+j \) process and then scale the model-independent 95\% C.L. upper limit at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) according to the production cross section ratio. In Fig. 3.1.3, we estimate the expected reach at the 27 TeV LHC for \( pp \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} + j \) process. Note, here also we assume that the detector acceptance and efficiencies are the same at 13 and 27 TeV. For comparison, we consider systematic uncertainties of 2.5\% and 1\%, the same considered before. We observe that with \( \mathcal{L} = 3 - 15 \text{ ab}^{-1} \), one can probe the compressed spectra featuring fermionic top partners with masses \( m_{T_p} \lesssim 1100 \text{ GeV} \), gluinos with masses \( m_{\tilde{g}} \lesssim 1800 \text{ GeV} \), and stops with masses \( m_{\tilde{t}} \lesssim 600 \text{ GeV} \).

Theoretical uncertainties associated with the monojet signal process are estimated by employing the state-of-the-art MC suites MadGraph5_AMC@NLO and SHERPA. A single measurement of signal cross section does not constrain the nature of \( Q \) uniquely as different spin and colour hypotheses can lead to identical cross sections if the mass is tuned accordingly. For example, a stop of mass 400 GeV, a fermionic top partner of mass 600 GeV, and a gluino of mass 800 GeV have practically the same \( pp \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} + j \) cross section for \( p_{T_{\text{cut}}} \) around 500 GeV at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV} \), see Fig. 3.1.4 (left). The degeneracy, however, can be resolved through additional cross section measurements with larger \( p_{T_{\text{cut}}} \). Cross section measurements at a higher c.o.m. energy can also lift this degeneracy, see Fig. 3.1.4 (right).

To distinguish different new physics candidates, one, however, needs theoretical uncertainties smaller than \( O(30\%) \) and \( O(5\%/100 \text{ GeV}) \), respectively, on the total cross section normalisations and on the change of the cross section for \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} + j) \) as a function of \( p_{T_{\text{cut}}} \). Alternatively, one can also consider ratios of cross sections measured at two different \( p_{T_{\text{cut}}} \) and two different energies \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) and 27 TeV.

We find that the monojet signal process under consideration exhibits a residual (factorisation, renormalisation, and shower) scale uncertainty around 40\% at LO and 20\% at NLO, and is about twice as large as the the inclusive \( pp \rightarrow T_p\bar{T}_p \) cross section due to the presence of additional \( \alpha_s \) factors. Based
on available NNLO calculations [204, 205], we anticipate such uncertainties can be reduced to the 10% level at NNLO. As the corrections beyond NLO mainly impact the overall normalisation, cross sections at different $p_{T,\text{cut}}$ possess correlated uncertainties. Hence, constructing ratios and double-ratios of cross sections at different $p_{T,\text{cut}}$, can partially cancel uncertainties and help with the identification of $\chi$. 

3.1.3 Searching for Electroweakinos in monojet final states at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: T. Han, S. Mukhopadhyay, X. Wang

Among the multitude of possibilities for WIMP dark matter, particles that belong to a multiplet of the SM weak interactions are one of the best representatives. We focus on two representative scenarios for EW DM, namely a wino-like $SU(2)_L$ triplet and a Higgsino-like $SU(2)_L$ doublet. Such models are often challenging to probe in direct detection experiments due to loop-suppressed scattering cross-sections. Searches at hadron colliders are thus crucial for testing such a scenario, and depending upon the gauge representation, can be complementary to indirect detection probes in different mass windows. Moreover, since the relic abundance of EW DM is uniquely determined by its mass value, 3 TeV for wino-like triplet [206–208] and 1 TeV for Higgsino-like doublet [209], they represent a well-defined target in the collider search for DM in general. Without large additional corrections from higher-dimensional operators, the mass splitting between the charged and neutral components of the DM $SU(2)_L$ multiplets is only of the order of a few hundred MeV [210, 211]. This nearly degenerate spectrum motivates two major search channels at hadron colliders for the EW DM sector, namely, the monojet with missing transverse momentum search and the disappearing charged track search. The first one is reported in this section, the second in Section 4.1.3.

We present our results [155] on the future reach of three different scenarios of collider energy and integrated luminosity: HL-LHC, HE-LHC, and FCC-hh/SppC (100 TeV, 30 ab$^{-1}$). We adopt as a definition of significance $S/\sqrt{B + (\Delta_B B)^2 + (\Delta_S S)^2}$ where $S$ and $B$ are the total number of signal and background events, and $\Delta_S, \Delta_B$ refer to the corresponding percentage systematic uncertainties, respectively.

The classic monojet and missing transverse momentum search for pair production of a DM particle in association with a hadronic jet originating from initial state radiation is considered. Pair production of both the charged state $\chi^\pm$ and the neutral state $\chi^0$ would contribute to the signal in the monojet search channel, since the charged pions from the charged state $\chi^\pm$ decay are too soft to detect at hadron...
colliders. Systematic uncertainties $\Delta_B = 1 - 2\%$ and $\Delta_S = 10\%$ are assumed. In Fig. 3.1.5 we compare the reach of the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and FCC-hh/SppC options in the monojet channel for wino-like (left) and Higgsino-like (right) DM search. The solid and dashed lines correspond to systematic uncertainties on the background estimate of 1% and 2% respectively, which might be achievable using data-driven methods with the accumulation of large statistics.

Table 3.1.2: Summary of DM mass reach at 95% C.L. for an EW triplet (wino-like) and a doublet (Higgsino-like) representation, at the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and the FCC-hh/SppC colliders, in optimistic scenarios for the background systematics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wino</th>
<th>Higgsino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>280 GeV</td>
<td>200 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>700 GeV</td>
<td>490 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 TeV</td>
<td>2 TeV</td>
<td>1.4 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Dark Matter and Heavy Flavour

When the mediator between the dark sector and the SM is a scalar or pseudoscalar one expects the couplings to the SM to scale with the SM fermion mass. Thus, a natural place to look for DM production is in association with pairs of top or bottom quarks, see Section 3.2.1 and Section 3.2.2. Alternatively, a neutral vector mediator with flavour-changing interactions can produce DM in association with a single top, see Section 3.2.3. Finally, scalar mediators may be searched for directly in four top final states, as shown in Section 3.2.4.

3.2.1 Associated production of dark matter and heavy flavour quarks at HL-LHC

Contributors: M. Rimoldi, E. McDonald, F. Meloni, P. Pani, F. Ungaro, ATLAS

The prospects of a search for dark matter produced in association with heavy flavour (bottom
or top) quarks at the HL-LHC are presented in this section [212]. The study therefore focuses on two simplified models, defined by either a scalar, \(\phi\), or top) quarks at the HL-LHC are presented in this section [212]. The study therefore focuses on two simplified models, defined by either a scalar, \(\phi\), or pseudoscalar, \(a\), mediator. In both cases, the mediating particle is taken to be colour-neutral and the dark matter candidate is assumed to be a weakly interacting Dirac fermion, \(\chi\), uncharged under the SM.

The \(\chi\chi\) production in association with top-quarks is expected to dominate at the HL-LHC. Two signatures featuring top quarks in the final state are therefore considered. The first signature, denoted \(DM + tt\), is characterised by two tops decaying di-leptonically. The second signature, \(DM + Wt\), involves a single top produced in tandem with a \(W\)-boson, both of which decay leptonically. Dark matter production in association with \(b\)-quarks is also considered in this study, as it is relevant if the coupling to up-type quarks is suppressed. The \(DM + bb\) final state is equivalently well motivated as an avenue for probing the parameter space of two-Higgs doublet models. In the 2HDM+\(a\) model for example, the rate for \(pp \rightarrow bb + a\) is enhanced by the ratio of the Higgs doublet vacuum expectation values, \(\tan \beta\), if a Yukawa sector of type-II is realised. A straightforward recasting of exclusion limits on the simplified pseudoscalar mediator model can then be used to extract constraints on \(\tan \beta\).

A search targeting the \(DM + bb\) and \(DM + tt\) signatures was performed at the LHC using 36.1 fb\(^{-1}\) of data collected in 2015 and 2016 at a centre of mass energy of 13 TeV. This study presents the prospects for further constraining these models with HL-LHC data and is divided into two independent analyses.

**Signatures with \(b\)-quarks and \(E_T^{miss}\)** To isolate the event topology of the \(DM + bb\) final state, events are required to have at least two \(b\)-tagged jets. The contribution from SM background processes is suppressed via the application of selection criteria based on that of the 13 TeV analysis and updated to align with HL-LHC design considerations. To reduce the contribution from leptonically and semi-leptonically \(tt\) decays and from leptonically decays of \(W\) and \(Z\) bosons, events containing at least one baseline lepton are vetoed. A further requirement of no more than 2 or 3 jets is imposed in order to control the large background from hadronic \(tt\) decays. The main background from \(Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})+\text{jets}\) events is reduced by cutting on variables which exploit the difference in spin between the scalar and pseudoscalar particles and the \(Z\) boson. These variables make use of the pseudorapidity and azimuthal separations between jets, \(b\)-jets, and the missing transverse momentum. Among them, the hyperbolic tangent of the pseudorapidity separation between the leading and sub-leading \(b\)-jet, \(\Delta\eta(b_1, b_2) = \eta(b_1) - \eta(b_2)\), is used. This variable, referred to as \(\cos \theta_{bb}\), is expected to yield a reasonably flat distribution for \(b\)-jets produced in association with vector particles. For \(b\)-jets accompanying the production of a heavy scalar or pseudoscalar mediator however, \(\cos \theta_{bb}\) is expected to peak around 1. Owing to this shape difference, \(\cos \theta_{bb}\) variable provides the best discrimination between signal and background events in the \(DM + bb\)
The signal region for this search is therefore defined by four equal-width exclusive bins in \( \cos \theta_{\ell\ell} \), as shown in Fig. 3.2.1, reflecting the configuration used in Run-2. Separate selections are derived for \( m(\phi/a) < 100 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m(\phi/a) \geq 100 \text{ GeV} \) to further enhance the difference in shape, which can depend strongly on the mass of the mediating particle. The resulting signal regions are denoted by \( SR_{b,\text{low}} \) and \( SR_{b,\text{high}} \), respectively. For more details, see Ref. [212].

**Signatures with top quarks and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \)** A single signal region, denoted \( SR_{2\ell+} \), is used for the search targeting DM production in association with one or two top quarks. Events are required to have exactly two leptons (electrons or muons), possessing the same or different flavour and opposite electric charge. To reduce the \( t\bar{t} \) background, the lepton pair must have an invariant mass larger than 100 GeV. Furthermore, candidate signal events are required to have at least one identified b-jet.

Different discriminators and kinematic variables are used to further separate the \( t\bar{t} + \phi/a \) and \( Wt + \phi/a \) signal from the SM background. These variables include the lepton-based transverse mass \( m_{t\ell} \), the distribution for which is shown in Fig. 3.2.1 for events passing all of the SR requirements except that on \( m_{t\ell} \). For the calculation of exclusion limits, the \( m_{t\ell} \) distribution is divided into five equal-width (20 GeV) exclusive bins.

**Results** For both \( SR_{b,\text{low}} \) and \( SR_{b,\text{high}} \), the main background consists of Z+jets events followed by hadronic decays of \( t\bar{t} \). A significant contribution also comes from single top quark processes and events featuring a W-boson produced in association with jets. In \( SR_{2\ell+} \), the dominant background consists of di-leptonic decays of \( t\bar{t} \) and \( t\bar{t}Z \) with \( Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu} \). Systematic uncertainties include theory modelling and experimental uncertainties related to, for example, the Jet Energy Scale and b-jet mis-identification. The
total systematic uncertainty on the SM background is 14% for $SR_{b,\text{low}}/SR_{b,\text{high}}$ and 13% for $SR_{2\ell}$.

Exclusion limits are derived at 95% C.L. for mediator masses in the range $10 - 500$ GeV assuming a DM mass of 1 GeV and a coupling ($g$) of 1.0. The limits are shown in Fig. 3.2.2 for $\phi/a \to \chi\bar{\chi}$ production in association with either bottom quarks or top quarks for $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Also shown for comparison are the corresponding limits at 13 TeV with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ taken from the previous Run-2 analysis.

For $\phi/a + b\bar{b}$, the exclusion potential at the HL-LHC is found to improve by a factor of $\sim 3 - 8.7$ with respect to Run-2. In the context of the 2HDM+$\alpha$ model with $m(A) \gg m(a)$, $\sin \theta = 0.35$ and $y_\chi = 1$, the HL-LHC limits translate to an approximate upper bound on $\tan \beta$ ranging from $\sim 19$ for $m(a) = 10$ GeV to $\sim 100$ for $m(a) = 500$ GeV, significantly extending the current phase space coverage. In final states with one or two leptonically-decaying top quarks, the mass range for which a colour-neutral scalar mediator is excluded extends from 80 GeV to 405 GeV. Similarly, exclusion of pseudoscalar masses up to 385 GeV is expected. In the case of the scalar mediator model, this represents a factor of 5 improvement with respect to the 36 fb$^{-1}$ 13 TeV results in the same channel. An additional improvement of approximately 3 is possible when considering a statistical combination of all relevant top decay channels [214], which is not explored for the HL-LHC in this work.

For each DM and mediator mass pair, the exclusion limit on the cross-section for producing colour-neutral scalar mediator particles can be converted into a limit on the cross-section for $\chi\bar{\chi}$ production in association with either bottom quarks or top quarks for $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Also shown for comparison are the corresponding limits at 13 TeV with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ taken from the previous Run-2 analysis.

The limits are shown in Fig. 3.2.2 for $\phi/a \to \chi\bar{\chi}$ production in association with either bottom quarks or top quarks for $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Also shown for comparison are the corresponding limits at 13 TeV with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ taken from the previous Run-2 analysis.

For each DM and mediator mass pair, the exclusion limit on the cross-section for producing colour-neutral scalar mediator particles can be converted into a limit on the cross-section for $\chi\bar{\chi}$ production in association with either bottom quarks or top quarks for $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Also shown for comparison are the corresponding limits at 13 TeV with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ taken from the previous Run-2 analysis.
Fig. 3.2.4: Values of the signal strength $\mu$ that can be excluded at 95% C.L. as a function of the mass for DMF scalar (left) and pseudoscalar (right) mediators. The reach with $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (LHC Run-3) and $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ (HL-LHC) of $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ data is given for a 5-bin shape fit with 20% (green curves) and 15% (red curves) errors. A hypothetical shape-fit scenario based on $15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 27 \text{ TeV}$ of data (HE-LHC) and 15% systematics is also shown (blue curves).

3.2.2 Production of dark matter in association with top quarks at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: U. Haisch, P. Pani, and G. Polesello

The prospects of the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC to search for DM production in association with top-quark pairs ($t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$) and in single-top quark events ($tX + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$) are investigated. Our sensitivity studies are based on the analysis strategies presented in Ref. [216–218]. In the case of the $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ signal, the two-lepton final state is considered. Since the selections employed in Ref. [216] turn out to lead to the best performance also at the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC, the selections in our $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ sensitivity study were not changed with respect to that used in the earlier analysis. In the case of the $tX + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ signature, both the two-lepton and one-lepton final state is studied. Since modifying the selections did not notably increase the sensitivity, the selections of the dilepton search were kept identical to the ones used in Ref. [217]. The single-lepton final state selections employed in Ref. [218] were instead reoptimised in our sensitivity study to take full advantage of the increased data set expected at future high-luminosity and high-energy LHC runs.

Sensitivity study of the $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ signature: Given the presence of a sizeable irreducible background surviving all the selections, the experimental sensitivity of future $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ searches will be largely determined by the systematic uncertainty on the estimate of the SM backgrounds. This uncertainty has two main sources: first, uncertainties on the parameters of the detector performance such as the energy scale for hadronic jets and the identification efficiency for leptons, and second, uncertainties plaguing the modelling of SM processes. Depending on the process and on the kinematic selection, the total uncertainty can vary between a few percent and a few tens of percent. The present analysis does not select extreme kinematic configurations for the dominant $t\bar{t}Z$ background, and it thus should be possible to control the experimental systematics at the 10% to 30% level. In the following, we will assume a systematic error of either 20% or 15% on both background and signal, fully correlated between the two and across kinematic bins. We have checked that in the absence of an external measurement (e.g. a background control region) which profiles uncertainties, the use of correlated uncertainties provides the most conservative results. In addition, we consider a 5% uncertainty on the signal only to account for the theoretical uncertainty on the $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ signal.

In Fig. 3.2.4 we present sensitivity estimates at LHC Run-3, the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC for scalar (left panel) and pseudoscalar (right panel) simplified DM model mediators. The shown results
Fig. 3.2.5: 95% C.L. exclusion limits in the $m(a) - m(H^\pm)$ plane following from our one-lepton (1L) and two-lepton (2L) analysis shown as red and blue lines. The black curves indicate the bounds obtained by a combination of the two search strategies. The used 2HDM+a parameters are indicated. The left (right) panels correspond to 300 fb$^{-1}$ (3 ab$^{-1}$) of $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV data. Systematic uncertainties of 20% (5%) on the SM background (signal) are assumed.

correspond to a DM mass of $m_\chi = 1$ GeV and the coupling choices $g_Q = g_\chi = 1$, as recommended by the ATLAS/CMS DM Forum (DMF) [219] and the LHC DM Working Group [215]. The estimated 95% C.L. exclusion limits are obtained from a 5-bin likelihood fit to the $|\cos \theta_{\ell\ell}| = \tanh(\Delta \eta_{\ell\ell}/2)$ distribution. The inclusion of shape information is motivated by the observation that the distributions of events as a function of the pseudorapidity difference $\Delta \eta_{\ell\ell}$ of the dilepton pair is different for signal and background [216]. At LHC Run-3 it should be possible to exclude DMF scalar (pseudoscalar) models that predict a signal strength of $\mu = 1$ for mediator masses up to around 200 GeV (300 GeV) using the 5-bin likelihood fit employed in our study. It should be possible to improve the maximal mass reach by roughly a factor of 2 when going from LHC Run-3 to the HL-LHC and from the HL-LHC to the HE-LHC. The corresponding 95% C.L. exclusion limits on DMF scalar (pseudoscalar) mediators are thus expected to be around 450 GeV (500 GeV) and 900 GeV (950 GeV) at the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC, respectively. Another conclusion that can be drawn from our sensitivity study is that the reach of future LHC runs depends strongly on the systematic background uncertainty, and as a result a good experimental understanding of $t\bar{t}Z$ production within the SM will be a key ingredient to a possible discovery of DM in the $t\bar{t} + E_{T}^\text{miss}$ channel.

Using the recasting procedure described in Ref. [220], the sensitivity estimates presented in Fig. 3.2.4 for the DMF spin-0 models can be translated into exclusion limits on next-generation spin-
0 DM models. In the case of the 2HDM+a model [221–225] for instance and adopting the benchmark (4.5) and (4.6) introduced in Ref. [220], one finds for $\tan \beta = 1$ the 95% C.L. bounds $m(a) \lesssim 150$ GeV (HL-LHC) and $m(a) \lesssim 350$ GeV (HE-LHC) on the mass of the 2HDM+a pseudoscalar mediator $a$. These numbers show again that a HE-LHC is expected to be able to significantly improve upon the HL-LHC reach, in particular for spin-0 DM model realisations that predict small $t\bar{t} + E_T^{miss}$ signal cross sections.

**Sensitivity study of the $tX + E_T^{miss}$ signature:** Following the analyses [217,218], we interpret our $tX + E_T^{miss}$ results in the context of the 2HDM+a model. The total background in the two-lepton selection is approximately 100 events, dominantly composed of the $t\bar{t}Z/W$ and $tWZ$ background processes. For charged Higgs masses $m(H^\pm)$ between 300 GeV and 700 GeV, the acceptance for signal events containing at least two leptons is in the range $[0.1, 0.7]$% for $m(a) = 150$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 1$. The total background in the one-lepton selection is approximately 30 events for the leptonic-$H^\pm$ signal selection and 45 events for the hadronic-$H^\pm$ one. More than 70% of the SM background arises from $t\bar{t}Z/W$ and $tZ$ processes in both selections and the rest is in equal parts due to the contributions of top pairs (dileptonic decays) and the single-top $tW$ channel for the hadronic-$H^\pm$ selection, while in the case of the leptonic-$H^\pm$ selection the remaining 30% are dominated by single-top processes. For $m(H^\pm)$ in the range of 600 GeV and 1 TeV, the acceptance for signal events containing at least one lepton amounts to approximately $[0.2, 0.5]$% for $m(a) = 150$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 1$.

In Fig. 3.2.5 we present the results of our $tX + E_T^{miss}$ sensitivity study in the $m(a) - m(H^\pm)$ plane of the 2HDM+a model employing a DM mass of $m_\chi = 1$ GeV. As indicated by the headlines of the individual panels, two different values for the mixing angle in the pseudoscalar sector ($\sin \theta$) are employed. The parameters not explicitly specified have been set to the benchmark choices (4.5) made in Ref. [220]. One observes that at LHC Run-3 a combination of the one-lepton and two-lepton search should allow one to exclude masses $m(a)$ up to around 250 GeV (375 GeV) for $\sin \theta = 0.35$ ($\sin \theta = 0.7$). The corresponding HL-LHC limits instead read 400 GeV (500 GeV), implying that collecting ten times more luminosity is expected to lead to an improvement in the LHC reach by a factor of around 1.5 in the case at hand. Also notice that the one-lepton and two-lepton analyses are complementary because they have different sensitivities on $m(H^\pm)$.

One can also compare the HL-LHC reach in the $m(H^\pm) - \tan \beta$ plane to that derived in Ref. [217].
for LHC Run-3. Such a comparison is presented in Fig. 3.2.6. Numerically, we find that all values of \( \tan \beta \) can be excluded for a charged Higgs mass between 300 GeV and 700 GeV, improving the LHC Run-3 forecast, which showed a coverage in \( \tan \beta \) up to 3 and above 15 for the same mass range. For \( m(H^\pm) = 1 \text{ TeV} \) instead, the upper limit in \( \tan \beta \) is extended from 2 to 3 and the lower limit is extended from around 30 to 20. Compared to LHC Run-3 the HL-LHC is thus expected to have a significantly improved coverage in \( \tan \beta \), in particular for not too heavy 2HDM spin-0 states. Limits on \( m(H^\pm) \) and \( \tan \beta \) also derive from \( H^\pm \) production followed by the decay of the charged Higgs into SM final states such as \( \tau \nu \) or \( tb \). As indicated in Fig. 3.2.6, in the 2HDM+a model the searches for \( H^\pm \rightarrow tb \) cover an area largely complementary to the results of the \( tX + E_T^{\text{miss}} \) searches.

3.2.3 Dark matter production in single-top events at HL-LHC

**Contributors:** L. Barranco, F. Castillo, M. J. Costa, C. Escobar, J. García-Navarro, D. Madaffari, J. Navarro, ATLAS

The expected sensitivity of a search for the non-resonant production of an exotic state decaying into a pair of invisible DM particle candidates in association with a right-handed top quark is presented [227]. Such final-state events, commonly referred to as “monotop” events, are expected to have a reasonably small background contribution from SM processes. In this analysis only the topologies where the \( W \) boson from the top quark decays into a lepton and a neutrino are considered.

The non-resonant monotop is produced via a flavour-changing neutral interaction where a top quark, a light-flavour up-type quark and an exotic massive vector-like particle \( V \) can be parametrised through a general Lagrangian [219, 228]:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = aV_{\mu\nu} \bar{u} \gamma^\mu P_R t + g_\chi V_{\mu\nu} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi + \text{h.c.}, \quad (3.2.1)
\]

where \( V \) is coupled to a pair of DM particles (represented by Dirac fermions \( \chi \bar{\chi} \)) whose strength can be controlled through a parameter \( g_\chi \) and where \( P_R \) represents the right-handed chirality projector. The parameter \( a \) stands for the coupling constant between the massive invisible vector boson \( V \), and the \( t \)- and \( u \)-quarks, and \( \gamma^\mu \) are the Dirac matrices.

The experimental signature of the non-resonant monotop events with \( W \) boson decaying leptonically is one lepton from the \( W \)-boson decay, large \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \), and one jet identified as likely to be originated from a \( b \)-quark. The signal event candidates are selected by requiring exactly one lepton with \( p_T > 30 \text{ GeV} \), exactly one jet with \( p_T > 30 \text{ GeV} \) identified as a \( b \)-jet and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} > 100 \text{ GeV} \). Since the considered monotop process favours final states with positive leptons, events with negative lepton charge are rejected. These criteria define the base selection.

In order to maximise the sensitivity of the study, in addition to the base selection further discrimination is achieved by applying additional criteria according to the kinematic properties of the signal while rejecting background. The transverse mass of the lepton–\( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) system, \( m_\ell(E_T^{\text{miss}}) \), is required to be larger than 100 GeV in order to reduce the background contribution. In background events the spectrum of this quantity decreases rapidly for values higher than the \( W \)-boson mass. In signal events instead, the spectrum has a tail at higher mass values. When originating from the decay of a top quark, the lepton and the \( b \)-jet are close to each other. Therefore, events are required to have an azimuthal difference between the lepton momentum and the \( b \)-jet momentum directions (\( \Delta \phi(\ell, b-\text{jet}) \)) of less than 2.0, which disfavours the \( W+b \)-jets and diboson backgrounds.

Further selection is performed via a BDT algorithm provided by the Toolkit for Multivariate Analysis [229]. The BDT is trained to discriminate the monotop signal from the dominant \( t\bar{t} \) background. For the training, since no significant difference is observed for the different mass values, the sample with \( m_\chi = 2.5 \text{ TeV} \) is used. Half of the events of both signal and background samples are selected randomly and used to train the BDT. The other half is used to probe the BDT behaviour in order to avoid the presence of overtraining. The variables entering the BDT are selected from a pool of fundamental quantities,
like $p_T$ of jets and $b$-jets, and angular distances. The variables selected are the ones showing the best discriminating power. In particular, $\Delta\phi(\ell, E_{T\text{miss}}^\ell)$ and $m_{T}(\ell, E_{T\text{miss}}^\ell)$ are found to be the most effective variables. Only events with BDT response $> 0.9$ and $E_{T\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$ enter in the signal region and are used in the extraction of the result. The shape of the $E_{T\text{miss}}$ distribution is used in the statistical analysis, as it is expected to be the most sensitive variable to the presence of new physics. The binning of this distribution is optimised for the sensitivity of the analysis in the signal region while ensuring the stability of the fit. This results in a non-equidistant binning which exhibits wider bins in regions with a large signal contribution, while preserving a sufficiently large number of background events in each bin.

Figure 3.2.7 (left) shows the post-fit $E_{T\text{miss}}^\ell$ distribution in the signal region. The result does not include MC statistical uncertainties but incorporates effects of systematic uncertainties. The theoretical modelling of signal and background has the largest prior, 15%. The second largest source of uncertainty is the one relative to the $E_{T\text{miss}}$ reconstruction, with 6% prior. Jet energy scale (JES) and jet energy resolution (JER) contribute with a total of 5%. The uncertainty on the requirements for pile-up jets rejection is 5%, whilst uncertainties on lepton identification, $b$-tagging efficiencies and luminosity are 1.2%, 2.5% and 1%, respectively.

Figure 3.2.7 (right) shows the expected 95% C.L. upper limits as a function of the mediator mass for the non-resonant model assuming $m_\chi = 1 \text{ GeV}, a = 0.5$ and $g_\chi = 1$ using a BDT analysis. The MC statistical uncertainty is not considered but the full set of systematics, extrapolated from the 13 TeV analysis, is used.
Fig. 3.2.8: Key distributions for events passing all Same-Sign (left) or Multi-lep (right) selection requirements except that on the distribution itself. The contributions from all SM backgrounds are shown, and the hashed band represents the statistical uncertainty on the total SM background prediction. The expected distributions for signal models with $m_a = 250$ GeV and $m_a = 1000$ GeV, assuming $m_H = 600$ GeV, $\sin \theta = 0.35$ are also shown as dashed lines for comparison.

### 3.2.4 Four-top signatures at the HL-LHC

**Contributors:** P. Pani, F. Meloni, ATLAS

A class of simplified models for dark matter searches at the LHC involving a two-Higgs-doublet extended sector together with an additional pseudoscalar mediator to DM, the 2HDM+$\alpha$, are considered in this study [230]. The additional pseudoscalar mediator of the model, $\alpha$, couples the DM particles to the SM and mixes with the pseudoscalar partner of the SM Higgs boson, $A$. This model is characterised by a rich phenomenology and can produce very different final states according to the production and decay modes for the various bosons composing the Higgs sector, which can decay both into dark matter or SM particles. The four-top signature is interesting if at least some of the neutral Higgs partners masses are kept above the $t\bar{t}$ threshold, since, when kinematically allowed, all four neutral bosons can contribute to this final state. The total four top-quark production cross-section is dominated by the light pseudoscalar and the heavy scalar bosons. In order to highlight this interplay, four benchmark models will be considered, assuming different choices for the mass of the light CP-odd and heavy CP-even bosons and the mixing angle between the two CP-odd weak eigenstates ($\sin \theta$).

**Scenario 1** $m_a$ sensitivity scan assuming:

- a) $m_H = 600$ GeV, $\sin \theta = 0.35$.
- b) $m_H = 1$ TeV, $\sin \theta = 0.7$.

**Scenario 2** $\sin \theta$ sensitivity scan assuming:

- a) $m_H = 600$ GeV, $m_a = 200$ GeV.
- b) $m_H = 1$ TeV, $m_a = 350$ GeV.

This prospect study considers four top-quarks final states involving at least two leptons with the same electric charge or at least three or more leptons. Final states with high jet multiplicity and one lepton are also very powerful to constrain these signatures, but are not considered here. Complementary studies of the potential for the measurement of standard model production of the four top final state at CMS [231] and ATLAS [232] are also discussed in working group chapter 1 [30].

Events are accepted if they contain at least two electrons, two muons or one electron and one muon with the same electric charge or at least three leptons ($p_T > 25$ GeV). Furthermore, events are required
to contain at least three b-jets. The up to four leading leptons and up to four leading b-jets in the event are grouped respectively in two systems, called $S_t$ and $S_b$. A signal system $S$ is defined by $S = S_t \cup S_b$. Different discriminators and kinematic variables are used in the analysis to separate the signal from the SM background.

- $p_T(S_t)$: the vector sum of the lepton four momenta in $S_t$;
- $\Delta R(S_t, S_b)$: the $\Delta R$ between the vectorial sum of the leptons in $S_t$ and the vectorial sum of the b-jets in $S_b$;
- $m(S)$: the invariant mass of the signal system $S$;

A common selection is applied to all events, before further categorisations. Events are required to have at least two jets with a $p_T > 50$ GeV. In events with exactly two (anti-)electrons, the contribution of SM processes including an on-shell $Z$ boson decaying leptonically with a lepton charge misidentification is reduced by vetoing events with $81.2 \text{ GeV} < m_{e\ell} < 101.2 \text{ GeV}$. Furthermore, low mass resonances are vetoed by requiring $m_{e\ell} > 15$ GeV. Two signal regions (SRs) are defined selecting events with exactly two charged leptons with the same electric charge (denoted Same-Sign) or three or more charged leptons (denoted Multi-lep). Figure 3.2.8 shows two key distributions ($\Delta R(S_t, S_b)$ and $m(S)$) for events passing one set of SRs requirements except for the requirement on the shown variable itself. The main backgrounds that survive the selections are the irreducible $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ and $t\bar{t}+V/h$ channels. The dominant uncertainties are expected to be due to theoretical modelling of the irreducible backgrounds and, to a lesser extent, to the jet energy scale and resolution, and the b-tagging efficiency. Owing to the reduced statistical uncertainty and a better understanding of the physics models, it is expected that JES, JER, b-tagging efficiency and irreducible background modelling uncertainties will all be reduced. This leads to an estimate of the total background uncertainty of about 20%. The resulting experimental uncertainty is assumed to be fully correlated between the background and the signal when setting 95% C.L. exclusion limits. Furthermore, an additional systematic of 5% is considered for the signal, in order to account for the theoretical systematic uncertainty on the model.

Fig. 3.2.9: Exclusion limits at 95% C.L. for Same-Sign and Multi-lep SRs in terms of excluded cross-section ($\sigma$) over the cross-section predicted by the model ($\sigma_{\text{theory}}$). Limits are derived from the analysis of $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ of 14 TeV proton-proton collision data as a function of $m_a$ (left) or as a function of $\sin \theta$ (right) for each parameter assumptions described and indicated in the legend. The $1\sigma$ variation of the total uncertainty on the limit is indicated as a band around each exclusion line.

Scans of expected exclusion limits at 95% C.L. are shown in Figures 3.2.9 as a function of $m_a$, for fixed $m_H$ and $\sin \theta$ and as a function of $\sin \theta$ for fixed $m_a$ and $m_H$. In all benchmarks, it is assumed that $\tan \beta = 1$ and $m_a = 10$ GeV. For light pseudoscalar masses above the $t\bar{t}$ decay threshold, a significance of about $3\sigma$ is expected if $m_H = 600$ GeV and $\sin \theta = 0.35$. The same benchmark is expected to be excluded for all light-pseudoscalar masses and for $\sin \theta < 0.35$ if $m_a = 200$ GeV. Mixing angles such that $\sin \theta > 0.95$ are also expected to be excluded for $m_a = 350$ GeV, $m_H = 1$ TeV and, under the
same assumptions, an upper limit of about two times the theoretical cross section is set for $\sin \theta < 0.8$. Finally, $\sin \theta < 0.4$ is excluded for $m_H = 600$ GeV, $m_a = 200$ GeV. In almost all cases the Same-Sign SR yields the strongest constraints on the parameter space considered in this work. However, the Multi-lep SR offers a complementary channel whose sensitivity is of the same order of magnitude. Possibly, exploiting dedicated techniques developed to suppress or better estimate the $t\bar{t} + V$ background that affects the Multi-lep SR, this signature can achieve sensitivity comparable to the Same-Sign selection.

### 3.3 Dark Matter and Electroweak Bosons

DM can be produced in association with, or through interactions with, EW gauge bosons. The DM may recoil against a (leptonically decaying) $Z$ boson that was produced as ISR or in the decay of a heavy mediator to a lighter mediator and a $Z$, see Section 3.3.1. It may recoil against a photon or be produced through its couplings to $W$, $Z$ in VBF, as in Section 3.3.2. A standard way to couple to the dark sector is through SM “portals”. UV completing the Higgs portal leads to signals only involving the mediators and not the DM, such as diHiggs or di-mediator production. Prospects are presented in Section 3.3.3. Alternatively, heavier dark sector states with couplings to the $Z$ boson can produce DM in their decays, as shown in Section 3.3.4.

#### 3.3.1 Dark matter produced in association with a $Z$ boson at HL-LHC

**Contributors**: A. Albert, K. Hoepfner, CMS

Collider searches for DM production critically rely on a visible particle being produced in association with the sought-after invisible DM candidate. One possible choice of an accompanying SM signature is a $Z$ boson reconstructed from an $e^+ e^-$ or $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair. In the hadronic environment of the LHC, this leptonic signature is well reconstructible and the resonant behaviour of the dilepton mass allows for efficient rejection of non-$Z$ background processes. The presence of a signal is determined from a maximum-likelihood fit of the $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ spectrum of selected events, which would be hardened by the presence of a DM signal relative to the SM backgrounds.

This study from CMS is a projection based on the results of Ref. [233]. Event-by-event weights are applied to simulated samples to account for the difference in c.o.m. energy and $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ resolution between the Run-2 and HL-LHC scenarios [234]. The $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ spectrum in the signal region is shown in Fig. 3.3.1.

The results are interpreted in two simplified models of DM production. In the first model, a
minimal scenario is assumed where there is one new mediator boson and one new DM Dirac fermion $\chi$ [219]. The mediator is assumed to have vector couplings $g_q$ and $g_{DM}$ to quarks and DM, respectively. In the second model, referred to as “a+2HDM”, the SM is extended by a second Higgs doublet as well as a light pseudoscalar DM mediator, $a$ [224]. By allowing for the light pseudoscalar to mix with the heavier pseudoscalar state from the second Higgs doublet, the mediation mechanism can be realised without violating any of the various existing direct and indirect constraints on the scalar sector [220,224]. Importantly, this second model allows for the production of the pseudoscalar mediator and $Z$ boson through the decay of a new heavy scalar $H$. This production mode provides excellent sensitivity for the $Z + \not{p}_T$ search compared to other searches such as jets+$\not{p}_T$ [220].

For the vector mediator scenario, the expected signal significance and expected exclusion limits on $g_q$ are shown in Fig. 3.3.2. A signal with a mediator of mass $m_{med} = 750$ GeV could be discovered with $\mathcal{L}_{int} \approx 1$ ab$^{-1}$, while a heavier mediator with $m_{med} = 1$ TeV would require $\mathcal{L}_{int} \approx 3$ ab$^{-1}$. Especially the latter case highlights the effect of the systematic uncertainty scenarios. Improved handling of systematic uncertainties could reduce the integrated luminosity required for a discovery by a factor three, and thus advance the discovery by years. Framed as an exclusion on the mediator-quark coupling $g_q$, values down to 0.04 will be probed for a lighter mediator with $m_{med} = 300$ GeV, and $g_q \approx 0.1$ will be testable for $m_{med} = 1$ TeV. A heavier mediator of mass $m_{med} = 2$ TeV will remain out of reach even with the final HL-LHC dataset of 3 ab$^{-1}$. The two-dimensional exclusion as a function of the relevant particle masses for both models is shown in Fig. 3.3.3. In the case of the vector mediator, mediator masses up to $\sim 1.5$ TeV will be probed, assuming $m_{med}/2 > m_{DM}$. Depending on the choice of systematic uncertainty scenario, the mediator mass exclusion varies by $\approx 100$ GeV. In the a+2HDM model, light pseudoscalars masses up to 600 GeV and heavy boson masses up to $1.9$ TeV will be probed. Again, the choice of systematic uncertainty scenarios may influence these values by $\approx 100$ GeV ($m_a$) and $100 - 150$ GeV ($m_H$). These mass exclusion ranges show an improvement of a factor $\sim 2.5$ in the mediator masses and up to $\sim 3$ for the pseudoscalar mass compared to the Run-2 result with $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 36$ fb$^{-1}$ [233,235].

3.3.2 Dark matter searches in mono-photon and VBF+$\not{p}_T$ final states at HL-LHC


A prospect study for DM searches with the ATLAS detector is presented in a scenario where the
SM is extended by the addition of an EW fermionic triplet with null hypercharge [238]. The lightest mass state of the triplet constitutes a weakly interacting massive particle DM candidate. This model is inspired by SUSY with anomaly-mediated SUSY breaking [239–241] and by models of Minimal Dark Matter (MDM) [242–244], and provides a benchmark in the spirit of simplified models [219] where the mediator is a SM particle. Projections for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ are presented for the DM searches in the mono-photon [245] and VBF+$E_T^{miss}$ [246] final states, based on the Run-2 analyses strategy. To illustrate the experimental challenges associated to a high pile-up environment due to the high luminosity, the effect of the pile-up on the VBF invisibly decaying Higgs boson is studied as a benchmark process.

**EW fermionic WIMP Dark Matter triplet**

A fermionic triplet $\chi$ of the $SU(2)_L$ group with null hypercharge ($Y$): $\chi = \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi^+ \\ \chi_0 \\ \chi^- \end{array} \right)$ is added to the SM with a Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{MDM} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} (i\not\!\!\!\!E + M) \chi + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_0 (i\not\!\!\!\!E - M_{\chi_0}) \chi_0 + \bar{\chi}^+ (i\not\!\!\!\!E - M_{\chi^+}) \chi^+ + g (\bar{\chi}^+ \gamma_\mu \chi^+ (\sin \theta_W A_\mu + \cos \theta_W Z_\mu) + \bar{\chi}^+ \gamma_\mu \chi_0 W^-_\mu + \bar{\chi}_0 \gamma_\mu \chi^+ W^+_\mu)$$

where $g$ is the $SU(2)$ gauge coupling; $M$ is the tree-level mass of the particle; $\sin \theta_W$ and $\cos \theta_W$ are the sine and cosine of the Weinberg angle; $A_\mu$, $Z_\mu$, $W^-_\mu$, $W^+_\mu$ are the SM boson fields. The lightest component of the triplet is stable if some extra symmetry is imposed, like lepton number, baryon minus lepton number or a new symmetry under which $\chi$ is charged (e.g. R-parity in SUSY).

At tree level all the $\chi$ components have the same mass, but a mass splitting is induced by the EW corrections given by loops of SM gauge bosons between the charged and neutral components of $\chi$. These corrections make the charged components heavier than the neutral one ($\chi_0$). Its mass differs by $\simeq 165$ MeV [210] from the one of the charged components. Being neutral and stable, $\chi_0$ constitutes a
potential DM candidate. If the thermal relic abundance is assumed, the mass of $\chi_0$ is $M_{\chi_0} \approx 3$ TeV. However, if $\chi$ is not the only particle composing dark matter or if it is not thermally produced [241], its mass can be $M_{\chi_0} < 3$ TeV.

This model provides a benchmark of a typical WIMP DM candidate and its phenomenology recreates the one of supersymmetric models where the Wino is the lightest SUSY particle (LSP), for this reason this triplet is referred to as Wino-like. As studied in Ref. [247], treating $M$ as a free parameter, this triplet can be probed at the LHC in different ways. Once produced, the charged components of the triplet decay into the lightest neutral component $\chi_0$ plus very soft charged pions. $\chi_0$ is identified as $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the detector while the pions, because of the small mass splitting between the neutral and charged components, are so soft that they are lost and not reconstructed. Therefore, the production of $\chi$ can be searched for by in mono-X events, such as mono-jet [248] and mono-photon; in VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ events as $\chi$ can also be produced via VBF [249]; and also in events characterised by high $p_T$ tracks (caused by $\chi^\pm$) which end inside the detector once they have decayed into $\chi_0$ and soft pions, disappearing tracks [250]. The VBF production mode and the mono-photon final state, studied in this contribution, constitute a necessary complement to the mono-jet, discussed in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.3, and disappearing track searches, see Section 4.1.3, because of the very different dependencies on the model parameters like the EW representation and the value of the mass splitting. LEP limits exclude masses below $\sim 90$ GeV [99, 251, 252], therefore the focus here is on $M_{\chi_0} \geq 90$ GeV.

Signal events with a pair of $\chi$ produced in the framework of this model [247] have been generated in the $\gamma + E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ final state and simulated for different values of $\chi$ mass with the official ATLASFAST-II simulation of the current detector [253] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. For the VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ analysis, diagrams not properly originating from two vector bosons (in contrast to pure VBF processes) also contribute to the signal as they produce a jets+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ signature where the jets have large pseudorapidity separation. To consider the realistic conditions at the HL-LHC, VBF $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow \nu\nu(\bar{v})$ events have been fully simulated, using GEANT4 [54, 254], in the upgraded ATLAS detector including the upgraded inner tracker (ITk) [255, 256], with $\langle \mu \rangle = 200$ and at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

**Mono-Photon final state**

The mono-photon analysis is characterised by a relatively clean final state, containing a photon with a high transverse energy and large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, which can be mimicked by few SM processes. The search for new phenomena performed in mono-photon events in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at the LHC, using data collected by the ATLAS experiment in Run-2 corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ [245], has shown no deviations from the SM expectations. The Run-2 mono-photon search is reinterpreted in the context of the WIMP triplet model at HL by keeping the same strategy for background estimates and event selection to exploit the full complexity of the analysis. The dominant backgrounds consist in processes with a $Z$ or $W$ boson produced in association with a photon, mainly $Z(\rightarrow \nu\nu) + \gamma$. They are estimated by rescaling the MC prediction for those backgrounds with factors obtained from a simultaneous fitting technique, based on control regions (CRs) built by inverting one or more cuts of the signal region such that one type of process becomes dominant in that region. Other backgrounds, like $W/Z + \text{jet}$, top and diboson, in which electrons or jets can fake photons are estimated with data-driven techniques.

Events passing the lowest unsuperscaled single photon trigger are selected requiring $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV. The leading photon has to satisfy the “tight” identification criteria and is required to have $p_T^\gamma > 150$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.37$ and to be isolated. The photon and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ are required to be well separated, with $\Delta\phi(\gamma, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.4$. Finally, events are required to have no electrons or muons and no more than one jet with $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.4$. In the Run-2 analysis the total background prediction uncertainty is dominated by the statistical uncertainty and the largest systematic uncertainties are due to the uncertainty in the rate of fake photons from jets and to the uncertainty in the jet energy scale.
The reinterpretation of the mono-photon analysis in the WIMP triplet model uses full simulated MC signal samples and performs a simultaneous fit on the most inclusive signal region (SR), corresponding to $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV, that provides the best expected sensitivity. All backgrounds, including fake photons estimated with data-driven techniques, have been included in the fit rescaling the Run-2 results to the high luminosity scenario. All the systematic uncertainties on the MC background samples have been taken into account to obtain upper limits on the $\chi_0$ production cross section. Projections of the expected upper limits on the production cross section of $\chi_0$ at 95% C.L. for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, are shown in Figure 3.3.4 (left). Masses of $\chi_0$ below 310 GeV can be excluded at 95% C.L. by the analysis assuming the same systematic uncertainties adopted in Ref. [245]. The impact of the systematic uncertainty on the sensitivity of the analysis has been checked considering that the analysis will no more be limited by the statistical uncertainty at high luminosity. In a scenario in which the current systematic uncertainties are halved, an exclusion of $\chi_0$ masses up to about 340 GeV could be reached. Thanks to the increased statistics, the analysis at high luminosity could be further optimised by performing a multiple-bin fit, thus on more bins in $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ improving the overall sensitivity of the analysis. This study is done for a c.o.m. energy of 13 TeV, a slight improvement in the signal significance is expected from the increase of the c.o.m. energy to 14 TeV foreseen for the HL-LHC.

**VBF plus $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ final state**

The VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ topology is characterised by two quark-initiated jets with a large separation in rapidity and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. The sensitivity of the VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ analysis to the WIMP triplet model is presented as a reinterpretation of the Run-2 results for the high luminosity scenario foreseen for the HL-LHC. As pile-up is a key experimental challenge for event reconstruction in the VBF topology at the HL-LHC, a dedicated study of its impact is also shown using VBF $H \rightarrow$ invisible as benchmark.

*Projections at high luminosity for DM for EW triplet DM.*

A search for an invisibly decaying Higgs boson produced via VBF has been performed by ATLAS using a dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36 fb$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collision at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [246]. The final state is defined by the presence of two energetic jets, largely separated in $\eta$ and with $O(1)$ TeV invariant mass, and large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$.

This analysis set limits on the BR $B$ of the $H \rightarrow$ invisible. The main backgrounds arise from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu+$jets and $W \rightarrow \ell\nu+$jets events. The contribution of $W/Z$ is estimated from events in CRs enriched in $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ (where the lepton is found) and $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ (with $\ell$ being electrons or muons) that are used to normalise the MC estimates to data through a simultaneous fitting technique and to extrapolate
the normalisation to the SR. The multijet background comes from multijet events where large $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is generated mainly by jet mismeasurements. This is highly reduced by a tight $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ cut and is estimated via data-driven methods resulting in less than 1% of the total background.

The results are interpreted in the context of the WIMP model for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$. The same selections and analysis strategy are used to set limits on the cross section of the WIMP triplet produced via VBF; the only selection which has been changed is the request on the separation in pseudorapidity between the two leading jets ($\Delta\eta(j_1,j_2)$) which has been relaxed from $> 4.8$ to $> 3.5$, thus increasing the sensitivity to the model as, in addition to the pure VBF Feynman diagrams, also diagrams with strong production contribute to the signal. A SR is defined by selecting events passing the lowest unrescaled $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ trigger, containing no electron and muon, having exactly two jets with transverse momentum $p_T(j_1) > 80$ GeV and $p_T(j_2) > 50$ GeV, which are not back to back in the transverse plane ($\Delta\Phi(j_1,j_2) < 1.8$) and which are separated in pseudorapidity ($\Delta\eta(j_1,j_2) > 3.5$). Events are required to have large $E_T^{\text{miss}} (> 180$ GeV), the two leading jets are separated from the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ ($\Delta\Phi(j_1, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 1$, $\Delta\Phi(j_2, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 1$), the vectorial sum of all the jets (including the pile-up ones) is required to be $H_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV and the invariant mass of the dijet system is required to be $M(j_1,j_2) > 1$ TeV. The events in SR and in CRs are then split into three categories (bins) according to the invariant mass of the dijet system; the following $M(j_1,j_2)$ bins are considered: $1 - 1.5$ TeV, $1.5 - 2$ TeV and $> 2$ TeV.

A simultaneous fit in SR and CRs, using the three $M(j_1,j_2)$ bins to increase the signal sensitivity, is used for the $W/Z+$ jets background estimation and for the limit setting. Exclusion limits are set on the production cross section of the model using a one-sided profile likelihood ratio and the CLs technique [94, 95] with the asymptotic approximation [257]. Experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties have been taken into account and are included in the likelihood as Gaussian-distributed nuisance parameters. The main experimental systematic uncertainties for the Run-2 VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ analysis come from JES and JER [258] and have been rescaled according to the HL expectations which are discussed in Ref. [7]. The main theoretical sources of uncertainty for the run-2 analysis come from choices on the resummation, renormalisation, factorisation and CKKW matching scale for the $W/Z+$jets backgrounds processes. A significant improvement in these systematic uncertainties is expected; therefore, the current run-2 theoretical systematic uncertainties on the $W/Z+$jets backgrounds have been rescaled down to reach the level of few % (5% of the run-2 theoretical systematic uncertainties is kept). Here is assumed that such an improvement in the theoretical systematics for VBF final state will be reached for the HL-LHC phase. The same correlation scheme that has been used in Ref. [246] is also used for the projections presented here. Uncertainties arising from the finite MC statistics of the samples used are assumed to be negligible.

The results obtained by rescaling the signals and backgrounds to an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 3.3.4 (right) and indicate that the lowest masses considered ($M_x \sim 110$ GeV) can be excluded at 95% C.L.. This study is done for a c.o.m. energy of 13 TeV, a slight improvement in the signal significance is expected from the increase of the c.o.m. energy to 14 TeV foreseen for the HL-LHC. The analysis is very sensitive to the systematic uncertainties and a further optimisation of the selection cuts on this model, together with the increase of the MC statistics in the VBF phase space, could help to achieve a better reach.

VBF analyses will probably benefit from a combination of $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and VBF jet triggers; however, even with $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ thresholds raised by $50 - 100$ GeV with respect to the current ones, the analysis is still sensitive to this model for the masses considered.

**The challenge of pile-up for VBF at HL-LHC.**

In the study of the pile-up effects for VBF at the HL-LHC, jets are built from particle flow objects [259] using the anti-$k_t$ algorithm with radius parameter $R = 0.4$ as implemented in FASTJET; they are only considered if $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$. Charged particle tracks are reconstructed from hits in the Itk.
Tracks are associated to the jets and required to have $p_T > 0.9$ GeV and $p_T < 40$ GeV (to suppress fake tracks). The difference between the primary vertex (this is the vertex with the highest $\sum p_T^2 z$ position and the track $z_0$ (longitudinal impact parameter) must be less than $2\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the sum in quadrature of the track $z_0$ and the vertex $z$ uncertainties.

One of the key discriminating observables between pile-up jets and hard-scatter jets is $R_{p_T}$ [260], which is the sum of the $p_T$ of the tracks associated to the jet normalised by the jet $p_T$. Only tracks with $\Delta R < 0.3$ are considered in the calculation of $R_{p_T}$. Jets are declared ‘hard-scatter’ if $R_{p_T} > 0.05$ which corresponds to 85% hard-scatter efficiency and 2% pile-up jet efficiency when $|\eta| < 1.2$ and $|z_{\text{reco}} - z_{\text{true}}| < 0.1$. $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is critical to the $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ search; as an optimisation for the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ reconstruction for the upgraded ATLAS detector is not yet available, the negative sum of the transverse momenta of all reconstructed jets ($E_{T,jet}^{\text{miss}}$) is used in this analysis.

Due to limitations of MC statistics, a simplified version of the Run-2 VBF $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ analysis is used. In particular, all of the angular requirements with jets are removed and there is no binning in $M(j_1, j_2)$ and $E_{T,jet}^{\text{miss}}$ is required to be $> 150$ GeV. For the Run-2 analysis, the event selection efficiency for $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ events is about $2 \times 10^{-6}$ and about 0.5% for the signal with a $B(H \rightarrow \text{invisible}) = 100\%$ (which is about 85% from VBF). Contrary to the Run-2 analysis, here the ggF $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ contribution has been neglected. The background is nearly half QCD $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ and half QCD $W^+\text{jets}$. Since only $Z$+jets are used in this analysis, BR limits are computed by doubling the $Z$+jets background. It is likely that with the extended coverage of the ITk relative to the current tracker the lost leptons will be suppressed and thus the $W$+jets background will be less than the $Z$+jets rate so this approximation is conservative.

A simplified statistical analysis is performed to assess the impact of several scenarios on the $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ BR limit with the full HL-LHC dataset. A one-bin statistical test with one overall source of systematic uncertainty is performed to determine if a particular signal yield is excluded. The signal yield is scanned to determine the largest BR that would be not excluded at the 95% C.L. Table 3.3.1 presents the limits on the $H \rightarrow \text{ invisible}$ BR normalised to the one for the run-2 systematic uncertainties and truth-based pile-up tagging to show the relative gains and losses possible under various scenarios. A ‘–’ indicates a value bigger than 1.

### Table 3.3.1: The limit on the $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ BR using the full HL-LHC dataset (3 ab$^{-1}$) normalised to the one for the Run-2 systematic uncertainties and truth-based pile-up tagging to show the relative gains and losses possible under various scenarios. A ‘–’ indicates a value bigger than 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PU jet rejection</th>
<th>$B(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$</th>
<th>Systematic Uncertainties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta_{\text{truth, nominal}}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{p_T}$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truth</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As an overall conclusion, with a combination of pile-up robustness studies, analysis optimisation, and theory uncertainty reduction, the EW triplet DM searches at the HL-LHC, both in mono-photon and VBF+$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ final states, may be significantly improved.
3.3.3 Search for Higgs portal dark matter models at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: Y. G. Kim, C. B. Park, S. Shin

In a variety of BSM models, the Higgs boson is often considered as a particle mediating the interactions between the dark matter and the SM particles, dubbed as Higgs portal. The Higgs portal models can be categorised by the spin of DM as scalar, fermionic, or vector Higgs portal models.

The scalar Higgs portal models consider a SM singlet scalar DM ($S$) which has interactions with the SM Higgs doublet ($H$) as [261, 262] $SS^*HH^1$, which is a four-dimensional operator. This type of models is often considered as a simplest reference DM model so that there exist various complementary searches combining the results from the direct detection, indirect detection, and the LHC.

The second category of Higgs portal models is the fermionic Higgs portal model considering a fermion ($\psi$) as DM. The interaction term between the DM ($\psi$) and the SM Higgs doublet can be effectively given as [263]

$$\frac{\psi^\dagger \psi HH^1}{\Lambda},$$

assuming the interaction is mediated by additional heavy particle(s) with mass scale $\Lambda$ and the DM $\psi$ is a Dirac fermion. The searches at the LHC, e.g., mono-jet with missing energy, provide constraints directly to $\Lambda$ and the mass of DM. Because this is a five-dimensional operator, a renormalisable simplified model was first introduced in Ref. [264] by adding a SM real singlet scalar $S$ which mixes between the SM Higgs doublet and the singlet scalar. Beyond the minimal set-up in Ref. [264], one can also consider the SM scalar field $S$ a complex scalar, pseudo scalar field [265–269]. Equipped with the mixing and the existence of additional mediator (singlet-like mass eigenstate), this kind of model has been widely used in consistently explaining various experimental/observational results within the context of DM, such as possible direct detection experimental anomalies for light DM region [270], $\gamma$-ray observation from the Galactic Centre [268, 269], baryon-antibaryon asymmetry [271], and so on.

The third category of Higgs portal models is the vector DM model with interaction term between the DM ($V^\mu$) and the SM Higgs doublet [272] $V^\mu V^\nu H H^1$. The vector dark matter can be, e.g., a $U(1)$ vector field which gets a mass term though the Stueckelberg mechanism.

In this report, we focus on the Dirac fermion DM model as a benchmark model and show proper strategies searching for the signals at HL- and HE-LHC. Note that the results would apply similarly to other kind of models, i.e. scalar or vector DM models.

Benchmark model: As a benchmark model we choose the Singlet Fermionic Dark Matter (SFDM) model [264, 268–270, 273] because the analysis methods and results are readily applicable to other type of models. The SFDM has a dark sector composed of a SM singlet real scalar field $S$ and a singlet Dirac fermion field $\psi$ which is the DM candidate. The dark sector Lagrangian with most general renormalisable interactions is given by

$$L^\text{dark} = \bar{\psi}(i\not\!\!\!\partial - m_\psi)\psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu S \partial^\mu S - g_S (\cos \theta \bar{\psi}\psi + \sin \theta \bar{\psi}i\gamma^5 \psi) S - V_S(S, H),$$

where

$$V_S(S, H) = \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 S^2 + \lambda_1 H^1 H S + \lambda_2 H^1 H S^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{3!} S^3 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4!} S^4.$$

The interactions of the singlet sector to the SM sector arise only through the Higgs portal $H^1 H$ as given above. Note that the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.3.2) generally includes both scalar and pseudoscalar interaction terms in the singlet sector, in contrast to the basic model in Ref.s [263, 264, 270], following the set-up explaining the galactic $\gamma$-ray signal [268, 269].

\[ \text{See also Ref. [266].} \]
Then, the tree-level Higgs boson masses are

$$m_{h_1, h_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{m_h} + \frac{2}{m_h} \right) \pm \left( \frac{2}{m_h} - \frac{2}{m_h} \right) \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right],$$

where we assume that $h_1$ corresponds to the SM-like Higgs boson.

An interesting feature of this model is that there are extra scalar self-interaction terms. The cubic self-couplings $c_{ijk}$ for $h_1, h_2, h_k$ interactions, i.e. $c_{111}h_1^3/3! + c_{112}h_1^2h_2^2/2 + c_{122}h_1h_2^2/2 + c_{222}h_2^3/3!$, are functions of the scalar couplings, vacuum expectation values, and $\theta_s$, where the exact forms are written in Ref. [268, 269]. Note that $c_{112}$ is proportional to $\sin \theta_s$ due to the fact that $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2v_s$ is also proportional to $\sin \theta_s$, while the other couplings can remain non-vanishing.

**Signals at the LHC:** The search strategies for SFDM at the LHC rely on the production mechanisms of the SM-like Higgs $h_1$ and the singlet-like Higgs $h_2$. Hence, we first categorise the production channels of $h_1/h_2$ as following.

- Single $h_1/h_2$ production from the Yukawa or gauge interactions
- Double $h_1/h_2$ production from the scalar self-interactions

The first category implies the conventional single Higgs production mechanisms such as gluon fusion, vector boson fusion, $t\bar{t}h$, Higgsstrahlung, etc. Hence, for single $h_1$ production, the precise measurements of the SM Higgs production mechanisms, dubbed as Higgs precision, would provide the indirect hints of the SFDM, unless $h_1$ decays to DM pair. The second category includes exotic signatures depending on the values of trilinear couplings so we further divide the production channels affected by each coupling.

As a next step, the signal type should be classified for each production channel of $h_1/h_2$. Here, we categorise the signal types as

- $E_T^{DM}$ + jets
- $E_T^{DM}$ + $(h_1/h_2 \to SM$ particles$)$
- No $E_T^{DM}$

where $E_T^{DM}$ is the missing energy from the DM production, defined to separate from the missing energy from the neutrino production in the SM, e.g., $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$. Such a missing energy signal from the neutrino production belongs to the last category, “No $E_T^{DM}$”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_T^{DM}$ + jet</th>
<th>$E_T^{DM}$ + $(h_1/h_2 \to SM$ particles$)$</th>
<th>No $E_T^{DM}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single $h_1$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_1 \to \gamma\gamma$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to SM$ (m$_{h_2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single $h_2$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to \gamma\gamma$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double $h_1$:</td>
<td>$pp \to h_1 \to h_1h_1 \to 4\psi$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_1 \to h_1h_1 \to 2\psi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{111}$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to h_2h_2 \to 4\psi$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to h_2h_2 \to 2\psi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double $h_2$:</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to h_2h_2 \to 4\psi$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_2 \to h_2h_2 \to 2\psi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{112}$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_3 \to h_3h_3 \to 4\psi$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_3 \to h_3h_3 \to 2\psi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{122}$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_4 \to h_4h_4 \to 4\psi$</td>
<td>$pp \to h_4 \to h_4h_4 \to 2\psi$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3.2: Production channels of $h_1/h_2$, dubbed as “$h_1$ production”, categorised by the signal types.
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out of the reach of HE-LHC but satisfying the stability of the scalar potential \( V_S(S, H) + V_{SM} \) and the current constraints from Ref. [274].

Table 3.3.2 summarises the production channels of \( h_1/h_2 \) and the suitable signal type for each channel. As stated above, the double Higgs production channels are further classified by the trilinear coupling involved in the process. In many channels, searches for exotic resonance signals by the decay of \( h_2 \), remarked as \( (m_{h_2}) \), can be effective methods in probing the Higgs portal DM models. As long as the DM mass is larger than \( m_{h_2}/2 \), the BRs of \( h_2 \rightarrow SM \) particles are the same as those expected for the hypothetical SM Higgs (with an arbitrary mass not restricted to \( \sim 125 \) GeV). Note that all the processes can occur altogether so one needs a combined analysis to confirm the scenario.

As preliminary but simple examples, we analyse the expected sensitivities of the on-shell processes \( pp \rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \) and \( pp \rightarrow h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2 \), in the signal type “No \( \not{p_T}^{DM} \), assuming the Higgs bosons are produced on-shell. For the on-shell process \( pp \rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \), we apply the search results for heavy scalar into \( h_1 h_1 \rightarrow bbb \) in ATLAS [274] with 36.1 fb\(^{-1} \) data at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV. The sensitivity at HL-LHC is estimated from rescaling the upper limits in Ref. [274] by \( \sqrt{36.1/3000} \) assuming the number of background events at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV and \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) TeV are similar. We also assume the signal significance is well approximated by signal/\( \sqrt{\text{background}} \) where the statistical uncertainty is dominant. On the other hand, it is non-trivial to obtain the sensitivity at HE-LHC. For simplicity, we only consider the ratio of the dominant background events (multi-jets [274]) at \( \sqrt{s} = 27 \) TeV and \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV, which is 2.9 from running MADGRAPH5NLO. In Fig. 3.3.5, we show the expected reach at HL-LHC (HE-LHC). The gray scatter points are out of the reach of HE-LHC. Note that all the scatter points satisfy the stability of the scalar potential \( V_S(S, H) + V_{SM} \) and the current constraints from Ref. [274]. From this result, we conclude that HL-LHC (HE-LHC) can constrain the parameter \( |c_{112}| \) up to 100 (50), which correspond to \( \sin^2 \theta_s \sim 0.004 \) (0.001).

For the on-shell process \( pp \rightarrow h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2 \), we apply the search results for \( pp \rightarrow W h_1 \rightarrow W h_2 h_2 \rightarrow \ell

\ell \) or \( pp \rightarrow Zh_1 \rightarrow Zh_2 h_2 \rightarrow \ell \ell bbb \) in ATLAS [275] with 36.1 fb\(^{-1} \) data at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV. Since the dominant background is \( t\bar{t} + \text{light jets} \), we apply the aforementioned method in obtaining the sensitivities at HL-LHC and HE-LHC for simplicity. In Fig. 3.3.6, we show the expected reach at HL-LHC (HE-LHC).The gray scatter points are out of the reach of HE-LHC but satisfying the stability of the scalar potential \( V_S(S, H) + V_{SM} \) and the current constraints from Ref. [275]. Interestingly, we estimate that HL-LHC can cover most of the parameter space unless \( |c_{122}| \) is as small as \( \sim 5 \) GeV.

In summary, in this section we have explained the SFD model as a reference Higgs portal model. Since the existence of the extra scalar \( h_2 \) is a key ingredient of the model, we categorise the possible production channels of the two Higgs bosons \( (h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) and suitable signal types at the LHC. As a simple example,
Fig. 3.3.6: Expected parameter reach by searching for on-shell \( pp \rightarrow h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2 \) (exotic Higgs decay) at HL-LHC (HE-LHC) is shown with red (blue) scatter points in the \( m_{h_2} - c_{122} \) (left) and \( m_{h_2} - \sin^2 \theta_s \) (right) plane. The gray scatter points are out of the reach of HE-LHC but satisfying the stability of the scalar potential \( V_S(S, H) + V_{SM} \) and the current constraints from Ref. [275].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Spin</th>
<th>( su(3) \times su(2)_L \times u(1)_Y )</th>
<th>( Z_2 )</th>
<th>Copies</th>
<th>DOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \chi )</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>(1,1,0)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1,1,-1)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>2 ( n )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3.3: The new particles we introduce with their respective charges, the number of copies we consider and the number of degrees of freedom.

we estimate the experimental sensitivities of HL-LHC and HE-LHC for two simple on-shell processes \( pp \rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \) and \( pp \rightarrow h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2 \) with several naive assumptions.

3.3.4 Singlet dark matter with slepton-like partners at HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** M. J. Baker and A. Thamm

In this contribution we use a simplified model framework to explore the prospects for the HL and HE-LHC to probe viable multi-TeV dark matter. We consider a bino motivated (gauge-singlet Dirac or Majorana fermion) dark matter candidate accompanied by \( n \) dark-sector scalars with unit hypercharge, table 3.3.3. We consider the three possible Yukawa couplings with SM electrons, muons and taus individually. A pure singlet with no other nearby states cannot efficiently annihilate, resulting in overclosure of the universe. However, when dark sector scalars are included, the observed relic abundance can be recovered for a relatively wide range of masses. In Ref. [276] we determine the couplings which produce the observed abundance of dark matter and calculate the reach of a range of present and future direct detection, indirect detection and collider experiments. In this summary, we will see that there is a large region of viable parameter space for Majorana dark matter which only future colliders, such as the HL-and HE-LHC, can probe.

In addition to kinetic and mass terms, the Lagrangian only has one new interaction term (ignoring the scalar quartic, which plays no role in our phenomenology)

\[
\mathcal{L} \supset \chi (i \partial^\mu - m_\chi) \chi + \frac{1}{2} |D_\mu \phi_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi_i}^2 \phi_i^2 + (y_\chi \phi_i \ell_R + h.c.),
\]

(3.3.5)

where \( D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig Y B_\mu \) and the coupling is taken to be universal, \( i.e. \) \( y_\chi \) is the same for all \( \phi_i \). We consider the cases \( \ell_R = e_R, \mu_R \) and \( \tau_R \), and assume that all \( \phi_i \) have the same mass, \( m_{\phi_i} = m_\phi \), and that \( m_\chi < m_\phi \). We parametrise their mass splitting by \( \Delta = (m_\phi - m_\chi)/m_\chi \). For illustration, we focus on \( n \in \{1, 10\} \). In a supersymmetric context, the DM particle \( \chi \) would correspond to a bino and
the scalar $\phi_i$ can be identified with a right-handed slepton. In SUSY, the number of degrees of freedom of one right-handed slepton corresponds to $n = 1$, all right-handed sleptons corresponds to $n = 3$, while all right- and left-handed sleptons correspond to $n = 9$. We calculate the relic abundances in our models using MICROMEGAS v4.3.5 [277], and then restrict the new Yukawa couplings to lie on the relic surface when considering the reach of various experiments.

It is challenging to search for our dark matter models directly at a hadron collider, since the dark matter is a gauge singlet which only couples to leptons. The coannihilation partner, $\phi_i^\pm$, however, is a charged scalar of similar mass. It will be pair produced in the process $pp \to \phi_i^+ \phi_i^-$ with a subsequent decay to a lepton, $\ell$, and $\chi$, depicted in Fig. 3.3.7 (left), where $B(\phi_i^\pm \to \chi \ell^\pm) = 1$. We focus on final states containing two opposite-sign same-flavour leptons and missing energy. As $\tau$ reconstruction at future colliders is particularly challenging to model, we do not provide collider limits for the $\tau$ models. However, it is clear that the collider reach on $\tau$ models will be somewhat worse than the limits on the models involving electrons and muons.

We present sensitivity projections for the HE-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV assuming an integrated luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$ [278] and for the FCC-hh with $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV and 20 ab$^{-1}$ [279]. We estimate the sensitivity of future colliders to our models by adapting the analysis used in Ref. [85] to search for slepton pair production with subsequent decay to neutralinos and leptons.

The signal $pp \to \phi^+ \phi^-$ is simulated using a custom SARAH v4.12.1 [280] model, we generate the signal and background parton level events using MadGraph5 v2.6.2 [67], simulate the showering using PYTHIA 6.4.28 [92] and perform the detector simulation with DELPHES v3.3.3 [33]. For our 27 TeV simulations, we use the default DELPHES card. For the simulations at 100 TeV we use the FCC DELPHES card implementing the configurations proposed by the FCC working group [281]. For the signal simulation, we adapt the card to treat the DM particle as missing energy. We use the LO partonic production cross-sections and multiply by a generous $K$-factor of 2, as we want to find the exclusion limits in the optimistic case, Fig. 3.3.7 (right). To validate our analysis, we reproduce the relevant backgrounds in Ref. [85].

The main SM backgrounds to our signal are $WW$, $VV$, $WV$, $t\bar{t}$, $Wt$ and $V$+jets, where $V = Z, \gamma$. While only $WW$ and $VV$ are irreducible backgrounds, $WV$, $t\bar{t}$ and $Wt$ contribute if a lepton or one or two $b$-jets are missed. The $V$+jets background is important at low values of $m_{T2}$, but is negligible above $m_{T2} \approx 100$ GeV. In order to isolate the signal, we impose the following cuts. Two opposite-sign same-flavour light leptons are required with $p_T > 35$ GeV and $p_T > 20$ GeV for leading and subleading
leptons, respectively. We veto events with any other leptons, which reduces the $WV$ background. Removing events with $m_{\mu\mu} < 20 \text{ GeV}$ and $|m_{\mu\mu} - m| < 10 \text{ GeV}$ significantly reduces backgrounds with a $Z$-boson in the final state. Finally we cut on the transverse mass $m_{T2} > 200 \text{ GeV}$. For a process where two particles each decay to a lepton and missing energy, the $m_{T2}$ distribution will have an end point at the mass of the heavier particle [282]. Although in Ref. [85] a cut of $m_{T2} > 90 \text{ GeV}$ is used, we increase this to $m_{T2} > 200 \text{ GeV}$. This has a small effect on our signal efficiency, as we are mostly interested in dark matter candidates with mass larger than $200 \text{ GeV}$, while strongly reducing the background from $t\bar{t}$, $Wt$. However, even with this large cut, we find a significant background from $WW$, $WV$ and $VV$, where at least one of the vector bosons is extremely off-shell. To include this effect in MADGRAPH we simulate $pp \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\nu_\alpha\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ and $pp \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\ell_\alpha\bar{\nu}_\alpha$, where $\nu_\alpha$ is $\nu_\tau$, $\nu_\mu$ or $\nu_e$ and $\ell_\alpha$ is any charged lepton. We do not find a similar large contribution from off-shell particles in the $t\bar{t}$ and $Wt$ channels. Even though the cross-section of these gluon initiated channels grows faster than the di-boson processes as the collider energy is increased, they remain a subdominant background as the $t$ continues out to higher values of $m_{T2}$ and as this background only passes the cuts if a jet is missed, reducing the $m_{T2}$ endpoint. Finally, we checked that the contribution from jets faking muons is negligible. In table 3.3.4 we show the cross-sections at each stage in the analysis for the background and for an example signal, $m_\chi = 0.6 \text{ TeV}$, $\Delta = 0.34 (27 \text{ TeV})$ and $m_\chi = 0.8 \text{ TeV}$, $\Delta = 0.2 (100 \text{ TeV})$.

In Fig. 3.3.8 we show the differential distribution in $m_{T2}$ for the muon-type model for the events passing all cuts, for the background and example signal. We see that $\mu^+\mu^-\nu_\alpha\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ is the dominant background, and $\mu^+\mu^-\ell_\alpha\nu_\alpha$ is around an order of magnitude smaller. This is due to both the smaller initial cross-section and the smaller efficiency. We see that both the background and the example signal falls sharply from $m_{T2} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ to $m_{T2} \approx 500 \text{ GeV}$. However, the signal will continue to higher values of $m_{T2}$ for other points in our parameter space. We also see that at $27 \text{ TeV}$, the $\mu^+\mu^-\nu_\alpha\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ continues out to higher values of $m_{T2}$, while at $100 \text{ TeV}$ the situation is reversed.

To estimate the expected exclusion limit, we use a Poisson counting procedure for the signal and background events which pass all the cuts, based on a frequentist framework [257,283]. In Fig. 3.3.9 we present the 90% C.L. sensitivity for the muon type models at a $27 \text{ TeV}$ and a $100 \text{ TeV}$ proton-proton collider. The parameter space probed is where $m_\chi$ is small and $\Delta$ is relatively large. The reach is independent of whether dark matter is Majorana or Dirac, since it depends on the $\phi$-pair production cross-section and the fact that $B(\phi^\pm \rightarrow \chi\ell^{\pm}) = 1$. The large $m_\chi$ region is not probed as $m_\phi$ increases with $m_\chi$, and the $\phi$-pair production cross-section decreases rapidly as $m_\phi$ increases, Fig. 3.3.7 (right). We see that in both cases the limits are strongest when there are more coannihilation partners. This is because the $pp \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}\ell^+\ell^-$ cross-section scales as $n^2$. For $n = 1$, the $27 \text{ TeV}$ ($100 \text{ TeV}$) machine can probe $m_\chi < 0.75 \text{ TeV}$ ($1.2 \text{ TeV}$), for $n = 3$ it can probe $m_\chi < 1.3 \text{ TeV}$ ($2.3 \text{ TeV}$) while for $n = 10$ the limits are $m_\chi < 2.0 \text{ TeV}$ ($4.0 \text{ TeV}$). The small $\Delta$ region is not probed as in this region the momentum of the leptons is small and they are not efficiently reconstructed. This is a well known problem in the coannihilation region. The gap for lower $\Delta$ can be closed, e.g., by looking for ISR [284,285] or for disappearing charged tracks [286–288].

We also overlay the direct and indirect detection bounds from [276], to give a summary of all the relevant current and future experimental constraints. We see that the situation is dramatically different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Energy [TeV]</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-\nu_\alpha\bar{\nu}_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-\ell_\alpha\nu_\alpha$</th>
<th>Example Signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_T^{\mu_1(\mu_2)} &gt; 35(20) \text{ GeV} &amp; \text{ Lepton veto}$</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet veto</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{\mu\mu} &gt; 20 \text{ GeV} &amp;</td>
<td>m_{\mu\mu} - m</td>
<td>&gt; 10 \text{ GeV} &amp; m_{T2} &gt; 200 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3.4: Cross-sections at each stage in fb. The example signals are for the muon type model with $n = 10$ for the parameter points $m_\chi = 0.6 \text{ TeV}$, $\Delta = 0.34 (27 \text{ TeV})$ and $m_\chi = 0.8 \text{ TeV}$, $\Delta = 0.2 (100 \text{ TeV})$. 
3.4 Dark sectors

As in our ordinary world, a dark sector could allow for long-range forces among its matter constituents. Evidence from both cosmology and astrophysics may supporting the possibility of long-range interactions among DM constituents (see, for instance, the role of massless dark photons in galaxy formation and dynamics [291–298]). In the following sections, prospect studies for searches for dark photons at HL- and HE-LHC are presented.

3.4.1 Prospects for dark-photon at the HL-LHCb

Contributors: P. Ilten, M. Williams and X. Cid Vidal, LHCb

A compelling scenario in the search for dark forces and other portals between the visible and dark sectors is that of the dark photon $A'$. In this case, a new $U(1)$ dark force, analogous to the electromagnetic (EM) force, can be introduced into the SM, where the dark photon is the corresponding force mediator which couples to dark matter (or matter) carrying dark charge. The $A'$ can kinetically mix with the photon, allowing the $A'$ to be observed in the spectra of final states produced by the EM current. This mixing can be thought of as a low-energy consequence of a loop process, potentially involving very high
Fig. 3.3.9: Reach of future colliders at 90% C.L., current and future direct detection experiments at 90% C.L., and current and future indirect detection experiments at 95% C.L. for Dirac (left) and Majorana (right) DM interacting with a muon and one (top) and ten (bottom) coannihilation partners in the $\Delta - m_\chi$ plane. The lightly, moderately and strongly shaded regions correspond to the direct detection limits by the future DARWIN experiment with 500 ton · years, 2 ton · years and the LUX limits, respectively, which are discussed in detail in Ref. [276]. The circle and cross signify our example signals shown in Fig. 3.3.8.

mass particles, that connect the visible and dark sectors.

The study of the $A'$ model is based on two free parameters: the mixing term $\varepsilon^2$ and the invariant mass of the $A'$, $m_{A'}$. The mixing term, $\varepsilon^2$, can be interpreted as the ratio of the dark force strength to the EM force strength. Note that for smaller values of $\varepsilon^2$ the dark photon can be long-lived and fly away from its production vertex. Figure 3.4.1 shows the $\varepsilon^2 - m_{A'}$ parameter space with current limits (grey fills, see Ref. [299] for details), current LHCb limits (black bands) [300], and prospects on the LHCb future reach (coloured bands). The light (dark) coloured band corresponds to discovery reach assuming 50 (300) fb$^{-1}$ datasets. These are the expected integrated luminosities at LHCb at the end of Run-4 and Run-5 of the LHC, respectively. These discovery reaches assume increased pileup within LHCb will not have a significant effect on the dark photon reconstruction.

There are at least two complementary ways for LHCb to explore large portions of unconstrained $A'$ parameter space. They address different regions of this space. The first involves prompt and displaced resonance searches using $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 e^+ e^-$ decays [301] (green bands in Fig. 3.4.1). The second is an inclusive di-muon search [302] (blue bands in Fig. 3.4.1) where the di-muon can be prompt or displaced. In both cases the lepton pair is produced from an EM current which kinetically mixes with
the $A'$, producing a sharp resonance at the $A'$ mass. In the first case, the $A'$ is detected in its decay to an $e^+e^-$ pair and in the second to a $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair. The advantage of these approaches is that they do not require the calculation of absolute efficiencies. In both cases, the signal can be normalised to the di-lepton mass sidebands near the $A'$ resonance, where dark photon mixing with the SM virtual photon is negligible. In general, these search strategies depend on three core capabilities of LHCb: excellent secondary vertex resolution, particle identification, and real-time data-analysis. These features are also important for flavour physics, which mainly drives the design of the detector and its upgrades. In particular, the improvement in the impact parameter resolution, expected after the upgrade of the LHCb vertex locator, will be key to tackle the background produced by heavy quark decays.

For the LHCb sensitivities in Fig. 3.4.1, the sensitivity calculated using $D^{*0}\rightarrow D^0 e^+e^-$ decays [301] is based on the normalisation to this channel, which at the same time is the main background for the prompt search. $D^{*0}\rightarrow D^0 e^+e^-$ decays are generated using PYTHIA 8 [50], and the $D^0$ is reconstructed or partially reconstructed through its decay into at least two charged particles. The selection is designed to maximise the $e^+e^-$ mass resolution and to minimise the background. The resolution and efficiencies are obtained using public LHCb information, combined with a simplified simulation of the upgraded vertex locator. For the di-muon search [302], a fiducial selection is designed so that the reconstruction efficiency is essentially flat across the dark photon parameter space, while minimising the presence of background. The relevant experimental resolutions and efficiencies, including those foreseen after subsequent detector upgrades, are taken from public LHCb documents. The normalisation channel, i.e. $\mu^+\mu^-$ production originating from electromagnetic processes, and backgrounds are again studied using PYTHIA 8, corrected with experimental LHC inputs.

A first inclusive search for $A'$ bosons decaying into muon pairs was performed by the LHCb collaboration [300] (black band in Fig. 3.4.1). This search, an implementation of the second strategy described above, used a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1.6 fb$^{-1}$ from pp collisions taken at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Although the data sample used was significantly smaller than the one that will be available at the HL-LHC, this search already produced world-best upper limits in regions of $\varepsilon^2 - m_{A'}$ space. This search was limited by the presence of the LHCb hardware trigger, which severely compromised the detection efficiency of low mass dark photons at LHCb. However, this hardware level trigger will be removed from Run-3 of the LHC onwards. At the same time, this was the first simultaneous prompt and displaced $A'$ search. With around 300 fb$^{-1}$, LHCb will either confirm or reject the presence of a dark photon for significant portions of the theoretically favoured parameter space. It should
be noted that, in non-minimal models, such as those producing dark photons through the Higgs portal, part of this parameter space can be further constrained by other experiments. Examples are given in Sections 3.4.2.1 and 3.4.3.

To cover the gap in reach between the two primary search strategies, presented above, production of $A'$ bosons from light meson decays, $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$, is expected to be used. The parameter space coverage from light meson decays depends on the ability of the LHCb triggerless readout to quickly and efficiently reconstruct low mass and low momentum di-electron pairs. Additionally, the electron momentum resolution, degraded by incomplete bremsstrahlung recovery, dictates the di-electron mass resolution which drives parameter space coverage. More detailed studies are needed to quantify how searches for dark photons from light meson decays will help to constrain the dark photon parameter space.

One of the advantages of the dark photon model is that results can be recast into more complex vector current models, given some knowledge of the dark photon production mechanism and the detector efficiency for displaced dark photon reconstruction. Examples of these models are the $B - L$ boson which couples to the $B - L$ current, the $B$ boson which is leptophobic and couples to baryon number, and a vector boson which mediates a protophobic force. All these models can be fully specified with two parameters: the global coupling $g$ of the vector current for the model with the electromagnetic current, and the mass $m_X$ of the mediating boson. For the dark photon model, this is just $\epsilon$ and $m_{A'}$, respectively.

In Fig. 3.4.2 the initial inclusive di-muon results from LHCb [300] have been recast into these example models using the DARKCAST package [303]. Dark photon searches can also be recast to non-vector models, but such a recasting is no longer as straightforward.

3.4.2 Long-lived dark-photon decays at the HL-LHC

Among the numerous models predicting dark photons, one class of models that is particularly interesting for the LHC features the hidden sector communicating with the SM through a Higgs portal. Dark photons are produced through BSM Higgs decays. They couple to SM particles via a small kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$, as described in Section 3.4.1. If $\epsilon$ is very weak, the lifetime of the dark photon can range from a few millimetres up to several meters. The lower $\epsilon$ is, the longer the dark photon lifetime will be, which then decays displaced from the primary vertex. The following searches from ATLAS and CMS target complementary scenarios and illustrate possible improvements in trigger and analysis strategies which can be used at HL-LHC.
3.4.2.1 Long-lived dark-photon decays into displaced muons at HL-LHC CMS

Contributors: K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, CMS

In the so-called Dark SUSY model [304, 305], an additional dark $U_D(1)$ symmetry is added as a supersymmetric SM extension. Breaking this symmetry gives rise to an additional massive boson, the dark photon $\gamma_D$, which couples to SM particles via a small kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$. A golden channel for such searches is the decay to displaced muons.

The reconstruction of muons with large displacements is challenging both at trigger level and for the final event reconstruction, especially when the long lived particle decays outside the tracker volume and the precision of the tracker cannot be used for the analysis. To identify displacements of physical objects during reconstruction, the transverse impact parameter $d_0$ of the reconstructed track with respect to the primary interaction vertex is used. This analysis from CMS [306] relies on a dedicated muon reconstruction algorithm that is designed for non-prompt muons leaving hits only in the muon system. This is the displaced standalone (DSA) algorithm, using the same reconstruction techniques as prompt muons, but removing any constraint to the interaction point which is still present in the standard standalone (SA) algorithm. The DSA muon algorithm improves transverse impact parameter and transverse momentum ($p_T$) resolutions for displaced muons compared to the SA muon algorithm [307].

In the model studied here [306], dark photons are produced in cascade decays of the SM Higgs boson that would first decay to a pair of MSSM-like lightest neutralinos ($n_1$), each of which can decay further to a dark sector neutralino ($n_D$) and the dark photon, as shown in Fig. 3.4.3. For the branching fraction $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow 2\gamma_D+X)$, where X denotes the particles produced in the decay of the SM Higgs boson apart from the dark photons, 20% is used. This value is in agreement with recent Run-2 studies [308] and taking into account the upper limit on invisible/non-conventional decays of the SM Higgs boson [309]. We assume neutralino masses $m(n_1) = 50$ GeV and $m(n_D) = 1$ GeV, and explore the search sensitivity for dark photon masses and lifetimes in the following ranges: $m(\gamma_D) = (1, 5, 10, 20, 30)$ GeV and $c\tau = (10, 10^2, 10^3, 5 \times 10^3, 10^4)$ mm. Final states with two and four muons are included in the analysis. In the former case, one dark photon decays to a pair of muons while the other dark photon decays to some other fermions (2-muon final state). In the latter case, both dark photons decay to muon pairs (4-muon final state). Both decay chains are shown in Fig. 3.4.3. The assumed Higgs production cross section via gluon-gluon fusion is 49.97 pb [310].

The main background for this search comes from multi-jet production (QCD), $t\bar{t}$ production, and $Z/DY \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events where large impact parameters are (mis)reconstructed. Cosmic ray muons can travel through the detector far away from the primary vertex and mimic the signature of displaced muons. However, thanks to their striking detector signature, muons from cosmic rays can be suppressed by rejecting back-to-back kinematics.

For each event, at least two DSA muons are required. If more than two exist, the ones with the highest $p_T$ are chosen. The two muons must have opposite charge ($q_{\mu,1}q_{\mu,2} = -1$) and must be separated
by $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2} > 0.05$. The three-dimensional angle between the two displaced muons is required to be less than $\pi - 0.05$ (not back-to-back) in order to suppress cosmic ray backgrounds. Additionally, $p_T^{\text{miss}} \geq 50$ GeV is imposed to account for the dark neutralinos escaping the detector without leaving any signal.

In order to discriminate between background and signal, the three-dimensional distance from the primary vertex to the point of closest approach of the extrapolated displaced muon track, called $R_{\text{Muon}}$, is used. The event yield after full event selection of both selected muons as a function of $R_{\text{Muon}}$ is used to search for the signal. Figure 3.4.4 (left) shows $R_{\text{Muon}}$ of the first selected muon for signal and background samples.

The search is performed using a simple counting experiment approach. In the presence of the expected signal, the significance of the corresponding event excess over the expected background is assessed using the likelihood method. In order to evaluate the discovery sensitivity the same input is used as in the limit calculation, now with the assumption that one would have such a signal in the data. The discovery sensitivity is shown in the two-dimensional $m_{\gamma D} - c\tau$ plane in Fig. 3.4.4 (right). This search is sensitive to large decay lengths of the dark photon.

In the absence of a signal, upper limits at 95% C.L. are obtained on a signal event yields with respect to the one expected for the considered model. A Bayesian method with a uniform prior for the signal event rate is used and the nuisance parameters associated with the systematic uncertainties are modelled with log-normal distributions. The resulting limits for the Dark SUSY models are depicted in Fig. 3.4.5. While the results shown in Fig. 3.4.5 (left) are for a dark photon with a decay length of 1 m as a function of the dark photon mass, Fig. 3.4.5 (right) shows the results for a dark photon mass of 20 GeV as a function of the decay length [306]. The relatively long lifetimes accessible in this search provide complementary sensitivity at lower values of $\epsilon$.

### 3.4.2.2 Searching for dark-photons decays to displaced collimated jets of muons at HL-LHC ATLAS

**Contributors:** C. Sebastiani, M. Corradi, S. Giagu, A. Policicchio, ATLAS

Prospects for searches for Hidden Sectors performed by ATLAS are presented in this section [311]. The benchmark model used in this analysis is the Falkowsky-Ruderman-Volansky-Zupan (FRVZ) vector portal model. In this case, a pair of dark fermions $f_{d2}$ is produced in the Higgs boson decay. As shown...
in Fig. 3.4.6, the dark fermion decays in turn to a $\gamma_d$ and a lighter dark fermion assumed to be the Hidden Lightest Stable Particle (HLSP). The dark photon, assumed as vector mediator, mixes kinetically with the SM photon and decays to leptons or light hadrons. The branching fractions depend on its mass. At the LHC, these dark photons would typically be produced with large boost, due to their small mass, resulting in collimated structures containing pairs of leptons and/or light hadrons, known as lepton-jets (LJs). If produced away from the interaction point (IP), they are referred to as "displaced LJs". The mean lifetime $\tau$ of the dark photon is a free parameter of the model, and is related to the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ by the relation:

$$\beta \gamma c \tau \propto \left( \frac{10^{-4}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \left( \frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{m_{\gamma_d}} \right)^2 \text{s}.$$ 

Two new muon trigger algorithms are also studied to improve the selection efficiency of displaced muon pairs. MC samples have been produced at 13 and 14 TeV c.o.m. energy for the FRVZ model assuming $\mu$ equal to 65 and 200, respectively, and various possible $c \tau$. The samples are used to assess the sensitivity of the analysis and study new triggers.

The standard ATLAS triggers are designed assuming prompt production of particles at the interaction point and therefore are very inefficient in selecting the products of displaced decays. The searches
for $\gamma_d$ decays are thus based on events selected by specialised triggers dedicated to the selection of events with displaced muon pairs. However these triggers are still far from optimal. If the dark photon is highly boosted, muons are collimated and the trigger efficiency is limited by the finite granularity of the current hardware trigger level. In terms of an interval of the azimuthal angle $\phi$ and pseudorapidity $\eta$, the granularity is $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi \simeq 0.2 \times 0.2$ (Region of Interest, RoI). If the dark photon is not boosted sufficiently, the out-going muons from a displaced decay are more open and may not point to the IP. The current hardware trigger level has a tight constraint on IP pointing resulting in non-optimal selection efficiency of displaced non-pointing muon tracks.

The new ATLAS detector setup and Trigger & Data Acquisition system for the HL-LHC will offer the opportunity to develop new trigger algorithms overcoming the current limitations. Two new trigger selections have been studied in this work: one dedicated to triggering on collimated LJs in boosted scenarios, based on requiring muons in a single RoI and referred to as L0 multi-muon scan; a second one dedicated to triggering on non-boosted scenarios, loosening the pointing requirements applied in Run-2 and referred to as L0 sagitta muon. A summary of the two triggers is given below, for details see [311].

**L0 multi-muon scan:** this new approach allows to include in the sector logic multiple trigger candidates in the same RoI and leads to a new trigger selection with lower $p_T$ thresholds resulting in a higher efficiency without increasing sensibly the trigger rate. The new trigger algorithm is designed to analyse hit patterns in the Muon Spectrometer. As a first step, the algorithm searches for the pattern with the highest number of hit points, called best pattern, in the MS to form the primary L0 muon candidate. Then all the other possible hit patterns, not compatible with the best pattern, are searched for in the same RoI to form the secondary L0 muon candidates. A quality cut is applied to reduce the influence of noisy hits, requiring patterns with hits on at least three different RPC layers. Patterns are requested to not share RPC hits. If at least one secondary pattern is found, an additional L0 muon is assumed to be found in the RoI. The new L0 trigger algorithm is defined by the logical OR of a single muon L0 with $p_T = 20$ GeV threshold and a multi-muon L0 with $p_T = 10$ GeV threshold. Based on signal MC samples, an overall improvement up to 7% is achieved with respect to the baseline $p_T = 20$ GeV selection, in particular for small opening angle between the two muons from the $\gamma_d$ decays.

**L0 sagitta muon:** this approach allows to recover for loss of efficiency in case of out-going muons from non-boosted $\gamma_d$ that may not be pointing to the IP. The L1 Run-2 trigger has a tight constraint on selecting only pointing muons resulting in non optimal selection of these exotic signatures. A benchmark FRVZ sample with 10 GeV $\gamma_d$ mass is used to for this study. The sagitta, defined as the vertical distance from the midpoint of the chord to the arc of the muon trajectory itself, can be used to estimate the momentum of a charged particle travelling inside a magnetic field. The sagitta of a muon track can be computed at the L0 trigger level using $\eta - \phi$ measurement points in the various RPC stations. The map between the inverse of the sagitta and the muon transverse momentum has been studied using a MC sample of single muons generated according to a uniform transverse momentum distribution. The mean value of the inverse of the sagitta for $p_T = 20$ GeV pointing truth muons is $s^{-1} = 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm}^{-1}$. High transverse momentum non-pointing muons can be thus selected using a L0 muon trigger with low $p_T = 5$ GeV threshold, computing the inverse of the sagitta and requesting a cut on $s^{-1} \leq 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm}^{-1}$. Overall, a $\sim 20\%$ improvement in efficiency is achieved by adding this new trigger according to MC signal studies.

Overall, with these new approaches it is possible to choose a lower single muon $p_T$ threshold as compared to the Run-2 configuration, improving the selection efficiency of events with displaced muon pairs without increasing significantly the trigger rate, see Fig. 3.4.7.

The evaluation of the expected sensitivity of the displaced dark photon search after Run-3 and

---

1The midpoint is defined as the middle point of a segment
2The chord of a circle is a line segment that connects two points of the circle itself
3The arc is a portion of the circumference of a circle.
HL-LHC operations is based on the 2015+2016 Run-2 ATLAS analysis where multivariate techniques are used for signal discrimination against the backgrounds. The benchmark signal model used in the Run-2 search is a FRVZ model with 400 MeV $\gamma_d$ mass and lifetime $c\tau \approx 49$ mm. The branching fraction of the $\gamma_d$ decay to muons is 45%. Only the dominant ggF Higgs production mechanism is considered. One of the main SM backgrounds to the dark photon signal is multijet production. Samples of simulated 14 TeV c.o.m. energy to 14 TeV. These samples are also used to evaluate the systematic uncertainties. Other sources of background include cosmic rays. This is assumed to scale with duration of data taking, and the cosmic ray background from the Run 2 analysis has been scaled accordingly.

Uncertainties have been extrapolated from the Run-2 reference analysis. The statistical sources of uncertainties have been scaled with the expected integrated luminosity, for both Run-3 and HL-LHC. The systematic uncertainties for Run-3 have been assumed to be the same as in the Run-2 analysis. For the HL-LHC projection systematic uncertainties have been evaluated according to the specifications of the ATLAS collaboration for upgrade studies. Overall, the dominant uncertainties (∼20%) are expected to be arising from pile-up.

Results for the three different scenarios (Run 3, HL-LHC and HL-LHC with trigger improvements) are presented in Table 3.4.1 for dark photons with $m_{\gamma_d} = 400$ MeV. The excluded $c\tau$ ranges assuming Higgs into dark photons branching ratio of 10% and 1% respectively are shown. The exclusion limits are re-interpreted in the context of the vector portal model. The exclusion contour plot in the plane defined by the dark photon mass and the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ is presented in Fig. 3.4.8, assuming a Higgs decay branching fraction to the hidden sector of 1% and where gaps correspond to hadronic decays not covered by this analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excluded $c\tau$ [mm]</th>
<th>Run-2</th>
<th>Run-3</th>
<th>HL-LHC</th>
<th>HL-LHC w/ L0 muon-scan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B(h \to 2\gamma_d + X) = 10%$</td>
<td>$2.2 \leq c\tau \leq 111$</td>
<td>$1.15 \leq c\tau \leq 435$</td>
<td>$0.97 \leq c\tau \leq 553$</td>
<td>$0.97 \leq c\tau \leq 597$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(h \to 2\gamma_d + X) = 1%$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.76 \leq c\tau \leq 102$</td>
<td>$2.18 \leq c\tau \leq 142$</td>
<td>$2.13 \leq c\tau \leq 148$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4.1: Ranges of $\gamma_d$ $c\tau$ excluded at 95% C.L. for $h \to 2\gamma_d + X$ assuming $B(h \to 2\gamma_d + X) = 10\%$ and $B(h \to 2\gamma_d + X) = 1\%$ and dark photon mass of 400 MeV.
**Fig. 3.4.8:** Exclusion contour plot in the plane defined by the $\gamma_d$ mass and the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$. Two different scenarios are shown assuming a Higgs decay branching fraction to the hidden sector of 1%: 300 fb$^{-1}$ after Run-3 (red) and 3 ab$^{-1}$ after HL-LHC including multi-muon scan trigger improvement (orange).

### 3.4.2.3 Summary of sensitivity for dark photons from Higgs decays

The discovery reach from the ATLAS and CMS searches for dark photons can be compared to that from the generic $\gamma_d$ search results shown in Fig. 3.4.1. This is reported in Fig. 3.4.9 as a function of the dark photon mass and $\epsilon^2$: the reach of minimal models is shown together with that of models with additional assumptions on the dark photon production mechanism via Higgs decays. A 10% decay rate of the Higgs boson into dark photons is assumed for the latter. Under these assumptions, the HL-LHC ATLAS search will allow to target a crucial region with dark photon mass between 0.2 and 10 GeV and low $\epsilon^2$, while the CMS search will cover higher $\gamma_d$ masses and even lower mixing parameters. This is complementary to the LHCb and low-energy experiments reach as well as with the coverage of prompt-lepton searches at the LHC.

**Fig. 3.4.9:** Summary of the contour reach of searches for dark photons from Higgs decays. The purple, grey and blue areas are explained in Section 3.4.1, and correspond to the minimal dark photon model, with best sensitivity achieved by LHCb and low-energy experiments. The red and pink areas, explained in Section 3.4.2.2 and Section 3.4.2.1, correspond to results from ATLAS and CMS where dark photons are produced through a Higgs boson decay with a branching fraction of 10%.


3.4.3 Searching for dark photons via Higgs-boson production at the HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: S. Biswas, E. Gabrielli, M. Heikinheimo, B. Mele

The dark-sector scenario proposed in Ref. [312, 313] is studied in this section. It aims at naturally solving the Flavour hierarchy problem, while providing suitable candidates for DM constituents. In particular, the scenario envisages the existence of stable dark-fermion fields, acting as DM particles, and heavy messenger scalar fields that communicate the interactions between the dark and the SM sectors. Both dark fermions and messenger fields are charged under an unbroken $U(1)$ interaction in the dark sector, whose non-perturbative dynamics are responsible for an exponential hierarchy in the dark fermion spectrum. Consequently, exponentially spread Yukawa couplings are radiatively generated by the dark sector, thus naturally solving the Flavour hierarchy problem.

A crucial aspect of this flavour model is that it foresees the existence of a massless dark photon. Until recently, most attention in collider physics has been given to the search for massive dark photons, whose $U(1)$ gauge field can naturally develop a tree-level millicharge coupling with ordinary matter fields. On the contrary, strictly massless dark photons, although very appealing from the theoretical point of view, in general lack tree-level couplings to SM fields. Indeed, the latter (even if induced, for instance, by a kinetic mixing with the ordinary photon field) can be rotated away, and reabsorbed in the gauge- and matter-field redefinition [314]. Nevertheless, thanks to the messenger fields, massless dark photons can develop higher-dimensional effective interactions with the SM fields which are suppressed by the effective scale controlling the corresponding higher-dimensional-operator coupling. Then, new dedicated search strategies for the massless dark photons are required with respect to the massive case.

The Higgs boson could play a crucial role in the discovery of massless dark photons at the LHC. As discussed in Ref. [315], by using as a benchmark the model in Ref. [312], an effective $H\gamma\bar{\gamma}$ interaction can be generated at one loop by the exchange of virtual messenger fields in the 3-point loop function. This interaction can be parametrised as $L_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}}} H F_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}$, where $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{F}^{\mu\nu}$ are the field strength of the photon $\gamma$ and the dark photon $\bar{\gamma}$, respectively [315]. While in general the higher-dimensional dark-photon interactions with the SM fields are suppressed, in the present case the Higgs boson can enter a nondecoupling regime in particular model parameter regions, just as happens in the SM for the Higgs couplings to two photons or gluons in the large top-quark mass limit. The effective high-energy scale $\Lambda_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}}$ will then be proportional to the EW Higgs VEV $v$, rather than the characteristic new-physics mass scale. In particular, it will be given by

$$\Lambda_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{6\pi v}{R\sqrt{\alpha \alpha_D}} \frac{1 - \xi}{\xi^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4.1)

where $\xi = \Delta/\bar{m}^2$ is a mixing parameter, with $\Delta$ the left-right mixing term in the messenger square-mass matrix, $\bar{m}$ is the average messenger mass, $\alpha$ and $\alpha_D$ the electromagnetic and the dark $U(1)$ fine structure couplings, respectively, while $R$ is a product of quantum charges [316].

This regime can give rise to an exotic signature corresponding to the Higgs decay

$$H \to \gamma\bar{\gamma},$$

given by a monochromatic photon plus massless missing momentum (both resonating at the Higgs boson mass) with BRs $B_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}}$ as large as a few percent. Below we report the results of a study of the LHC searches for this decay signature in gluon-fusion Higgs production in both the HL- and HE-LHC phases, assuming that $B_{H\gamma\bar{\gamma}}$ is the only parameter that affects the corresponding production mechanism.

The search strategy for the $gg \to H \to \gamma\bar{\gamma}$ process was outlined in Ref. [315] for 8 TeV and in Ref. [317] for 14 TeV, where we also discussed the vector-boson-fusion process. The final state consists of a single photon and missing transverse momentum, possibly accompanied by one or more jets arising from initial state radiation. The event selection criteria proposed in Ref. [317] were:

- one isolated ($\Delta R > 0.4$) photon with $p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV, and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.44$;

101
the text. Awhere the transverse-mass variable is defined as $M_T = \sqrt{2p_T\gamma E_T (1 - \cos \Delta \phi)}$, and $\Delta \phi$ is the azimuthal distance between the photon transverse momentum $p_T^\gamma$, and the missing transverse momentum $E_T$.

The most important SM backgrounds are: (i) $\gamma j$, where missing energy is created from mis-measurement of the jet energy and/or neutrinos from heavy flavour decays, and (ii) $jj$ where in addition to the above, a central jet is misidentified as a photon. In our analysis we assume a probability of 0.1% for mis-tagging a jet as a photon, and a 90% reconstruction efficiency for real photons. In addition to the QCD backgrounds, we identify the following EW backgrounds: $Z\gamma$, where the $Z$ decays into neutrinos; $W\gamma$, where the $W$ decays leptonically (excluding taus) and the charged lepton is outside the acceptance of $|\eta^\ell| < 2.5$; and $W \rightarrow e\nu$, where the electron is misidentified as a photon. We also assume a 0.5% probability for the electron to photon mis-tagging.

We have analysed the EW backgrounds at parton level with MADGRAPH 5 v2.3.3. For the QCD backgrounds we use MADGRAPH 5 interfaced with PYTHIA, and follow the procedure outlined in Ref. [317]. In particular, we have generated event samples at 8 TeV and applied the SUSY benchmark event selection criteria described in the CMS analysis [318], not including the "$\chi^2$, "ETmiss" significance" and "$\alpha$" cuts. With these omissions, the event selection criteria is very similar to our selection criteria described above. We then approximate the effect of these further, more sophisticated cuts on the QCD backgrounds, by matching our event samples with the background yield after these cuts reported in Ref. [318]. This results in a rescaling $k$-factor of $k = 0.11$ for the $\gamma j$ background, and $k = 0.058$ for the $jj$ background at 8 TeV. Finally, we have generated the signal event samples with ALPGEN interfaced with PYTHIA, and included the gluon fusion Higgs production processes with zero to one jets.

Assuming the same rescaling factors for the QCD backgrounds at 14 and 27 TeV, we obtain the signal and background event yields reported in Table 3.4.2, clearly showing a worsening of the signal-to-background ratio at larger energies.

We then tried an alternative strategy to control the QCD background, by analysing the effect of applying a jet veto within $|\eta^j| < 4.5$, where a jet is defined as a cluster of hadrons within a cone of size $R = 0.4$ and $p_T \geq 20$ GeV, using a simple cone algorithm. In this case we no longer apply the rescaling $k$-factors obtained from our previous analysis, as now the cut-flow deviates from the CMS analysis presented in Ref. [318]. The resulting event yields are shown in Table 3.4.3. Based on the event yields reported in Tables 3.4.2 and 3.4.3, we estimate the reach of the HL-LHC and HE-LHC in terms of the BR of the decay mode $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ as shown in Table 3.4.4. On the basis of the present analysis, a quite good potential for HL-LHC is expected, that would allow for a (5$\sigma$) discovery reach on the corresponding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ ($B_{\gamma \gamma} = 1%$)</th>
<th>$\sigma \times A$ [14 TeV]</th>
<th>$\sigma \times A$ [27 TeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma j$</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$jj \rightarrow \gamma j$</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>4738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e \rightarrow \gamma$</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)\gamma$</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z(\rightarrow \nu\nu)\gamma$</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total background</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>5655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4.2: Event yields in femtobarn for signal and backgrounds after the cuts $p_T^\gamma > 50$ GeV, $E_T > 50$ GeV, $100$ GeV $< M_{\gamma j}^\gamma < 130$ GeV. The $\gamma j$ and $jj$ backgrounds are obtained via the rescaling $k$-factors described in the text. $A$ is the acceptance described in the text.

- missing transverse momentum satisfying $E_T > 50$ GeV;
- transverse mass in the range $100$ GeV $< M_{\gamma j}^\gamma < 130$ GeV;
- no isolated leptons within $|\eta^\ell| < 2.5$,

where the transverse-mass variable is defined as $M_{\gamma j}^\gamma = \sqrt{2p_T^\gamma E_T (1 - \cos \Delta \phi)}$, and $\Delta \phi$ is the azimuthal distance between the photon transverse momentum $p_T^\gamma$, and the missing transverse momentum $E_T$. 
Table 3.4.3: Event yields in femtobarn for signal and backgrounds after the cuts $p_T^γ > 50$ GeV, $E_T > 50$ GeV, $100$ GeV $< M_{γγ} < 130$ GeV, and jet veto within $|η^j| < 4.5$. $A$ is the acceptance described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H \rightarrow γγ$ ($B_{γγ} = 1%$)</th>
<th>$σ \times A$ [14 TeV]</th>
<th>$σ \times A$ [27 TeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$γj$</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>139.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$jj \rightarrow γj$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e \rightarrow γ$</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>31235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W(\rightarrow ℓν)γ$</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z(\rightarrow ℓν)γ$</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total background</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4.4: Discovery (5σ) and exclusion (2σ) reach for the $H \rightarrow γγ$ BR (in %) at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC.

$B_{γγ}$ down to $3 \times 10^{-4}$, for $3$ ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, provided the CMS inspired analysis of the $jj$ background can be reliably applied in this case. Same conclusions hold for the HE-LHC project, where a $1 \times 10^{-4}$ (5σ) discovery reach can be achieved, for $15$ ab$^{-1}$ of expected luminosity, assuming that the CMS inspired analysis of the $jj$ background is still reliable at 27 TeV. On the other hand, if a jet veto in $|η^j| < 4.5$ is applied instead, lower sensitivities on $B_{γγ}$ can be obtained, leading to discovery just for $B_{γγ}$ down to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ at both HL-LHC and HE-LHC facilities.

We nevertheless think that a more realistic detector simulation and optimisation strategy would be needed in order to make the present reach estimates more robust. In Ref. [317], one can also find a study of the vector-boson-fusion channel sensitivity to $B_{γγ}$ at 14 TeV.
4 Long Lived Particles

There are many examples of BSM physics where new particles that can be produced at the LHC will be long lived, on collider timescales, and may travel macroscopic distances before decaying. Long lifetimes may be due to small couplings, small mass splittings, a high multiplicity of the decay final state, or a combination of these effects. Details, such as the quantum numbers of the long lived particle (LLP) and the decay products, the typical boost of the LLP and its lifetime, will determine the best search strategy. In all cases, LLPs present unique challenges for the experiments, both in terms of reconstruction/analysis and triggering, especially in the high pile up environment of the HL-LHC. A wide variety of signatures can be produced by these later decaying LLPs which depend on their charge, decay position, branching fractions, masses, and other properties, and which traditional analyses are unlikely to be sensitive to.

If the LLP is charged and decays while still in the tracker to final state particles that are either neutral or too soft to be reconstructed it will appear as a disappearing track: hits in the first few layers of the tracker with no corresponding hits in the outer layers, see Section 4.1. Such a scenario occurs in models (e.g., SUSY) with nearly degenerate charged and neutral states, where the charged pion in the decay is too soft to be seen as a track. A complementary study in the context of disappearing track searches is presented in Section 4.1.2, where the potential of LLP searches at $e^-p$ colliders is presented. We present studies for disappearing tracks searches using simplified models of $\tilde{\chi}^\pm$ production which lead to exclusions of chargino masses up to $m(\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1) = 750 \text{ GeV} (1100 \text{ GeV})$ for lifetimes of $1 \text{ ns}$ for the higgsino (wino) hypothesis. When considering the lifetime predicted by theory, masses up to 300 GeV and 830 GeV can be excluded in higgsino and wino models, respectively. This improves the $36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Run-2 mass reach by a factor of $2-3$.

Decays of LLPs where the decay products are not missed but instead include multiple tracks will lead to events containing at least one displaced vertex (DV). Such a signal is sensitive to both charged and neutral LLPs. If the displacement of the vertex is large, $\gamma_c \tau > \sim 1\text{ m}$, then the only available hits are in the muon system, limiting the final states to muons as in Section 3.4.2.1 and Section 4.2.2.

If the lifetime is shorter the DV can be reconstructed in the tracker. One such analysis of gluinos decaying to a displaced jet and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is presented Section 4.2.1. Searches for long lived dark photons decaying to muons and/or jets are reported in Section 3.4.1 and Section 3.4.2. The signature of long-lived dark photons decaying to displaced muons can be reconstructed with dedicated algorithms and is sensitive to very small coupling $\epsilon^2 \sim 10^{-14}$ for masses of the dark photons between 10 and 35 GeV. Furthermore, LHCb is the only LHC experiment to be fully instrumented in the forward region $2 < \eta < 5$ and has proved to be sensitive to LLPs. This is particularly true in the low mass (few GeV) and low lifetime (few picoseconds) region of the LLPs. Prospects studies from LHCb on LLPs resulting from Higgs decays are shown in Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4.

For displacement of several meters LLPs will transit all of the detector before decaying. Heavy LLPs that are also charged, so called heavy stable charged particles (HSCPs), will behave in a similar fashion to a muon. However, due to their increased mass it may be possible to distinguish them from muons through their time of flight, Section 4.3.1, or anomalous energy loss, Section 4.3.2. Finally, two examples of specialised techniques for LLP with jet-like signatures are presented in Section 4.4, using timing or EM calorimeter information.

In addition to searching for LLPs in ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb there are complementary proposals to build new detectors specifically focused on LLP searches, often for light new physics produced in rare meson decays. A detailed discussion of their capabilities is beyond the scope of this work, and will be discussed elsewhere. The Beyond Collider experiments are AL3X (A Laboratory for Long-Lived eXotics) [319], CODEX-b (COmpact Detector for EXotics at LHCb) [320], FASER (ForwArd Search ExpeRiment) [321–323], milliQan [324,325], MATHUSLA (MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles) [326,327], and SHiP (Search for Hidden Particles) [328,329]. They use alternative search strategies and often give complementary coverage of the available parameter space. In addition
to the afore-mentioned study on disappearing tracks, complementary studies on LLPs e.g. from higgs decays have been performed in the context of a future $e^- p$ collider, resulting in good sensitivity for a wide range in $c\tau$ and mass [330].

4.1 Disappearing Tracks

A disappearing track occurs when the decay products of a charged particle, like a supersymmetric chargino, are not detected (disappear) because they either interact only weakly or have soft momenta and hence are not reconstructed. In the following, prospect studies for HL-, HE- and new proposed $e^- p$ collider are presented, illustrating the potential of this signature as well as its experimental challenges.

4.1.1 Prospects for disappearing track analysis at HL-LHC


The disappearing track search [102] investigates scenarios where the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are almost mass degenerate, leading to a long lifetime for the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ which decays after the first few layers of the inner detector, leaving a track in the innermost layers of the detector. The chargino decays as $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to \pi^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ escapes the detector and the pion has a very low energy and is not reconstructed, leading to the disappearing track signature. Diagram and schematic illustration of production and decay process are shown in Fig. 4.1.1. The main signature of the search is a short “tracklet” which is reconstructed in the inner layers of the detector and subsequently disappears. The tracklet reconstruction efficiency for signal charginos is estimated using fully simulated samples of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ pair production with $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = 600$ GeV. Tracklet reconstruction is performed in two stages. Firstly “standard” tracks, hereafter referred to as tracks are reconstructed. Afterwards the track reconstruction is then rerun with looser criteria, requiring at least four pixel-detector hits. This second reconstruction uses only input hits which are not associated with tracks, referred to as “tracklets”. The tracklets are then extrapolated to the strip detectors, and any compatible hits are assigned to the tracklet candidate. Tracklets are required to have $p_T > 5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.2$. Candidate leptons, which are used only to veto events, are selected with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$ (2.7) for electrons (muons).

The signal region (SR) optimisation is performed by scanning a set of variables which are expected to provide discrimination between the signal scenario under consideration and the expected SM background processes. The final state contains zero leptons, large $E_T^{miss}$ and at least one tracklet, and events are reweighted by the expected efficiencies of tracklet reconstruction. The small mass splitting between the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ implies they are generally produced back to back with similar transverse momentum. Hence it is necessary to select events where the system is boosted by the recoil of at least one
Fake tracklets

The minimum azimuthal angular distance between the first four jets (ordered in $p_T$) and the $E_{T}^{miss}$ is required to be greater than 1, in order to reject events with mis-measured $E_{T}^{miss}$.

There are two main background contributions: SM particles that are reconstructed as tracklets, and events which contain fake tracklets. The SM particles reconstructed as tracklets are typically hadrons scattering in the detector material or electrons undergoing bremsstrahlung. The probability of an isolated electron or hadron leaving a disappearing track is calculated using samples of single electrons or pions passing through the current ATLAS detector layout, and is then scaled to take into account the ratio of material in the current ATLAS inner detector and the upgraded inner tracker. The second background contribution arises from events which contain “fake” tracklets. These events arise from $Z$ material in the current ATLAS inner detector and the upgraded inner tracker. The second background source corresponds to events with a “fake” tracklet, arising predominantly from $Z$ material in the current ATLAS inner detector and the upgraded inner tracker. The second background source corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $3\text{ ab}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$. The errors shown are the total statistical and systematic uncertainty.

Table 4.1.1: Yields are presented for the disappearing track SR selection with an integrated luminosity of $3\text{ ab}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$. The errors shown are the total statistical and systematic uncertainty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Yield (3 ab$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total SM</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V + \text{jets}$ events</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ events</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake tracklets</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this equation, $p_{\text{fake,tight}}^{\text{ATLAS}}$ is the fake rate of the current Run-2 analysis [331], computed using a $d_{0}$ sideband for the track reconstruction, $R_{\text{fake,loose}}^{\text{ITk}}$ is the fake rate in the same $d_{0}$ sideband for ITk computed with a neutrino particle gun sample, such that all tracks are purely a result of pile-up interactions, $R_{\text{fake,loose}}^{\text{ATLAS}}$ is the fake rate in the $d_{0}$ sideband for ATLAS computed on data, $\epsilon_{z_{0}}^{\text{ITk}}$ is the selection efficiency of the tracklet $z_{0}$ selection in ITk, and $\epsilon_{z_{0}}^{\text{ATLAS}}$ is the selection efficiency of the tracklet $z_{0}$ selection in ATLAS.

Systematic uncertainty projections for both searches have been determined starting from the systematic uncertainties studied in Run-2 and evolving them to a level which the ATLAS and CMS collaborations have agreed to consider as a sensible extrapolation to HL–LHC. Hence, the theory modelling uncertainties are expected to halve while the recommendations for detector-level and experimental uncertainties are dependent upon the systematic uncertainty under consideration and are scaled appropriately from the Run-2 analysis. When setting exclusion limits, an additional systematic uncertainty of 20% is set to account for the theoretical systematic uncertainty on the models under consideration. The dominant uncertainties in the disappearing track analysis arise from the modelling of the fake tracklet component, and the total uncertainty on the background yield is extrapolated to be 30%.

Table 4.1.1 presents the expected yields in the SR for the disappearing track search for each background source, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $3\text{ ab}^{-1}$. As seen in the table the dominant background source corresponds to events with a “fake” tracklet, arising predominantly from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$ events with an ISR jet and high $E_{T}^{miss}$, which contain spurious hits that are reconstructed as a tracklet.

Limits at 95% C.L. on the chargino lifetime are shown in Fig. 4.1.2 as a function of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$ mass. The simplified models of chargino production considered include chargino pair production and chargino-neutralino production (both $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{0}$). The potential for the full HL-LHC dataset is expected to exclude at the 95% C.L. chargino lifetimes, assuming a wino-like (higgsino-like) LSP, of between 7 ps (10 ps) and 4 $\mu$s (1.5 $\mu$s) for light charginos with a mass of 100 GeV. Heavier wino-like (higgsino-like) charginos are excluded up to $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) = 1100 \text{ GeV}$ ($750 \text{ GeV}$) for lifetimes of 1 ns. The discovery
potential of the analysis would allow for the discovery of wino-like (higgsino-like) charginos of mass 100 GeV with lifetimes between 20 ps and 700 ns (30 ps and 250 ns), or for a lifetime of 1 ns would allow the discovery of wino-like (higgsino-like) charginos of mass up to 800 GeV (600 GeV).

Finally, Fig. 4.1.3 presents the 95% C.L. expected exclusion limits in the $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ mass plane, from both the disappearing track and dilepton searches. The yellow contour shows the expected exclusion limit from the disappearing track search, with the possibility to exclude $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$ up to 600 GeV for $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) < 0.2$ GeV, and could exclude up to $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0.4$ GeV for $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = 100$ GeV. The blue curve presents the expected exclusion limits from the dilepton search, which could exclude up to 350 GeV in $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$, and for a light chargino mass of 100 GeV would exclude mass differences between 2 and 15 GeV. Improvements that are expected with the upgraded detector, and search technique improvements may further enhance the sensitivity to these models. For example the sensitivity of the disappearing tracks search can be enhanced by optimising the tracking algorithms used for the upgraded ATLAS detector allowing for an increase in tracklet efficiency, the possibility of shorter tracklets produced requiring 3 or 4 hits, and further suppression of the fake tracklet component. The dilepton search sensitivity would be expected to improve by increasing the reconstruction efficiency for low $p_T$ leptons. The addition of the electron channel would also further enhance the search sensitivity.

4.1.2 Complementarities between LHeC and HL-LHC for disappearing track searches

Contributors: K. Deshpande, O. Fischer, J. Zurita

In higgsino-like SUSY models, the Higgsinos’ tiny mass splittings give rise to finite lifetimes for the charginos, which is enhanced by the significant boost of the e.o.m. system and can be used to suppress SM backgrounds [330]. The small mass splittings allow the Higgsinos to decay into $\pi^\pm, \nu^\pm, \mu^\pm + \text{invisible particles}$, with the single visible charged particle having transverse momenta in the $O(0.1)$ GeV range. In the clean environment (i.e. low pile up) of the $e^-p$ collider, such single low-energy charged tracks can be reliably reconstructed, if the minimum displacement between primary and secondary vertex is at least 40 $\mu$m, and the minimum $p_T$ of the charged SM particle is at least 100 MeV. 
It was shown in Ref. [330] that the results do not crucially depend on the exact choice of these parameters. The associated DIS jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV ensures that the event is recorded and determines the position of the primary vertex. The charginos’ decay into a neutral Higgsino and a number of SM particles with small $p_T$ defines the secondary vertex.

Tau leptons with their proper lifetime of $\sim 0.1$ mm constitute an important and irreducible background. VBF can single-$\tau^+\nu_\tau$ and pair produce taus ($\tau^+\tau^-$) together with a jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 4.7$ at LHeC with cross sections of $\sim 0.6$ and $\sim 0.3$ pb, respectively. Kinematically, the $\tau$ decay products can be suppressed to $10^{-3}$ (keeping $O(1)$ of the signal) by requiring $|\eta| > 1$ (in the proton beam direction), $E_T \gtrsim 30$ GeV and the LLP final state energy to be very low ($\lesssim 1.5 \Delta m$ for a given chargino lifetime). Furthermore, in the space of possible final states and decay lengths, the $\tau$’s will populate very different regions than the chargino signal, such that further suppression is possible.

The probability of detecting a chargino is computed by choosing the charged particle momentum from the appropriate phase space distribution in the chargino rest frame, then computing the minimum distance the chargino must travel for the displacement of the resulting charged track to be visible. The sensitivities of detecting at least one ($N_{1\text{+LLP}}$), or two displaced vertices ($N_{2\text{LLP}}$) are shown by the contours in Fig. 4.1.4 for $\mu > 0$. The darker (lighter) shading represents the contour with the lowest (highest) estimate of event yield, obtained by minimising (maximising) with respect to the two different hadronisation scenarios, and $P_{\text{jet}}$ reconstruction assumptions. The difference between the light and dark shaded regions can be interpreted as a range of uncertainty in projected reach.

This sensitivity for Higgsinos via LHeC searches is competitive in mass reach to the monojet projections for the HL-LHC, being sensitive to masses around 200 GeV for the longest theoretically motivated lifetimes (see also Section 4.1.3). The LHeC search has the crucial advantage of actually observing the charged Higgsino parent of the invisible final state. Disappearing track searches at the HL-LHC presented in this report probe higher masses for the longest lifetimes, but lose sensitivity at shorter lifetimes. By comparison, the LHeC search is sensitive to lifetimes as short as microseconds. It is important to note how the robustness of the mass reach of $e^- p$ colliders arise also from the fact that results are not exponentially sensitive to uncertainties in the Higgsino velocity distribution.
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Prospects for a disappearing charged track search are finally presented for three different scenarios of collider energy and integrated luminosity: HL-LHC, HE-LHC, and FCC-hh/SppC (100 TeV, 30 ab$^{-1}$). The studies are documented in Ref. [155] and are complementary to the monojet prospects reported in Section 3.1.3 for higgsino-like SUSY scenarios.

As in Section 3.1.3, the significance is defined as $S/\sqrt{B + (\Delta_B B)^2 + (\Delta_S S)^2}$ where $S$ and $B$ are the total number of signal and background events, and $\Delta_S, \Delta_B$ refer to the corresponding percentage systematic uncertainties, respectively.

Background and signal systematic uncertainties are assumed as $\Delta_B = 20\%$ and $\Delta_S = 10\%$ respectively. In Fig. 4.1.5 we compare the reach of the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and FCC-hh/SppC options in the disappearing charged track analysis for wino-like (left) and Higgsino-like (right) DM search. The solid and dashed lines correspond to modifying the central value of the background estimate$^6$ by a factor of five. With the optimistic estimation of the background, wino-like DM can be probed at the 95% C.L. up to 900, 2100, and 6500 GeV, at the 14, 27, and 100 TeV colliders respectively. For the Higgsino-like scenario, these numbers are reduced to 300, 600, and 1550 GeV, primarily due to the its shorter lifetime and the reduced production rate. For the conservative estimation of the background, the mass reach for the wino-like states are modified to 500, 1500, and 4500 GeV, respectively, at the three collider energies. Similarly, for the Higgsino-like scenario, the reach becomes 200, 450, and 1070 GeV. Results for HL-LHC are also in reasonable agreement with experimental prospect studies. The signal significance in the disappearing track search is rather sensitive to the wino and Higgsino mass values (thus making the 2$\sigma$ and 5$\sigma$ reach very close in mass), due to the fact that the signal event rate decreases exponentially as the chargino lifetime in the lab frame becomes shorter for heavier masses.

The improvements in going from the HL-LHC to the HE-LHC, and further from the HE-LHC to the FCC-hh/SppC are very similar to those obtained for the monojet analysis, namely, around a factor of two and three, respectively. Results for both analyses are summarised in Table 4.1.2.

---

$^6$Background is estimated by extrapolating ATLAS Run-2 analysis [332]. See [155] for details.
Fig. 4.1.5: Comparative reach of the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and FCC-hh/SppC options in the disappearing charged track analysis for wino-like (left) and Higgsino-like (right) DM search. The solid and dashed lines correspond to modifying the central value of the background estimate by a factor of five.

Table 4.1.2: Summary of DM mass reach at 95% C.L. for an EW triplet (wino-like) and a doublet (Higgsino-like) representation, at the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and the FCC-hh/SppC colliders, in optimistic scenarios for the background systematics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>95% C.L.</th>
<th>Wino Monojet</th>
<th>Wino Disappearing Track</th>
<th>Higgsino Monojet</th>
<th>Higgsino Disappearing Track</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>280 GeV</td>
<td>900 GeV</td>
<td>200 GeV</td>
<td>300 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>700 GeV</td>
<td>2.1 TeV</td>
<td>490 GeV</td>
<td>600 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 TeV</td>
<td>2 TeV</td>
<td>6.5 TeV</td>
<td>1.4 TeV</td>
<td>1.6 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Displaced Vertices

Many models of new physics predict long-lived particles which decay within the detector but at an observable distance from the proton-proton interaction point (displaced signatures). If the decay products of the long-lived particle include multiple particles reconstructed as tracks or jets, the decay can produce a distinctive signature of an event containing at least one displaced vertex (DV). In the following sections, a number of prospects studies from ATLAS, CMS and LHCb are presented. Results are interpreted in the context of supersymmetric or higgs-portal scenarios but are applicable to any new physics model predicting one or more DVs, since the analyses are not driven by strict model assumptions.

4.2.1 LLP decaying to a Displaced Vertex and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ at HL-LHC

Contributors: E. Frangipane, L. Jean, L. Lee Jr, H. Oide, S. Pagan Griso, ATLAS

There are several recent papers at the LHC which have searched for displaced vertices, including Refs. [300, 333–335]. The projection presented here [336] requires at least one displaced vertex reconstructed within the ATLAS ITk, and events are required to have at least moderate missing transverse momentum ($E_T^{\text{miss}}$), which serves as a discriminant against background as well as an object on which to trigger. The analysis sensitivity is projected for a benchmark SUSY model of pair production of long-lived gluinos, which can naturally arise in models such as Split SUSY [337]. Each gluino hadronises into an $R$-hadron and decays through a heavy virtual squark into a pair of SM quarks and a stable neutralino with a mass of 100 GeV.

This study makes use of Monte Carlo simulation samples to obtain the kinematic properties of signal events, which are then used to estimate the efficiency for selecting signal events. The pair production of gluinos from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV was simulated in PYTHIA 6.428 [92] at leading order with the AUET2B [338] set of tuned parameters for the underlying event and the CTEQ6L1
parton distribution function (PDF) set [52]. After production, the gluino hadronises into an \( R \)-hadron and is propagated through the ATLAS detector by GEANT4 [54,254] until it decays. PYTHIA 6.428 is called to decay the gluino into a pair of SM quarks and a neutralino and models the three-body decay of the gluino, fragmentation of the remnants of the light-quark system, and hadronisation of the decay products. The gluino lifetime ranges from 0.1 ns to 10 ns, and the neutralino mass is fixed to 100 GeV. To normalise the expected number of signal events in the full HL-LHC dataset, the cross-sections for pair production of gluinos are calculated at next-to-leading order at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) TeV and resummation of soft-gluon emission is taken into account at next-to-leading-logarithm accuracy (NLO+NLL) following the procedure outlined in Ref. [339].

Particle-level Monte Carlo events are used to obtain kinematic distributions for the signal. The expected track reconstruction performance is estimated by factorising it into an acceptance and an efficiency term, and assuming that the efficiency performance of the Run-2 algorithm, currently close to 100%, will be reproduced for ITk for particles which pass the acceptance. The tracking acceptance is based on the number of hits left by a charged particle traversing the silicon sensors; at least seven hits are required for both the current ID and the future ITk. To calculate the ITk acceptance for the tracks of interest, a full simulation of the ITk geometry is used. Only charged decay products with \( p_T > 1 \) GeV are considered and material interactions with the active and passive material of the detector are taken into account. Figure 4.2.1 (left) shows the acceptance as function of the production transverse position (radius) of the particle. The steep drop off in efficiency in the present ID at around 300 mm corresponds to the farthest radial extent of the first layer of the SCT, after which it is unlikely that a typical particle would traverse seven strip layers. In the ITk, the equivalent drop-off does not occur until after 400 mm due to the larger spacing between the silicon layers.

The current displaced vertexing performance is parametrised as a function of the transverse decay position (\( r_{\text{DWP}} \)) and number of reconstructed tracks (\( n_{\text{tracks}} \)) coming from the long-lived particle decay. To extrapolate from the Run-2 efficiency to the expected performance in ITk, the same fit values are used for each bin of \( n_{\text{tracks}} \), while the radial distance at which the vertexing efficiency starts to drop is moved from 300 mm to 400 mm to reflect the change in the location of the inner silicon strip layer, as shown for one particular example in Fig. 4.2.1 (right).

The event selection closely follows the requirements in the recent Run-2 search for a DV and MET [333]. Events are required to have at least one DV within the ITk volume and at least five tracks from the gluino decay must be reconstructed. The tracks and vertices are reconstructed with a probability...
Fig. 4.2.2: Projected sensitivity for the upper limit on the mass of a gluino \( R \)-hadron that can be observed with 3\( \sigma \) and 5\( \sigma \) confidence or excluded at 95\% C.L., as a function of the gluino lifetime, for a background of 1.8\( ^{+1.8}_{-0.9} \) events (left) and a background of 0.02\( ^{+0.02}_{-0.01} \) events (right). These results are valid for a gluino which decays to SM quarks and a stable neutralino with a mass of 100 GeV. Results assume 3 ab\(^{-1}\) of collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) TeV collected with the upgraded ATLAS detector, and are compared to the observed ATLAS exclusion limits for a dataset of 33 fb\(^{-1}\) at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV.

The background for this search is entirely instrumental in nature. For this projection, two different extrapolations of the size of current background are performed. The default extrapolation assumes that the background and its uncertainty will scale linearly with the size of the dataset, resulting in an expected background of 1.8\( ^{+1.8}_{-0.9} \) events. However, several handles could be tightened in the analysis selection to continue to reject background without introducing appreciable signal efficiency loss. For example, additional requirements on the vertex goodness-of-fit or the compatibility of each track with the vertex could be imposed to further reduce backgrounds from low-mass vertices which are merged or crossed by an unrelated track. Therefore, a more optimistic scenario is also considered in which the total background and uncertainty are kept to the current level of 0.02\( ^{+0.02}_{-0.01} \) events.

The signal selection uncertainties are taken to have the same relative size as in the existing Run-2 analysis. Uncertainties on the signal cross-section prediction are taken by varying the choice of PDF and uncertainty are kept to the current level of 0.02\( ^{+0.02}_{-0.01} \) events.

Using the number of expected signal and background events with their respective uncertainties, the expected exclusion limit at 95\% C.L. on the gluino mass, as a function of lifetime, is calculated assuming no signal presence. In the case that signal is present, the 3\( \sigma \) and 5\( \sigma \) observation reaches are also calculated. The results are shown in Fig. 4.2.2 for both background scenarios.

The significant increase in sensitivity relative to the ATLAS result with 33 fb\(^{-1}\) at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV comes in part from the increase in collision energy and integrated luminosity. For longer lifetimes, a significant gain in selection efficiency and therefore reach is also due to the larger volume of the silicon tracker, which allows displaced tracks and displaced vertices to be reconstructed at larger radii. This pushes the radius at which tracks from long-lived particles can be efficiently reconstructed from 300 to 400 mm, with corresponding gain in acceptance for lifetimes of 10 ns and greater. While the results pre-
presented here were studied only for a fixed neutralino mass of 100 GeV, based on the results in Ref. [333], comparable sensitivity is expected over a large range of neutralino masses. As the neutralino mass increases for a fixed gluino mass, the multiplicity and momentum of the visible SM particles decreases, which in turn decreases the efficiency of the requirements on the track multiplicity, vertexing reconstruction, and vertex invariant mass as the difference between the neutralino mass and the gluino mass, $m_{\nu} - m_{\chi}$, falls below 400 GeV.

### 4.2.2 Displaced muons at HL-LHC

**Contributors: K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, CMS**

A growing class of new physics models predict long-lived particles potentially leading to displaced signatures. In this study from CMS we discuss the potential for a SUSY GMSB model with heavy smuons decaying to a SM muon and a gravitino (yielding MET) [306, 341]. Figure 4.2.3 (left) shows the model under study. In this model the smuon is produced in pairs, and is degenerate in mass yielding long lifetimes. In such scenarios the smuon may decay after $O(1 \text{ m})$ or more such that the only detectable hits are in the muon system. Consequently the analysis uses a dedicated reconstruction algorithm for stand-alone muons (DSA) without a constraint on the vertex position.

It is both challenging to trigger and to reconstruct displaced muons, especially if the displacements are large. Triggers and reconstruction algorithms, generally including the primary vertex position, will not be very efficient in reconstructing tracks with large impact parameters. If the particle is sufficiently boosted, the transverse impact parameter is small(er) but the decay may occur well outside the tracker volume. In both cases, the stand-alone capabilities of the muon system constitute the only possibility for detection.

The main background for this search comes from multi-jet production (QCD), $t\bar{t}$ production, and $Z/DY$ events if large impact parameters are (mis)reconstructed. Cosmic ray muons have been studied in Run-2 and are independent of the instantaneous luminosity. In the barrel they are efficiently rejected by the timing of the hits in the upper leg. Cosmic ray muons do not originate at the vertex and therefore pass the upper barrel sectors in reverse direction from outside in. The fraction of cosmic ray muons in the endcaps is negligible. Given the very low cross section of the signal process, it is essential to re-
duce the background efficiently. The best background discriminator is the impact parameter significance $d_0/\sigma(d_0) \geq 10$. The muons should move in roughly opposite directions and MET should be larger than 50 GeV to account for the two gravitinos. After this selection the signal efficiency is about $4 - 5\%$ for $c\tau = 1000$ mm, nearly independent of the smuon mass, and $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ for QCD, $t\bar{t}$, and DY backgrounds.

Figure 4.2.3 shows expected exclusion limits for the gauge-mediated SUSY breaking model with the smuon being a (co-)NLSP for the predicted cross section as well as for a factor 100 larger cross section. The exclusion limits are shown as functions of smuon mass in Fig. 4.2.3 (middle) and decay length in Fig. 4.2.3 (right). The sensitivity also depends on $c\tau$ because shorter decay lengths shift the signal closer to the background. The expected exclusion limit is around 200 GeV for $c\tau = 1000$ mm with 3 ab$^{-1}$. For the same mass, a discovery sensitivity of 3$\sigma$ significance can be reached, as shown in Fig. 4.2.4. This also illustrates the importance of the lepton trigger thresholds to be kept at a few times 10 GeV, even in the environment of 200 pileup interactions. Figure 4.2.4 also shows the discovery sensitivity in the 2-dimensional parameter space of smuon mass and decay length.

### 4.2.3 LLPs decaying into muons and jets at the HL-LHC

**Contributors:** A. Bay, X. Cid Vidal, E. Michielin, L. Sestini and C. Vázquez Sierra, LHCb

The LHCb experiment has proved to be highly competent with regard to direct searches for LLPs, being able to complement ATLAS and CMS in certain parameter space regions [342]. In this section, we provide prospects in the search for R-Parity Violating (RPV) supersymmetric neutralinos decaying semileptonically into a high-$p_T$ muon and two jets. The results are taken from Ref. [343] which extrapolates the analysis in Ref. [344]. The neutralinos are assumed to be produced through an exotic decay of the SM Higgs boson. Prospects are shown for the expected datasets after both planned LHCb Upgrade I and Upgrade II (Run-3–Run-4 and Run-5 onwards, respectively).

The trigger efficiency for this analysis is conservatively assumed to remain unchanged with respect to the published result. However, assuming a 100% efficient first level trigger, after the removal of the hardware trigger level in Run-3, the overall trigger efficiency could improve by a factor of $2 - 3$. Regarding pile-up effects, a moderate penalty factor is applied to account for the increased pile-up expected in Runs 3-5 at LHCb. In order to expand the projections, the results are interpolated for different masses and lifetimes that are not considered in simulation. The interpolation is linear and two-dimensional.
Table 4.2.1: Examples of the acceptance and total efficiencies assumed to detect a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decaying semileptonically at a $pp$ collision energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at the LHCb detector. Reference background yields at an integrated luminosity of $1.7 \, fb^{-1}$ are also presented. Differences in these yields are due to the effect of a multivariate classifier which is trained differently for each mass-lifetime case.

The results are obtained from a preliminary, unoptimised analysis of a subset of data collected for $pp$ collisions at c.o.m. energy of $13$ TeV. To account for a possible deterioration in the background rejection due to multiple primary interactions at high luminosity, a penalty factor of two has been applied to the background yield. The signal efficiency is obtained from the full simulation of the Higgs boson produced via gluon-gluon fusion at $13$ TeV. As explained, no other change in the signal and background efficiencies due to the upgrade of the detector is considered. The difference between $13$ and $14$ TeV energies is assumed to be negligible. Some examples of the efficiencies and background yields assumed for these calculations can be found in Table 4.2.1.

With the updated signal and background yields, the sensitivity projections are computed. The upper limits on the branching fraction of the Higgs boson decay to a pair of neutralinos are calculated for different assumptions of neutralino masses and lifetimes and for different values of integrated luminosity. The Higgs boson production cross section is assumed to be that of the SM [345]. The actual limit is computed by comparing the $14$ TeV efficiencies and background yields to Run-1, and by extrapolating the results published in Ref. [344]. The systematic uncertainties, which are sub-dominant for this result in the published analysis, are assumed to be the same as those in Run-1.

The results are shown in Fig. 4.2.5, for different integrated luminosities. These plots display the RPV neutralino mass and lifetime ranges excluded at 95% C.L.. The ranges are shown for different assumed integrated luminosities and branching fractions of the Higgs boson decay to a pair of RPV neutralinos. The region for which the mass of the neutralino is above $60$ GeV is not shown in these projections, since no simulation was available. It is worth to notice that the lifetime range covered ($0.2 < c\tau < 200 mm$) is constrained by the physical length of the VELO detector, since the LLP is required to decay within the VELO region in order to be able to reconstruct it. For the HL-LHC, most of the LHCb accessible neutralino phase space can be excluded for a branching fraction of the $H \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay larger than 0.5%.

4.2.4 LLPs decaying into dijets at the HL-LHC

Contributors: X. Cid Vidal, E. Michielin, L. Sestini and C. Vázquez Sierra, LHCb

In this section, prospects are obtained for Hidden Valley (HV) [346, 347] pions ($\pi_v$) decaying hadronically into a pair of jets at LHCb. The $\pi_v$, which can be long-lived, are assumed to be produced through an exotic decay of the SM Higgs boson. The prospects in this chapter are taken from Ref. [343], whose analysis is based on a projection of the results published in Ref. [348].
Fig. 4.2.5: Projected sensitivities of the search for RPV supersymmetric neutralinos decaying semileptonically and produced through a Higgs boson exotic decay. The results are extrapolated from Run-1 results (top left), for luminosities of 23 fb$^{-1}$ (top right), 50 fb$^{-1}$ (bottom left) and 300 fb$^{-1}$ (bottom right). The results are presented in terms of the excluded parameter space of the neutralinos for different upper limits at 95% C.L. on the branching fractions of the Higgs boson decay.

The simulation of the HV pions through the Higgs portal is fully specified by the mass and the lifetime of the $\pi_v$ particles, allowed to decay exclusively as $\pi_v \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ since this decay mode is generally preferred in this model.

The assumptions made concerning signal efficiencies and background yields are similar to those discussed in Section 4.2.3. However, in this case, no penalty for the pile-up is applied. Signal and background yields are obtained taking into account the increase of cross sections (from $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV to $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV) and of the integrated luminosities. The scaling of the signal includes both the increase in the cross section of the Higgs boson production and that of the amount of signal falling in the acceptance of the LHCb detector. As an example, Table 4.2.2 shows some of the acceptance and total efficiencies assumed for this extrapolation for different masses and lifetimes of the HV pion. The background is scaled by a factor obtained using simulated $b\bar{b}$ events, which are expected to be the dominant contribution. The assumed yields, extrapolated from Ref. [348], can be found in Table 4.2.3 for an integrated luminosity of 23 fb$^{-1}$. Following the same reference, the yields are divided in bins of the radial coordinate of the HV pion decay vertex position.

With the updated backgrounds and expected signal yields, the CL$_S$ method [95] is used to compute the expected upper limits for different assumptions in the integrated luminosity and of the Higgs decay branching fraction. The Higgs boson production cross section is assumed to be that of the SM [345]. The systematic uncertainties, which are not dominant for the computation of these limits in the published
### Table 4.2.2: Examples of the acceptance and total efficiencies assumed to detect a $\pi_\nu$ particle decaying to a pair of jets at a $pp$ collision energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at the LHCb detector. The main inefficiencies arise from the requirements to have $\pi_\nu$ particle in the VELO and to have the decay products in the LHCb acceptance and from the reconstruction of the secondary vertex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c\tau_{\pi\nu}$ (mm)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>$m_{\pi\nu}$ GeV/$c^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4.2.3: Background yields assumed for the HV pion analysis at an integrated luminosity of 23 fb$^{-1}$. The yields are divided in bins of $R_{xy} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, where $x, y$ are the coordinates of the $\pi_\nu$ particle decay vertex position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_{xy}$ (mm)</th>
<th>0.4 – 1</th>
<th>1 – 1.5</th>
<th>1.5 – 2</th>
<th>2 – 3</th>
<th>3 – 5</th>
<th>5 – 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background yield (23 fb$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$5.4 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.8 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3 Heavy Stable Charged Particles at HL-LHC

Several extensions of the SM predict the existence of new heavy particles with long lifetimes. If their lifetime exceeds a few nanoseconds, such particles can travel through the majority of the detector before decaying and therefore appear as stable. In the following, two dedicated studies performed using the upgraded CMS detector at the HL-LHC are presented for particles with non-zero electric charge and for particles with anomalously high energy loss through ionisation in the silicon sensors. Emphasis is given to detector requirements necessary to perform such specialised searches.

#### 4.3.1 Heavy stable charged particle search with time of flight measurements

**Contributors:** C. Carrillo, J. Goh, M. Gouzevitch, G. Ramirez-Sanchez, CMS

In this section, we consider particles with non-zero electric charge which are referred to as heavy stable charged particles (HSCPs). We concentrate on the performance in terms of specific HSCP parameters in a model-independent way rather than providing an interpretation in a dedicated model. Given the wide range of new models, it is important to stay sensitive to a wide range of unusual signatures such as very slowly moving particles. The results presented here are from the CMS Collaboration based on Ref. [349].

HSCPs will leave a direct signal in the tracker and muon systems of CMS and can be reconstructed similarly to muons. Depending on their mass, HSCPs can potentially move much more slowly than muons, which are typically travelling nearly at the speed of light ($\beta \approx 1$). Therefore, HSCPs can be identified using their time-of-flight (TOF) from the centre of CMS to the muon systems. This is
Fig. 4.2.6: Projected sensitivities of the search for HV pions decaying hadronically and produced through a Higgs boson exotic decay. The results are extrapolated from Run-1 results (top left), for luminosities of 23 fb$^{-1}$ (top right), 50 fb$^{-1}$ (bottom left) and 300 fb$^{-1}$ (bottom right). The results are presented in terms of the excluded parameter space of the HV pions for different upper limits at 95% C.L. on the branching fractions of the Higgs boson decay.

illustrated in Fig. 4.3.1 for a slowly moving HSCP in comparison to relativistic muons, here from the decay of Z bosons. The latter are centred around zero time with respect to their uniquely identified bunch crossing. This study builds on the improvements from the upgrade of the RPC link boards in the CMS muon barrel and endcaps [341]. While the time resolution of the present RPC system is around 25 ns, the upgraded link board systems are expected to reach resolutions near 1 ns for the entire RPC system. This upgrade enables new analysis strategies at both the trigger and offline levels.

An HSCP trajectory is reconstructed as a slowly moving muon introducing the parameter $\beta$ quantifying the (non)-relativistic velocity of the particle. The velocity may be computed by measuring the time of flight in the muon detectors at large distances from the collision point. Particles moving slowly through the muon systems leave hits with a linear pattern in hit-position versus time. The hits can be spread across several bunch crossings. Therefore, muon detectors with precise timing can provide important information for the HSCP signal searches.

Figure 4.3.2 (left) shows the achievable mass resolution for a supersymmetric $\tilde{\tau}$ lepton of 1.6 TeV mass. The resolution for the HSCP mass obtained for Phase-2 at the trigger level is comparable to that realised in Run-2 studies based on offline time-of-flight information from other muon detectors in CMS. The information provided by the RPC trigger can be used as an independent cross check of the reconstructed mass. Figure 4.3.2 (right) illustrates the expected reconstruction efficiency as a function of $\eta$ and $\beta$. For $|\eta| < 1.5$, an efficiency of up to 90% can be reached for values of $\beta > 0.25$. In Run-2,
Fig. 4.3.1: Example of an RPC hit time measurement distribution for muons from the SM process $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ in comparison to events from semi-stable staus with a mass of about 1600 GeV, produced in $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}$ processes. The relativistic muons pass through the detector at the speed of light, hence their time of arrival is centred around zero. Decay products from the slowly moving staus arrive much later, for the given mass on average by 10 ns.

Fig. 4.3.2: Left: comparison of the mass resolution for a 1.6 TeV stau. In Run-2 the plotted resolution can only be achieved offline, while the upgraded RPC link-boards in Phase-2 provide a similar mass resolution already at the trigger level. Right: reconstruction efficiency for HSCPas a function of $\beta$ and $\eta$ given by the colour code of the z-axis. With the Phase-2 upgrade, events with $\beta < 0.5$ can be triggered with nearly 90% efficiency for $|\eta| < 0.8$.

the trigger is highly efficient between $0.6 < \beta < 1$, but only about 20% efficient for $\beta < 0.5$ [350, 351]. The large gain in efficiency for very slowly moving particles in Phase-2 enabled by the upgrade of the RPC trigger can be exploited in a model independent HSCP search.

4.3.2 Heavy stable charged particle search with energy loss

Contributors: J. Pazzini, J. Zobec, CMS

It may happen that the only signs of new physics are rather exotic signatures that cannot be detected with conventional analyses. An example for such a signature is the production of heavy stable charged particles with long lifetimes that move slowly through the detector, heavily ionising the sensor material as they pass through. The supersymmetric particles stau ($\tilde{\tau}$) and gluino ($\tilde{g}$) are possible examples. Often, the cross section for such processes is expected to be very small and hence the HL-LHC provides a good environment for searching for such particles. Depending on their mass and charge, we can expect anomalously high energy loss through ionisation ($dE/dx$) in the silicon sensors with respect...
to the typical energy loss for SM particles (≈ 3 MeV/cm for minimum ionising particles (MIPs) with 10 – 1000 GeV momentum).

The present strip tracker in the CMS detector features analogue readout, and the pixel detector featured analogue readout at Phase-0 and features digital readout at Phase-1, allowing for excellent \(dE/dx\) measurements. The Phase-2 CMS Inner Tracker will continue providing \(dE/dx\) measurements, enabled by its Time over Threshold readout, while the Outer Tracker cannot provide such information, given that the readout is binary [352]. To increase the sensitivity for signatures with anomalously high ionisation loss, a second, programmable, threshold has been implemented in the readout electronics of some modules of the Outer Tracker, and a dedicated readout bit signals if a hit is above this second threshold [352]. Searches for heavy stable (or quasistable) charged particles (HSCPs) can thus be performed by measuring the energy loss in the Inner Tracker and by discriminating HSCPs from minimum ionising particles based on the “HIP flag” in the Outer Tracker. A threshold corresponding to the charge of minimum ionising particles (MIPs) is used in the simulation, and the gain in sensitivity obtained by using the HIP flag is studied [352].

An estimator of the degree of compatibility of the track with the MIP hypothesis is defined to separate candidate HSCPs from tracks from SM background sources. The high resolution \(dE/dx\) measurements provided by the Inner Tracker modules are used for the computation of the \(dE/dx\) discriminator. In Fig. 4.3.3 (left) the distribution of \(dE/dx\) versus track momentum \(p\) for high momentum tracks \((p_T > 55 \text{ GeV})\) selected in background events and candidate signal particles is shown. Two HSCP signals, pair produced \(\tilde{\tau}\)s with a mass of 871 GeV and a gluino with a mass of 1400 GeV, are compared to tracks from SM processes. In Fig. 4.3.3 (right) the distribution of the number of high threshold clusters with HIP flag per track is shown for the HSCP signals, gluinos (green) and \(\tilde{\tau}\)s (blue), highly ionising and low-momentum protons and kaons (magenta), and tracks with high momentum \((p_T > 55 \text{ GeV})\) in background events (red).

Figure 4.3.4 shows the performance of the discriminator by evaluating the signal versus background efficiency curves to identify tracks from signal events and reject those originating from backgrounds. The performance curves are evaluated for two different strategies for the discriminator: the
Fig. 4.3.4: Performance of the $dE/dx$ discriminator for selecting pair produced $\tilde{\tau}$s (left) and gluinos (right) in events with 0 PU and 200 PU. The signal versus background efficiency performance curves for a discriminator making use of both the pixel information and the Outer Tracker HIP flag (red and magenta) demonstrate a better performance compared to a discriminator trained to exploit only the $dE/dx$ information from the pixel modules (blue and green), for a background rejection of $10^{-6}$.

original $dE/dx$ discriminator, which relies solely on the Inner Tracker modules ("$dE/dx$-only"), and a recomputed discriminator which includes the HIP flags from Outer Tracker modules ("$dE/dx$+HIP bit"). The signal versus background efficiency performance curves in Fig. 4.3.4 demonstrate that for a background efficiency of $10^{-6}$, analogous to the Phase-1 analysis performance, the $dE/dx$+HIP-based discriminator leads to an expected signal efficiency of 40%, around 4 to 8 times better than the $dE/dx$-only discriminator. In the $dE/dx$-only scenario, the efficiency for the HSCP signal is about 8 times smaller than that obtained in Phase-1 [351] and about 64 times the Phase-1 luminosity would be required to reach the Phase-1 sensitivity, making this search almost untenable. The inclusion of the HIP flag for the Outer Tracker restores much of the efficiency, so that the same sensitivity as in Phase-1 will be realised with about four times the luminosity of Phase-1. The Phase-1 sensitivity will be surpassed with the full expected integrated luminosity of the HL-LHC. This study demonstrates the critical impact of the HIP flag in restoring the sensitivity of the CMS tracker for searches for highly ionising particles.

4.4 Additional examples of specialised techniques for LLP at HL-LHC

Two examples of specialised techniques relevant for LLPs are presented in this section. First, CMS illustrates the importance of precise timing detectors providing efficient measure the time of flight of LLPs between primary and secondary vertices. Second, ATLAS shows how jets arising from neutral LLPs decaying within the hadronic calorimeter can be characterised to efficiently reduce pile-up dependencies and therefore improve the sensitivity to new physics of this kind.

4.4.1 Fast timing signatures for long-lived particles

Contributors: D. del Re, A. Ledovskoy, C. Rogan, L. Soffi, CMS

A precision MIP timing detector (MTD) allows one to assign timing for each reconstructed vertex and to measure the time of flight of LLPs between primary and secondary vertices. This section presents studies from the CMS Collaboration from Ref. [353] exploring the potential of such techniques at the HL-LHC.

Using the measured displacement between primary and secondary vertices in space and time, the velocity of LLPs in the lab frame $\vec{\beta}_{LAB}^P$ (and $\gamma_P$) can be calculated. In such scenarios, the LLP can decay
to fully-visible or partially-invisible systems. Using the measured energy and momentum of the visible portion of the decay, \( E_{P}^{LAB} \) and \( \vec{P}_{P}^{LAB} \), one can calculate its energy in the LLP rest frame as

\[
E_{P}^{V} = \gamma_{P} \left( E_{V}^{LAB} - \vec{P}_{V}^{LAB} \cdot \vec{\beta}_{V}^{LAB} \right) = \frac{m_{P}^{2} - m_{I}^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}{2m_{P}}, 
\]

where \( m_{P} \), \( m_{V} \), and \( m_{I} \) are the masses of the LLP, the visible and the invisible systems, respectively. Assuming the mass of the invisible system is known, the subsequent mass of the LLP can be reconstructed as

\[
m_{P} = E_{V}^{P} + \sqrt{E_{V}^{P^{2}} + m_{I}^{2} - m_{V}^{2}}. 
\]

The reconstruction of the decay vertex for neutral LLPs decaying to visible or partially-invisible decay products is enabled, thus offering unprecedented sensitivity in these searches at the LHC. The benefits of precision timing on such LLP searches is illustrated in two representative SUSY examples.

The first example is a gauge mediated SUSY breaking (GMSB) scenario where the \( \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \) couples to the gravitino \( \tilde{G} \) via higher-dimension operators sensitive to the SUSY breaking scale. In such scenarios, the \( \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \) may have a long lifetime [354]. It is produced in top-squark pair production with \( \tilde{t} \rightarrow t + \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \), \( \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z + \tilde{G} \), and \( Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \). The decay diagram is shown in Fig. 4.4.1 (left).

Events were generated with PYTHIA 8 [68]. The masses of the top-squark and neutralino were set to 1000 GeV and 700 GeV, respectively. Generator-level quantities were smeared according to the expected experimental resolutions. A position resolution of 12 \( \mu m \) in each of three directions was assumed for the primary vertex [355]. The secondary vertex position for the \( e^{+} e^{-} \) pair was reconstructed assuming 30 \( \mu m \) track resolution in the transverse direction [355]. The momentum resolution for electrons was assumed to be 2%. And finally, the time resolution of a charged track at the vertex was assumed to be 30 ps.

The mass of the LLP was reconstructed with Eq.s (4.4.1) and (4.4.2) assuming the gravitino is massless by setting \( m_{I} = 0 \). Figure 4.4.1 (right) shows the distribution of the reconstructed mass of the neutralino for various \( \epsilon \tau \) values of the LLP. The fraction of events with a separation between primary and secondary vertices of more than 3\( \sigma \) in both space and time as a function of MTD resolution is show in Fig. 4.4.2 (left). The mass resolution, defined as half of the shortest mass interval that contains 68% of events with 3\( \sigma \) displacement, as a function of MTD resolution is shown in Fig. 4.4.2 (right).
Fig. 4.4.2: Efficiency (left) and mass resolution (right) as a function of timing resolution of MTD for reconstruction of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass in SUSY GMSB example of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{G} + e^+ e^-$ with $M(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 700$ GeV considering events with separation of primary and secondary vertices more than $3\sigma$ in both space and time.

Fig. 4.4.3: Left: diagram for SUSY process that results in a diphoton final state through gluino production at the LHC. Right: sensitivity to GMSB $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{G} + \gamma$ signals expressed in terms of neutralino lifetimes and masses assuming a timing detector with different values of resolution and an integrated luminosity of $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

The second SUSY example is a GMSB benchmark scenario [346] where the lightest neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) is the next-to-lightest supersymmetric particle, and can be long-lived and decay to a photon and a gravitino ($\tilde{G}$), which is the LSP. Figure 4.4.3(left) shows a diagram of a possible gluino pair-production process that results in a diphoton final state.

For a long-lived neutralino, the photon from the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{G} + \gamma$ decay is produced at the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay vertex, at some distance from the beam line, and reaches the detector at a later time than the prompt, relativistic particles produced at the interaction point. The time of arrival of the photon at the detector can be used to discriminate signal from background. The time of flight of the photon inside the detector is the sum of the time of flight of the neutralino before its decay and the time of flight of the photon itself until it reaches the detector. Since the neutralino is a massive particle, the latter is clearly negligible with respect to the former. It becomes clear in this sense that in order to be sensitive to short neutralino lifetimes ($O(\text{cm})$), the measurement of the photon time of flight is a crucial ingredient of the analysis. The excellent resolution of the MTD detector ($O(30 \text{ ps})$) can therefore be exploited to determine with high accuracy the time of flight of the neutralino, and therefore of the photon, also in case of a short...
lifetime.

A simple analysis has been performed at generator level in order to evaluate the sensitivity of a search for displaced photons at CMS in the scenario where a 30 ps timing resolution is available from the MTD. Events were generated with PYTHIA 8. The values of the $\Lambda$ scale parameter were considered in the range $100 - 500$ TeV, and the neutralino lifetimes ($c\tau$) explored in the range $0.1 - 300$ cm. After requiring the neutralino decaying within the CMS ECAL acceptance and the photon energy being above a “trigger-like” threshold, the generator-level photon time of flight was smeared according to the expected experimental resolutions. A cut at photon time greater than $3\sigma$ of the considered time resolution is applied and the assumption of background being zero in this “signal region” is made. The signal efficiency of such a requirement is computed and translated, assuming the theoretical cross-sections provided in Ref. [346], in an upper limit at 95% C.L. on the production cross-section of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{G} + \gamma$ process.

Assuming a timing resolution of the order of 300 ps, (thus requiring photon time greater than 1 ns) close to the Run1 CMS performance [356], the analysis sensitivity in terms of neutralino mass and lifetime is computed and shown in Fig. 4.4.3 (right) for a reference luminosity of 300 fb$^{-1}$, along with comparisons with improved timing resolution. For the hypothesis of $\sigma_T = 180$ ps a timing cut is applied at 450 ps and for the $\sigma_T = 30$ ps the timing is required to be larger than 100 ps at selection level. As shown in the figure, the increase of the signal efficiency at small lifetime, made possible with the precise MTD, allows to extend the sensitivity region in the explored phase space of short lifetime and large masses of the neutralino.

### 4.4.2 Jets reconstruction techniques for neutral LLPs

**Contributors:** S. Pagan Griso, R. Rosten, ATLAS

Traditional methods may fail to reconstruct, or may improperly reconstruct, objects associated with LLP decays. Searches for LLPs that are neutral under the SM gauge group might be targeted exploiting hadronic calorimeters. The techniques developed are described in the following, for more details see Ref. [357].

Jets resulting from neutral LLPs decaying within the hadronic calorimeter have several properties that are uncommon in jets originating at the interaction point. Within the inner detector, they naturally lack associated tracks. They likewise lack associated energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter. Furthermore, the reconstructed jets are narrower than for a similar shower originating at the interaction point (IP) due to large displacement of the decay vertex. These properties, as well as those of the jet’s constituents, can be used to discriminate between jets from displaced decays and those originating from the IP. On the other hand, the reconstructed jets are similar to those associated with non-collision backgrounds (NCB). Standard jet cleaning tools tend to reject jets resembling those associated with NCB, which is a primary reason the vast majority of non-LLP-dedicated jet searches will miss this signature, while the searches for an LLP would require a dedicated jet quality selection.

The unusual signature of neutral LLP decays within the hadronic calorimeter encourages the use of dedicated triggers. At Level-1, the narrowness of the jets allows tau-candidates to be used to keep the energy threshold low while avoiding prescaling. In the higher level trigger, the low electromagnetic fraction and lack of pointing tracks can be further used to reject most jets. However, rates due to NCB, particularly beam-induced background (BIB), necessitate the use of a dedicated BIB-removal algorithm to keep rates acceptably low.

At Level-1, these dedicated triggers have benefited from the use of the Level-1 topological triggers. These have allowed for a cut on a rough estimate of the electromagnetic fraction to be applied at Level-1, allowing for the energy threshold to remain lower even as the lowest energy unprescaled Level-1 tau trigger gets pushed to higher and higher thresholds. Keeping this rate down at higher pile-up will be crucial to gathering high-statistics, high-purity samples for offline analysis. The increased longitudinal
Level-I granularity in Phase-II is especially promising for such a trigger. It may allow for a quick assessment of the energy deposited per layer in a jet, which has already been found to be a good discriminator offline for LLP jets.

Pile-up presents challenges for LLP searches at the analysis level as well. Soft energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter in line with energy deposits from a neutral LLP result in jets with a higher than allowed fraction of their energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter. An alternative to using this coarse fraction is to consider the energy deposited per layer.

The model used in the generation of LLP signatures is a simplified hidden-sector toy model with a sector, containing particles neutral under the SM gauge group, weakly coupled to the SM sector. Interactions between sectors may occur via a communicator particle. Due to the weak coupling between sectors, the lifetime of these particles can be long. The process here is one in which a scalar boson, $\phi$, which is also the communicator, is produced during the $pp$ collision in ATLAS and decays to a pair of hidden sector particles $s$. Each LLP $s$, in turn, decays with long lifetimes via the communicator to heavy SM states. Heavy states are preferred due to the Yukawa coupling to the $\phi$ boson.

Figures 4.4.4 show some examples of the fraction of total jet energy at the EM-scale deposited by the LLPs produced within the $|\eta| < 0.7$ rapidity range in the given layer for different slices of the average $\mu$ as a function of the LLP transverse decay position $L_{xy}$. For lighter pairs of LLPs, $s$ and their parent particle $\phi$, the effects of increasing pile-up are small, but possibly not negligible. This may motivate the introduction of multivariate analysis (MVA) technique for identifying jets consistent with displaced LLP decays. Such an MVA can be in the form of a regression that attempts to identify the decay position of the jet-initiation particle. It can be made directly pile-up-aware by including pile-up as one of its
training variables. However, as long as the MVA is given the fraction of energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter, where most of the pile-up energy will be deposited, it is expected to be able to distinguish between jets initiated by decays at the same position under different pile-up conditions.
5 High-\(p_T\) signatures from flavour

Flavour physics is often considered one of the most sensitive probes of new physics, which, depending on observable and model, can constrain new physics at scales of \(1 \sim 10^5\) TeV. Many of the observables are associated with precision measurements at the intensity frontier. This is well documented in the flavour chapter of this report, Ref. [31]. Any small deviations in precision low energy measurements, could signal the presence of new particles in the TeV scale, whose effect, once integrated out, is to alter precision low energy observables. Thus, in tandem with low energy flavour observables, it is important to identify the high-\(p_T\) probes of flavour physics, relating direct tests with the indirect flavour probes.

Similar arguments hold for neutrino physics, where the generation of neutrino masses through the seesaw mechanism may generate a large variety of collider signatures, such as new resonances and cascade decays involving multiple leptons. In this section we first discuss the implication of neutrino mass models involving new heavy gauge bosons and sterile neutrinos, see Section 5.1. We then focus in Section 5.2 on constraints on models of \(Z'\) and leptoquarks related to \(B\)-decay flavour anomalies, and leptoquarks decaying to top and tau. Finally, in Section 5.3, we present a summary of the implications of these high-\(p_T\) prospects on the parameter space of various UV models addressing the aforementioned anomalies. Notice that part of the material included in this section is also contained in the flavour chapter of this report [31].

5.1 Neutrino masses

The potential Majorana nature of neutrinos as well as the origin of their tiny masses and large mixing angles remain some of the most pressing open issues in particle physics today. Models that address these mysteries, collective known as Seesaw models, hypothesise the presence of new particles that couple to SM fields via mixing/Yukawa couplings, SM gauge currents, and/or new gauge symmetries. The new predicted particles, often right-handed sterile neutrinos, can explain the generation of neutrino masses via a low-scale seesaw mechanism. Mixing between the active and sterile neutrinos is strongly constrained by precision measurements [358]. At the LHC, searches in purely leptonic final states have started probing masses below \(m_Z\) [359]. On the other hand, a plethora of rich physics can be studied in considerable detail at hadron colliders, and greatly complement low energy and oscillation probes of neutrinos [360,361].

In this case, the leading production of heavy neutrinos depends on the mixing with the electron flavour, in contrast to production in \(pp\) collisions, where it is proportional to the total mixing. This allows us to infer the relative strength of the mixings, especially if a hierarchy is present. For more details, including comparison with \(ee\) and \(pp\) colliders, see Ref. [362].

In the following, a comprehensive summary of the discovery potential of Seesaw models at hadron colliders with collision energies of \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) and 27 TeV is presented in Section 5.1.1. Heavy composite Majorana neutrinos are studied in Section 5.1.2 using same-sign leptons signatures, and using dilepton and jets signatures in Section 5.1.3.

5.1.1 Neutrino mass models at the HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** T. Han, T. Li, X. Marcano, S. Pascoli, R. Ruiz, C. Weiland

We summarise the discovery potential of seesaw models at hadron colliders with collision energies of \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) and 27 TeV. In particular, we will discuss models featuring heavy neutrinos, both pseudo-Dirac and Majorana as well as those with new gauge interaction, and models featuring scalar and fermion EW triplets. For a more comprehensive reviews on the sensitivity of colliders to neutrino mass models, see Ref.s [360, 361, 363–365] and references therein.
\[
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Fig. 5.1.1: Top: Born-level diagrams for heavy neutrino \(N\) production via (a) Drell-Yan, (b) gluon fusion, and (c) vector boson fusion; from Ref. [368]. Bottom: production cross sections, divided by active-heavy mixing \(|V_{\ell N}|^2\), as a function of the heavy neutrino mass at \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) TeV (left) and 27 TeV (right) [368, 369].

The Type I seesaw and Variants Discovery Potential at the HL- and HE-LHC

The Type I seesaw hypothesises the existence of fermionic gauge singlets with a Majorana mass term whose couplings to SM leptons generate light neutrino masses and mixing. In Ref.s [366, 367], it was proved that requiring all three light neutrinos to be massless is equivalent to the conservation of lepton number at all orders in perturbation theory. In other words, for low-scale seesaw models with only fermionic singlets, lepton number has to be nearly conserved and light neutrino masses are proportional to small lepton number violation (LNV) parameters in these models. For high-scale seesaws with only fermionic singlets, light neutrino masses are inversely proportional to large LNV mass scales, and again leads to approximate lepton number conservation at low energies. This in turn leads to the expectation that LNV processes should be suppressed in variants of the type I seesaw unless additional particles, whether they be fermions or scalars charged under the SM gauge couplings or new gauge interactions, are introduced to decouple the light neutrino mass generation from the heavy neutrino production. The updated discovery potential of heavy, SM singlet neutrinos at \(pp\) colliders is now summarised.

Heavy Neutrino Production through EW Bosons at Hadron Colliders

If kinematically accessible, heavy neutrinos \(N\) can generically be produced in hadron collisions through both neutral current and charged current processes, as shown in Fig. 5.1.1 (top). Following the prescriptions of Ref.s [368, 369], for \(m_N > M_W\) and at various accuracies, the corresponding \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) TeV heavy neutrino production cross sections are presented in Fig. 5.1.1 (bottom left). While the Drell-Yan (DY) process dominates at low masses, \(W\gamma\) boson fusion (VBF) dominates for \(m_N \gtrsim 900 - 1000\) GeV [368, 370], with gluon fusion (GF) remaining a sub-leading channel throughout [368, 371]. The situation is quite different at 27 TeV as shown in Fig. 5.1.1 (bottom right). Indeed, GF is the leading production mode for \(m_N \gtrsim 450\) GeV until \(m_N \approx 940\) GeV where VBF takes over.
For $m_N \approx 1$ TeV, the GF, DY, and VBF mechanisms all possess fiducial cross sections in excess of 10 fb.

**Discovery Potential of Heavy Pseudo-Dirac Neutrinos in Low Scale Seesaws**

The expected suppression of LNV processes in models that contain only fermionic gauge singlets motivates the study of lepton number conserving (LNC) processes. A first possibility to consider is the trilepton final state $£i e_j e_k + \text{MET}$. The heavy neutrino $N$ here is produced via both charged-current DY and VBF, and subsequently decays to only leptons, i.e.

$$pp \rightarrow \ell_N N + X \rightarrow \ell_N \ell_W W + X \rightarrow \ell_N \ell_W \ell_\mu \nu + X.$$  \hfill (5.1.1)

Notably, a new search strategy was recently proposed in Ref. [372, 373] based on a dynamical jet veto selection cut and found an increased sensitivity to active-heavy neutrino mixing by approximately an order of magnitude over the LHC’s life. This is shown in Fig. 5.1.2 (top) specifically for the final states (L) $\tau_k^+ e_j^- \ell_k^- + \text{MET}$ and (R) $\tau_k^+ \tau_\mu^- \ell_k^- + \text{MET}$, where $\tau_k$ represents a hadronically decaying $\tau$ and $\ell_k \in \{e, \mu, \tau\}$. With $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$ and after taking into account global constraints on the active-heavy mixing [374] (dot-dashed line in Fig. 5.1.2 (top)), the HL-LHC is able to probe heavy neutrino masses up to 350 GeV and mixing down to $|V_{\ell N}|^2 \approx 10^{-3}$ could be probed. For the benchmark mixing hypotheses (L) $|V_{\ell 4}| = |V_{\tau 4}|$ with $|V_{\mu 4}| = 0$ and (R) $|V_{\mu 4}| = |V_{\tau 4}|$ with $|V_{\ell 4}| = 0$, Fig. 5.1.2 (bottom) shows...
the projected sensitivity of this analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV and 100 TeV using the trilepton analysis of Ref. [372].

Another possibility is to search for lepton flavour violating (LFV) final states such as

$$q \bar{q} \rightarrow N \ell_1^\pm \rightarrow \ell_1^\pm \ell_2^\mp W^\mp \rightarrow \ell_1^\pm \ell_2^\mp jj,$$

which was for example studied in the inverse seesaw (ISS), a low-scale variant of the type I, in Ref. [375]. Due to the strong experimental limits on $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ by MEG [376], the event rates involving taus are more promising than those for $e^\pm \mu^\mp jj$. Following Ref. [375], the number of $\tau^\pm \mu^\mp jj$ can be estimated using the $\mu X$-parametrisation [377] with the neutrino Yukawa coupling

$$Y_\nu = f \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

as a representative example, and considering that only the lightest pseudo-Dirac pair is kinematically available. After $\mathcal{L} = 3\text{ (15) ab}^{-1}$, more than 100(500) LFV $\tau^\pm e^\mp jj$ events can be produced for heavy neutrino masses below 700 (1000) GeV, for $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14\text{ (27) TeV}$.

**Discovery Potential of Heavy Majorana Neutrinos in Phenomenological Type I Seesaw**

In the presence of additional particles that can decouple the heavy neutrino production from the light neutrino mass generation, e.g., new but far off-shell gauge bosons [378], the Majorana nature of the heavy neutrinos can lead to striking LNV collider signatures, such as the well-studied same-sign dilepton and jets process [379]

$$pp \rightarrow N \ell_1^\pm \rightarrow \ell_1^\pm \ell_2^\pm W^\mp \rightarrow \ell_1^\pm \ell_2^\pm + nj.$$

Assuming that a low-scale type I seesaw is responsible for the heavy neutrino production, Fig. 5.1.3 displays the discovery potential and active-heavy mixing sensitivity of the $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$ channel [370]. Assuming the (pessimistic/conservative) mixing scenario of $S_{\mu\mu} = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ [370] the HL-LHC with 3 ab$^{-1}$ is able to discover a heavy neutrino with a mass of $m_N \simeq 400$ GeV and is sensitive to masses up to 550 GeV at 3$\sigma$. Using only 1 ab$^{-1}$, the HL-LHC can improve on the preexisting mixing constraints summarised in the pessimistic scenario for neutrino masses up to 500 GeV.

**Fig. 5.1.3:** Left: using the LNV final state $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp jj$, required luminosity for 3(5)$\sigma$ evidence (discovery) as a function of heavy neutrino mass $m_N$ assuming optimistic (brown) and pessimistic (purple) mixing scenarios [370]. Right: sensitivity to $N - \mu$ mixing [370] with the optimistic (pessimistic) mixing scenario is given by the horizontal dashed (full) line.
**Heavy Neutrinos and the Left-Right Symmetric Model at Colliders**

The Left-Right Symmetric Model (LRSM) remains one of the best motivated high-energy completions of the SM. It addresses the origin of both tiny neutrino masses via a Type I+II seesaw hybrid mechanism as well as the SM’s $V-A$ chiral structure through the spontaneous breakdown of an $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ symmetry, amongst other low-energy phenomena. At collider scales, the model predicts the presence of new heavy gauge bosons that are closely aligned with their gauge states ($W^+_R$, $Z'_R$), heavy Majorana neutrinos ($N$), and a plethora of neutral and electrically charged scalars ($H_i^0$, $H_j^\pm$, $H\pm$). Unlike $U(1)_{BL}$ neutrino mass models, the LRSM gauge couplings are fixed to the SM Weak coupling constant, up to (small) RG-running corrections. As a result, the Drell-Yan production mechanisms for $W_R$ and $Z_R$ result in large rates at hadron colliders. Following the procedure of Ref. [380], the $pp \rightarrow W_R^\pm$ cross section at NLO+NNLL(Threshold) is shown in Fig. 5.1.4 (top left) as a function of mass $M_{W_R}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ and 27 TeV. At $\sqrt{s} = 14(27)$ TeV, one sees that production cross sections for masses as large as $M_{W_R} \approx 5.5(9)$ TeV are in excess of 1 fb. For $M_{W_R} \approx 7.5(12.5)$ TeV, rates exceed 100 nb, and indicate $\mathcal{O}(10^2-10^3)$ events can be collected with $\mathcal{L} = 1 - 15$ ab$^{-1}$ of data. Such computations up to NLO in QCD with parton shower matching, including for more generic coupling, are also publicly available following Ref.s [381, 382].

Of the many collider predictions the LRSM, one of its most promising discovery channels is

---

Fig. 5.1.4: Top: the total $pp \rightarrow W_R^\pm$ cross section at NLO+NNLL(Threshold) (left) and 5(2)$\sigma$ discovery potential (sensitivity) via $W_R$ decays to an electron and neutrino jet ($j_N$) (right), as a function of $W_R$ mass and at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ and 27 TeV [380]. Bottom: observed and expected sensitivity to heavy Majorana neutrinos through the process $pp \rightarrow \mu^\pm N \rightarrow 2\mu^\pm + 2j$ and produced via non-resonant $W_R$ (left) as well as dimension-six NEFT operators [378] (right).
is hierarchically lighter than the right-handed (RH) gauge bosons. Notably, for $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 9\text{ TeV}$, one can still produce EW- and sub-TeV scale via off-shell $W$ bosons \cite{380, 381} as heavy as $6(6.5)$ TeV and $10(10.5)$ TeV, respectively, can be discovered at $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 14$ (27) TeV. With $\mathcal{L} = 15\,\text{ab}^{-1}$, mass scales as heavy 16 TeV can be probed at the 2$\sigma$ level at $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 27$ TeV.

For such heavy $W_R$ and $Z_R$ that may be kinematically outside the reach of the $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 14$ TeV LHC, one can still produce EW- and sub-TeV scale via off-shell $W_R$ and $Z_R$ bosons \cite{378}. As a result, the $pp \to W_R^* \to N\ell \to 2\ell + 2j$ process occurs instead at a hard scale $Q \sim m_N$ and cannot be distinguished from the phenomenological Type I seesaw without a detailed analysis of the heavy neutrino’s chiral couplings \cite{378, 383}. However, this also means that searches for heavy $N$ in the context of the phenomenological Type I can be recast/reinterpreted in the context of the LRSM. Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 5.1.4 (bottom left), $W_R$ as heavy as $8 - 9$ TeV can be probed indirectly with $\mathcal{L} = 1\,\text{ab}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 14$ TeV \cite{378}. A similar argument can be applied to heavy neutrinos produced through dimension-six Heavy Neutrino Effective Field Theory (NEFT) operators, revealing sensitivity to mass scales up to $\Lambda \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ TeV over the $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 14$ TeV LHC’s lifetime \cite{378}, as shown in Fig. 5.1.4 (bottom right).

**Type II Scalars Discovery Potential at the HL- and HE-LHC**

The Type II seesaw hypothesises the existence of a new scalar SU(2)$_L$ triplet that couples to SM leptons in order to reproduce the light neutrino mass spectrum and oscillation data. This is done by the spontaneous generation of a left handed Majorana mass for the light neutrinos. Moreover, the Type II scenario is notable for the absence of sterile neutrinos, demonstrating that light neutrino masses themselves do not imply the existence of additional fermions. The most appealing production mechanisms at hadron colliders of triplet Higgs bosons are the pair production of doubly charged Higgs and the associated production of doubly charged Higgs and singly charged Higgs,

$$pp \to Z^* / \gamma^* \to H^{++} H^{--}, \quad pp \to W^* \to H^{\pm+} H^{\mp}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1.7)

followed, in the most general situation, by lepton flavour- and lepton number-violating decays to SM charged leptons. In Fig. 5.1.5 (top left), we show the total cross section of the $pp \to H^{++} H^{--}$ and $pp \to H^{\pm+} H^{\mp}$ processes as a function of triplet mass (in the degenerate limit with $M_{H^\pm} = M_{H^{\pm\pm}}$), in collisions at $\sqrt{\mathcal{s}} = 14, 27,$ and 100 TeV.
Fig. 5.1.5: Top: the total cross section for \( pp \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--} \) and \( H^{\pm\pm}H^{\mp\mp} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 14, 27, \) and 100 TeV. Bottom: requisite luminosity versus \( M_{H^{\pm\pm}} \) for 5(3)\( \sigma \) discovery (evidence) for the process \( pp \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--} \rightarrow \tau^{+}\ell^{+}\tau^{-}\ell^{-}, \) where \( \tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}, \) for the NH and IH at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) and 27 TeV.

In Type II scenarios, \( H^{\pm\pm} \) decays to \( \tau^{\pm}\tau^{\pm} \) and \( \mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm} \) pairs are comparable or greater than the \( e^{\pm}e^{\pm} \) channel by two orders of magnitude. Moreover, the \( \tau_{\mu} \) channel is typically dominant in decays involving different lepton flavours [385,386]. If such a seesaw is realised in nature, tau polarisations can help to determine the chiral property of triplet scalars: One can discriminate between different heavy scalar mediated neutrino mass mechanisms, such as those found in the Type II seesaw and Zee-Babu model, by studying the distributions of tau leptons’ decay products [386,387]. Due to the low \( \tau_{h} \) identification efficiencies, future colliders with high energy and/or luminosity enables one to investigate and search for doubly charged Higgs decaying to \( \tau_{h} \) pairs. Accounting for constraints from neutrino oscillation data on the doubly charged Higgs BRs, as well as tau polarisation effects [386], Fig. 5.1.5 (right) displays the \( 3\sigma \) and 5\( \sigma \) significance in the plane of integrated luminosity versus doubly charged Higgs mass for \( pp \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}\tau^{-}\ell^{-} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 14, 27, \) and 100 TeV, respectively. For the one \( \tau \) channel with \( \tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}, \) the sensitivity to doubly charged Higgs mass at HL-LHC can reach 655 GeV and 695 GeV for NH and IH respectively with a luminosity of 3 ab\(^{-1}\). Higher masses, 1380 – 1930 GeV for NH and 1450 – 2070 GeV for IH, can be probed at 27 TeV with 15 ab\(^{-1}\) and 100 TeV with 3 ab\(^{-1}\).

Type III Leptons Discovery Potential at HL- and HE-LHC

Low-scale Type III Seesaws hypothesises the existence of heavy electrically charged \( (E^{\pm}) \) and neutral \( (N) \) leptons, which form a triplet under SU(2)\(_{L}\), that couple to both SM charged and neutral leptons through mixing/Yukawa couplings. Due to their gauge charges, triplet leptons also couple to EW gauge
bosons appreciably and do not feature suppressed production cross section typical of seesaw scenarios with gauge singlet fermions. The presence of EW gauge couplings also implies that once a collider energy and mass are stipulated, the triplet lepton pair production cross section can be computed, up to small (and potentially negligible) mixing effects. Production mechanisms commonly found in the literature, and shown in Fig. 5.1.6 (top), include charged current and neutral current Drell-Yan, photon fusion, and gluon fusion if one considers heavy-light charged lepton associated production. A recent assessment of triplet production modes found [361] that despite the sizeable luminosities afforded to gluon fusion ($gg \rightarrow E^\pm \ell^\mp$), including its large QCD corrections [368], and photon fusion ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow E^+ E^-$), the Drell-Yan processes remain the dominant production channel of triplet leptons when kinematically accessible. In light of this, in Fig. 5.1.6 (bottom left), the summed cross sections for the Drell-Yan processes, $\sigma^{NLO}(pp \rightarrow NE^\pm + E' E)$, are shown at NLO in QCD, following Ref. [388], as a function of triplet masses (assuming $m_N = m_E$), at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ and 27 TeV. For $m_N, m_E \approx 1.2(1.8)$ TeV, the production rate reaches $\sigma(pp \rightarrow NE^+ E^-) \approx 1$ fb at $\sqrt{s} = 14(27)$ TeV; and for heavier leptons with $m_N, m_E \approx 2.5(4.2)$ TeV, one sees that $\sigma(pp \rightarrow NE + EE) \approx 1$ ab.

Another consequence of the triplet leptons coupling to all EW bosons is the adherence to the Goldstone Equivalence Theorem. This implies that triplet leptons with masses well above the EW scale will preferentially decay to longitudinal polarised $W$ and $Z$ bosons as well as to the Higgs bosons. For decays of $W$ boson to jets or charged lepton pairs, triplet lepton can be full reconstructed from their final-state enabling their properties to be studied in detail. For fully reconstructible final-states, $NE^\pm \rightarrow \ell\ell' + WZ/Wh \rightarrow \ell\ell' + nj + mb$, (5.1.9)
\[ E^+E^- \rightarrow \ell\ell' + ZZ/\text{Zh}/hh \rightarrow \ell\ell' + nj + mb, \]  
(5.1.10)

which correspond approximately to the branching fractions \( B(NE) \approx 0.115 \) and \( B(EE) \approx 0.116 \), search strategies such as those considered in Ref.s \[389, 390\] can be enacted. Assuming a fixed detector acceptance and efficiency of \( A = 0.75 \), which is in line to those obtained by Ref.s \[389, 390\], Fig. 5.1.6 (bottom right) shows as a function of triplet mass the requisite luminosity for a 5σ discovery (solid) and 2σ evidence (dash-dot) of triplet leptons at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) and 27 TeV. With \( \mathcal{L} = 3 - 5 \text{ ab}^{-1} \), the 14 TeV HL-LHC can discover states as heavy as \( m_N, m_E = 1.6 - 1.8 \text{ TeV} \). For the same amount of data, the 27 TeV HE-LHC can discover heavy leptons \( m_N, m_E = 2.6 - 2.8 \text{ TeV} \); with \( \mathcal{L} = 15 \text{ ab}^{-1} \), one can discover (probe) roughly \( m_N, m_E = 3.2(3.8) \text{ TeV} \).

5.1.2 **Like-sign dileptons with mirror type composite neutrinos at the HL-LHC**

**Contributors:** M. Presilla, O. Panella, R. Leonardi

A composite scenario \[391–394\], where at a sufficiently high energy scale \( \Lambda \) (compositeness scale) the SM leptons and quarks show the effects of an internal substructure, has triggered considerable recent interest both from the theoretical \[395–398\] and experimental \[399, 400\] point of view. In particular recent studies \[401\] have concentrated in searching for heavy composite Majorana neutrinos at the LHC. The scenario discussed in such studies is one in which the excited neutrino (ν\(^*\)) is a Majorana particle. A recent CMS study has searched for a heavy composite Majorana neutrino (\(N\)). Using 2.6 fb\(^{-1}\) data of the 2015 Run II at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \), heavy composite neutrino masses are excluded, at 95% C.L., up to \( m_N = 4.35 \text{ TeV} \) and \( 4.60 \) (4.70) TeV for a value of \( \Lambda = M_N \), from the \( e\ell
\ell\) channel and the \( \mu\ell
\ell\) channel, respectively \[402, 403\]. Here we focus on a mirror type assignment for the excited composite fermions. The neutrino mass term is built up from a Dirac mass, \( m_\nu \), the mass of the charged lepton component of the \( SU(2) \), right-handed doublet, and \( m_L \) the Majorana mass of the left-handed component (singlet) of the excited neutrino. The mass matrix is diagonalised leading to two Majorana mass eigenstates. The active neutrino field \( \nu_R^* \) is thus a superposition of the two mass eigenstates with mixing coefficients which depend on the ratio \( m_L/m_\nu \). We discuss the prospects of discovery of these physical states at the HL-LHC as compared with the previous searches of composite Majorana neutrinos at the LHC based on sequential type Majorana neutrinos.

We discuss a variant of the model analysed in Ref. \[401, 403\] taking up the scenario in which the excited fermions are organised with a mirror \( SU(2) \) structure relative to the SM fermions, \( i.e. \) the right handed components form an \( SU(2) \) doublet while the left handed components are singlets \[283\]. We construct a general Dirac-Majorana mass term and discuss its mass spectrum along with prospects of observing the resulting lepton number violating signatures at the HL-LHC \[404\].

In analogy with the usual procedure adopted in see-saw type extensions of the SM we may give a (lepton number violating) Majorana mass term to the left handed excited neutrino (\( \nu_L^* \)) which is a singlet and does not actively participate to the gauge interactions in Eq. (5.1.14) \( –\text{sterile neutrino}– \), while a (lepton number conserving) Dirac mass term \( m^* \) is associated to the right-handed component \( \nu_R^* \) which belongs to the \( SU(2) \) doublet and does participate in the gauge interactions \( –\text{active neutrino}– \). We can thus write down the excited neutrino Dirac-Majorana mass term appearing in the Lagrangian density of the model as:

\[ \mathcal{L}^{D+M} = -\frac{1}{2} m_L \bar{\nu}_L^* (\nu_R^*)^c - m_\nu \bar{\nu}_L^* \nu_R^* + \text{h.c.} \]  
(5.1.11)

The Lagrangian mass term is easily diagonalised following standard procedures, obtaining two Majorana mass eigenstates, \( \nu_{1,2} \), with (positive) mass eigenvalues given by:

\[ m_{1,2} = \sqrt{m^2 + \left( \frac{m_L}{2} \right)^2 \pm \frac{m_L}{2}} \]  
(5.1.12)

Interacting states can be written as a mixing of the mass eigenstate according to the relation:

\[ (\nu_L^*)^c = -i \cos \theta \nu_1 + \sin \theta \nu_2 \]  
(5.1.13a)
Fig. 5.1.7: Left: mass eigenstates \( m_{1,2} \) (in units of \( m_\ast \)) from Eq. (5.1.12) as a function of the parameter \( \mu = m_L/m_\ast \). Right: mixing coefficients \( \cos \theta \) and \( \sin \theta \) from Eq.s (5.1.13) as a function of the parameter \( \mu = m_L/m_\ast \).

\[
\nu^*_R = i \sin \theta \nu_{1R} + \cos \theta \nu_{2R}
\]  
\[\text{(5.1.13b)}\]

where the mixing angle \( \theta \) is given in terms of the Dirac and Majorana masses: \( \theta = -\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{2m_\ast}{m_L} \).

Now we take into account all the relevant effective couplings of these particles. In the mirror type model, we consider the first lepton family and assume that the excited neutrino and the excited electron are grouped into left handed singlets and a right-handed \( SU(2) \) doublet [283]. The corresponding gauge mediated Lagrangian between the left-handed SM doublet \( L \) and the right-handed excited doublet \( R \) via the \( SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \) gauge fields [405, 406], which should be of the magnetic type to warrant current conservation, can be written down:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} R^T \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left( gf \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot W_{\mu\nu} + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu} \right) L + \text{h.c.},
\]  
\[\text{(5.1.14)}\]

where \( L^T = (\nu_L, \ell_L) \) is the ordinary \( SU(2)_L \) lepton doublet, and \( R^T = (\nu^*_R, \ell^*_R) \) is the excited right-handed doublet; \( g \) and \( g' \) are the \( SU(2)_L \) and \( U(1)_Y \) gauge couplings and \( W_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu} \) are the field strength for the \( SU(2)_L \) and \( U(1)_Y \) gauge fields; \( f \) and \( f' \) are dimensionless couplings. The relevant charged current (gauge) interaction of the excited (active) Majorana neutrino \( \nu^*_R \) is easily derived from Eq. (5.1.14) and can be written out explicitly in terms of the Majorana mass eigenstates through Eq. (5.1.13b):

\[
\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{g f}{\sqrt{2} \Lambda} (-i \sin \theta \nu_1 + \cos \theta \nu_2) \sigma^{\mu\lambda} \ell_L \partial_\mu W_\lambda + \text{h.c.}
\]  
\[\text{(5.1.15)}\]

Contact interactions between ordinary fermions may arise by constituent exchange, if the fermions have common constituents, and/or by exchange of the binding quanta of the new unknown interaction whenever such binding quanta couple to the constituents of both particles [392, 406]. The dominant effect is expected to be given by the dimension 6 four-fermion interactions which scale with the inverse square of the compositeness scale \( \Lambda \):

\[
\mathcal{L}_{CI} = g_s^2 \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} j^\mu j_\mu
\]  
\[\text{(5.1.16a)}\]

\[
j_\mu = \eta_L \bar{f} L \gamma_\mu f_L + \eta'_L \bar{f}' L \gamma_\mu f'_L + \eta''_L \bar{f}'' L \gamma_\mu f''_L + \text{h.c.}
\]  
\[\text{(5.1.16b)}\]

where \( g_s^2 = 4\pi \) and the \( \eta \) factors are usually set equal to unity. In this work the right-handed currents will be neglected for simplicity.
The single production $q q^\dagger \to \nu^* \ell$ proceeds through flavour conserving but non-diagonal terms, in particular with currents like the third term in Eq. (5.1.16b) which couple excited states with ordinary fermions and the contact interactions in can be written out explicitly in terms of the Majorana mass eigenstates $\nu_i$ using Eq. (5.1.13b):

$$L_{CI} = \frac{g_i^2}{\Lambda^2} \bar{q} L \gamma^\mu q L \left( -i \cos \theta_{\nu_1} + \sin \theta_{\nu_2} \right) \gamma_\mu \ell_L. \quad (5.1.17)$$

The gauge interactions in Eq. (5.1.15) and the contact interactions in Eq. (5.1.17) have to be implemented in a MC generator. Here we have mostly used MADGRAPH [67], complementing the results with a validation obtained with the tree-level simulator CALCHEP [407].

In Fig. 5.1.8 is shown the behaviour of production cross section for the two mass eigenstates and for the whole process for different model scenarios. It is worth to notice that in the limit $m_L \to 0$ the cross-section of the like-sign dilepton process goes to zero.

We briefly discuss the potential for discovery at HL-LHC in the three-dimensional parameter space $(\Lambda, m_L, m_*)$ using the fast simulation framework DELPHES [33] for studying the hypothetical response of the CMS Phase-2 detector. Standard model processes that could mimic the detection of a signal with lepton number violation in this rather clean signature are mainly the triple W boson production, $pp \to W^+ W^- W^-$, and the top quark pair production $pp \to t \bar{t}$, the former being the dominant background source. Since the kinematic features of the final state reconstructed objects are similar to those of Ref. [401], we lower the background contribution by imposing two cuts on the leading lepton $(p_T(e_1) > 110 \text{ GeV})$ and on the second-leading lepton $(p_T(e_2) > 35 \text{ GeV})$. This particular signal region allows an efficiency in selecting signal around the 80%, while beating the background sources with efficiency of 0.00044% for the $t \bar{t}$ and of 0.0034% for the $W^+ W^- W^-$. We compute, at a c.o.m.energy of $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ and with an integrated luminosity of $L = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, the statistical significance, as defined by the relation $S = L \sigma_{\text{sig}} \epsilon_{\text{sig}} / \sqrt{L \sigma_{\text{bkg}} \epsilon_{\text{bkg}}}$, where $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$, $\epsilon_{\text{bkg}}$ are respectively the cumulative efficiencies of signal and background due to the event reconstruction. In Fig. 5.1.9 (left) we show the potential for discovery, in the plane $(m_*, \Lambda)$, of the CMS Phase-2 detector for two different values of the Majorana mass $m_L = 50, 500 \text{ GeV}$.

Another interesting feature of the present model is that the presence of two heavy neutrino mass eigenstates, which have also opposite CP eigenvalues, can lead to a charge asymmetry, i.e. to an asymmetry in the production rate of same-sign dilepton signal versus the opposite-sign dilepton signal [408]. In particular, the charge asymmetry $A = (\sigma_{e^+ e^- jj} - \sigma_{e^+ e^+ jj})/(\sigma_{e^+ e^- jj} + \sigma_{e^+ e^+ jj})$ could be used to test the relative strength between the Dirac and Majorana nature of the heavy neutrinos at the HL-LHC.
**Fig. 5.1.9:** Left: the $5\sigma$ contour levels curves, at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and $L = 3\ ab^{-1}$, of the statistical significance $(S = 5)$ in the parameter plane $[m^*, \Lambda]$, for two different values of the Majorana mass $m_L = 50, 500$ GeV. Right: the expected charge asymmetry at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and $L = 3\ ab^{-1}$ of the same-sign and opposite sign channels for two different values of $m_L = 50, 500$ GeV as function of $m^*$.

We note that while the same-sign dilepton signature $e^+e^+jj$ is effectively background free (see above), the opposite sign dilepton signature $e^+e^-jj$ is expected to have a substantially larger SM background which however could be subtracted in order to extract the asymmetry $A$ peculiar of the model features. Figure 5.1.9 (right) shows the charge asymmetry $A$. In line with expectations that $A \to 1$ when $\sigma_{e^+e^+jj}$ is suppressed we observe that as a function of $m^*$, after the initial drop in the asymmetry, at fixed $m_L$, then at larger values of $m^*$ the mass difference of the two eigenstates diminishes and so does the same-sign dilepton yield giving larger asymmetries close to 1 again.

In summary, we have presented a mechanism of lepton number violation within a mirror type compositeness scenario. The mirror model is realised when the left components of the excited states are singlets while the right components are active doublets which do participate to gauge transition interactions with SM fermions. We therefore introduce a *left*-handed Majorana neutrino singlet of mass $m_L$ while the right-handed component belongs to a doublet and has a Dirac mass $m_*$. This situation is exactly specular to the one encountered in typical see-saw models where the sterile neutrino is the right handed component and the active one is the left handed component. Diagonalisation of the mass matrix gives two Majorana mass eigenstates whose phenomenology at the HL-LHC is presented, providing the $5\sigma$ contour level curves of the statistical significance for a possible discover by a CMS Phase-2 like detector. We have also shown that the charge asymmetry $A$ of the like sign dilepton signature is a useful observable for studying the model parameter space.

### 5.1.3 Search for heavy composite Majorana neutrinos at the HL- and the HE-LHC

**Contributors:** P. Azzi, C. Cecchi, L. Fanó, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, R. Leonardi, E. Manoni, M. Narain, O. Panella, M. Presilla, F. Romeo, S. Sagir, P. Sheldon, F. Simonetto, E. Usai, W. Zhang, CMS

Compositeness of ordinary fermions is one possible BSM scenario that may lead to a solution of the hierarchy problem or to the explanation of the proliferation of ordinary fermions. Elementary particles are thought to be bound states of some as–yet–unobserved fundamental constituents generically referred to as *preons*. Composite models also predict the existence of excited states of quarks and leptons, with masses lower than or equal to the compositeness scale $\Lambda$ which interact with SM fermions via both magnetic type gauge couplings and contact interactions.

The heavy composite Majorana neutrino $N_\ell$ would be a particular case of such excited states. This can be produced in association with a lepton, in $pp$ collisions, via quark–antiquark annihilation ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell N_\ell$). The production and decay processes can occur via both gauge and contact interactions.
The Lagrangian density for gauge mediated interactions is

\[ \mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \, \overline{L}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left( gf \overrightarrow{\gamma}_\mu \, g' j^\mu \right) y \, B_{\mu\nu} \, L_L + h.c., \]  

(5.1.18)

where \( L_R^u \) and \( L_L \) are, respectively, the right-handed doublet of the excited fermions and the left-handed doublet of the SM, \( g \) and \( g' \) are the \( SU(2)_L \) and \( U(1)_Y \) gauge couplings, and \( f \) and \( j^\mu \) are dimensionless couplings, which are expected to be of order unity [394] and henceforth simply assumed to be 1. The corresponding Lagrangian describing the four-fermion contact interactions by a dimension-6 effective operator can written as

\[ \mathcal{L}_C = \frac{g_s^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{2} j^\mu j_\mu, \]  

(5.1.19)

with

\[ j_\mu = \eta_L \bar{\psi} L \gamma_\mu \psi_L + \eta_L \bar{\psi} L \gamma_\mu \psi_L + \eta_L \bar{\psi} L \gamma_\mu \psi_L + h.c. + (L \rightarrow R), \]  

(5.1.20)

where \( g_s^2 = 4\pi \), the \( \eta \) factors that define the chiral structure are usually set equal to 1, and \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \) are the SM and excited fermion fields [394].

The production process is dominated by the contact interaction mechanism for all values of the compositeness scale \( \Lambda \) and of the mass of the neutrino \( M(N_\ell) \) relevant in this analysis, while for the decay the dominant interaction changes depending on \( \Lambda \) and \( M(N_\ell) \) [401].

This study from CMS focuses on the final state signature \( \ell\ell q\bar{q}' \), where \( \ell \) is either an electron or a muon [409]. For the HL-LHC sensitivity study, we use MC samples for the signal and the SM backgrounds. The MC samples for the signal are generated with \textsc{CalcHEP v3.6} [407] using the NNPDF3.0 LO parton distribution functions [121]. The background samples considered are top quark pair production (tt), single top quark production (t\( W \)), Drell-Yan (DY) process, W+jets and diboson production (WW, WZ, ZZ), and are generated with \textsc{MadGraph5_AMC@NLO} [67] using the CTEQ6L1 PDF set [52]. For all of the MC samples the hadronisation of partons is simulated with \textsc{Pythia 8} [68] and the expected response of the upgraded CMS detector is performed with the fast-simulation package \textsc{Delphes} [33]. The contribution from additional pileup events has been included in the simulation as well.

In order to reduce the contamination from misreconstructed events, a kinematics-based selection is applied. The \( p_T \) of the leading lepton is required to be greater than 110 GeV, while the \( p_T \) of the subleading lepton must greater than 40 GeV. All lepton candidates are required to be in the pseudorapidity range \( |\eta| < 2.4 \). Restricting to the high-mass region given by \( M(\ell, \ell) > 300 \) GeV, where \( M(\ell, \ell) \) is the dilepton invariant mass, allows reducing the DY background without affecting the signal acceptance. The large-radius jets are analysed using the \textsc{Puppi} algorithm [410]. They are reconstructed with a distance parameter of \( R = 0.8 \) and they are required to have a minimum \( p_T \) of 200 GeV, to be within the region \( |\eta| < 2.4 \) and to be separated from leptons by a distance \( \Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} > 0.8 \). Requiring one or more large-radius jets is suitable regardless of whether \( N_\ell \) decays through gauge or contact interactions. In fact, for gauge mediated decays of the heavy composite neutrino, the two quarks are expected to overlap and thus form a large-radius jet, while in the case of contact-mediated decays, the two quarks are well separated, but form two large-radius jets because of the overlap with final state radiation. The signal region is therefore defined by requiring two same-flavour isolated leptons (electrons or muons) and at least one large-radius jet.

A shape-based analysis is performed looking at the invariant mass distribution of the two leptons and the leading large-radius jet, \( M(\ell\ell J) \). The expected discovery sensitivity of a heavy composite Majorana neutrino, produced in association with a lepton, and decaying into a same-flavour lepton and two jets, is shown in Fig. 5.1.10. The CMS Phase-2 detector will be able to find evidence for a composite neutrino with mass below \( M(N_\ell) = 7.6 \) TeV. The \( M(\ell\ell J) \) distributions of signal and SM backgrounds are also used as input in the computation of an upper limit at the 95% C.L. on the cross section of the
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The mass of the heavy composite Majorana neutrino could be excluded up to a composite neutrino up to a mass of 8 TeV. The results are shown in Fig. 5.1.11 for the $eeq$ (left) and the $\mu\muqq'$ (right) final states, as a function of the mass of the heavy composite Majorana neutrino. The corresponding green and yellow bands represent the expected variation of the limit to one and two standard deviation(s). The solid blue curve indicates the theoretical prediction of $\Lambda = M(N_\ell)$. The textured curves give the theoretical predictions for $\Lambda$ values ranging from 12 to 35 TeV.

The sensitivity of the search is also considered for the High-Energy LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV.
Fig. 5.1.12: Expected 95% C.L. lower limits (black lines) on the compositeness scale $\Lambda$, obtained in the analysis of the $e e q \bar{q}'$ (left) and the $\mu \mu q \bar{q}'$ (right) final states, as a function of the mass of the heavy composite Majorana neutrino. The gray zone corresponds to the phase space $\Lambda < M(N_{\ell})$ not allowed by the model.

Fig. 5.1.13: Expected statistical significance for the HE-LHC projection of the $e e q \bar{q}'$ (red line) and the $\mu \mu q \bar{q}'$ (blue line) channel for the case $\Lambda = M(N_{\ell})$. The gray solid (dotted) line represents $5(3)\sigma$, respectively.

Figure 5.1.13 shows that with the HE-LHC we could find evidence for a composite Majorana neutrino with mass below $M(N_{\ell}) = 12$ TeV, for $\Lambda = M(N_{\ell})$. Figure 5.1.14 shows the results for several values of $\Lambda$. The projection of the exclusion limits is also presented in Fig. 5.1.15 for the $(\Lambda, M(N_{\ell}))$ plane. We conclude that, given the model condition $\Lambda = M(N_{\ell})$, the HE-LHC could exclude a heavy composite Majorana neutrino with mass up to 12.5 TeV in both $e e q \bar{q}'$ and $\mu \mu q \bar{q}'$ channels.

5.2 Leptoquarks and $Z'$

Leptoquarks are hypothetical particles that carry both baryon and lepton quantum numbers. They are colour-triplets and carry fractional electric charge. The spin of a LQ state is either 0 (scalar LQ) or 1 (vector LQ). At the LHC, the pair-production of LQs is possible via gluon-gluon fusion and quark-antiquark annihilation and the production cross section only depends on the mass of the LQ. For scalar LQs, it is known at NLO in perturbative QCD [412]. The LQ may also be singly produced, in association with a lepton, but the cross section is model dependent. New massive vector bosons, $Z'$, are a common feature of NP models. Typically they are assumed to couple in a flavour independent fashion. However, it is possible to build models where these couplings are generation dependent and, after moving to the mass basis, become inter-generational. Constraints on such couplings are weaker for the third generation.
Fig. 5.1.14: Expected 95% C.L. upper limits for the HE-LHC projection of the $eeq^\prime$ channel (left) and the $\mu qq^\prime$ channel (right).

Fig. 5.1.15: Expected 95% C.L. lower limits (black lines) on the compositeness scale $\Lambda$, obtained in the analysis of the $eeq^\prime$ (left) and the $\mu qq^\prime$ (right) final states, as a function of the mass of the heavy composite Majorana neutrino for the HE-LHC projection. The grey zones are not allowed by the model.

Such models have been invoked to explain several $B$-physics anomalies.

In this section the reach of the HL-LHC for LQs in the $t+\tau$ and $t+\mu$ channel is discussed in Section 5.2.1. The reach of HL- and HE-LHC for models capable of explaining the $B$-physics anomalies is addressed in Section 5.2.2. HL-LHC searches for LQs in $b+\tau$ final states is discussed in Section 5.2.3, while the HE-LHC capability is considered in Section 5.2.4.

5.2.1 Leptoquark searches in $t+\tau$ and $t+\mu$ decays at HL-LHC

Contributors: J. Haller, R. Kogler, A. Reimers, CMS

The reach of searches for pair production of LQs with decays to $t+\mu$ and $t+\tau$ at CMS is studied for the HL-LHC with target integrated luminosities of $L_{\text{int}}^{\text{target}} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$ [413]. The studies are based on projecting signal and background event yields to HL-LHC conditions from published CMS results of the $t+\mu$ [414] and $t+\tau$ [415] LQ decay channels which use data from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV corresponding to $L_{\text{int}} = 35.9$ fb$^{-1}$ recorded in 2016. While the analysis strategies are kept unchanged with respect to the ones in Ref.s [414,415], different total integrated luminosities, the higher c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV, and different scenarios of systematic uncertainties are considered. In the first scenario (denoted “w/ YR18 syst. uncert.”), the relative experimental systematic uncertainties are scaled by a factor of $1/\sqrt{f}$, with $f = L_{\text{int}}^{\text{target}}/35.9$ fb$^{-1}$, until they reach a defined lower limit based
Fig. 5.2.1: Expected significances for an LQ decaying exclusively to top quarks and muons (left) or τ leptons (right).

Fig. 5.2.2: Expected upper limits on the LQ pair production cross section at the 95% C.L. for an LQ decaying exclusively to top quarks and muons (left) or τ leptons (right).

on estimates of the achievable accuracy with the upgraded detector [8] as described in Section 1.2. The relative theoretical systematic uncertainties are halved. In the second scenario (denoted “w/ stat. uncert. only”), no systematic uncertainties are considered. The relative statistical uncertainties in both scenarios are scaled by $1/\sqrt{f}$.

Figure 5.2.1 presents the expected signal significances of the analyses as a function of the LQ mass for different assumed integrated luminosities in the “w/ YR18 syst. uncert.” and “w/ stat. uncert. only” scenarios. Increasing the target integrated luminosity to $L_{\text{int}}^{\text{target}} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ greatly increases the discovery potential of both analyses. The LQ mass corresponding to a discovery at 5σ significance with a dataset corresponding to $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ increases by more than 500 GeV compared to the situation at $L_{\text{int}}^{\text{target}} = 35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, from about 1200 GeV to roughly 1700 GeV, in the LQ $\rightarrow t\mu$ decay channel. For LQs decaying exclusively to top quarks and τ leptons, a gain of 400 GeV is expected, pushing the LQ mass in reach for a 5σ discovery from 800 GeV to 1200 GeV.

In Fig. 5.2.2, the expected projected exclusion limits on the LQ pair production cross section are shown. Leptoquarks decaying only to top quarks and muons are expected to be excluded below masses of 1900 GeV for $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, which is a gain of 500 GeV compared to the limit of 1420 GeV obtained in the published analysis of the 2016 dataset [414]. The mass exclusion limit for LQs decaying exclusively to top quarks and τ leptons are expected to be increased by 500 GeV, from 900 GeV to approximately 1400 GeV.
Fig. 5.2.3: Expected significances (left) and expected upper limits on the LQ pair-production cross section at the 95% C.L. (right) as a function of the LQ mass and the branching fraction. Colour-coded lines represent lines of a constant expected significance or cross section limit, respectively. The red lines indicate the 5σ discovery level (left) and the mass exclusion limit (right).

Fig. 5.2.4: Feynman diagrams of two simplified models for mediating an effective operator that explains discrepancies in $B \to K^*(\mu^+\mu^-)$ decays as compared to SM predictions. The diagram on the left hand side shows mediation by a scalar LQ, whereas the right-hand side shows mediation by a flavour dependent $Z'$. 

Figure 5.2.3 shows the expected signal significances and upper exclusion limits on the pair production cross section of scalar LQs allowed to decay to top quarks and muons or $\tau$ leptons at the 95% C.L. as a function of the LQ mass and a variable branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(LQ \to t\mu) = 1 - \mathcal{B}(LQ \to t\tau)$ for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ in the two different scenarios. For all values of $\mathcal{B}$, LQ masses up to approximately 1200 GeV and 1400 GeV are expected to be in reach for a discovery at the 5σ level and a 95% C.L. exclusion, respectively.

5.2.2 $Z'$ and leptoquarks for $B$ decay anomalies at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: B. Allanach, T. Tevong You

Recent measurements of $R_K^*$ and other $b$ observables indicate that the $bP_Ls\bar{\mu}P_L\mu$ vertex may be receiving BSM corrections. Here, we examine simplified models that may predict such corrections at the tree-level: $Z'$ models and leptoquark models depicted in Fig. 5.2.4.

The ‘naïve’ $Z'$ model contains the Lagrangian pieces

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'} = \left( g_L^{0} Z_{\mu}^{'} \bar{s} \gamma^{\rho} P_L b + \text{h.c.} \right) + g_L^{\mu \nu} Z_{\mu}^{'} \bar{\mu} \gamma^{\rho} P_L \mu, \quad (5.2.1)$$
Fig. 5.2.5: Current bounds and projected sensitivities to the naïve $Z'$ model. Left: bounds from a 3.2 fb$^{-1}$ ATLAS $Z'$ search in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel [419]. Right: bounds from a 19.6 fb$^{-1}$ search for the process $gg \to S_3S_3 \to (\mu^+\bar{j})(\mu^+\bar{j})$, these bounds were then used [420] to extrapolate to the HE-LHC and HL-LHC, and the predicted NLO production cross-sections for the production of the $S_3\bar{S}_3$ pairs.

whereas the more realistic $'33\mu\mu'$ $Z'$ model contains more couplings ($SU(2)_L$ and flavour copies):

$$L_{Z'}^{33\mu\mu} \supset g_L^Z g_L^\mu \left[ \bar{\tau}_\mu P_L t + |V_{tb}|^2 \bar{b}\gamma^0 P_L b + |V_{td}|^2 \bar{d}\gamma^0 P_L d + |V_{ts}|^2 \bar{s}\gamma^0 P_L s \right. $$

$$+ \left. (V_{tb}v_\alpha^* b\gamma^0 P_L s + V_{ts}v_\alpha^* t\gamma^0 P_L d + V_{td}v_\alpha^* d\gamma^0 P_L t + \text{h.c.}) \right] + g_L^{\mu\mu} \left( \bar{\mu}\gamma^0 P_L \mu + \sum_{i,j} \bar{\nu}_i U_{i\mu} \gamma^0 P_L U_{\mu j}^\dagger \nu_j \right), \quad (5.2.2)$$

where $U$ denotes the PMNS matrix involved in lepton mixing. In a fit to ‘clean’ $b$—observables including $R_{K^(*)}$ Ref. [416], we have that, in the naïve $Z'$ model,

$$|g_L^{sb} g_L^{\mu\mu}| = (1.0 \pm 0.25) \left( \frac{M_{Z'}}{31 \text{ TeV}} \right)^2, \quad (5.2.3)$$

which we use to constrain the couplings and masses of the $Z'$, (the $33\mu\mu$ model’s $Z'$ couplings to $\bar{s}b$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ can also be matched to Eq. (5.2.1)).

There are particular combinations of quantum numbers allowed for the LQs [416–418], depending upon their spin. For the scalar case this is the triplet LQ $S_3$, with quantum numbers $(\bar{3}, 3, \frac{1}{3})$ under $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and mass $M$, whose Yukawa couplings to the third family left-handed quark and second family left-handed lepton doublets $Q_3$ and $L_2$ are of the form

$$y_{b\mu} Q_3 L_2 S_3 + y_{s\mu} Q_2 L_2 S_3 \text{ h.c.}. \quad (5.2.4)$$

Its effect on the clean $b$—observables result in a constraint

$$|y_{sb} y_{b\mu}| = (1.00 \pm 0.25) \left( \frac{M}{31 \text{ TeV}} \right)^2, \quad (5.2.5)$$

from the fit [416].

In Fig. 5.2.5, we display the projected sensitivities on the naïve $Z'$ and scalar leptoquark models of the HE-LHC and HL-LHC, extrapolated from a 3.2 fb$^{-1}$ ATLAS $Z'$ resonance search in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ model as a function of $Z'$ mass. The extrapolation is performed under the following approximations: changes in efficiency with respect to changing $\sqrt{s}$ or other changes to the operating environment are neglected, and
Fig. 5.2.6: Example processes contributing to $S_3 \bar{S}_3$ production and subsequent decay at the LHC.

Fig. 5.2.7: Current bounds and projected sensitivities to $Z'$ models explaining $R_{K^{(*)}}$. Each point in the plane has had the couplings adjusted to be consistent with the central value of Eq. (5.2.3). The red region is excluded from $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing measurements, the blue region shows the expected 95% C.L. sensitive region and the greyed region is at width $\Gamma > 0.1 M_{Z'}$, meaning that the extrapolation used to calculate sensitivities (which uses the narrow width approximation) is inaccurate. The blue region shows the region of sensitivity. The vertical axis shows the difference between the muonic and the quark $Z'$ coupling, initially intended to show when one is large compared to the other. However, the $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing constraint implies that $g_{sb}^L \ll g_{\mu \mu}^L$ and so the vertical axis is equal to $g_{\mu \mu}^L$, to a good approximation.

The narrow width approximation is used. At strong coupling, the narrow width approximation becomes bad. In the right-hand plot, the sensitivity coming from the process $gg \rightarrow \bar{S}_3 S_3 \rightarrow \mu^+ j \mu^- j$ depicted in Fig. 5.2.6 is shown. The sensitivities are phrased in terms of production cross-section times BRs of final states on the vertical axis. In the LQ model, the production cross-section depends only upon the mass $M$ of the LQ: its coupling is given by the QCD gauge coupling. We see that HL-LHC(HE-LHC) is sensitive to LQs of mass up to 2.5 (4.2) TeV for this topology. The $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing constraint implies $|y_{b\mu} y_{s\mu}^*| < M/(26 \text{ TeV})$ for the $S_3$ LQ case. Combining this with Eq. (5.2.6) yields a bound $M < 40 \text{ TeV}$ [416]. Thus, the HL-LHC and HE-LHC could probe a non-negligible fraction of the viable LQ parameter space.

For the $Z'$ models, the production cross-section depends sensitively upon the coupling $g_{bs}^{bs}$. If the coupling is large, $Z'$s with masses up to 18 TeV can explain $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and still be compatible with bounds originating from $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing measurements ($|g_{bs}^{bs}| \leq M_{Z'}/148 \text{ TeV}$) [416]. We display the relevant sensitivities and bounds upon flavourful $Z'$ models in Fig. 5.2.7 as a function of coupling and mass. From the left hand panel, we see that the HL-LHC only probes a small fraction of the viable naive $Z'$ parameter space. However, when we examine more realistic model (the 33$\mu\mu$ model) in the right-hand

---

One can also consider singlet or triplet vector LQs whose joint constraints imply $M < 20, 40 \text{ TeV}$, respectively, but whose sensitivities are equal to those of the $S_3$. 

---
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Fig. 5.2.8: Leading order Feynman diagrams for the production of a third-generation LQ in the single production s-channel (left) and the pair production channel via gluon fusion (centre) and quark fusion (right).

panel, we see that a large fraction of parameter space where the narrow width approximation applies is covered. These conclusions become stronger when one examines the sensitivity of the HE-LHC, as the solid lines display: the viable region of the 33µµ model with narrow width approximation is completely covered, for example.

We note that the results presented herein represent only a rough estimation and further more detailed studies are desirable. In particular, the narrow width approximation in the case of the LQs is likely to be a rough approximation because one is really producing a pair of LQs. Our approximations effectively assume that they are produced at threshold. At higher luminosities or energies, the efficiency for identifying isolated muons will change, as well as the efficiencies for identifying jets. This could be better estimated by performing Monte-Carlo event generation studies together with a detector simulation rather than extrapolating current limits from the LHC.

5.2.3 Search for leptoquarks decaying to τ and b at HL-LHC

Contributors: Y. Takahashi, P. Matorras, CMS

Third-generation scalar LQs have recently received considerable interest from the theory community, as the existence of leptoquarks with large couplings can explain the anomaly in the \( B \rightarrow D \tau \nu \) and \( B \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu \) decay rates reported by the BaBar [421, 422], Belle [423–428], and LHCb [429] Collaborations.

This analysis from CMS [430] presents future discovery and exclusion prospects for singly and pair produced third-generation scalar LQs, each decaying to \( \tau_h \) and a bottom quark. Here, \( \tau_h \) denotes a hadronically decaying \( \tau \) lepton. The relevant Feynman diagrams of the signal processes at leading order (LO) are shown in Fig. 5.2.8.

The analysis uses DELPHES [33] event samples of simulated pp collisions at a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 300 fb\(^{-1}\) and 3 ab\(^{-1}\). The matrix elements of LQ signals for both single and pair LQ production are generated at LO using version 2.6.0 of MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [67] for \( m_{LQ} = 500, 1000, 1500, \) and 2000 GeV. The branching fraction \( \beta \) of the LQ to a charged lepton and a quark, in this case LQ \( \rightarrow \tau b \), is assumed to be \( \beta = 1 \). The unknown Yukawa coupling \( \lambda \) of the LQ to a \( \tau \) lepton and a bottom quark is set to \( \lambda = 1 \). The width \( \Gamma \) is calculated using \( \Gamma = m_{LQ} \lambda^2 / (16\pi) \) [431], and is less than 10% of the LQ mass for most of the considered search range. The signal samples are normalised to the cross section calculated at LO, multiplied by a \( K \) factor to account for higher order contributions [432].

Similar event selections are used in both the singly and pair produced LQ searches, except for the requirement on the number of jets. In both channels, two reconstructed \( \tau_h \) with opposite-sign charge are required, each with transverse momentum \( p_{T,\tau} > 50 \) GeV and a maximum pseudorapidity \( |\eta_{\tau}| < 2.3 \). In the search for single production, the presence of at least one reconstructed jet with \( p_T > 50 \) GeV is required, while at least two are required in the search for pair production. Jets are reconstructed with FASTJET [35], using the anti-\( k_T \) algorithm [34], with a distance parameter of 0.4.
Fig. 5.2.9: Left: scalar sum of the $p_T$ of the two selected $\tau$ leptons and the highest-$p_T$ jet in the single LQ selection region. Right: scalar sum of the $p_T$ of the two selected $\tau$ leptons and the two highest-$p_T$ jets in the LQ pair search region. The considered backgrounds are shown as stacked histograms, while empty histograms for signals for the single LQ and LQ pair channels (for $m_{LQ} = 1000$ GeV) are overlaid to illustrate the sensitivity. Both signal and background are normalised to a luminosity of $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$.

To reduce background due to Drell-Yan (particularly $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$) events, the invariant mass of the two selected $\tau_h, m_{\tau\tau}$, is required to be $> 95$ GeV. In addition, at least one of the previously selected jets is required to be b-tagged to reduce QCD multijet backgrounds. Finally, an event is rejected if it contains an identified and isolated electron (muon), with $p_T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$ (2.5). The acceptance of the signal events is 4.9% (11%) for single (pair) production, where the branching ratio of two $\tau$ leptons decaying hadronically is included in the numerator of the acceptance.

Signal extraction is based on a binned maximum likelihood fit to the distribution of the scalar $p_T$ sum $S_T$, which is defined as the sum of the transverse momenta of the two $\tau_h$ and either the highest-$p_T$ jet in the case of single LQ production, or the two highest-$p_T$ jets in the case of LQ pair production. These distributions are shown in Fig. 5.2.9 for the HL-LHC $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ scenario.

Systematic uncertainties are calculated by scaling the current experimental uncertainties. For uncertainties limited by statistics, including the uncertainty on the DY (3.3%) and QCD (3.3%) cross sections, a scale factor of $1/\sqrt{L}$ is applied, for an integrated luminosity ratio $L$. For uncertainties coming from theoretical calculations, a scale factor of $1/2$ is applied with respect to current uncertainties, as is the case for the uncertainties on the cross section for top (2.8%) or diboson (3%) events. Other experimental systematic uncertainties are scaled by the square root of the integrated luminosity ratio until the uncertainty reaches a minimum value, including uncertainties on the integrated luminosity (1%), $\tau$ identification (5%) and b-tagging/misidentification (1%/5%).

Figure 5.2.10 shows an upper limit at 95% C.L. on the cross section times branching fraction $\beta$ as a function of $m_{LQ}$ by using the asymptotic CLs modified frequentist criterion [94, 95, 257, 433]. Upper limits are calculated considering two different scenarios. The first one, hereafter abbreviated as "stat. only" considers only statistical uncertainties, to observe how the results are affected by the increase of the integrated luminosity. The second scenario, hereafter abbreviated as "stat.+syst." also includes the estimate of the systematic uncertainties at the HL-LHC. For the single LQ production search, the theoretical prediction for the cross section assumes $\lambda = 1$ and $\beta = 1$.

Comparing the limits with theoretical predictions assuming unit Yukawa coupling $\lambda = 1$, third-generation scalar leptoquarks are expected to be excluded at 95% C.L. for LQ masses below 730 (1250) GeV for a luminosity of 300 fb$^{-1}$, and below 1130 (1520) GeV for 3 ab$^{-1}$ in the single (pair) production channel, considering both statistical and systematic uncertainties.
Fig. 5.2.10: Expected limits at 95% C.L. on the product of the cross section $\sigma$ and the branching fraction $\beta$, as a function of the LQ mass, for the two high luminosity projections, 300 fb$^{-1}$ (red) and 3 ab$^{-1}$ (orange), for both the stat. only (dashed lines) and the stat.+syst. scenarios (solid lines). This is shown in conjunction with the theoretical predictions at NLO [432] in cyan. Projections are calculated for both the single LQ (left) and LQ pair production (right).

Fig. 5.2.11: Expected exclusion limits at 95% C.L. on the Yukawa coupling $\lambda$ at the LQ-lepton-quark vertex, as a function of the LQ mass. A unit branching fraction $\beta$ of the LQ to a $\tau$ lepton and a bottom quark is assumed. Future projections for 300 fb$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$ are shown for both the stat. only and stat.+syst. scenarios, shown as dashed and filled lines respectively, and for both the single LQ and LQ pair production, where the latter corresponds to the vertical line (since it does not depend on $\lambda$). The left hand side of the lines represents the exclusion region for each of the projections, whereas the region with diagonal blue hatching shows the parameter space preferred by one of the models proposed to explain anomalies observed in B physics [434].

Since the single-LQ signal cross section scales with $\lambda^2$, it is straightforward to recast the results presented in Fig. 5.2.10 in terms of expected upper limits on $m_{LQ}$ as a function of $\lambda$, as shown in Fig. 5.2.11. The blue band shows the parameter space (95% C.L.) for the scalar LQ preferred by the B physics anomalies: $\lambda = (0.95 \pm 0.50) m_{LQ}$ (TeV) [434]. For the 300 (3000) fb$^{-1}$ luminosity scenario, the leptoquark pair production channel is more sensitive if $\lambda < 2.7 (2.3)$, while the single leptoquark production is dominant otherwise.

Using the predicted cross section [432] of the signal, it is also possible to estimate the maximal
From these samples, we selected all events satisfying the particle content requirements and applied the lower cut in the $t\bar{t}$ final state. The signal events and the dominant background events ($Wt\bar{t}$) were generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO at leading-order. PYTHIA 6 was used to shower and hadronise events and DELPHES 3 was used to simulate the detector response. The scalar leptoquark ($r_{23}$) and the vector leptoquark ($U_1$) UFO model files were taken from Ref. [432].

To verify the procedure, we simulated the $t\bar{t}$ background and the scalar leptoquark signal at 13 TeV and compared to the predicted shapes in the $S_T$ distribution from the existing CMS analysis [435]. After we verified the 13 TeV analysis, we simulated the signal and the dominant background events at 27 TeV. From these samples, we selected all events satisfying the particle content requirements and applied the lower cut in the $S_T$ variable. The cut threshold was chosen to maximise $s/\sqrt{b}$ while requiring at least 2 expected signal events at an integrated luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$. In the case of the vector leptoquark we considered the Yang-Mills ($\kappa = 1$) and the minimal coupling ($\kappa = 0$) scenarios [432]. From the simulations of the scalar and vector leptoquark events, we found the ratio of the cross-sections, and assuming similar kinematics, we estimated the sensitivity also for the vector leptoquark $U_1$.

As shown in Fig. 5.2.13, the HE-LHC collider will be able to probe pair produced third generation scalar leptoquark (decaying exclusively to $b\tau$ final state) up to mass of $\sim$ 4 TeV and vector leptoquark up to $\sim$ 4.5 TeV and $\sim$ 5.2 TeV for the minimal coupling and Yang-Mills scenarios, respectively.

**Fig. 5.2.12:** Expected local significance of a signal-like excess as a function of the LQ mass, for the two high luminosity projections, 300 fb$^{-1}$ (red) and 3 ab$^{-1}$ (orange), assuming the theoretical prediction for the LQ cross section at NLO [432], calculated with $\lambda = 1$ and $\beta = 1$. Projections are calculated for both single LQ (left) and LQ pair production (right).

LQ mass expected to be in reach for a 5$\sigma$ discovery. Figure 5.2.12 shows the expected local significance of a signal-like excess as a function of the LQ mass hypothesis.

In summary, this study shows that future LQ searches under higher luminosity conditions are promising, as they are expected to greatly increase the reach of the search. They also show that the pair production channel is expected to be the most sensitive. A significance of 5$\sigma$ is within reach for LQ masses below 800 (1200) GeV for the single (pair) production channels in the 300 fb$^{-1}$ scenario and 1000 (1500) GeV for the 3 ab$^{-1}$ scenario.

### 5.2.4 HE-LHC sensitivity study for leptoquarks decaying to $\tau + b$

**Contributors:** A. Greljo and L. Mittnacht

We analysed the sensitivity of the 27 TeV $pp$ collider with 15 ab$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity to probe pair production of the scalar and vector leptoquarks decaying to ($b\tau$) final state. We investigated events containing either one electron or muon, one hadronically decaying tau lepton, and at least two jets. The signal events and the dominant background events ($t\bar{t}$) were generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO at leading-order. PYTHIA 6 was used to shower and hadronise events and DELPHES 3 was used to simulate the detector response. The scalar leptoquark ($r_{23}$) and the vector leptoquark ($U_1$) UFO model files were taken from Ref. [432].

To verify the procedure, we simulated the $t\bar{t}$ background and the scalar leptoquark signal at 13 TeV and compared to the predicted shapes in the $S_T$ distribution from the existing CMS analysis [435]. After we verified the 13 TeV analysis, we simulated the signal and the dominant background events at 27 TeV. From these samples, we selected all events satisfying the particle content requirements and applied the lower cut in the $S_T$ variable. The cut threshold was chosen to maximise $s/\sqrt{b}$ while requiring at least 2 expected signal events at an integrated luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$. In the case of the vector leptoquark we considered the Yang-Mills ($\kappa = 1$) and the minimal coupling ($\kappa = 0$) scenarios [432]. From the simulations of the scalar and vector leptoquark events, we found the ratio of the cross-sections, and assuming similar kinematics, we estimated the sensitivity also for the vector leptoquark $U_1$. As shown in Fig. 5.2.13, the HE-LHC collider will be able to probe pair produced third generation scalar leptoquark (decaying exclusively to $b\tau$ final state) up to mass of $\sim$ 4 TeV and vector leptoquark up to $\sim$ 4.5 TeV and $\sim$ 5.2 TeV for the minimal coupling and Yang-Mills scenarios, respectively.
While this result is obtained by a rather crude analysis, it shows the impressive reach of the future high-energy pp-collider. In particular, the HE-LHC will cut deep into the relevant perturbative parameter space for $b \to c \tau \nu$ anomaly. As a final comment, this is a rather conservative estimate of the sensitivity to leptoquark models solving $R(D^*)$ anomaly, since a dedicated single leptoquark production search is expected to yield even stronger bounds [432, 434].

5.3 High $p_T$ implications of flavour anomalies

**Contributors:** A. Celis, A. Greljo, L. Mittnacht, M. Nardecchia, T. You

Precision measurements of flavour transitions at low energies, such as flavour changing $B$, $D$ and $K$ decays, are sensitive probes of hypothetical dynamics at high energy scales. These can provide the first evidence of new BSM phenomena, even before direct discovery of new particles at high energy colliders. Indeed, the current anomalies observed in $B$-meson decays, the charge current one in $b \to c \tau \nu$ transitions, and neutral current one in $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$, may be the first hint of new dynamics which is still waiting to be discovered at high-$p_T$. When considering models that can accommodate the anomalies, it is crucial to analyse the constraints derived from high-$p_T$ searches at the LHC, since these can often rule out significant regions of model parameter space. Below we review these constraints, and assess the impact of the HL- and HE-LHC upgrades.

5.3.1 EFT analysis

If the dominant NP effects give rise to dimension-six SMEFT operators, the low-energy flavour measurements are sensitive to $C/\Lambda^2$, with $C$ the dimensionless NP Wilson coefficient and $\Lambda$ the NP scale. The size of the Wilson coefficient is model dependent, and thus so is the NP scale required to explain the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomalies. Perturbative unitarity sets an upper bound on the energy scale below which new dynamics need to appear [436]. The conservative bounds on the scale of unitarity violation are $\Lambda_U = 9.2$ TeV and 84 TeV for $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$, respectively, obtained when the flavour structure of NP operators is exactly aligned with what is needed to explain the anomalies. More realistic frameworks for flavour structure, such as MFV, $U(2)$ flavour models, or partial compositeness, give rise to NP effective operators with largest effects for the third generation. This results in stronger unitarity bounds, $\Lambda_U = 1.9$ TeV and 17 TeV for $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$, respectively. These results mean that: (i) the mediators responsible for the $b \to c \tau \nu$ charged current anomalies are expected to be in the energy range of the LHC, (ii) the mediators responsible for the $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ neutral current anomalies could well be above the energy range of the LHC. However, in realistic flavour models also these mediators typically fall within
the (HE-)LHC reach.

If the neutrinos in $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are part of a left-handed doublet, the NP responsible for $R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomaly generically implies a sizeable signal in $pp \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ production at high-$p_T$. For realistic flavour structures, in which $b \rightarrow c$ transition is $O(V_{cb})$ suppressed compared to $b \rightarrow b$, one expects rather large $bb \rightarrow \tau\tau$ NP amplitude. Schematically, $\Delta R_{D^{(*)}} \sim C_{bb\tau\tau}(1 + \lambda_{bs}/V_{cb})$, where $C_{bb\tau\tau}$ is the size of effective dim-6 interactions controlling $bb \rightarrow \tau\tau$, and $\lambda_{bs}$ is a dimensionless parameter controlling the size of flavour violation. Recasting ATLAS 13 TeV, 3.2 $fb^{-1}$ search for $\tau^+\tau^-$ [437], Ref. [438] showed that $\lambda_{bs} = 0$ scenario is already in slight tension with data. For $\lambda_{bs} \sim 5$, which is moderately large, but still compatible with FCNC constraints, HL (or even HE) upgrade of the LHC would be needed to cover the relevant parameter space implied by the anomaly (see Ref. [434]). For large $\lambda_{bs}$ the limits from $pp \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ become comparable with direct the limits on $pp \rightarrow \tau\nu$ from the bottom-charm fusion. The limits on the EFT coefficients from $pp \rightarrow \tau\nu$ were derived in Ref. [439], and the future LHC projections are promising. The main virtue of this channel is that the same four-fermion interaction is compared in $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ at low energies and $bc \rightarrow \tau\nu$ at high-$p_T$. Since the effective NP scale in $R(D^{(*)})$ anomaly is low, the above EFT analyses are only indicative. For more quantitative statements we review below bounds on explicit models.

The hints of NP in $R_{K^{(*)}}$ require a $(bs)(\ell\ell)$ interaction. Correlated effects in high-$p_T$ tails of $pp \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- (e^+e^-)$ distributions are expected, if the numerators (denominators) of LFU ratios $R_{K^{(*)}}$ are affected. Reference [440] recast the 13 TeV 36.1 $fb^{-1}$ ATLAS search [441] (see also Ref. [442]), to set limits on a number of semi-leptonic four-fermion operators, and derive projections for HL-LHC (see Ref. [440]). These show that direct limits on the $(bs)(\ell\ell)$ operator from the tails of distributions will never be competitive with those implied by the rare $B$-decays [440,443]. On the other hand, flavour conserving operators, $(qq)(\ell\ell)$, are efficiently constrained by the high $p_T$ tails of the distributions. The flavour structure of an underlining NP could thus be probed by constraining ratios $\lambda_{bs}^q = C_{bs}/C_{qq}$ with $C_{bs}$ fixed by the $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomaly. For example, in models with MFV flavour structure, so that $\lambda_{bs}^{ud} \sim V_{cb}$, the present high-$p_T$ dilepton data is already in slight tension with the anomaly [440]. Instead, if couplings to valence quarks are suppressed, e.g., if NP dominantly couples to the 3rd family SM fermions, then $\lambda_{bs}^V \sim V_{cb}$. Such NP will hardly be probed even at the HL-LHC, and it is possible that NP responsible for the neutral current anomaly might stay undetected in the high-$p_T$ tails at HL-LHC and even at HE-LHC. Future data will cover a significant part of viable parameter space, though not completely, so that discovery is possible, but not guaranteed.

### 5.3.2 Constraints on simplified models for $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$

Since the $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ decay is a tree-level process in the SM that receives no drastic suppression, models that can explain these anomalies necessarily require a mediator that contributes at tree-level:

- **SM-like $W'$**: A SM-like $W'$ boson, coupling to left-handed fermions, would explain the approximately equal enhancements observed in $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$. A possible realisation is a colour-neutral real $SU(2)_L$ triplet of massive vector bosons [444]. However, typical models encounter problems with current LHC data since they result in large contributions to $pp \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ cross-section, mediated by the neutral partner of the $W'$ [438,444,445]. For $M_{W'} \gtrsim 500$ GeV, solving the $R(D^{(*)})$ anomaly within the vector triplet model while being consistent with $\tau^+\tau^-$ resonance searches at the LHC is only possible if the related $Z'$ has a large total decay width [438]. Focusing on the $W'$, Ref. [446] analysed the production of this mediator via $gg$ and $gc$ fusion, decaying to $\tau\nu$. Reference [446] concluded that a dedicated search using that a $b$-jet is present in the final state would be effective in reducing the SM background compared to an inclusive analysis that relies on $\tau$-tagging and $E_T^{miss}$. Nonetheless, relevant limits will be set by an inclusive search in the future [439].

- **Right-handed $W'$**: Ref.s [447,448] recently proposed that $W'$ could mediate a right-handed interac-
\( L \supset y \ell q \) for \( m_{LQ}, y_{q\ell} \) parameters. The three cases are: pair production \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell} \), single production \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell}^4 \) and Drell-Yan \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell}^4 \) (from Ref. [432]).

Fig. 5.3.1: Schematic of the LHC bounds on LQ showing complementarity in constraining the \((m_{LQ}, y_{q\ell})\) parameters. The three cases are: pair production \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell} \), single production \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell}^4 \) and Drell-Yan \( \sigma \propto y_{q\ell}^4 \) (from Ref. [432]).

• Charged Higgs \( H^\pm \): Models that introduce a charged Higgs, for instance a two-Higgs-doublet model, also contain additional neutral scalars. Their masses are constrained by EW precision measurements to be close to that of the charged Higgs. Accommodating the \( R(D^{(*)}) \) anomalies with a charged Higgs typically implies large new physics contributions to \( pp \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \) via the neutral scalar exchanges, so that current LHC data can challenge this option [438]. Note that a charged Higgs also presents an important tension between the current measurement of \( R(D^{(*)}) \) and the measured lifetime of the \( B_c \) meson [449–452].

• Leptoquarks: The observed anomalies in charged and neutral currents appear in semileptonic decays of the \( B \)-mesons. This implies that the putative NP has to couple to both quarks and leptons at the fundamental level. A natural BSM option is to consider mediators that couple simultaneously quarks and leptons at the tree level. Such states are commonly referred as leptoquarks. Decay and production mechanisms of the LQ are directly linked to the physics required to explain the anomalous data.

- Leptoquark decays: the fit to the \( R(D^+) \) observables suggest a rather light leptoquark (at the TeV scale) that couples predominately to the third generation fermions of the SM. A series of constraints from flavour physics, in particular the absence of BSM effects in kaon and charm mixing observables, reinforces this picture.

- Leptoquark production mechanism: The size of the couplings required to explain the anomaly is typically very large, roughly \( y_{q\ell} \approx m_{LQ}/(1 \text{ TeV}) \). Depending of the actual sizes of the leptoquark couplings and its mass we can distinguish three regimes that are relevant for the phenomenology at the LHC:

1. LQ pair production due to strong interactions,
2. Single LQ production plus lepton via a single insertion of the LQ coupling, and
3. Non-resonant production of di-lepton through \( t \)-channel exchange of the leptoquark.

Interestingly all three regimes provide complementary bounds in the \((m_{LQ}, y_{q\ell})\) plane, see Fig. 5.3.1.
Several simplified models with a leptoquark as a mediator were shown to be consistent with the low-energy data. A vector leptoquark with $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ SM quantum numbers $U_\mu \sim (3, 1, 2/3)$ was identified as the only single mediator model which can simultaneously fit the two anomalies (see e.g. Ref. [434] for a recent fit including leading RGE effects). In order to substantially cover the relevant parameter space, one needs future HL- (HE-) LHC, see Fig. 5.3.2 (right) (see also Ref. [434] for details on the present LHC constraints). A similar statement applies to an alternative model featuring two scalar leptoquarks, $S_1, S_3$ [453], with the projected reach at CLIC and HL-LHC shown in Fig. 5.3.2 (left) (see Ref. [454] shows details on present LHC constraints).

Leptoquarks states are emerging as the most convincing mediators for the explanations of the flavour anomalies. It is then important to explore all the possible signatures at the the HL- and HE-LHC. The experimental program should focus not only on final states containing quarks and leptons of the third generation, but also on the whole list of decay channels including the off-diagonal ones ($b\mu, s\tau, \ldots$). The completeness of this approach would shed light on the flavour structure of the putative New Physics.

Another aspect to be emphasised concerning leptoquark models for the anomalies is the fact of the possible presence of extra fields required to complete the UV Lagrangian. The accompanying particles would leave more important signatures at high $p_T$ than the leptoquark, this is particularly true for vector leptoquark extensions (see, for example, Ref. [455,456]).

As a final remark, it is important to remember that the anomalies are not yet experimentally established. Among others, this also means that the statements on whether or not the high $p_T$ LHC constraints rule out certain $R(D^{(*)})$ explanations assumes that the actual values of $R(D^{(*)})$ are given by their current global averages. If future measurements decrease the global average, the high $p_T$ constraints can in some cases be greatly relaxed and HL- and/or HE-LHC may be essential for these, at present tightly constrained, cases.

5.3.3 Constraints on simplified models for $b \to sll$

The $b \to sll$ transition is both loop and CKM suppressed in the SM. The explanations of the $b \to sll$ anomalies can thus have both tree level and loop level mediators. Loop-level explanations typically
Fig. 5.3.3: HL-LHC 95% (blue) and 99% (orange) C.L. sensitivity contours to $Z'$ in the “mixed-down” model for $g_{\mu\mu}$ vs $Z'$ mass in TeV. The dashed grey contours give the $Z'$ width as a fraction of mass. The green and red regions are excluded by trident neutrino production and $B_s$ mixing, respectively. The dashed blue line is the stronger $B_s$ mixing constraint from Ref. [457]. See also Section 5.2.2.

involve lighter particles. Tree-level mediators can also be light, if sufficiently weakly coupled. However, they can also be much heavier—possibly beyond the reach of the LHC.

- **Tree-level mediators:**

  For $b \to s\ell\ell$ anomaly there are two possible tree-level UV-completions, the $Z'$ vector boson and leptoquarks, either scalar or vector. For leptoquarks, Fig. 5.2.5 (right) shows the current 95% C.L. limits from 8 TeV CMS with 19.6 fb$^{-1}$ in the $\mu\ell jj$ final state (solid black line), as well as the HL-LHC (dashed black line) and 1 (10) ab$^{-1}$ HE-LHC extrapolated limits (solid (dashed) cyan line). Dotted lines give the cross-sections times BR at the corresponding collider energy for pair production of scalar leptoquarks, calculated at NLO using the code of Ref. [412]. We see that the sensitivity to a leptoquark with only the minimal $b - \mu$ and $s - \mu$ couplings reaches around 2.5 and 4.5 TeV at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, respectively. This pessimistic estimate is a lower bound that will typically be improved in realistic models with additional flavour couplings. Moreover, the reach can be extended by single production searches [420], albeit in a more model-dependent way than pair production. The cross section predictions for vector leptoquark are more model-dependent and are not shown in Fig. 5.2.5 (right). For $O(1)$ couplings the corresponding limits are typically stronger than for scalar leptoquarks.

  For the $Z'$ mediator the minimal couplings in the mass eigenstate basis are obtained by unitary transformations from the gauge eigenstate basis, which necessarily induces other couplings. Reference [458] defined the “mixed-up” model (MUM) and “mixed-down” model (MDM) such that the minimal couplings are obtained via CKM rotations in either the up or down sectors respectively. For MUM there is no sensitivity at the HL-LHC. The predicted sensitivity at the HL-LHC for the MDM is shown in Fig. 5.3.3 as functions of $Z'$ muon coupling $g_{\mu\mu}$ and the $Z'$ mass, setting the $Z'$ coupling to $b$ and $s$ quarks such that it solves the $b \to s\ell\ell$ anomaly. The solid blue (orange) contours give the 95% and 99% C.L. sensitivity. The red and green regions are excluded by $B_s$ mixing [459] and neutrino trident production [460, 461], respectively. The more stringent $B_s$ mixing constraint from Ref. [457] is denoted by the dashed blue line; see, however, Ref. [462] for further discussion regarding the implications of this bound. The dashed grey contours denote the width as a fraction of the mass. We see that the HL-LHC will only be sensitive to $Z'$ with narrow width, up to masses of 5 TeV.

  At the HE-LHC, the reach for 10 ab$^{-1}$ is shown in Fig. 5.3.4 for the MUM and MDM on the left and right, respectively. In this case the sensitivity may reach a $Z'$ with wider widths up to 0.25 and 0.5 of its mass, while the mass extends out to 10 to 12 TeV. We stress that this is a pessimistic estimate of
the projected sensitivity, particular to the two minimal models; more realistic scenarios will typically be easier to discover.

**Explanations at the one-loop level:**

It is possible to accommodate the $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ anomalies even if mediators only enter at one-loop. One possibility are the mediators coupling to right-handed top quarks and to muons [463–467]. Given the loop and CKM suppression of the NP contribution to the $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ amplitude, these models can explain the $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ anomalies for a light mediator, with mass around $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV or lighter. Constraints from the LHC and future projections for the HL-LHC were derived in Ref. [467] by recasting di-muon resonance, $pp \to t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ and SUSY searches. Two scenarios were considered: i) a scalar LQ $R_2(3, 2, 7/6)$ combined with a vector LQ $\tilde{U}_{1\alpha}(3, 1, 5/3)$, ii) a vector boson $Z'$ in the singlet representation of the SM gauge group. Reference [466] also analysed the HL-LHC projections for the $Z'$. The constraints from the LHC already rule out part of the relevant parameter space and the HL-LHC will be able to cover much of the remaining regions. Dedicated searches in the $pp \to t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ channel and a dedicated search for $t\mu$ resonances in $t\bar{t}\mu^+\mu^-$ final state can improve the sensitivity to these models [467].
6 Other BSM signatures

New physics models aimed at extending the SM either to overcome some of its theoretical puzzles, like the EW, flavour and strong CP ‘hierarchies’, or by the need of explaining new phenomena, such as neutrino masses, Dark Matter, and baryogenesis, often predict signatures that are very different from the common SUSY ones, due to the absence of large missing transverse energy. These signatures are instead usually characterised by on-shell resonances, singly or doubly produced, depending on their quantum numbers, that decay into visible SM particles.

The lack so far of hints of new physics at the LHC, has typically required an increased level of complication in models addressing the aforementioned puzzles. Many of these complicated, though well motivated scenarios, are not always the best reference to clearly and simply evaluate the potential of a future collider. Often, as in the case of SUSY, considering simplified models inspired by more motivated, but involved constructions, constitutes the best choice to provide target experimental signatures. These targets are perfectly suited to evaluate the potential of future collider upgrades or new future colliders, and to compare them. Moreover, one can also try to answer questions such as what is the sensitivity to discriminate models given a discovery.

Usual standard scenarios include singly-produced resonances, with integer spin, decaying to two SM fermions or bosons, and pair-produced heavy fermion resonances, decaying to SM bosons and fermions. Most of these cases will be covered in this section, where the main “metric” to evaluate collider performances is the reach in the mass of these resonances, or the reach in covering a mass vs. coupling parameter space. The experimental signatures can be rather clean, like in the case of dilepton resonances, where the reach is usually limited by statistical uncertainties, or they can instead be affected by large SM backgrounds, especially in the hadronic channels, where the reach is typically limited by systematic uncertainties.

This section presents several results considering a broad variety of signatures and new physics scenarios. Of course the list is far from complete in terms of its coverage of possible BSM scenarios. However, it provides a clear and wide enough picture of the potential of the HL- and HE-LHC in terms of reach on heavy states, and coverage of their parameter space.

Finally, notwithstanding that the presented results contain a very detailed study of future prospects, that should serve as a reference for future studies, the global message of this section is that the HL-LHC will be able to extend the present LHC mass reach on heavy objects typically by $\sim 20 - 50\%$. Furthermore, HL-LHC will also be able to constrain, and potentially discover, new physics that is presently unconstrained. Concerning the HE-LHC, the conclusion is often a doubled mass reach, beyond HL-LHC, on heavy objects.

The results are presented considering a categorisation in terms of the spin of the studied resonances, which usually reflects in the final states considered. We therefore present sections containing spin 0 and 2 (Section 6.1), spin 1 (Section 6.2) and spin 1/2 resonances (Section 6.3). Additional prospect analyses that are more signature-based and could enter in more than one of the first three sections, have been collected in the last Section 6.4.

6.1 Spin 0 and 2 resonances

We present here several results concerning the production and decay of spin 0 and 2 particles decaying into several different SM final states. These range from resonant double Higgs production through a spin-2 KK graviton, to heavy scalar singlets that could mix with the Higgs, making the interplay with Higgs coupling measurements a crucial ingredient, from light pseudoscalar and axion-like particles to colour octet scalars.
6.1.1 Resonant double Higgs production in the 4b final state at the HL-LHC

Contributors: S. Willocq and A. Miller, ATLAS

The projection study in Ref. [468], summarised here, uses the search for high-mass spin-2 KK gravitons decaying into two Higgs bosons, $HH$, as a benchmark, with each of the Higgs bosons decaying to $b\bar{b}$, thereby yielding a final state with two highly boosted $b\bar{b}$ systems, which are reconstructed as two large-radius jets. The following strategy is followed to obtain sensitivity estimates at the HL-LHC:

(i) signal and background mass distributions for the pair of candidate Higgs bosons in the event are taken from the most recent ATLAS data analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [274] and scaled to $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$; (ii) simulated signal and background mass distributions are used to derive mass-dependent scaling functions to extrapolate the distributions from $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV to 14 TeV; (iii) simulated signal and background mass distributions are used for further scaling of the distributions to reproduce the impact of additional selection criteria not included in Run-2 searches.

The dominant background for the 13 TeV data analysis stems from multijet production. This background source is estimated directly from data in that analysis and represents about 80%, 90%, and 95% of the total background in the signal region for events with 2, 3, and 4 $b$-tags (the classification of events based on the number of $b$-tags is described below). The remaining source of background originates almost completely from $t\bar{t}$ production. The shape of the dijet mass distribution for $t\bar{t}$ events is taken from MC samples. The normalisation of the $t\bar{t}$ background in Ref. [274] is extracted from a fit to the leading large-$R$ jet mass distribution in the 13 TeV data. Samples of simulated multijet background events are generated to derive scaling functions to be applied to the background predictions from the 13 TeV data analysis. These samples are generated at both $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Two different sets of MC samples are used to study the impact of differences in jet flavour composition on the multijet background scaling functions. The first set of events corresponds to the $p > 2$ processes $pp \rightarrow jj$ (with $j = g$ or $q$) generated with PYTHIA 8 with truth jet $p_T$ in the range between 400 and 2500 GeV. The second set of events corresponds to the $2 \rightarrow 4$ processes $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO requiring $b$-quarks to have $p_T$ above 100 GeV and the events are required to have at least one $b$-quark with $p_T$ above 200 GeV.

Large-radius jets are used in the analysis. They are built from generated particles with the anti-$k_t$ algorithm operating with a radius parameter $R = 1.0$. Previous studies indicate that the trimming effectively removes the impact of pileup up to $\mu = 300$ [469]. Small-radius jets are built from generated charged particles with the anti-$k_t$ algorithm and a radius of $R = 0.2$. Only charged particles with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV are used in the clustering to emulate the track jets used in the 13 TeV data analysis.

The event selection applied to the truth-level analysis proceeds similarly to that used for the 13 TeV data analysis. Three regions in the plane formed by the leading large-$R$ jet mass and the subleading large-$R$ jet mass are used in the analysis. The signal region is defined by the requirement $X_{HH} < 1.6$, with $X_{HH}$ defined as

$$X_{HH} = \left( \frac{m_{\text{lead}} - 125 \text{ GeV}}{0.1 m_{\text{lead}}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m_{\text{subl}} - 120 \text{ GeV}}{0.1 m_{\text{subl}}} \right)^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.1)

where $m_J$ is the large-$R$ jet mass. The choices made to define the control and sideband regions are driven by the need to select events that are kinematically similar to those in the signal region while providing sufficiently large samples to derive the background estimate from the sideband region and validate it in the control region.

For the 13 TeV data analysis, the dominant multijet background is estimated with a data-driven approach which utilises events with a smaller number of $b$-tags in the sideband region. The events used for this estimation are required to have the same track-jet topology as in the event categories in which they are used to model the background. Events with 1 $b$-tag are used to model the background in the 2-tag category. Likewise, events with 2 $b$-tags are used to model the background in the 3- and 4-tag
categories. Various checks performed show that either multijet simulation can be used reliably to predict the shape of the dijet mass distributions. However, differences in the flavour content of the large-$R$ jets in the dijet and 4$b$ multijet MC samples do affect the predicted background yields in the following study and the two samples are used to extract a range of projections at the HL-LHC.

As mentioned above, the projection for the HL-LHC proceeds in three steps. At first, the dijet mass distributions for signal and both multijet and $t\bar{t}$ background events from the 13 TeV data analysis in Run-2 are scaled from 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ to 3 ab$^{-1}$. The background distributions are further scaled with mass-dependent functions to extrapolate from $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV to 14 TeV. These functions take into account both increases in cross section and changes in detector performance from the Run-2 ATLAS detector to the future upgraded detector at the HL-LHC. Further mass-dependent scaling is applied to all signal and background distributions to reflect improvements in the reconstruction of highly boosted jets, as obtained by using variable-radius track jets [470] instead of fixed-radius ($R = 0.2$) track jets, or in the background suppression by applying a requirement on the maximum number of charged particles associated with each large-$R$ jet.

The first improvement relative to the 13 TeV data analysis arises from the use of variable-radius track jets. This circumvents the problem that $R = 0.2$ track jets from the $H \rightarrow bb$ decay start merging for Higgs boson $p_T$ values larger than approximately $2m_H/R = 1250$ GeV. The second improvement relative to the 13 TeV data analysis is the requirement of a maximum number of charged particles associated with large-$R$ jets to exploit differences between quark- and gluon-initiated jets, the latter being an important component of the multijet background. The impact of pileup at $\mu = 200$ has been studied for charged particle tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV associated with the primary vertex. In the case of $t\bar{t}$ events, the average number of tracks associated with the primary vertex increases by about 15% due to pileup. Further pileup suppression is possible with additional requirements on the longitudinal impact parameter or track-vertex association probability. Both leading and subleading large-$R$ jets are required to have fewer than 20 charged particles with $p_T > 1$ GeV and $\Delta R < 0.6$ with respect to the jet axis.

The dijet mass distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with 3 ab$^{-1}$ resulting from the scaling procedure described above, including variable-radius track jets and the requirement on the maximum number of charged particles per large-$R$ jet, are shown in Fig. 6.1.1 for 4-tag events in the signal region using either the dijet (left) or the 4$b$ multijet (right) MC samples. Systematic uncertainties are scaled down by factors of two or more (where applicable) relative to the values from the 13 TeV data analysis to account for the increased precision available with 3 ab$^{-1}$ at the HL-LHC.

Upper limits on $\sigma \times B$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV range from 1.44 fb (1.82 fb) at a mass of 1.0 TeV to
The reduced Planck scale, \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}, \) as in Ref. [274]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 36.1 \text{ fb}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}, 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} )</th>
<th>dijet scaling</th>
<th>4b scaling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k/M_p = 0.5 )</td>
<td>no limit</td>
<td>2.15 TeV</td>
<td>2.00 TeV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k/M_p = 1.0 )</td>
<td>1.36 TeV</td>
<td>2.95 TeV</td>
<td>2.75 TeV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1.1: Expected 95% C.L. lower limits on \( G_{KK} \) mass for the 13 TeV data analysis and the extrapolation to the HL-LHC for \( k/M_p = 0.5 \) and 1.0 in the bulk RS model. Different extrapolations are provided based on modelling of the changes in multijet background using either the dijet or the 4b multijet MC samples.

0.025 fb (0.040 fb) at a mass of 3.0 TeV when dijet (4b) scaling and the variable-radius track jets with a maximum requirement on the number of charged particles are applied. The benefit from the use of variable-radius track jets becomes significant at the highest resonance masses considered here, with an improvement in the upper limits of at least 24% (depending on the choice of scaling) at 3.0 TeV. The additional requirement on the maximum number of charged particles further improves the upper limits by factors of about 20% and 45% at masses of 2.0 and 3.0 TeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties have a modest impact on the limits with an effect of at most 20% at 1.0 TeV and decreasing to ∼ 5% at high mass. The lower mass limits on KK gravitons are summarised in Table 6.1.1, evaluated at the 95% C.L., using either the dijet MC samples or the 4b multijet MC samples to model the changes in the multijet background relative to the background predictions from the 13 TeV data analysis.

6.1.2 VBF production of resonances decaying to HH in the 4b final state at HL-LHC

Contributors: A. Carvalho, J. Komaragiri, D. Majumder, L. Panwar, CMS

Several BSM scenarios predict the existence of resonances decaying to a pair of Higgs bosons, \( H \) [3, 4, 471], such as warped extra dimensional (WED) models [472], which have a spin-0 radion [473–475] and a spin-2 first Kaluza–Klein (KK) excitation of the graviton [476–478]. Others, such as the two-Higgs doublet models [479] (particularly, the MSSM [480]) and the Georgi-Machacek model [481] also contain spin-0 resonances. These resonances may have a sizeable branching fraction to a Higgs pair.

Searches for a new particle \( X \) in the \( HH \) decay channel have been performed by the ATLAS [482–484] and CMS [485–489] Collaborations in proton-proton (\( pp \)) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \) and 8 TeV. The ATLAS Collaboration has set limits on the production of a KK bulk graviton decaying to \( HH \) in the final state with a pair of \( b \) quark and antiquark, \( b\bar{b}b\bar{b} \), using \( pp \) collision data at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV [274, 490, 491]. The CMS Collaboration has also set limits on the production of a KK bulk graviton and a radion, decaying to \( HH \), in the \( b\bar{b}b\bar{b} \) final state, using \( pp \) collision data at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb\(^{-1} \) [492, 493]. At present, the searches from ATLAS and CMS set a limit on the production cross sections and the branching fractions \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow X)B(X \rightarrow HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}) \) for masses of \( X \), \( m_X \) up to 3 TeV.

The searches were confined to the \( s \)-channel production of a narrow resonance \( X \) from the SM quark-antiquark or gluon-gluon interactions. The WED models that were used in the interpretations of the results have extra spatial dimension compactified between two branes (called the bulk) via an exponential metric \( \kappa l \), where \( \kappa \) is the warp factor and \( l \) the coordinate of the extra spatial dimension [494]. The reduced Planck scale, \( \sqrt{\kappa l M_p^2} = M_{Pl}/8\pi \), \( M_{Pl} \) being the Planck scale and the ultraviolet cutoff of the theory \( \Lambda_R \equiv \sqrt{6\epsilon}e^{-\kappa l M_p^2} \) [473] are fundamental scales in these models. A radion of mass below 1.4 TeV is excluded, assuming \( \Lambda_R = 3 \) TeV, while the cross section limit for a bulk graviton decaying to \( HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b} \) is between 1.4 and 4 fb for masses between 1.4 and 3.0 TeV, at 95% C.L. [492, 493].

The search for these resonances in other production modes, such as VBF, as depicted in Fig. 6.1.2, has not yet been explored. While the \( s \)-channel production cross section of a bulk graviton, assuming
$\kappa/M_{Pl} = 0.5$, is in the range $0.05 - 5 \text{ fb}$ for masses between $1.5$ and $3 \text{ TeV}$, the VBF production mode is expected to have a cross section an order of magnitude smaller [495]. The absence of a signal from the $s$-channel process may point to highly suppressed couplings of $X$ with the SM quarks and gluons, making VBF the dominant production process in $pp$ collisions.

Here we explore the prospects for the search for a massive resonance produced through VBF and decaying to $HH$ at the HL-LHC with the upgraded CMS detector. For a very massive resonance, highly Lorentz-boosted Higgs bosons are more efficiently reconstructed as a single large-area jet (Higgs jet). In addition, a signal event will also have two energetic jets at large pseudorapidity $\eta$. This study from the CMS Collaboration is reported in detail in Ref. [496].

A simulation of the upgraded Phase 2 CMS detector was used for this study. Signal events for bulk gravitons were simulated at leading order using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.4.2 [67] for masses in the range $1.5$ to $3 \text{ TeV}$ and for a fixed width of $1\%$ of the mass. The NNPDF3.0 leading order PDFs [121], taken from the LHAPDF6 PDF set [497–500], with the four-flavour scheme, were used. The main background is given by multijet events, and has been simulated using PYTHIA 8.212 [68], for events containing two hard partons, with the invariant mass of the two partons required to be greater than $1 \text{ TeV}$.

For both the signal and the background processes, the showering and hadronisation of partons was simulated with PYTHIA 8. The pileup events contribute to the overall event activity in the detector, the effect of which was included in the simulations assuming a pileup distribution averaging to $200$. All generated samples were processed through a GEANT4-based [53, 54] simulation of the upgraded CMS detector.

The two leading-$p_T$ large-radius anti-$k_T$ jets with a distance parameter of $0.8$ (AK8) in the event, $J_1$ and $J_2$, are required to have $p_T > 300 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 3.0$. To identify the two leading-$p_T$ AK8 jets with the boosted $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ candidates from the $X \rightarrow HH$ decay ($H$ tagging), these jets are groomed [501] to remove soft and wide-angle radiation using the modified mass drop algorithm [502, 503], with the soft radiation fraction parameter $z$ set to $0.1$ and the angular exponent parameter $\beta$ set to $0$, also known as the soft-drop algorithm [504, 505]. By undoing the last stage of the jet clustering, one gets two subjets each for $J_1$ and $J_2$. The invariant mass of the two subjets is the soft-drop mass of each AK8 jet, which has a distribution with a peak near the Higgs boson mass $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$ [506, 507], and a width of about $10\%$. The soft-drop mass window selection was optimised using a figure of merit of $S/\sqrt{B}$ and required to be in the range $90 - 140 \text{ GeV}$ for both leading jets.

The $N$-subjetness ratio $\tau_{21} \equiv \tau_2/\tau_1$ [508] has a value much smaller than unity for a jet with two subjets. For signal selection, $J_1$ and $J_2$ are required to have $\tau_{21} < 0.6$ following an optimisation of the above figure of merit.

The $H$ tagging of $J_1$ and $J_2$ further requires identifying their subjet pairs to be $b$ tagged with a
probability of about 49% to contain at least one $b$ hadron, and a corresponding probability of about 1% of having no $b$ or $c$ hadrons. Events are classified into two categories: those having exactly three out of the four $b$-tagged subjets (3$b$ category), and those that have all four subjets $b$-tagged (4$b$ category).

Events are required to have at least two AK4 jets $j_1$ and $j_2$, which are separated from the $H$ jets by $\Delta R > 1.2$, with $p_T > 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5$. To pass the VBF selections, these jets must lie in opposite $\eta$ regions of the detector, and a pseudorapidity difference $|\Delta \eta(j_1, j_2)| > 5$. The invariant mass $m_{jj}$ reconstructed using these AK4 jets is required to pass $m_{jj} > 300$ GeV.

The bulk graviton invariant mass $m_{JJ}$ is reconstructed from the 4-momenta of the two Higgs jets in events passing the above mentioned full selection criteria. The main multijet background is smoothly falling, above which the signal is searched for as a localised excess of events for a narrow resonance $X$.

It is expected that the multijet background component in a true search at the HL-LHC will rely on the data for a precise estimate. Methods such as those described in Ref. [492] are known to provide an accurate prediction of the multijet background $m_{JJ}$ shape as well as the yield.

The simulated multijet background sample consists of $\sim 4$ million events none of which survive the full selection. To estimate the background, the subjet $b$-tagging efficiency is determined using a loose set of selection criteria which require events to have $J_1$ and $J_2$ passing only the soft-drop mass and $\tau_{21}$ requirements. The $b$-tagging efficiency is obtained for the different subjet flavours and as a function of $p_T$ and $\eta$.

Multijet events passing all selection criteria except the subjet $b$ tagging are then reweighted according to the subjet efficiencies to obtain the probability of the event to pass the three of four subjet $b$-tagging categories. The $m_{JJ}$ distributions for the multijet background after the full selection are then

Table 6.1.2: Event yields and efficiencies for the signal and multijet background for an average pileup of 200. The product of the cross sections and branching fractions of the signals $\sigma(pp \rightarrow Xjj \rightarrow HHjj)$ is assumed to be 1 fb. Owing to the large sample sizes of the simulated events, the statistical uncertainties are small.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>$3b$ category</th>
<th>$4b$ category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Efficiency (%)</td>
<td>Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijets</td>
<td>4755</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG ($m_X = 1.5$ TeV)</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG ($m_X = 2$ TeV)</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG ($m_X = 3$ TeV)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6.1.3: Estimated multijet background and the signal $m_{JJ}$ distributions for bulk gravitons (BG) of masses 1.5, 2, and 3 TeV, assuming a signal cross section of 1 fb. The distributions on the left are for the $3b$ and those on the right are for the $4b$ subjet $b$-tagged categories and for an average pileup of 200.
obtained from the weighted events in these two categories.

From the analysis of current LHC data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, it was found that the multijet backgrounds measured in data are a factor of 0.7 smaller than estimated in simulation. Accordingly, the multijet background yield from simulation has been corrected by this factor, assuming this to hold also for the simulations of the multijet processes at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. The $m_{jj}$ of the backgrounds thus obtained and the signals are shown in Fig. 6.1.3, while the event yields after full selection are given in Table 6.1.2.

The efficiency of events to pass the VBF jet selection depends strongly on pileup due to the combinatorial backgrounds from pileup jets, which affects both the signal and the background selection. Moreover, the VBF selection efficiency for multijets grows faster than the signal efficiency with pileup, since the latter has true VBF jets which already pass the selection in the absence of pileup. Hence, in the present search, the requirement of additional VBF jets does not result in any appreciable gain in the signal sensitivity. It is anticipated that developments in the rejection of pileup jets in the high $\eta$ region will eventually help suppress the multijets background and improve the signal sensitivity further.

The expected significance of the signal, assuming a production cross section of 1 fb is estimated. Several systematic uncertainties are considered. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale amounts to 1%. The uncertainty in the subjet $b$-tagging efficiency difference between the data and simulations is taken to be 1%. An uncertainty of 1% is assigned to the integrated luminosity measurement. These uncertainties are based on the projected values for the full data set at the HL-LHC.

In addition, several measurement uncertainties are considered based on the 2016 search for a resonance decaying to a pair of boosted Higgs bosons [492], which are scaled down by a factor of 0.5 for the HL-LHC study. The $H$ jet selection uncertainties include the uncertainties in the $H$ jet mass scale and resolution (1%), the uncertainty in the data to simulation difference in the selection on $m_{21}$ (13%), and the uncertainty in the showering and hadronisation model for the $H$ jet (3.5%). The uncertainties in the signal acceptance because of the parton distribution functions (1%) and the simulation of the pileup (1%) are also taken into account.

The expected signal significance of a bulk graviton of mass 2000 GeV, produced through vector boson fusion, with an assumed production cross section of 1 fb and decaying into a pair of Higgs bosons, each of which decays to a $b\bar{b}$ pair is found to be $2.6\sigma$ for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$.

### 6.1.3 Heavy Higgs bosons in models with vector-like fermions at the HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** R. Dermisek, E. Lunghi, S. Shin

Among the simplest extensions of the SM are models with extended Higgs sector and models with additional vectorlike matter. Although many search strategies for individual new particles were designed, there are large regions of the parameter space that HL- or HE-LHC will not be sensitive to as a result of either small production rates or large SM backgrounds. However, combined signatures of both extra sectors can lead to many new opportunities to search for heavy Higgs bosons and vectorlike matter simultaneously [509].

For example, in a type-II two Higgs doublet model, the production cross section of the 1 TeV heavy CP-even Higgs boson can be as sizeable as $\sim 2$ pb (10 pb) at 13 TeV (27 TeV) c.o.m. energy, depending on the values of $\tan\beta$. However, in the decoupling limit, where $H \rightarrow ZZ$, $WW$ are suppressed or not present, the dominant decay modes are $t\bar{t}$, $hh$, $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ which suffer from large SM backgrounds or small branching fractions in some regions of $\tan\beta$. On the other hand, vectorlike leptons typically have very clean signatures but their production rates are very small. Nevertheless, since vectorlike leptons can appear in decay chains of heavy Higgs bosons, the combined signature can feature both the sizeable production rate and clean final states.

We consider a type-II two Higgs doublet model augmented by vectorlike pairs of new quarks ($SU(2)$ doublets $Q_{L,R}$ and $SU(2)$ singlets $U_{L,R}$ and $D_{L,R}$) and vectorlike pairs of new leptons ($SU(2)$ doublets $L_{L,R}$, $SU(2)$ singlets $E_{L,R}$ and SM singlets $N_{L,R}$) [510]. The $Q_L$, $U_R$, $D_R$, $L_L$ and $E_R$
details of the lepton sector of the model were worked out in Ref. [510]. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, \( H_{d}^{0} = v_{d} \) and \( H_{d}^{0} = v_{d} \) with \( \sqrt{v_{u}^{2} + v_{d}^{2}} = v = 174 \text{ GeV} \), we also define \( \tan \beta \equiv v_{u}/v_{d} \), the model can be summarised by mass matrices in the charged lepton sector, with left-handed fields \((\bar{\mu}_{L}, L_{L}, \bar{E}_{L})\) on the left and right-handed fields \((\mu_{R}, L_{R}, \bar{E}_{R})\) on the right [512],

\[
M_{e} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{\mu} v_{d} & 0 & \lambda_{E} v_{d} \\
\lambda_{L} v_{d} & M_{L} & \lambda v_{d} \\
0 & \lambda v_{d} & M_{E}
\end{pmatrix},
\] (6.1.2)

and in the neutral lepton sector, with left-handed fields \((\bar{\nu}_{L}, L_{L}^{0}, N_{L})\) on the left and right-handed fields \((\nu_{R} = 0, L_{R}^{0}, N_{R})\) on the right [510],

\[
M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \kappa_{N} v_{u} \\
0 & M_{L} & \kappa_{\nu} v_{u} \\
0 & \bar{v}_{u} & M_{N}
\end{pmatrix},
\] (6.1.3)

The superscripts on vectorlike fields represent the charged and the neutral components (we inserted \( \nu_{R} = 0 \) for the right-handed neutrino which is absent in our framework in order to keep the mass matrix \( 3 \times 3 \) in complete analogy with the charged sector). The usual SM Yukawa coupling of the muon is denoted by \( y_{\mu} \), the Yukawa couplings to \( H_{d} \) are denoted by various \( \lambda \), the Yukawa couplings to \( H_{u} \) are denoted by various \( \kappa \), and finally the explicit mass terms for vectorlike leptons are given by \( M_{L,E,N} \). Note that explicit mass terms between SM and vectorlike fields \((\bar{\mu}_{L} L_{R}, \bar{E}_{L} \mu_{R})\) can be rotated away. These mass matrices can be diagonalised by bi-unitary transformations and we label the two new charged and neutral mass eigenstates by \( e_{4}, e_{5} \) and \( \nu_{4}, \nu_{5} \) respectively:

\[
U_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} U_{\nu} = \text{diag} \left( m_{\mu}, m_{e_{4}}, m_{e_{5}} \right),
\] (6.1.4)

\[
V_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} V_{\nu} = \text{diag} \left( 0, m_{\nu_{4}}, m_{\nu_{5}} \right).
\] (6.1.5)

Since \( SU(2) \) singlets mix with \( SU(2) \) doublets, the couplings of all involved particles to the \( Z, W \) and Higgs bosons are in general modified. The flavour conserving couplings receive corrections and flavour changing couplings between the muon (or muon neutrino) and heavy leptons are generated. The relevant formulas for these couplings in terms of diagonalisation matrices defined above can be found.
in Ref.s [510, 512]. In the limit of small mixing, approximate analytic expressions for diagonalisation matrices can be obtained which are often useful for the understanding of numerical results. These are also given in Ref.s [510, 512]. Details of the quark sector of the model can be found in Ref. [520]. The mass matrix in the up and down quark sectors closely follow those for the neutrino and the charged leptons above.

The generated flavour changing couplings between heavy and light leptons lead to new decay modes of heavy CP-even (or CP-odd) Higgs boson: $H \rightarrow \nu_4 \nu_\mu$ and $H \rightarrow e_4 \mu$, where $e_4$ and $\nu_4$ are the lightest new charged and neutral leptons. The BRs for these decay modes can be very large when the mass of the heavy Higgs boson is below the $t \bar{t}$ threshold and the light Higgs boson ($h$) is SM-like so that $H \rightarrow ZZ, WW$ are suppressed or not present. In this case, flavour changing decays $H \rightarrow \nu_4 \nu_\mu$ or $H \rightarrow e_4 \mu$ compete only with $H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$, and for sufficiently heavy $H$, also with $H \rightarrow hh$. Subsequent decay modes of $e_4$ and $\nu_4$, $e_4 \rightarrow W \nu_\mu, e_4 \rightarrow Z \mu, e_4 \rightarrow h \mu, \nu_4 \rightarrow W \mu, \nu_4 \rightarrow Z \mu, \nu_4 \rightarrow h \mu$, lead to the following 6 decay chains of the heavy Higgs boson:

$$H \rightarrow \nu_4 \nu_\mu \rightarrow W \mu \nu_\mu, Z \nu_\mu \nu_\mu, h \nu_\mu \nu_\mu ,$$

$$H \rightarrow e_4 \mu \rightarrow W \mu \mu, Z \mu \mu, h \mu \mu ,$$

which are also depicted in Fig. 6.1.4. In addition, $H$ could also decay into pairs of vectorlike leptons. This is however limited to smaller ranges for masses in which these decays are kinematically open. Moreover, the final states are the same as in pair production of vectorlike leptons. We will not consider these possibilities here. Finally, although we focus on the second family of SM leptons in final states, the modification for a different family of leptons or quarks is straightforward.

In Ref. [509], it was found that in a large range of the parameter space BRs for the decay modes (6.1.6) and (6.1.7) can be sizeable or even dominant while satisfying constraints from searches for heavy Higgs bosons, pair production of vectorlike leptons [516] obtained from searches for anomalous production of multilepton events, and constraints from precision EW observables [283]. Since the Higgs production cross section can be very large, for example the cross section for a 200 GeV Higgs boson at 13 TeV (27 TeV) LHC for $\tan \beta = 1$ is 18 pb (67 pb) [521–523], the final states above can be produced in large numbers. Thus searching for these processes could lead to the simultaneous discovery of a new Higgs boson and a new lepton. Some of the decay modes in Fig. 6.1.4 also allow for full reconstruction of the masses of both new particles in the decay chain.
Fig. 6.1.5: Experimental sensitivities for $H \to e^{\pm}_4 \mu^{\mp}_4 \rightarrow h\mu^{\mp}_4\mu^{\mp}_4$ (left) $H \to e^{\pm}_3 \mu^{\mp}_3 \rightarrow Z\mu^{\mp}_3\mu^{\mp}_3$ (right). Red, blue and green points are the regions of the $[m_H, \tan \beta]$ plane which are accessible with LHC with 36 $fb^{-1}$, at the HL-LHC, and at the HE-LHC, respectively. Black solid, dashed and dotted lines represent the corresponding reaches of $H \to \tau\tau$ searches.

The final states of the processes (6.1.6) and (6.1.7) are the same as final states of $pp \rightarrow WW, ZZ, Zh$ production or $H \rightarrow WW, ZZ$ decays with one of the gauge bosons decaying into second generation of leptons. Since searching for leptons in final states is typically advantageous, our processes contribute to a variety of existing searches. Even searches for processes with fairly large cross sections can be significantly affected. For example, the contribution of $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \nu_4\nu_4 \rightarrow W\mu\nu_4$ to $pp \rightarrow WW$ can be close to current limits while satisfying the constraints from $H \rightarrow WW$. This has been studied in Ref. [510] in the two Higgs doublet model we consider here, and also in a more model independent way in Ref. [517].

The discussion of the main features of each of the heavy Higgs decay modes, of existing experimental searches to which these new processes contribute, and of possible new searches can be found in Ref. [509]. The processes with small SM backgrounds are the best place to look for this scenario. Examples include $H \rightarrow h\nu_4\nu_4$ and $H \rightarrow h\mu\mu$ with $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.

We now discuss the parameter regions of heavy Higgses and vectorlike leptons which can be accessed by dedicated searches for the modes we propose with current LHC (36 $fb^{-1}$), HL- and HE-LHC. Among the processes depicted in Fig. 6.1.4, we choose $H \rightarrow e^{\pm}_4 \mu^{\mp}_4 \rightarrow h\mu^{\mp}_4\mu^{\mp}_4$ and $H \rightarrow e^{\pm}_3 \mu^{\mp}_3 \rightarrow Z\mu^{\mp}_3\mu^{\mp}_3$ as representative examples. These are interesting because they result in additional resonances. The first process was analysed in Ref. [518] for $m_H \leq 340$ GeV. The key selection criterion is an off-Z cut ($|m_{\mu^+_4\mu^-_4} - M_Z| > 15$ GeV) which exploits the fact that the invariant mass of the two muons in the final state is distributed mostly outside of the $Z$ boson resonance region. This cut removes to a large extent background events from $Z$ + (heavy flavoured) jets with $Z \rightarrow \mu^+_4\mu^-_4$ and raises enormously the signal significance [518]. In order to maximise the signal cross section, we focus on $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ (which we studied in detail in Ref. [518]) and $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$.

To obtain the expected sensitivities, we estimate the number of background events for the HL-LHC by rescaling the results of Ref. [518] (which are based on the search for a heavy resonance decaying to $hZ$ at ATLAS [524]) by the ratio of luminosities. We further estimate that the backgrounds at the HE-LHC increase by a factor $3.6 \times 5$ with respect to those at the HL-LHC, where 3.6 is the ratio of the background cross sections calculated with MADGRAPH 5 and 5 comes from the ratio of integrated luminosities ($15 \text{ ab}^{-1}/3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$).

We take a flat signal acceptance of about 30% for $m_H \leq 800$ GeV based on the analysis presented in Ref. [518] for $m_H = 450, 550, 650, 750$ GeV and $m_{e_4} = 250$ GeV. For $m_H \geq 800$ GeV the dimuon invariant mass is much harder and concentrated above the $Z$ resonance; in this region we assume...
an acceptance of about 50%. Furthermore, we consider a flat 50% detector efficiency throughout this analysis.

These estimates of background events, signal acceptances, and detector efficiencies, allow us to calculate the corresponding experimental sensitivities using the condition:

$$ N_{95}^s \leq \sigma_H \cdot B(H \to e_4\mu) \cdot B(e_4 \to h\mu, Z\mu) \cdot A \cdot \epsilon \cdot L, $$

(6.1.8)

where $\sigma_H$ is the $pp \to H$ production cross section, $A$ is the signal acceptance, $\epsilon$ is the experimental efficiency, and $L$ is the integrated luminosity. $N_{95}^s$ is the 95% C.L. upper limit on the expected number of events calculated from the background estimations described above using a modified frequentist construction (CLs) [95] based on a Poisson distribution.

Among the conventional searches of neutral heavy Higgs bosons, $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ [525] currently provides the strongest constraints on our model, especially for large $\tan\beta$ region; we expect that future analysis improvements may boost the impact of $H \to b\bar{b}$ and $H \to t\bar{t}$. Searches for $H \to WW$ and $H \to ZZ$ are not constraining because these decays are heavily suppressed in the alignment limit in which tree–level couplings of heavy Higgs and weak gauge bosons vanish. Nevertheless, the processes $H \to e_4\ell (\nu_4\nu_4) \to \nu_4\ell W$ and $H \to e_4\ell \to \ell^+\ell^-Z$ are indirectly constrained by searches for $H \to WW$ and $H \to ZZ$ albeit with different kinematic topologies [509, 510, 517]. The decay $H \to \gamma\gamma$ currently constrains our model at small $\tan\beta \lesssim 1.5$ (at the HL-LHC values of $\tan\beta$ for which we expect constraints raises to about 3.5). Here we focus on $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ as a competitive search avenue for a heavy neutral Higgs boson.

Let us comment on the extraction of the experimental sensitivities to $H \to \tau\tau$ mentioned above. We assume that there is no change in cut acceptances and detector efficiencies for $H \to \tau\tau$ (for a given $m_H$) for the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC, implying that $S/\sqrt{B}$ controls to a good approximation the change in sensitivity. With this assumptions, the sensitivity at the HL-LHC increases simply by the square-root of the ratio of the integrated luminosities, i.e., $\sqrt{3000/36} \approx 9.13$. For the HE-LHC, we can obtain the sensitivities by further assuming that the background production cross sections ($\sigma_{bkg}$) increase by the ratio of Higgs production cross sections for $\tan\beta = 1$, i.e.,

$$ \frac{\sigma_{bkg}^{13 \text{ TeV}}}{\sigma_{bkg}^{27 \text{ TeV}}} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to H)^{27 \text{ TeV}}}{\sigma(pp \to H)^{13 \text{ TeV}}} / \frac{\sigma(pp \to H)^{13 \text{ TeV}}}{\sigma(pp \to H)^{27 \text{ TeV}}}, $$

(6.1.9)
and that the background cut acceptances remain constant. Then, the sensitivity increases as follows:

\[
\frac{S_{27\,\text{TeV}, \; 15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}}{\sqrt{B_{27\,\text{TeV}, \; 15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}}} = \frac{S_{13\,\text{TeV}, \; 36 \, \text{fb}^{-1}}}{\sqrt{B_{13\,\text{TeV}, \; 36 \, \text{fb}^{-1}}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma(pp \to H \to \tau^+\tau^-)_{27\,\text{TeV}}}{\sigma(pp \to H \to \tau^+\tau^-)_{13\,\text{TeV}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{13\,\text{TeV}}{15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}} \cdot \frac{L_{15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}}{L_{36 \, \text{fb}^{-1}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma(pp \to H)_{13\,\text{TeV}}}{\sigma(pp \to H)_{27\,\text{TeV}}} = 20.4.
\]

(6.1.10)

where \(S_{27\,\text{TeV}, \; 15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}\) (\(S_{13\,\text{TeV}, \; 36 \, \text{fb}^{-1}}\)) and \(B_{27\,\text{TeV}, \; 15 \, \text{ab}^{-1}}\) (\(B_{13\,\text{TeV}, \; 36 \, \text{fb}^{-1}}\)) are the number of signal and background events for \(H \to \tau^+\tau^-\) at the HE-LHC (at the LHC with 36 fb\(^{-1}\)). Note that the estimated sensitivity in Eq. (6.1.10) does not include experimental systematic uncertainties, that would somewhat weaken the reach of \(H \to \tau\tau\).

Our main results are presented in Figs. 6.1.5, 6.1.6 and 6.1.7, where we show the experimental sensitivities for \(H \to e_{4L}^\pm \mu^\mp \to h\mu^+\mu^-\) (left) \(H \to e_{4L}^\pm \mu^\mp \to Z\mu^+\mu^-\) (right) obtained from re-analysing current data with integrated luminosity 36 fb\(^{-1}\) (red), our estimates for the HL-LHC (blue), and HE-LHC (green). For comparison, in Fig. 6.1.5, the reach of \(H \to \tau^+\tau^-\) searches in conventional type-II two Higgs doublet model with the current data, at the HL-LHC, and the HE-LHC are shown as black solid, dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. The scatter points satisfy constraints from EWPT, Drell-Yan pair production of vectorlike leptons [516], heavy Higgs searches in the \(H \to \tau^+\tau^-\) [525] and \(H \to \gamma\gamma\) [526, 527] channels. The range of parameters that we scan over is:

\[
M_{L,N} \in [100, 5000] \text{ GeV},
\]

(6.1.11)

\[
\lambda_L, \lambda_E, \lambda, \lambda \in [-1, 1],
\]

(6.1.12)

\[
\kappa_N = \kappa = \bar{\kappa} = 0,
\]

(6.1.13)

\[
\tan \beta \in [0.3, 50].
\]

(6.1.14)

From the inspections of Fig. 6.1.5, we see that searches for heavy Higgs cascade decays into vectorlike leptons considerably extend the reach beyond that of \(H \to \tau^+\tau^-\). Searches for \(H \to h\mu^+\mu^-\) are sensitive to \(m_H\) up to 1.7 TeV (2.9 TeV) and \(m_{e_4}\) up to 1 TeV (1.8 TeV) at the HL-LHC (HE-LHC). The decay mode \(H \to Z\mu^+\mu^-\) is even more promising and extends the sensitivity to \(m_H\) up to 3.3 TeV (4.5 TeV) and \(m_{e_4}\) up to 2.2 TeV (3.5 TeV) at the HL-LHC (HE-LHC).
6.1.4 Heavy singlet scalars at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: D. Buttazzo, F. Sala, A. Tesi

The existence of extended Higgs sectors is predicted in several motivated extensions of the SM. In particular, extra Higgses that are singlets under the SM gauge group arise in some of the most natural BSM constructions, like the next-to-MSSM (NMSSM, see Ref. [528] for a review), as well as in Twin [529] and Composite [530, 531] Higgs models (TH and CH models). Independently of the hierarchy problem of the Fermi scale, extra singlets constitute a minimal possibility to realise a first-order EW phase transition [532–534], which is a necessary condition to achieve EW baryogenesis. These considerations constitute a strong case for the experimental hunt of extra singlet-like scalar particles. It is the purpose of this Section, which summarises and updates the work of Ref.s [535, 536], to review the experimental status of the searches for such scalars, and to determine the reach of the HL- and HE-LHC. To keep this contribution brief, we focus on the case where the extra singlet is heavier than the Higgs boson.

**Framework.** We add to the SM a real scalar field \( \phi \), so that the most general renormalisable Lagrangian reads

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial S)^2 - \mu_S S^2 - \alpha_{HS}|H|^2 S - \lambda_{HS}|H|^4 - \lambda_S S^4 - \lambda_S S^4, \tag{6.1.15}
\]

where \( H \) is the SM Higgs doublet. Unless a \( Z_2 \) symmetry is enforced (\( \alpha_{HS} = a_S = 0 \)), and is not spontaneously broken, the singlet mixes with the SM Higgs as

\[
\phi = -s_\gamma h_0 + c_\gamma s_0, \quad h = s_\gamma s_0 + c_\gamma h_0, \tag{6.1.16}
\]

where \( h_0 \) and \( s_0 \) are the neutral CP-even degrees of freedom contained in \( H \) and \( S \), and \( h, \phi \) are the resulting mass eigenstates, and \( s_\gamma, c_\gamma \) are the sine and cosine of their mixing angle \( \gamma \). The signal strengths \( \mu \) of \( h \) and \( \phi \) into SM particles, defined as cross-section times BR, read

\[
\mu_h = c_\gamma^2 \mu_{SM}, \quad \mu_{\phi \rightarrow V, ff} = s_\gamma^2 \mu_{SM}(m_\phi) \cdot (1 - B(\phi \rightarrow hh)), \quad \mu_{\phi \rightarrow hh} = s_\gamma^2 \sigma_{SM}(m_\phi) \cdot B(\phi \rightarrow hh), \tag{6.1.17}
\]

where \( \sigma_{SM} \) is the production cross-section of a SM Higgs boson, and \( \mu_{SM} \) is its signal strength into the pair of SM particles of interest.

**Constraints from Higgs couplings.** The couplings of the SM-like Higgs boson \( h \) to other SM particles are all reduced by the same amount \( c_\gamma \), independently of \( m_\phi \). A combined ATLAS and CMS fit to Higgs coupling measurements from 8 TeV data yields the 2\( \sigma \) limit [537] \( s_\gamma^2 \lesssim 0.12 \), and the sensitivity reached with 36 fb\(^{-1} \) of data at 13 TeV is comparable [538, 539]. The HL- and HE-LHC are expected to probe values of \( s_\gamma \) at, and possibly slightly below, the 5\% level [32]. The current exclusion and future reach from Higgs coupling measurements is shown in Fig. 6.1.8. Constraints on the mixing angle from EW precision tests are subdominant with respect to those from Higgs coupling measurements, see e.g. Ref. [540].

**Constraints from Trilinear Higgs coupling.** The trilinear Higgs coupling \( g_{hhh} \) depends on \( \gamma, m_\phi \), and on the singlet VEV \( v_s \), while its dependence on all other parameters is very mild [535] (we fix for definiteness \( \lambda_S = \lambda_{HS} = 0.5 \)). We show its ratio with respect to its SM value in Fig. 6.1.8, for two representative values of \( v_s \). The gray shaded region comes from a rough bound on \( g_{hhh} \) that we extract from Ref. [274], using the prediction of Ref. [541]. \(^9\) Deviations of order one and larger are allowed by all current and near-future constraints, motivating in particular the HE stage of the LHC, due to the increase of the sensitivity to \( g_{hhh} \) with energy [32].

\(^9\)We assume that the only deviation in double Higgs production comes from deviations in \( g_{hhh} \), the contribution from \( pp \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow hh \) is negligibly small due to the large \( m_\phi \) in the excluded region.
Fig. 6.1.8: Shaded: LHC exclusions from resonance searches (dark red), Higgs coupling measurements (light red) and double Higgs production (gray). Dashed black lines are contours of constant ratio between the trilinear Higgs coupling and its SM value, continuous red lines are expected sensitivities from resonance searches at the HL- and HE-LHC. The singlet VEV \( \nu_s \) is fixed to \(-300 \text{ GeV} \) (left) and to \(1000 \text{ GeV} \) (right).

Constraints from direct searches of the extra singlet. The collider phenomenology of \( \phi \) is fully controlled by only 3 parameters, \( m_\phi, \gamma \) and \( B(\phi \to hh) \). Analogously to the case of the triple Higgs coupling \( g_{hhh} \), \( B(\phi \to hh) \) depends dominantly on the model parameters \( \nu_s, \gamma \), and \( m_\phi \). Moreover, because of the Goldstone boson equivalence theorem, it reaches the asymptotic value \( B(\phi \to hh) = B(\phi \to ZZ) = 25\% \) for \( m_\phi \gg m_h \), further reducing the number of parameters relevant for the phenomenology of \( \phi \). Current resonance searches at the LHC exclude the red shaded area in Fig. 6.1.8, and are dominated by the CMS combined ZZ search in Ref. [542] at 13 TeV with 36 fb\(^{-1}\) of data. We rescale the expected sensitivity at 13 TeV [542] at higher energies and luminosities using quark parton luminosities, with a procedure analogous to the one presented in Ref. [535]. Our results for the expected sensitivities at the HL (14 TeV, 3 ab\(^{-1}\)) and HE (27 TeV, 15 ab\(^{-1}\)) stages of the LHC are also shown in Fig. 6.1.8. Direct searches for the new scalar constitute the strongest probe of the parameter space of these models for \( m_\phi \) below about a TeV, while larger masses are (and will be) probed more efficiently by deviations in Higgs couplings, thus making these two strategies complementary in the exploration of these models.

Implications for the NMSSM. The NMSSM adds to the MSSM particle content a singlet \( S \), so that the superpotential reads \( \bar{W} = \bar{W}_{\text{MSSM}} + \lambda S H_u H_d + f(S) \). The fine-tuning needed to reproduce the EW scale is parametrically alleviated, with respect to the MSSM, and for a given value of the stop and gluino masses, by a factor \( \lambda/g \), see e.g. Ref.s [543–546]. Naturalness arguments thus favour a large \( \lambda \), that is however bounded from above by perturbativity. Assuming the masses of the extra Higgs bosons in the TeV range, a model with \( \lambda \simeq 2 \) becomes strongly coupled at scales of order 10 TeV, and to have a perturbative coupling up to the GUT scale one needs \( \lambda \lesssim 0.7 \) [547].

Here we employ the economical parametrisation of the NMSSM scalar sector put forward in Ref.s [548, 549]. We then assume the extra Higgs doublet to be (slightly) decoupled, and we study the phenomenology of the Higgs-singlet scalar sector, which can be described by 4 free parameters

\[
m_\phi, \lambda, \ t_\beta, \ \Delta_{hh},
\]

where \( t_\beta \) is the ratio of the up and down Higgs VEVs, and \( \Delta_{hh} \) encodes the radiative contribution to the SM-like Higgs mass \( m_h^2 \simeq m_Z^2 t_\beta^2 + \lambda^2 v^2 s_\beta^2 /2 + \Delta_{hh}^2 \). The phenomenology discussed in the previous

\[\text{10}\]

It is conceivable that a strong sector exists at the scale where \( \lambda \) becomes non-perturbative, and without affecting the success of GUT in the NMSSM, see e.g. the model in Ref. [548] and references therein.
section is displayed, for the NMSSM, in the left-hand panel of Fig. 6.1.9. We have fixed $\Delta_{hh} = 80$ GeV, a value obtainable for stop masses and mixing in the range of 1–2 TeV. The precise value of $\Delta_{hh}$ does not affect the Higgs sector phenomenology as long as it is within order 10% of 80 GeV. We have also fixed $\lambda = 1$, smaller values would make all the exclusions and sensitivities weaker, while larger values would make them stronger.

As seen in Fig. 6.1.9 left, direct searches for the extra singlet are expected to constitute the most promising probe of the $\phi - h$ parameter space. Higgs coupling measurements will give a reach at most comparable to the one of resonance searches, and they will constitute a crucial complementary access to the parameter space of NMSSM scalars. Deviations in the trilinear Higgs coupling depend on more parameters than those in Eq. (6.1.18), and can reach around 50% or more if $\lambda \gtrsim 1$, see Ref. [550] for a precise quantification.

Twin and Composite Higgs. In both TH and CH models, the SM-like Higgs boson is the pseudo-Goldstone boson associated to the spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry at a scale $f$. The EW fine-tuning of CH models is comparable to the one of typical SUSY constructions, while TH models can achieve a tuning as good as the irreducible factor $v^2/f^2$ (mainly because the top partners are neutral under colour, and can thus be light). The radial scalar mode $\sigma$ associated to the pseudo-Goldstone Higgs has a mass $m_{\sigma} \sim g_\sigma f$, where the size of $g_\sigma$ corresponds to the typical one of the coupling of the UV completion. TH models with weakly coupled UV completions feature an extra scalar singlet that can be light, see e.g. Ref.s [551, 552]. The hunt for the extra scalar is a crucial test of TH models, because of the small couplings to the SM of the rest of the “Twin” states.

The scalar potential of TH and CH models has less free parameters, so that all the quantities relevant for the scalar phenomenology (including $g_{h_{hh}}$ and $B(\phi \to hh)$) are a function of two free parameters only, that we choose to be $f$ and $m_{\sigma}$. One for example obtains $s_\gamma \approx v/f$ and $g_{h_{hh}} \approx 1$ up to terms suppressed by the small ratio $v^2/f^2$. In TH, an extra freedom is given by the decay channels of $\phi$ into the Twin sector, whose BR in the limit $m_\sigma \gg g f$ is fixed, through the Goldstone boson equivalence theorem, by the symmetries of the model ($3/7$ in the cases of $SO(8)/SO(7) \simeq SU(4)/SU(3)$). For simplicity, and because such decay is absent in CH models, we do not include it in our study.

The constraints and sensitivities discussed above are displayed in the right-hand panel of Fig. 6.1.9. Given our considerations above, in the case where these models are not too strongly coupled (we show in Fig. 6.1.9 the region where $\Gamma_\sigma > 0.3 m_\sigma$), they are expected to manifest themselves first via new diboson resonances. On the contrary, their strong-coupling regime is expected to show up first in deviations in
the Higgs couplings.

6.1.5 Relaxation at the HL-LHC

Contributors: E. Fuchs, M. Schlaffer

The relaxion mechanism [553] addresses the hierarchy problem differently than conventional symmetry-based solutions. In this framework, the Higgs mass is stabilised dynamically by the relaxion, a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson. The Higgs mass is scanned by the evolution of the relaxion field. Eventually, the relaxion stops at the field value where the Higgs mass is much smaller than the theory’s cutoff, hence addressing the fine tuning problem. Relaxion models do not require top, gauge or Higgs partners at the TeV scale. The possible mass range for the relaxion ranges from sub-eV to tens of GeV. Hence this framework can lead to signatures relevant for cosmology, for the low-energy precision frontier, for the intensity frontier, and for the high energy collider frontier. For detailed studies see Refs. [554–556].

The aspects of the relaxion mechanism that are relevant for the phenomenology at colliders are summarised in the following. The effective scalar potential of the theory depends both on the Higgs doublet $H$ and the relaxion $\phi$,

$$V(H, \phi) = \mu^2(\phi) H \dagger H + \lambda (H \dagger H)^2 + V_{sr}(\phi) + V_{br}(h, \phi),$$

(6.1.19)

where $\Lambda$ is the cutoff scale of a Higgs loop. The relaxion scans $\mu^2(\phi)$ via the slow-roll potential

$$V_{sr}(\phi) = r g^2 \Lambda^3 \phi,$$

(6.1.21)

where $g$ is a small dimension-less coupling and $r > 1/(16\pi^2)$ due to naturalness requirements. Once $\mu^2(\phi)$ becomes negative, the Higgs gets a VEV $v^2(\phi) = -\mu^2(\phi)/\lambda$. The non-zero VEV activates a periodic (model-dependent) backreaction potential $V_{br}$ associated with the backreaction scale $\Lambda_{br}$ that eventually stops the rolling of the relaxion at a value $\phi_0$, where $v(\phi_0) = 246$ GeV. Generically, the relaxion mechanism leads to CP violation and as a result, the relaxion $\phi$ mixes with the Higgs $h$ and inherits its couplings to SM fields [554,555]. The relaxion mass $m_\phi$ and the mixing angle $\sin \theta$ can be expressed as

$$m_\phi \simeq \frac{\Lambda_{br}^2}{f} \sqrt{c_0},$$

(6.1.22)

$$\sin \theta \simeq 8 \frac{\Lambda_{br}^4}{v^2 f} s_0,$$

(6.1.23)

where $s_0 \equiv \sin \phi_0$, $c_0 \equiv \cos \phi_0$, and $f$ is the scale where the shift symmetry of the backreaction potential is broken. Combining Eq.s (6.1.22) and (6.1.23) with $4\Lambda_{br}^2 s_0 < v^2 \sqrt{c_0}$, which is fulfilled due to the suppressed value of $s_0$ at the endpoint of the rolling [555], the mixing angle as a function of the relaxion mass is approximately bounded by

$$\sin \theta \leq 2 \frac{m_\phi}{v}.$$

(6.1.24)

This upper bound on the relaxion-Higgs mixing is indicated by the black line in Fig. 6.1.10.

Moreover, in the broken phase a trilinear relaxion-relaxion-Higgs coupling $c_{\phi \phi h}$ is generated [554,556],

$$c_{\phi \phi h} = \frac{\Lambda_{br}^4}{v f^2 c_0 \bar{c}_0} - \frac{2\Lambda_{br}^4}{v^2 f} s_0 \bar{c}_0 s_0 - \frac{\Lambda_{br}^4}{2 f^3} s_0 \bar{c}_0 s_0 - \frac{2\Lambda_{br}^4}{v^2 f^2} c_0 \bar{c}_0 s_0 + 3v \lambda c_0 \bar{s}_0 + \frac{\Lambda_{br}^4}{v^2 f} s_0 \bar{s}_0^3,$$

(6.1.25)
Fig. 6.1.10: Bounds and projections at the 95% C.L. for processes at hadron and lepton colliders. $Z \to Z^* \phi \to \ell \bar{\ell} \phi$ at LEP1 with \( \sqrt{s} = M_Z \) \cite{557} and \( e^+ e^- \to Z \phi \) at LEP2 with \( \sqrt{s} = 192 - 202 \text{ GeV} \) \cite{558}. Bound from \( B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^- \) at LHCb \cite{559,560}. Direct searches for exotic Higgs decays at the HL-LHC in the \( b\bar{b}\tau\tau \) channel inferred from Ref. \cite{561} (orange, dashed). Upper bound on \( B(h \to \text{NP}) \) from Higgs coupling fits at the LHC Run-1 (blue area) and projection for the HL-LHC with \( 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \) (blue, dashed). The maximal mixing according to Eq. (6.1.24) is indicated by the black line.

where \( s_\theta \equiv \sin \theta, \ c_\theta \equiv \cos \theta \). Thus a bound on \( c_{\phi \phi h} \) constrains the \( (m_\phi, \sin \theta) \) parameter space. In the limit of small mixing, Eq. (6.1.25) reduces to \( c_{\phi \phi h} \simeq m_\phi^2/v \), therefore directly constraining the mass.

There are two complementary ways to constrain \( c_{\phi \phi h} \) via the exotic Higgs decay \( h \to \phi \phi \): searching directly for the decay products of the \( \phi \) pair, or bounding this BR by a global fit of Higgs couplings.

Concerning the Higgs phenomenology, the relaxion can be viewed as a singlet extension of the SM with a mixing of \( \phi \) and \( h \). The Higgs couplings to SM particles are reduced by the universal coupling modifier \( \kappa \equiv \cos \theta \), and the total Higgs width \( \Gamma_h = \cos^2 \theta \Gamma_{\text{tot,SM}}^h + \Gamma_h^{\text{NP}} \) contains the NP contribution \( \Gamma_h^{\text{NP}} = \Gamma(h \to \phi \phi) \). For the LHC, \( B(h \to \text{NP}) \) has been constrained via a Higgs coupling fit to Run-1 data to be at most 20% at the 95% C.L. while the HL-LHC has the potential to bound this BR to approximately 8.6% at the 95% C.L. \cite{32,562}. The resulting bounds in the \( (m_\phi, \sin \theta) \) plane are shown in Fig. 6.1.10.

The parameter space probed by the HL-LHC enters the region below the upper bound of the mixing and is therefore relevant for the model. The HL-LHC may exclude a relaxion mass above 24 GeV for vanishing \( \sin \theta \). In comparison, direct relaxation production via \( B \to K \phi, \phi \to \mu \mu \) at LHCb excludes \( 2m_\mu \leq m_\phi \lesssim 5 \) GeV also for \( \sin \theta \) smaller than shown in Fig. 6.1.10. In contrast, the bounds set by LEP1 via the 3-body \( Z \)-decay into \( f \bar{f} \phi \) and by LEP2 via relaxion strahlung in \( Z \phi \) production are sensitive only to mixing angles of order \( \sin^2 \theta \gtrsim 10^{-2} \) and therefore constrain mostly parameter space above the theoretically motivated maximal mixing. Furthermore, the stronger bound reachable at the HL-LHC, compared to the Run-1 one, is highly beneficial for constraining the relaxion mass space down to small mixing angles.

Regarding direct searches for the relaxion decay products, each relaxion from the Higgs decay further decays into a pair of SM particles, resulting in a four-particle final state \( F \). The ATLAS and CMS searches for such signatures yield \( m_\phi \)-dependent bounds on \( (\sigma_h/\sigma_h^{\text{SM}}) \times \text{BR} (h \to \phi \phi \to F) \). However, none of the current searches \cite{275,561,567–572} is sensitive enough to probe parts of the relaxion parameter space displayed in Fig. 6.1.10, \textit{i.e.} 5 GeV \( \leq m_\phi \leq 35 \) GeV and \( 10^{-5} \leq \sin^2 \theta \leq 10^{-1} \). In contrast, the HL-LHC can probe parts of the relevant parameter space via these channels. We estimate the potential reach of the HL-LHC with \( 3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \) by rescaling the current limits by the ratio of luminosities and by the ratio of the Higgs production cross sections in the dominant channels at 8 or 13 TeV with respect to
Fig. 6.1.11: Production and decay of $\phi$ for $\sin^2 \theta = 1$. Left: hadronic cross sections, $\sigma(pp \to X)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV for $X = \phi$ (via gluon fusion), $W\phi, Z\phi, t\bar{t}\phi, b\bar{b}\phi$, and $\phi jj$ (via VBF). The $\sigma(pp \to \phi)$ via gluon fusion is calculated using ggHiggs v3.5 [563–566] at $N^3$LO including $N^3$LL resummation without a $p_T$-cut. The remaining hadronic cross sections are obtained from MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [67] at NLO with $p_T(\phi) > 20$ GeV. Right: BRs $\text{BR}(\phi \to b\bar{b}, c\bar{c}, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \gamma\gamma)$.

14 TeV for the search results from Run-1 or Run-2, respectively [573]. According to this scaling, we find that the strongest bound at the HL-LHC is expected in the $bb\tau\tau$ channel (inferred from the CMS search at Run-2 [561]), excluding $m_{\phi} > 26$ GeV at 95% C.L. as shown in Fig. 6.1.10.

Concerning the relaxion direct production at colliders, similarly to the Higgs, the dominant production modes for the relaxion are gluon fusion ($pp \to \phi$), relaxion strahlung ($pp \to Z\phi, W\phi, \{ t\bar{t}, b\bar{b} \}$-associated production, and vector boson fusion (VBF, $pp \to \phi jj$). The cross sections of these processes are shown for $\sin \theta = 1$ in the left panel of Fig. 6.1.11. For the HL-LHC, a promising channel is relaxion strahlung due to the relatively large cross sections in combination with the presence of a massive gauge boson that can be tagged. Various decay modes can be considered, see the right panel of Fig. 6.1.11. So far the $Z\phi$ and $W\phi$ searches do not cover the challenging low-mass range. With high luminosity, extending these searches to lower masses could yield complementary bounds.

6.1.6 The HL-LHC and HE-LHC scope for testing compositeness of 2HDMs
Contributors: S. De Curtis, L. Delle Rose, S. Moretti, A. Tesi, K. Yagyu

Much has been written about the ability of TeV scale compositeness to naturally remedy the hierarchy problem of the SM, in particular through the pseudo-Nambu Goldstone boson (pNGB) nature of the Higgs state. This idea is borrowed from QCD: the discovered Higgs boson is the analogue of the pion. Just like there are $\pi, \eta, \text{etc.}$ mesons predicted by QCD, though, there could be several Higgs states predicted by compositeness beyond the one discovered. In this respect, a natural setting [574] is the Composite 2-Higgs Doublet Model (C2HDM) [575–577]. It is built upon the experimentally established existence of a doublet structure triggering Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking (EWSB), generating the $W^\pm, Z$ and SM-like Higgs state $h$, yet it surpasses it by providing one more composite doublet of Higgs states that can be searched for at the LHC, alongside additional composite gauge bosons (the equivalent of the $\rho, \omega, \text{etc.}$ of QCD) and composite fermions. We concentrate here on the Higgs sector of the C2HDM (see Ref. [578] for a comparative study between the 2HDM based composite solution to the hierarchy problem and the one driven instead by Supersymmetry) based on $SO(6) \to SO(4) \times SO(2)$, in presence of so-called partial compositeness [579] realised through the third generation of the SM fermions. The scalar potential and, thus, the masses of the Higgs bosons are generated at one-loop level by the linear mixing between the (elementary) SM and the (composite) strong sector fields. This implies...
that masses and couplings are not free parameters, unlike in the elementary realisations, but they depend upon the strong sector dynamics and are strongly correlated. The compositeness scale $f$ is within the energy domain of the LHC and the composite nature of the SM-like Higgs boson in the C2HDM can be revealed through corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$, where $\xi = v^2/f^2$, with $v$ being the VEV of the SM Higgs field. As current lower limits on $f$ are of order $750$ GeV, this implies that such effects enter experimental observables at the $5-10\%$ level. In order to test these effects, the best strategy is to probe gauge interactions of the SM-like Higgs boson, universally affected by $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$ corrections. In particular, these effects are expected to be larger than the corresponding ones in Elementary realisations of a 2HDM (E2HDM) [479], and therefore accessible at the HL-LHC. However, if these were to be found consistent with those of the E2HDM, the last resort in the quest to disentangle the C2HDM from the E2HDM hypothesis would be to exploit the correlation among observable processes involving extra Higgs bosons. Hence, under the above circumstances, it becomes mandatory to assess the scope of the HL- and HE-LHC, the latter being necessary for processes involving Higgs boson self-interactions, which have rather small cross-sections at the current LHC, in exploring the structure of extended Higgs sectors. We shall do so by studying under which LHC machine conditions one could access the processes $gg \to H \to hh \to b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ and $gg \to H \to tt$ (followed by semi-leptonic top decays), as these will enable one to extract crucial C2HDM parameters, wherein $H$ is the heaviest of the two CP-even (neutral) Higgs states of the C2HDM, the lightest ($h$) being the SM-like one.

The construction of the model and the fundamental parameters of the C2HDM are described in Ref. [578, 580]. These correspond to the scale of compositeness $f$, the coupling of the spin-1 resonances, the masses of the heavy top partners, and the mixing between the latter and the elementary top quark (which represents the leading contribution to the effective scalar potential). In order to have phenomenologically acceptable configurations with EW parameters consistent with data, we require: (i) the vanishing of the two tadpoles of the CP-even Higgs bosons, (ii) the value of the top quark mass to match the measured one, and (iii) the value of the Higgs boson mass to match the measured one. Under these constraints, we explore the parameter space by scanning the scale of compositeness in the range $(750, 3000)$ GeV and all the other parameters in the range $(-10, 10)f$. As outputs, we obtain the masses of the charged Higgs boson ($m_{H^\pm}$), the CP-odd Higgs boson ($m_A$), and the heavier CP-even Higgs boson ($m_H$), the mixing angle $\theta$ between the two CP-even Higgs boson states ($h, H$), as well as their couplings to fermions and bosons. These quantities are then combined in physics observables and tested against experimental measurements through HiggsBounds [581] and HiggsSignals [582], which include current results from void Higgs boson searches and parameter determinations from the discovered Higgs state, respectively. Further, we extrapolated the latter (at present counting on about 30 fb$^{-1}$ of accumulated luminosity after Run-1 and in Run-2) to $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with 300 fb$^{-1}$ (end of Run-3) and 3 ab$^{-1}$ (HL-LHC), by adopting the expected experimental accuracies given in Ref. [583] (scenario 2 therein). These are listed against the so-called $\kappa$’s (or ‘coupling modifiers’) of Ref. [584], among which those interesting us primarily are $\kappa^k_{VV}$ ($V = W^\pm, Z$), $\kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $\kappa^{gg}_h$, generally the most constraining ones.

Before proceeding with presenting our results, it is worth mentioning that a generic 2HDM Lagrangian introduces, in general, Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNCs) at tree level via Higgs boson exchanges. To avoid them, we assume here an alignment (in flavour space) between the Yukawa matrices like in the elementary Aligned 2HDM (A2HDM) [585]. In this scenario, the coupling of the heavy Higgs $H$ to the SM top quark is controlled (modulo small corrections induced by the mixing angle $\theta \sim \xi$) by

$$\zeta_t = \frac{\bar{\zeta}_t - \tan \beta}{1 + \bar{\zeta}_t \tan \beta},$$

(6.1.26)

where $\bar{\zeta}_t$ and $\tan \beta$ are predicted, and correlated to each other, in terms of the aforementioned fundamental parameters of the C2HDM. Thus, being interested in the phenomenology of the $H$ state, henceforth we will map the results of our scan in terms of $m_H$ and $\zeta_t$ and restrict the parameter space to the region $m_{H,A,H^\pm} > 2m_h$. The $\zeta_t$ parameter and the Higgs trilinear coupling $\lambda_{Hhh}$ set the hierarchy among the
Fig. 6.1.12: Branching ratio of the $H$ state of the C2HDM in the $hh$ (orange) and $t\bar{t}$ (blue) channels (left) and correlation between the $\zeta_t$ and $\lambda_{hh}$ couplings obtained upon imposing present HiggsBounds and HiggsSignals constraints at 13 TeV (right).

Fig. 6.1.13: Results of the C2HDM scan described in the text. Colour coding is as follows. Green: all points that pass present constraints at 13 TeV. Red: points that, in addition to the above, have $\kappa_V^h$, $\kappa_t^h$ and $\kappa_g^h$ within the 95% C.L. projected uncertainty at 14 TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ (left) and $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ (right). Orange: points that, in addition to the above, are 95% C.L. excluded by the direct search $gg \to H \to hh \to b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$, at 14 TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ (left) and $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ (right). In the right plot the yellow points are 95% C.L. excluded by the same search at the HE-LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 15$ ab$^{-1}$. The orange and yellow elliptical shapes highlight the regions in which the points of the corresponding colour accumulate.

decay modes of the heavy state $H$. In particular, $H \to t\bar{t}$, when kinematically allowed, represents the main decay channel. Below the $t\bar{t}$ threshold, the diHiggs $H \to hh$ decay mode can reach, approximately, 80%, with the remaining decay space saturated by $H \to VV$. The corresponding BR observables are shown in Fig. 6.1.12 (left). Both of these can be notably different in the C2HDM with respect to the E2HDM, since the $Hhh$ and $Ht\bar{t}$ couplings can carry the imprint of compositeness (see Fig. 6.1.12 (right) for their correlation). The hierarchy discussed above highlights the key role of the $H \to hh$ and $H \to tt$ channels in the discovery and characterisation of the composite heavy Higgs boson.

Figures 6.1.13 and 6.1.14 illustrate the interplay between direct and indirect searches and the ability of the HL- and HE-LHC to discover both the $gg \to H \to hh \to b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ and the $gg \to H \to t\bar{t}$ (followed by semi-leptonic top decays) signals, respectively, over regions of the C2HDM parameter space mapped onto the $(m_H, \zeta_t)$ plane, even when no deviations are visible in the aforementioned $\kappa$'s of the SM-like Higgs state $h$ (red points) at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$. Notice that 95% C.L. exclusion limits are extracted by adopting the sensitivity projections of Ref.s [586, 587] while compliance with the coupling modifiers is here achieved by asking that $|1 - k_{\lambda}^h|$
is less than the percentage uncertainty declared in Ref. [583], where $i = VV, \gamma\gamma$ and $gg$. Of some relevance, while tensioning the scope of the two search channels to one another, is to note that the orange points have a large overlap with the red ones for small $|\zeta_t|$ values while the corresponding overlap of the blue region is smaller but it reaches larger $H$ masses. Hence, the first channel enables one to cover a larger C2HDM parameter space while the second one higher $H$ masses. Clearly, the combination of the two allows one to combine the benefits of either. The HE-LHC, assuming $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV and $L = 15$ ab$^{-1}$, will improve the reach in the $H$ high mass region up to $1.2$ TeV by studying the process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$. For the $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ channel, the naive extrapolation of the sensitivity with the parton luminosities is unreliable because it is affected by the SM $t\bar{t}$ threshold effects.

For a proper phenomenological analysis of the $t\bar{t}$ process, one should eventually account for interference effects with $gg$-induced (irreducible) background. For example, it is well-known that interference effects between the $t, u$-channel $gg$-induced Leading Order (LO) QCD diagrams and the one due to a Higgs boson in $s$-channel via $gg$-fusion generate a characteristic peak-dip structure of the $M_{t\bar{t}}$ spectrum. However, this contribution is only one of the many entering at the same order in perturbation theory. In fact, Ref. [588] investigated the effect of all one-loop corrections of $O(\alpha_s^2 \alpha_W)$ onto the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass spectrum at the LHC in presence of both resonant and non-resonant Higgs boson effects. It was shown therein that corrections of $O(\alpha_s^2 \alpha_W)$ involving a non-resonant Higgs boson are comparable to or even larger than those involving interference with the $s$-channel resonant Higgs boson amplitude and that both of these are subleading with respect to all other (non-Higgs) diagrams through that order. Hence, a complete $O(\alpha_s^2 \alpha_W)$ calculation would be required to phenomenologically assess the relevance of such signal versus background effects in the C2HDM.

In summary, both the HL- and HE-LHC display clear potential in accessing production and decay channels of the heavy CP-even Higgs state of the C2HDM which can give direct access to key interactions that carry the hallmark of compositeness.

### 6.1.7 Axion-like particles at the HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** M. Low, A. Mariotti, D. Redigolo, F. Sala, K. Tobioka

The main focus of this contribution is future search strategies for axion-like particles (ALPs) in the mass range between 1 and 90 GeV [589–591]. For simplicity we consider an ALP, $a$, that couples only to gauge bosons, including a non-zero coupling to gluons. We call this type of ALP a “KSVZ-ALP” because it is inspired by the simplest QCD axion model [592–594]. Such an ALP is perhaps the most theoretically compelling case and also the natural target for hadron colliders, such as the HL-LHC and
the HE-LHC. The effective Lagrangian for the KSVZ-ALP, below the Z mass, is

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{a}{4\pi f_a} \left[ \alpha_1 e c_3 G^a \tilde{c}_a + \alpha_2 c_2 W^i \tilde{W}^i + \alpha_1 c_1 \bar{B} \tilde{B} \right], \tag{6.1.27} \]

\[ = \frac{a}{4\pi f_a} \left[ \alpha_1 e c_3 G^a \tilde{c}_a + \alpha_{\text{em}} c^3 F \tilde{F} + 2\alpha_2 c_2 W^i \tilde{W}^i + \frac{2\alpha_{\text{em}}}{t_w} c_{Z'1} Z \tilde{F} + \alpha_2 c_2 c_{Z'2} \tilde{Z} \tilde{Z} \right], \tag{6.1.28} \]

where \( F^{\mu\nu} = (1/2) e^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma} \) for any field strength, \( \alpha_1 = \alpha' \) is the GUT-normalised \( U(1)_Y \) coupling constant, and \( t_w = s_w/c_w \) where \( c^2_w = 1 - s^2_w = m^2_W/m^2_Z \). The coefficients \( c_j \) encode the Adler-Bell-Jackiw (ABJ) anomalies of the global \( U(1) \) symmetry (of which the ALP is the pseudo-Goldstone boson) with \( SU(3) \) and \( SU(2) \times U(1)_Y \). After EWSB, one can write

\[ c_\gamma = c_2 + \frac{5}{3} c_1, \quad c_W = c_2, \quad c_Z = c_2 + t_w^4 \frac{5}{3} c_1, \quad c_{Z'} = c_2 - t_w^2 \frac{5}{3} c_1. \tag{6.1.29} \]

For \( m_a \lesssim m_Z \), the relevant two-body decays of \( a \) are to two photons and to two jets, with widths

\[ \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{K_a \alpha_2^4 c_3^2 m_a^3}{8\pi^3 f_a^2}, \quad \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}} c_2^3 m_a^3}{64\pi^3 f_a^2}, \tag{6.1.30} \]

where \( K_a \) depends on the ALP mass and includes higher-order QCD corrections (see Appendix A in Ref. [590]). The couplings in Eq. (6.1.27) can be generated by heavy vector-like fermions with a mass at \( g_s f_a \), where \( g_s \) can be as large as 4\pi. Explicit realisations include: i) KSVZ “heavy axion” models where the axion potential is UV-dominated, the axion mass is heavier than expected from QCD contributions alone, and the decay constant \( f_a \) can be as low as a TeV solving the axion quality problem (see Refs [595–599] for heavy axion models and Ref. [600] for a discussion of the axion quality problem); ii) ALPs arising in standard paradigms addressing the EW hierarchy problem, such as supersymmetry, where spontaneous SUSY-breaking below \( M_{\text{Pl}} \) predicts, on general grounds, the existence of an \( R \)-axion [601, 602]. iii) Axion portal Dark Matter scenarios where the DM is freezing out through its annihilation into gluons pairs [603, 604]. In Fig. 6.1.15 we show the expected decay constant for an accidental Peccei-Quinn symmetry broken by dimension 6 operators at \( M_{\text{GUT}} = 10^{15} \) GeV, and the one for an axion portal which account for all of the DM relic abundance, see Ref. [590] for more details.

Barring a huge hierarchy among the anomaly coefficients, \( c_{1,2} \gtrsim 10^2 c_3 \), the width into gluons dominates over the one into photons, \( \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \alpha_{\text{em}}^2/(8K_a \alpha^2_3) \sim 10^{-4} \). ALPs that couple to gluons decay promptly in any kinematical configuration and mass range of interest for the LHC. When accounting for the gluon coupling, searches based on rare decays of SM particles (Higgs, Z, \( \Upsilon \), and \( B \)-mesons) into ALPs have a weaker bound on \( f_a \) because the dominant BR of the ALP is now into hadronic final states, while the searches look for final states with muons or photons. The only two relevant decay processes for our parameter space are \( Z \to \gamma a \) and \( \Upsilon_X \to \gamma a \), and the corresponding decay widths are given by

\[ \Gamma(Z \to \gamma a) = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}^2 c_3^2 m_Z^3}{96\pi^2 f_a^2} \left( 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{m_Z^2} \right)^3, \tag{6.1.31} \]

\[ \Gamma(\Upsilon_X \to \gamma a) = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}^2 c_3 c_\gamma}{\pi} \left( \frac{m_{\Upsilon_X}}{4\pi f_a} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{m_{\Upsilon_X}^2} \right)^3 \Gamma(\Upsilon_X \to ll), \tag{6.1.32} \]

where \( \Gamma(\Upsilon_X \to ll) = \Gamma(\Upsilon_X \cdot B(\Upsilon_X \to ll), B(\Upsilon_{2S,3S} \to ll) \simeq 3.84, 4.36\% \) and \( \Gamma_{\Upsilon_{2S,3S}} \simeq 20, 32 \) KeV. These constraints, together with those from the LHC, are shown in Fig. 6.1.15.

The bound from \( Z \) decays, in Eq. (6.1.31), is based on the LEP search in Ref. [608] which has sensitivity down to 12 GeV. In this range, this search is more powerful than the inclusive bound from the total \( Z \)-width [283]. The bound from \( \Upsilon \) decays, in Eq. (6.1.32), is based on BABAR data [605]
corresponding to $1.21 \cdot 10^8 \gamma \gamma$ and $0.98 \cdot 10^8 \gamma Z$. “Standard” inclusive diphoton resonance searches at the LHC do not probe masses below 65 GeV [615–617].

A first example of what can be done to improve the low mass reach is the CMS search for a dijet resonance recoiling against a hard jet [614] that we rescale here for an ALP produced in gluon fusion (see Ref. [589] for more details). As we see in Fig. 6.1.15, this probes ALPs down to 10 GeV.

A second example is the bound from inclusive cross section measurements, derived in Ref. [589], that reach masses of 10 GeV. References [610–613] provide tables of the measured differential diphoton cross section per invariant mass bin, $d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}/dm_{\gamma\gamma}$, with the relative statistical ($\Delta_{\text{stat}}$) and systematical ($\Delta_{\text{sys}}$) uncertainties. A conservative bound was derived in Ref. [589] assuming zero knowledge of the background and requiring

$$
\sigma^{\text{th}}_{\gamma\gamma}(m_{a}) < \left[ m_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}}{dm_{\gamma\gamma}} \cdot (1 + 2\Delta_{\text{tot}}) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon_S(m_a)}. 
$$

(6.1.33)

where $\Delta_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{\Delta_{\text{stat}}^2 + \Delta_{\text{sys}}^2}$. The signal efficiency $\epsilon_S(m_a)$ (see Ref. [589] for its computation) does not go to zero below $p_{T_{\gamma_1}} + p_{T_{\gamma_2}}$ because the ALP can still pass the cuts recoiling against unvetoed jet activity in the diphoton cross section measurements. A lower limit on the invariant mass that can be measured, and thus on the testable $m_a$, is set by

$$
m_{\gamma\gamma} > \Delta R^{\text{iso}}_{\gamma\gamma} \sqrt{p_{T_{\gamma_1}}^{\text{min}} p_{T_{\gamma_2}}^{\text{min}}} 
$$

(6.1.34)

where $p_{T_{\gamma_1,2}}^{\text{min}}$ are the minimal cuts on the photon transverse momenta, and $\Delta R^{\text{iso}}_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.4$ is the standard
isolation requirement between the photons. A small mass range around the $B_s$ mass is constrained by diphoton measurements at LHCb [607] as first derived in Ref. [590]. Projections for future stages of LHCb have also been derived in Ref. [590].

Here we discuss new LHC search strategies for the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC to improve the coverage in the $f_a$-$m_a$ plane of KSVZ-like ALPs. The reaches of future experiments are summarised as dashed magenta lines named in Fig. 6.1.15, where we also display, for comparison, LHCb, the Belle II and FCC-ee projections.

We show the reach of the HL-LHC from inclusive cross section measurements with the strategy outlined in the previous section, assuming the same diphoton trigger as in the 8 TeV run. Our signal cross section includes matching up to 2 jets and a K-factor accounting for NLO corrections computed with ggHiggs v3.5 [563–566] which includes full NNLO and approximate N3LO corrections plus threshold resummation at NLL′. The error on the sensitivity is assumed to be dominated by the error on the measurements. In other words, we assume the MC uncertainties will be reduced below $\Delta_{\text{tot}}$ (note that, at the present moment, MC uncertainties on the low-mass bins are at the level of 40% using SHERPA [195, 613, 618]). An unexplored direction in diphoton resonance searches is to reproduce the photon fake rate from multijets and the real photon acceptance from the SM Higgs decay,

To validate our analysis we first checked that the standard isolation with $E_T^\gamma > 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta_1| < 2.5$. As a consequence the two photons produced from the boosted ALP are collimated: $\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 2 m_a / p_{T_{1\gamma}} \lesssim 0.2 \left( m_a / 3 \text{ TeV} \right)$ where $p_{T_{1\gamma}} \sim p_{T_{1\gamma}} / 2$. To improve $S/\sqrt{B}$ we then require $p_{T_{1\gamma}} > 120 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.8$ and bin the events in invariant mass bins with a constant width of 10 GeV. Since two photons fall into one isolation cone, $\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.4$, standard photon isolation vetos the ALP signal. In order to access lower invariant masses, we modify the standard isolation requirement by simply subtracting the hardest photon ($\gamma_1$) in the isolation cone of every test photon, ($\gamma_{\text{test}} \neq \gamma_1$). We require

$$E_T^{\text{iso}} - E_{T\gamma_1} < 10 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{where} \quad E_T^{\text{iso}} \equiv \sum_{i \neq \gamma_{\text{test}}} \Delta R_{i,\gamma_{\text{test}}} \frac{<0.4}{E_T}.$$

To validate our analysis we first checked that the standard isolation with $E_T^{\text{iso}} < 10 \text{ GeV}$ in DELPHES can reproduce the photon fake rate from multijets and the real photon acceptance from the SM Higgs decay, given by Ref. [619]. We find that the isolation in Eq. (6.1.35) gives a similar fake rate and real photon acceptance to the standard ones while the photons from boosted ALP decay now pass the isolation. The modified isolation requirement allows us to go down to two-photon angular separation of $\Delta R \sim 0.1$. Below this value the two photon showers will start to overlap and the photon identification will have to be modified [621, 622]. A similar strategy was employed by ATLAS in $Z \to \gamma a (\gamma \gamma)$ search (see Ref. [623]).

To estimate the sensitivities in Fig. 6.1.15 we simulated the SM background from $\gamma \gamma$ plus threshold resummation at NNLO, and bin the events in invariant mass bins with a constant width of 10 GeV. Since two photons fall into one isolation cone, $\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.4$, standard photon isolation vetos the ALP signal. In order to access lower invariant masses, we modify the standard isolation requirement by simply subtracting the hardest photon ($\gamma_1$) in the isolation cone of every test photon, ($\gamma_{\text{test}} \neq \gamma_1$). We require

$$E_T^{\text{iso}} - E_{T\gamma_1} < 10 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{where} \quad E_T^{\text{iso}} \equiv \sum_{i \neq \gamma_{\text{test}}} \Delta R_{i,\gamma_{\text{test}}} \frac{<0.4}{E_T}.$$

To validate our analysis we first checked that the standard isolation with $E_T^{\text{iso}} < 10 \text{ GeV}$ in DELPHES can reproduce the photon fake rate from multijets and the real photon acceptance from the SM Higgs decay, given by Ref. [619]. We find that the isolation in Eq. (6.1.35) gives a similar fake rate and real photon acceptance to the standard ones while the photons from boosted ALP decay now pass the isolation. The modified isolation requirement allows us to go down to two-photon angular separation of $\Delta R \sim 0.1$. Below this value the two photon showers will start to overlap and the photon identification will have to be modified [621, 622]. A similar strategy was employed by ATLAS in $Z \to \gamma a (\gamma \gamma)$ search (see Ref. [623]).

To estimate the sensitivities in Fig. 6.1.15 we simulated the SM background from $2\gamma + nj$, matched for $n = 1, 2$, and from $j \gamma + j$ with a jet faking a photon both at 14 and 27 TeV. We expect the background from $jj + j$ with two jets faking two photons to be subdominant. Finally, notice that the drop of sensitivity in the low mass range of our analysis is expected to ameliorate including the region of phase space with maximally asymmetric photon momenta $p_{T_{\gamma_2}} \ll p_{T_{\gamma_1}}$. Indeed $m_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{min}} = \sqrt{(p_{T_{\gamma_1}} - p_{T_{\gamma_2}}) p_{T_{\gamma_2}} \Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} \text{ after momentum conservation is imposed, so that minimising the momentum of the second photon becomes}}$

$^{11}$The Belle II and FCC-ee lines are obtained by rescaling of the present bounds from BABAR and LEP with the future luminosities of these experiments: 100 times more $Y_{2s8}$ and $Y_{3s8}$ are assumed for Belle II and $10^{14} Zs$ for FCC-ee.

$^{12}$$E_T^{\text{iso}}$ is usually calculated using calorimeter cells, while here we also include information of charged tracks. Objects with $E_T < 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ are not included in the sum [33].

$^{13}$As minimal angular resolution we take for reference the square towers in the Layer 2 region of ATLAS ECAL [620].
In conclusion, we showed how the sensitivity to KSVZ ALPs can be greatly improved at the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC. Both experiments have sensitivity that exceeds the one of FCC-ee. The type of searches discussed here will probe the parameter space of “heavy axion” models solving the strong CP-problem of the SM and probe SUSY scales, $g_* f_a$, as high as 100 TeV independently of any particular assumption on the structure of the SUSY spectrum, it will also probe well motivated scenarios of heavy Dark Matter freeze-in.

Our results have a broader application than the ones discussed here. For example, they can still be the strongest probe in ALP scenarios where the ALP also couples to fermions and the SM Higgs, such as in composite Higgs models [624, 625] where the couplings to photons and gluons are, in fact, generated by top loops. Last but not least, the invariant mass sensitivity can likely be further extended to lower masses by studying to what extent the two collimated photons themselves can trip the monophoton trigger [591], developing techniques to perform bump hunts with L1 triggers [626] and exploring the advantages of converted photons events in the inner tracker.

### 6.1.8 Search for light pseudoscalar with taus at HL-LHC

**Contributors:** G. Cacciapaglia, G. Ferretti, T. Flacke, H. Serodio

The discovered Higgs boson may be accompanied by additional light (pseudo-)scalars in models with an extended Higgs sector. We consider a pseudoscalar singlets $\phi$, generically coupling as:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset - \sum_{\psi} \frac{i C^\phi_{\psi} m_{\phi} \phi}{f_\phi} \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi + \frac{\phi}{16 \pi^2 f_\phi} \left( K^G_G G \tilde{G} + K^W_W W \tilde{W} + K^B_B B \tilde{B} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.36)

where $f_\phi$ is a “decay constant” and $\psi$ labels the SM fermions. We focus on models of composite Higgs with partial compositeness described in terms of confining hyper-quarks [624]. A universal feature of all these models is the presence of two such pseudoscalars ($\phi = a, \eta'$), whose couplings in Eq. (6.1.36) can be computed from the underlying model. Additional couplings, including the higher dimensional couplings to the Higgs boson, not included in Eq. (6.1.36), are also determined by the underlying theory (see Ref. [627] for details). In Ref. [627] we narrowed down this class of models to a total of twelve, providing possible benchmarks in the search of new physics.

We present results for two of the twelve models [628, 629], denoted respectively M6 and M8 in Ref. [627], which are those being studied on the lattice [630,631]. The masses of the pseudoscalars can

---

**Fig. 6.1.16:** Production cross section (dominated by gluon fusion) for the lightest pseudoscalar for the two benchmark models M6 (left) and M8 (right).
be considered as free parameters, while their decay constants $f_\phi$ in Eq. (6.1.36) are related to the composite Higgs decay constant $f$, defined by $m_W = (g/2)f \sin \theta$, with $\theta \to \pi/2$ being the Technicolour limit [625, 627]. For small underlying hyper-quark masses, the lighter pseudoscalar $a$ is nearly aligned with a spontaneously broken $U(1)$ symmetry and thus can be very light. Its total production cross-section is shown in Fig. 6.1.16 for fixed $f = 1$ TeV. The second pseudoscalar $\eta'$ is related to an anomalous $U(1)$ (hence the name) and thus receives a larger mass from the strong dynamics.

We observe that the production cross-section of the pseudoscalars is rather large, in contrast to that of other light scalars arising in this class of models that only couple via EW interactions. Nevertheless, as their main decay channels suffer from large backgrounds, they are still fairly unconstrained in the low mass region, particularly between 14 and 65 GeV. In Ref. [625] we proposed a boosted search for the lighter pseudoscalar $a$ in the (fully leptonic, opposite flavour) ditau channel between 10 and 100 GeV. Figure 6.1.17 shows the reach in the $M_a/f$ plane for the two models above. A complementary proposed search in the diphoton channel has been discussed in the previous section, based on Ref. [589].

A crucial discriminating variable in such search, particularly for the low mass region, is the angular separation $\Delta R_{\mu\mu}$ between the electron and the muon. We present the reach estimated from a cut-and-count simulation with the conservative choice $\Delta R_{\mu\mu} > 0.2$ included or removed. In the plot we have not taken into account the systematic error in the background, but it is important to remark that, in order to take full advantage of the HL-LHC run, it should be kept below 2%. The additional cuts, discussed in Ref. [625], are: $p_T\mu > 50$ GeV, $p_Te > 10$ GeV, $\Delta R_{\mu j} > 0.5$, $\Delta R_{ej} > 0.5$, $p_Tj > 200$ GeV, $\Delta R_{\mu e} < 1$, $m_{\mu e} < 100$ GeV. Note that we also impose an upper bound on $\Delta R_{\mu e}$ to reduce the (mostly flat) $t\bar{t}$ background.

The heavier pseudoscalar $\eta'$, not being a true Goldstone boson, could have a mass in the multi TeV range. Nevertheless, it may give observable signals at the LHC because it decays into final states such as $\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$, $ZZ$, $WW$, $tt$ and $Zh$ (the last one via top loops). In Fig. 6.1.18 we present the lower bounds on $f$ for the two models, in the $(M_a, M_{\eta'})$ mass plane. The white region corresponds to masses incompatible with the models [627]. The vertical band with a strong bound for $M_a \sim 215$ GeV corresponds to $Zh$ searches, which were not included in Ref. [627].
Figure 6.1.18 takes into account the relevant searches performed with the 2016 data of about $36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity at 13 TeV. Similar analyses can of course be performed for the remaining models, but the two presented in this work are amongst the least constrained by current data.

In conclusion, the HL and HE phases of the LHC present us with newer possibilities to search for BSM physics. The models discussed here provide concrete examples where new physics could arise both in the high and low mass regime, benefiting from both improvements.

6.1.9 Colour octet scalar into gluons and photons at HL-LHC

**Contributors:** G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, A.M. Iyer

We consider a colour octet scalar $\Phi$ which is present in various extensions of the SM, and in particular in composite models for the EW sector, where such a state can be a composite object made of fundamental fermions. The colour octet $\Phi$ can be produced by single and pair production at the LHC by QCD processes. Due to its nature and quantum numbers, in composite models, it can couple strongly to top quarks and give rise, at one loop in the fundamental theory, to a coupling to gluons and photons via a top loop and the topological anomaly. In particular it also gives rise to an effective vertex with a photon and a gluon which is highly suppressed in SM processes, giving rise to a distinctive mode for its search at the LHC. We therefore consider the decay mode $\Phi \rightarrow \gamma g$, thereby making it an exclusive signature for such a state. The effective Lagrangian for this interaction can be written as:

$$L = a_1 f_{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^{b\gamma} \Phi^c + a_2 f_{abc} f_{ade} \Phi^b \Phi^d G_{\mu\nu}^c G_{\mu\nu}^e + c G_{\mu\nu}^a \Phi^a B_{\mu\nu},$$

(6.1.37)

where $a_i$ are proportional to the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$ while the ratio $c/a$ depends on the model under consideration. The colour octet can decay into $tt$, $gg$, $g \gamma$, or $gZ$. The $gZ$ final state is subdominant in comparison to $g \gamma$ as it is suppressed by the Weinberg angle ($\Phi g \gamma$ vertex is derived from the $\Phi G_{\mu\nu}^a B_{\mu\nu}^a$ term in Lagrangian.). The corresponding comparison of rates of $gg$ with respect to the $tt$ final state is parameter dependent and is not considered here. It can however be taken into account by the corresponding reduction of the production rate $\sigma_{eff} = \sigma \times (1 - B(tt))^2$. In this note we are interested in exploring the possibility of the $g \gamma$ decay mode as a possible discovery prospect for the colour octet scalar. For scenarios considered in Ref. [627], where the colour octet arises as a bound state of colour-triplet fermions $\chi$ with hyper-charge $1/3$ or $2/3$, the branching fractions amongst the bosonic final states are fixed and given in Table 6.1.4.

We consider the following benchmarks for the mass of the coloured scalar $\Phi$: $m_\Phi = 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5$ TeV. There are existing searches for the pair production of the scalar in the
multi jet channel. For the branching fractions outlined in Table 6.1.4 we compare the efficiency for the multi jet final state corresponding to the existing searches with the following two final states corresponding to the signal: one single photon and three gluons; and two photons and two gluons. The parton level events are simulated at 14 TeV c.o.m. energy using MADGRAPH [67] and showering is done by PYTHIA 8 [50]. We use DELPHES 3 [33] for the detector simulation. The jets are reconstructed using FASTJET [67] with the standard AK4 jet reconstruction algorithm with \( R = 0.4 \) and \( p_T = 60 \text{ GeV} \). The strategy for the multi-jet final state in this contribution is similar to the analysis in CMS searching in the multi-jet channel for pair produced scalars [634]:

- The jets are reconstructed using the anti-kt algorithm with \( R = 0.4 \) and \( p_T = 60 \text{ GeV} \). Minimum of 4 jets are required for each event.
- Each jet is required to have a \( p_T \) of 80 GeV.
- In order to select the two best di-jet systems compatible with the signal, the four leading jets ordered in \( p_T \) are combined to create three unique combinations of di-jet pairs per event. Out of the three combinations, the di-jet configuration with the smallest \( \Delta R_{\text{dijet}} = \sum_{i=1,2} |\Delta R_i - 0.8| \) is chosen where \( \Delta R_i \) is the distance in the \( \eta - \phi \) plane between the two jets in the \( i^{th} \) di-jet pair.
- Asymmetry parameter: Once a configurations is selected, two asymmetry parameters are constructed:

\[
M_{\text{asymm}} = \frac{|m_{jj1} - m_{jj2}|}{m_{jj1} + m_{jj2}}, \quad \Delta \eta_{\text{asymm}} = |\eta_{jj1} - \eta_{jj2}|.
\]

(6.1.38)

where \( m_{jjk} \) and \( \eta_{jjk} \) is the dijet mass and pseudorapidity combination of the \( k^{th} \) di-jet pair. Both these quantities are set \(< 0.1\).

For the 3 jets and 1 photon final state there is no existing search undertaken thus far. Thus we adopt a similar criteria described above. Table 6.1.5 gives the signal and the corresponding background efficiencies (\( \epsilon \)) for the two topologies and are simply \( \epsilon = N_{\text{cut}}/N_{\text{gen}} \), where \( N_{\text{cut}} \) is the number of events which pass the cut and \( N_{\text{gen}} \) are the number of events generated. To facilitate the collider comparison between the \( gg \) and the \( g\gamma \) decay for the colour octet scalar we define the following ratio:

\[
\delta = \frac{S_{9g9\gamma}/\sqrt{B_{jjj\gamma}}}{S_{9g99}/\sqrt{B_{jjjj}}}.
\]

(6.1.39)

which is computed for the two hypercharge assignments in Table 6.1.4. Here the number of signal or background events (\( S \) or \( B \)) at a given luminosity \( \mathcal{L} \), is simply \( \epsilon \times \sigma \times \mathcal{L} \). The ratio however, eliminates the dependence on the luminosity \(^{14}\). Using the numbers from Table 6.1.5, the results for the \( jjj\gamma \) are given in the first two columns of Table 6.1.6.

The ratio in Eq. (6.1.39) eliminates the dependence on the production cross section and facilitates a transparent comparison of different decay modes. Given the large backgrounds in Table 6.1.5, the signal with the cuts used is not significant, thereby requiring a more detailed analysis. We now discuss the more optimistic 2 gluons and 2 photons final state.

\(^{14}\)Note that for the \( jjj\gamma \) signal \( \sigma = \sigma_{\text{prod}}B(\Phi \to g\gamma) \)
Table 6.1.5: Pair production efficiencies for signal and background. The background cross-section is estimated by requiring the scalar sum of the parton $p_T > 1100$ GeV. The computed efficiencies are simply the ratio of events which pass the cuts to the total number of events generated. The background for $g\gamma g\gamma$ signal is still dominated by QCD $jjja$ background in comparison to the $\gamma\gamma +$ jets. The different efficiencies are a consequence of different selection criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4j</th>
<th>3j+1\gamma</th>
<th>2j+2\gamma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signal efficiency $\epsilon_S$ ($m_\Phi = 1$ TeV)</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background Efficiency $\epsilon_B$</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.00035</td>
<td>0.000114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background cross section (fb)</td>
<td>$6800 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$18 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$18 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1.6: Comparison of the signal significances ratio $\delta$ (defined in Eq. (6.1.39)) between the $ggg\gamma$ and $gggg$ channels for $m_\Phi = 1$ TeV. Similar ratio is computed for the $gg\gamma\gamma$ final state.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
 & S_{ggg\gamma} & S_{ggg\gamma} \\
\hline
 Y_\chi = 1/3 & 0.84 & 3.33 & 1.36 & 20.56 \\
\end{array}
\]

In the case of 2 jets + 2 photons, there are only two combinatorial possibilities for the invariant mass reconstruction. Let the isolated photons be denoted as $\gamma_1, 2$ and the jets as $j_1, 2$. It is to be noted that the gluon jet and the photon from a given coloured scalar are fairly collimated. Thus in order to identify the correct pair of final states we take the hardest photon $\gamma_1$ and compute, $\Delta R_{\gamma_1 j_1}$ and $\Delta R_{\gamma_1 j_2}$ and extract the following:

\[
\Delta R_{\text{min}} = \min(\Delta R_{\gamma_1 j_1}, \Delta R_{\gamma_1 j_2}).
\]  

The presence of two photons greatly limits the QCD fake rate. We further impose a hard cut of 180 GeV on the transverse momentum of each photon. The large signal sensitivity in this case is an artefact that a simple $p_T$ cut on the second photon reduces background drastically without affecting the signal significantly. The last two columns of Table 6.1.6 show the comparison of signal sensitivity between the $gg\gamma\gamma$ and $gggg$ channels, implying that they are both similar even for the pessimistic case of smaller branching fraction corresponding to $Y_\chi = 1/3$. The left plot of Fig. 6.1.19 shows the reconstructed invariant mass distribution and the right plot gives the signal significance as a function of luminosity for the optimistic case of $Y_\chi = 2/3$. Using the computation for production cross-sections for 14 TeV in [635], we estimate the sensitivity for different signal benchmarks from 0.3 to 3 ab$^{-1}$. With a high luminosity run one can reach a sensitivity close to $\sim 2.0 \sigma$ for $m_\Phi = 1$ TeV. This, as well the sensitivity for the other benchmarks can be further improved by using information of signal kinematics and invariant mass cuts. We have performed a simplified preliminary study of the potential for the study of pair of a colour octet scalar decaying to gluon and photon at the LHC. This opens two additional possibilities for their searches corresponding to the $ggg\gamma$ and $gg\gamma\gamma$ final states. These final states not only serves as an unambiguous signature for colour octets but are also relatively cleaner than the conventional multi jet searches. We demonstrate that for the $gg\gamma\gamma$ channel, we can get a preliminary hint of the existence of the colour octet state at HL-LHC thereby strongly motivating the HE option of the LHC.

### 6.2 Spin 1 resonances

This section is devoted to the study of the prospects for vector resonances. These are neutral $Z'$ and charged $W'$, which are among the most standard benchmarks usually considered in studying the potential of future colliders, as well as RS gluons and other resonances arising from 2HDMs models.
Fig. 6.1.19: Left: distribution of the two reconstructed invariant masses $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ for the 1 TeV benchmark using the criterion in Eq. (6.1.40). a.u. on the Y-axis denotes arbitrary units. Right: Sensitivity for the different signal benchmarks at 14 TeV COM energy for luminosities up to 3 $ab^{-1}$.

6.2.1 Precision predictions for new dilepton and $t\bar{t}$ resonances at HL- and HE-LHC

**Contributors:** M. Altakach, J. Fiaschi, T. Ježo, M. Klasen, I. Schienbein

We present higher order predictions for spin-1 resonance searches in two classes of observables, top-quark-pair production and dilepton production. In the former case, we use the PBZp code [636] which includes the NLO QCD corrections to the EW production of top-antitop pairs in the presence of a new neutral gauge boson implemented in the parton shower Monte Carlo program POWHEG [637–639]. The dilepton cross sections are calculated using the NLO+NLL code RESUMMINO [640] which matches a soft-gluon resummation at NLL accuracy to a fixed order NLO calculation.

We consider four models: the Un-Unified (UU) [641,642] and the Non-Universal (NU) [643,644] models, a leptophobic topcolour model (TC) (model IV in Ref. [645]), and the SSM [646]. The UU and NU models belong to the general class of $G(221) = SU(2)_1 \times SU(2)_2 \times U(1)_X$ gauge theories with an extra $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. In the UU model the quarks and leptons belong to different representations of the two $SU(2)$ gauge factors whereas in the NU model the first two generations transform differently than the third generation. Both models take two input parameters, the mixing angle of the first stage symmetry breaking $t = \tan \phi = g_2/g_1$ and the mass of the heavy resonance $M_{Z'}$ (or $M_{W'}$). Exclusion limits on the parameters space for the $G(221)$ models have been derived in Ref. [647] by performing a global analysis of low-energy precision data. Improved limits for the $W'$ and $Z'$ masses were found in Ref. [640] using LHC data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV. The TC model has three free parameters in addition to the resonance mass $M_{Z'}$: the width $\Gamma_{Z'}$, the relative strength ($f_1$) of the $Z'$ coupling to right-handed up-type quarks w.r.t. left-hand up-type quarks, and similarly the relative strength ($f_2$) of the $Z'$ coupling to right- and left-hand down-type quarks. Finally, in the SSM the only free parameters are the masses $M_{W'}$ and $M_{Z'}$.

We have chosen benchmark points such that the width $\Gamma_{Z'}$ in all models is the same as in the SSM. We have calculated the width in the SSM ($\Gamma^{SSM}_{Z'}$) at leading order using PYTHIA 6 [68] with a running electro-magnetic coupling, $\alpha(M_{Z'})$, such that $\Gamma^{SSM}_{Z'}/M_{Z'}$ slightly increases from 3.48% at $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV to 3.61% at $M_{Z'} = 10$ TeV. This is achieved by setting the parameter $t = 1$ in the UU and NU models. As a consequence, the $W'$ couplings to the SM fermions are the same in the SSM and NU cases, whereas the $Z'$ couplings are different. For the TC model we set $f_1 = 1$ and $f_2 = 0$ which maximises the fraction of $Z'$ bosons decaying into $t\bar{t}$ pairs. For the parton distribution functions (PDFs), in the $t\bar{t}$ case, we use a NLO PDF4LHC set for Monte Carlo studies (ISET = 90000 in LHAPDF6)
and the renormalisation and factorisation scales $\mu_R$ and $\mu_F$ are identified with the invariant mass of the system. On the other hand, in the dilepton case, we use a NLO CT14 (ISET = 13100 in LHAPDF6) and the renormalisation and factorisation scales $\mu_R$ and $\mu_F$ are identified with the invariant mass of the system.

Fig. 6.2.1: Left: LO and NLO total cross section predictions in picobarns for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}+[g]$ (top) and the NLO/LO K-factors (bottom) at a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Right: same as the left for a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV.

In Fig. 6.2.1, we show the total cross section for the EW production of $t\bar{t}$ pairs $(q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}+[g])$ in picobarn at a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV (left) and $27$ TeV (right). The results are given for LO (only for SSM) and NLO cross sections together with the NLO/LO K-factors (bottom) for the SSM, UU, NU, and TC in dependence of the $Z'$ mass. No cut on the invariant mass of the $t\bar{t}$ pair has been applied.

In Fig. 6.2.2 we show the $W'$ production cross sections at a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at NLO and NLO+NLL in the SSM as a function of the heavy gauge boson mass (top left). The ratios of the total cross sections at LHC14 at NLO and NLO+NLL over the LO cross section as a function of the $W'$ mass is also presented (bottom left). Similarly, in the right side of Fig. 6.2.2 we show the same for a c.o.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV. Interference terms between $W$ and $W'$ gauge bosons are included. The invariant mass of the lepton pair is restricted to $m_{ll} > 3M_{W'}/4$. Increasing the mass the threshold effects become more and more important leading to almost 16% (6%) increase of the cross section at $M_{W'} = 8$ TeV for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ (27) TeV.
6.2.2 Searching for a RS gluon resonance in $t\bar{t}$ at the HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: M. Narain, K. Pedro, S. Sagir, E. Usai, W. Zhang, CMS

Many models of new physics predict heavy resonances with enhanced couplings to the third generation of the SM [472, 648–654]. Thus, the study of the top quark can give important insight into the validity of such models. This analysis from CMS [655] presents projections for a heavy resonance, in particular a Randall–Sundrum Kaluza–Klein gluon (RSG) [472], decaying into a $t\bar{t}$ pair using the upgraded CMS Phase-2 detector design at HL-LHC, with a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV. We also present projections for $t\bar{t}$ resonances at a c.o.m. energy of 27 TeV, accessible by the HE-LHC. Two distinct final states with either a single lepton or no leptons are considered. The topology where the hadronic decay products of the top quark are fully merged into a single jet is studied. For top quarks with a large boost (transverse momentum, $p_T$, greater than 400 GeV), an identification algorithm based on the soft-drop [504] jet grooming algorithm is used in combination with $N$-subjettiness [508] and subjet b-tagging algorithms to identify the decay of the top quark with no leptons. No lepton isolation is imposed because leptons are not expected to be well separated from other objects in final states.

The RSG signal processes are generated using PYTHIA 8.212 [68] at leading order (LO), assuming a decay width of 17%, and a RS parameter $k$ value of $0.01 \times m_{\text{Planck}}$. The POWHEG 2.0 [638, 639, 656, 657] event generator is used to generate $t\bar{t}$ and single top quark events in the $t$-channel and $tW$ channel to NLO accuracy. The single top quark events in the $s$-channel, $Z$+jets, and $W$+jets are simulated using MadGraph5_aMC@NLO 2.2.2 [67]. The PYTHIA event generator is
used to simulate the QCD multijet and WW events at NLO. Parton showering, hadronisation, and the underlying event are simulated with PYTHIA, using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions (PDFs) and the CUETP8M1 [658, 659] tune for all Monte Carlo processes, except for the $t\bar{t}$ sample, which is produced with the CUETP8M2T4 [660] tune. The CMS Phase-2 detector simulation and the reconstruction of physics-level objects are simulated with the DELPHES software package [33]. The same signal and background processes are also considered for the HE-LHC projections in both final states at \( \sqrt{s} = 27 \) TeV, assuming the same number of pileup interactions as the HL-LHC. The reconstruction of physics-level objects for the HE-LHC is simulated with the DELPHES software package with the CMS Phase-2 detector design.

The particle flow (PF) algorithm [661] is used together with the pileup per particle identification (PUPPI) [410] method to reconstruct the final state objects such as electrons, muons, jets, and missing transverse momentum ($p_T^{\text{miss}}$). In both final states, large-radius anti-$k_T$ jets with a distance parameter of 0.8 (AK8) are used. The AK8 jets are required to have $p_T > 400$ GeV, $|\eta| < 4$, soft-drop mass between 105 and 220 GeV, and N-subjettiness ratio $\tau_3/\tau_2 < 0.65$. AK8 jets passing these requirements are referred to as $t$-tagged jets. In the single-lepton final state, the AK8 jets are selected if they are isolated from lepton by $\Delta R$(lepton, AK8 jet) > 0.8 and events with more than one such AK8 jets are vetoed to be orthogonal to the fully hadronic final state. In the fully hadronic final state, the sum of the $p_T$ of the two AK8 jets, $H_T$, is additionally required to be > 1.2 TeV and the angle between the two AK8 jets, $\Delta \phi$, is required to be > 2.1. We require single-lepton events to have exactly one electron with $p_T > 80$ GeV and $|\eta| < 3$ or one muon with $p_T > 55$ GeV and $|\eta| < 3$. In order to limit the background contribution from QCD multijet events, we require $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 120$ (50) GeV in the electron (muon) channel, where $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ is the magnitude of the missing transverse momentum defined as the the negative of the vector $p_T$ sum of all reconstructed PF candidates.

Additionally, the single-muon events are required to have $H_T^{\text{lep}} > 150$ GeV, where $H_T^{\text{lep}} = p_T^{\text{miss}} + p_T^{\text{lep}}$. The single-lepton events are further required to have at least two AK4 jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4$. The leading and subleading jets are required to have a $p_T$ greater than 185 (150) and 50 (50) GeV, respectively, in the electron (muon) channel. Because no isolation requirement is imposed on leptons, we require that the AK4 jet that is closest to the lepton is either separated by $\Delta R > 0.4$, or the magnitude of the lepton momentum that is transverse to the jet axis is greater than 25 GeV.

We use the Theta package [662] to derive the expected cross section limits at 95% C.L. on the production of a RSG decaying to $t\bar{t}$. The limits are computed using the asymptotic CLs approach. A binned likelihood fit on the distributions of reconstructed $t\bar{t}$ mass ($m_{t\bar{t}}$), shown in Fig. 6.2.3, is performed.
Fig. 6.2.4: Distributions of $m_{tt}$ in events with single-electron and one $t$-tagged jet (top left) or zero lepton, $\Delta y < 1$ and two $b$ tags (top right) for 3 ab$^{-1}$ at 14 TeV. Distributions of $m_{tt}$ in events with a single-muon and one $t$-tagged jet (bottom left) or zero lepton and one $b$-tag (bottom right) for 15 ab$^{-1}$ at 27 TeV.

in both single-lepton and fully hadronic final states. To improve the sensitivity, the events are categorised based on the number of $b$ tags (0, 1, or 2) and the rapidity difference ($|\Delta y(jet_1, jet_2)| < 1$ or $> 1$) in the fully hadronic final state. Similarly, in the single-lepton final state, the categorisation is performed using the number of $t$-tagged jets (0 or 1) and the flavour of the lepton (e, $\mu$). Example $m_{tt}$ distributions of background and signal samples are shown in Fig. 6.2.4. Systematic uncertainties, following the recommendations in Ref. [411], are included in the fit as nuisance parameters with log-normal prior for both HL-LHC and HE-LHC. The results are limited by the statistical uncertainties in the background estimates. These uncertainties are scaled down by the projected integrated luminosity and are treated using the Barlow–Beeston light method [663, 664].

The expected limits at 95% C.L. and discovery reaches at 3 and 5σ for the combined single-lepton and fully hadronic final states are shown in Fig. 6.2.5. The RSG with masses up to 6.6 (10.7) TeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for a projected integrated luminosity of 3 (15) ab$^{-1}$ at the HL-LHC (HE-LHC). This extends the current Run-2 limits of 4.5 TeV based on 36 fb$^{-1}$ [665]. The discovery reach for an RSG is computed to be 5.7 (9.4) TeV at 5σ at the HL-LHC (HE-LHC).

6.2.3 $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ searches at HL-LHC

Contributors: A. Duncan, ATLAS

HL-LHC prospects at for $Z'$ bosons in the $t\bar{t}$ final state were presented in Ref. [666] based on the event selection and systematic uncertainties from the Run-1 analysis with 20.3 fb$^{-1}$ collected at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in Ref. [667]. These results have been updated [668] using a more recent parameterisation of the $b$-tagging efficiencies and misidentification rates as shown in Ref. [357] and are summarised below.
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Fig. 6.2.5: 95% C.L. expected upper limits (left) and 3σ and 5σ discovery reaches (right) for a RSG decaying to
$tt$ at 3 ab$^{-1}$ at 14 TeV (top) and 15 ab$^{-1}$ at 27 TeV (bottom) for the combined single-lepton and fully hadronic
final states.

The analysis looks for a narrow width $Z'$ boson in a final state in which one of the $W$ bosons
from the top quark decays to two jets and the other decays to a lepton (electron or muon) and a neutrino
($t\bar{t} \rightarrow WbWb \rightarrow \ell\nu bqq'\bar{b}$). Events are required to contain exactly one lepton, several jets and at least a
moderate amount of missing transverse momentum must be present. Events are separated into boosted
and resolved channels with most of the signal events falling in the former category. In the resolved
channel the decay products of the hadronic top-quark decay are reconstructed as three separate jets and in
total events must contain at least four jets. In the boosted channel, the hadronic top-quark decay products
are highly boosted and end up in one broad large-radius jet. Events are selected if at least one large-radius
jet and one jet (from the other top-quark decay) is present. Subsequently $m_{t\bar{t}}$ is reconstructed based on the
reconstruction of the $W$ bosons and $b$-jets in the event. Using $m_{t\bar{t}}$ as discriminant, upper limits are set on
the signal cross section times BR as a function of the $Z'$ boson mass. Using as benchmark a Topcolour-
assisted Technicolour $Z'_{TC2}$ boson with a narrow width of 1.2%, $Z'_{TC2}$ bosons can be excluded up to
masses of $\simeq 4$ TeV with 3 ab$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions as shown in Fig. 6.2.6. This mass limit is conservative
due to the use of systematic uncertainties from the Run-1 analysis [667]. These uncertainties are already
smaller in the Run-2 analysis [669] and will be further reduced at the time of the HL-LHC. In particular
the systematic uncertainty in the boosted channel is now reduced due to the significant improvements
of the performance of boosted jets in Run-2 (in particular using more tracking information to look for
sub-jets within the large-radius jets). This gain in performance also improves the signal over background
ratio. In addition, the usage of the top-tagger algorithm will help to further reject background.
6.2.4 High mass dilepton ($\ell\ell$, $\mu\mu$, $\tau\tau$) searches at the HE-LHC

**Contributors:** C. Helsens, D. Jamin, M. Selvaggi

Models with extended gauge groups often feature additional $U(1)$ symmetries with corresponding heavy spin-1 bosons. These bosons, generally referred to as $Z'$, would manifest themselves as a narrow resonance in the dilepton invariant mass spectrum. Among these models are those inspired by Grand Unified Theories, motivated by gauge unification, or a restoration of the left-right symmetry violated by the weak interaction. Examples include the $Z'$ bosons of the $E_6$ motivated theories [670–672] and Minimal models [673]. The SSM [672] posits a $Z'_{SSM}$ boson with couplings to fermions that are identical to those of the SM $Z$ boson.

The decay products of heavy resonances are in the multi-TeV regime and the capability to reconstruct their momentum imposes stringent requirement on the detector design. In particular, reconstructing the track curvature of multi-TeV muons requires excellent position resolution and a large lever arm. In this section, the expected sensitivity is presented for a $Z'_{SSM}$ boson with couplings to fermions that are identical to those of the SM $Z$ boson.

Monte Carlo simulated event samples were used to simulate the response of the future detector to signal and backgrounds. Signals are generated with PYTHIA 8.230 [68] using the leading order cross-section from the generator. All lepton flavour decays of the $Z'_{SSM}$ are generated assuming universality of the couplings. The Drell-Yan background has been generated using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.5.2 [67] at leading order only. A conservative overall k-factor of 2 has been applied to all the background processes to account for possibly large higher order QCD corrections.

For the $\ell\ell$ final-states events are required to contain two isolated leptons with $p_T > 500$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4$. For the $\tau\tau$ final state we focus solely on the fully hadronic decay mode which is expected to drive the sensitivity. The $\tau\tau$ event selection requires the presence of two reconstructed jets with $p_T > 500$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ identified as hadronic $\tau$'s. To ensure orthogonality between the $\ell$ and $\tau$ final states, jets overlapping with isolated leptons are vetoed. Additional mass dependent selection criteria on the azimuthal angle between the two reconstructed $\tau$'s are applied to further improve the QCD background rejection (see Table 6.2.1).

The left and central panels of Fig. 6.2.7 show the invariant mass distribution for a 6 TeV $Z'_{SSM}$ in the $ee$ and $\mu\mu$ channels. The mass resolution is better for the $ee$ channel, as expected. The right panel of Fig. 6.2.7 shows the transverse mass $^{15}$ of a 6 TeV signal for the $\tau\tau$ channel. Because of the presence of neutrinos in $\tau$ decays, the true resonance mass cannot be reconstructed. Several arbitrary choices are

\[^{15}\text{The transverse mass is defined as } m_T = \sqrt{2p_T^2 + E_{T \text{min}}^\text{miss} \times (1 - \cos \Delta \phi(Z', E_{T \text{min}}^\text{miss})).}\]
possible to approximate the $Z'$ mass. The transverse mass provided the best sensitivity and was therefore used to set limits and determine the discovery reach in $\tau\tau$ decay mode.

Hypothesis testing is performed using a modified frequentist method based on a profile likelihood that takes into account the systematic uncertainties as nuisance parameters that are fitted to the expected background predicted from Monte Carlo. For the $ee$ and $\mu\mu$ analyses, the dilepton invariant mass is used as the discriminant, while for the $\tau\tau$ channel the transverse mass is used. A 50% uncertainty on the background normalisation is assumed.

The 95% C.L. exclusion limit obtained using 15 ab$^{-1}$ of data for the combination of the $ee$ and $\mu\mu$ channels is shown in Fig. 6.2.8 (left) for a list of 6 different $Z'$ models. A detailed discussion on model discrimination at HE-LHC following the observation of an excess at the HL-LHC can be found in Section 6.2.9. We simply note here that it is possible to exclude a $Z'$ with $m_{Z'} \lesssim 10–13$ TeV (depending on the model) at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV with 15 ab$^{-1}$. Figure 6.2.8 (right) shows the integrated luminosity required to reach a 5$\sigma$ discovery for a $Z'_{SSM}$ decaying leptonically as a function of the mass of the heavy resonance. Despite a worse di-lepton invariant mass resolution for the $\mu\mu$ final state, the $Z' \rightarrow ee$ and $Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$ channel display very similar performances, due to the low background rates and a higher muon reconstruction efficiency. Acceptance for electron could have been recovered by optimising the isolation criteria, but was not done in this study. With the full dataset 15 ab$^{-1}$, a $Z'_{SSM}$ up to $m_{Z'} \approx 13$ TeV can be discovered. Figure 6.2.9 shows the exclusion limits for 15 ab$^{-1}$ of data (left) and the required integrated luminosity versus mass to reach a 5$\sigma$ discovery (right) for the $\tau\tau$ resonances. We find that a $Z'_{SSM}$ with $m_{Z'} \approx 6.5$ TeV can be discovered or excluded. As expected, the $Z' \rightarrow \tau\tau$ final-state yields to a worse discovery potential compared to the $\ell\ell$ final states because of the presence of a much larger background contribution as well as the absence of narrow mass peak.
6.2.5 Prospects for $Z' \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ searches at the HL- and HE-LHC


The sensitivity to narrow $Z'$ bosons decaying into the $e^+e^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$ final state is studied for $pp$ collisions at several c.o.m. energies: $\sqrt{s} = 13$, 14, and 15 GeV with 3 ab$^{-1}$, as well as $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV with 15 ab$^{-1}$. Results are based on studies documented in Ref. [668]. The latter is only studied in the $e^+e^-$ channel since the work presented here is based on the latest layout of the upgraded ATLAS detector for the HL-LHC which is not optimised for extremely high muon momentum measurements. The study supersedes that from Ref. [674] since it uses the latest detector layout and higher pileup conditions. In addition, it was found that the signal cross-sections used in the previous analysis were too high.

The projection study relies on MC simulation for the signal based on PYTHIA 8 [50], the NNPDF23LO PDF set [675], and the A14 set of tuned parameters [676] for the parton shower, hadronisation, and the underlying event. The dominant Drell-Yan background source is generated with POWHEG-BOX [639, 657] and the CTEQ6L1 PDF set [52] interfaced with PYTHIA 8 for the parton shower, hadronisation and the underlying event using the AZNLO set of tuned parameters [677]. Generated samples also include off-shell production.
The event selection proceeds in a way similar to the analysis of the 13 TeV data with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ [442]. Events must pass either the single-electron trigger requirements with $p_T > 22$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ or the single-muon trigger requirements with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$. Triggered events are further required to contain exactly two electrons with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$ (excluding the barrel-endcap calorimeter transition region $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$) or two muons with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$. The electrons and muons have to satisfy the tight and high-$p_T$ identification requirements, respectively. Invariant mass distributions of the reconstructed dielectron and dimuon candidates are shown in Fig. 6.2.10 for the $Z'$ ($\gamma Z'$) signal and the dominant Drell-Yan background. Background from diboson ($WW, ZZ, WZ, ZZ$) and top-quark production is not considered as their contribution to the overall SM background is negligible for dilepton invariant masses ($m_{\ell\ell}$) exceeding 2 TeV. This background is more pronounced at lower masses and was found to amount to around 10% (20%) of the total background for an invariant mass of 1 TeV (300 GeV), see Ref. [442]. In the dielectron channel, additional background arises from $W+\text{jets}$ and multijet events in which at most one real electron is produced and one or more jets satisfy the electron selection criteria. While this background is negligible in the dimuon channel, it amounts to approximately 15% of the total background for $m_{\ell\ell} > 1$ TeV [442] in the dielectron channel. This source of background is neglected in the analysis below but accounted for in the systematic uncertainties. The differences in the shape of the reconstructed $Z'$ mass distributions in the dielectron and dimuon channels arise from differences in momentum resolution for electron and muon reconstruction. The differences in the shape of the dielectron mass distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and 27 TeV arise from differences in the rapidity distributions.

The experimental and theoretical uncertainties assumed in this analysis are estimated from the Run-2 results [442] but scaled down to account for the increased statistical precision available at the HL-LHC following the recommendations in Ref. [7]. Only the largest sources of uncertainties are considered. As the uncertainties vary with $m_{\ell\ell}$, the uncertainties are expressed relative to the value of $m_{\ell\ell}$ given in TeV.

The experimental systematic uncertainties due to the reconstruction, identification, and isolation of electrons are negligible while those for muons add up to approximately 2.5% × $m_{\ell\ell}$ [TeV]. Systematic uncertainties due to the energy resolution and scale are set to 1.5% × $m_{\ell\ell}$ [TeV]. The uncertainties due to the resolution and reconstruction of the leptons are added in quadrature to the dominant sources of theoretical uncertainty due to the PDFs. The uncertainties due to the choice of PDF set are taken to be 2.5% × $m_{\ell\ell}$ [TeV] and the uncertainties in the parameters of the nominal PDF set are assumed to be 5% × $m_{\ell\ell}$ [TeV]. Overall these uncertainties add up to 6.5% × $m_{\ell\ell}$ [TeV]. As the search looks for an excess in the high $m_{\ell\ell}$ tail, the sensitivity is primarily limited by the statistical uncertainties.

The statistical analysis relies on the Bayesian approach [678] used in Ref. [442]. The same statistical model implementation is used in the following for both the calculation of the exclusion limits and the discovery reach, the latter being based on a profile likelihood ratio test assuming an asymptotic
test statistic distribution. In the absence of a signal, 95% C.L. upper limits are placed on the production cross section of a $Z'$ boson times its branching fraction $\sigma \times B$ to a single lepton generation, assuming lepton universality. These limits are extracted using $Z'$ templates binned in $m_{\ell\ell}$ for a series of $Z'$ masses in the range between 2.5 TeV and 11.5 TeV. The interpretation of results is performed in the context of the SSM and the $E_6$ $\psi$ model. Exclusion limits are shown in Fig. 6.2.11 assuming the $E_6$ $\psi$ model as a benchmark. These limits, as well as the ones presented below, are based on a NNLO cross-section calculation including off-shell production.

Lower mass limits and the discovery reach for the different models and $\sqrt{s}$ values at the HL-LHC are summarised in Table 6.2.2. The projected exclusion limits extend the current $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ ($Z'_{\psi}$) lower mass limit of 4.5 (3.8) TeV obtained using 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ of data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV to 6.5 (5.8) TeV for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Higher limits are obtained in the dielectron channel due to the superior energy resolution of the calorimeter as compared with the momentum resolution for muons in the muon spectrometer. Assuming similar detector performance at the LHC and HL-LHC, a corresponding lower mass limit of 5.4 (4.8) TeV are expected with 300 fb$^{-1}$ at the end of Run-3. The 95% C.L. limits and discovery reach are close due to the absence of background at very high $m_{\ell\ell}$. Compared to the results presented in Ref. [357] the discovery reach reported here is higher due to a change in how the reach is calculated. The analysis described here is based on the shape of the signal and background $m_{\ell\ell}$ distributions while the 5σ significance was calculated in a mass range between $m(Z')/2$ to infinity in Ref. [357].

The discovery reach and lower exclusion limits at 95% C.L. in mass are also calculated for a detector at the HE-LHC in the dielectron channel. This is done assuming the same physics performance as for the ATLAS detector at the HL-LHC. The exclusion limits and the discovery reach are summarised in Table 6.2.3.

At the HE-LHC, $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ and $Z'_{\psi}$ bosons can be discovered up to 12.8 TeV and 11.2 TeV, respectively, thus increasing their discovery reach by 6.5 TeV compared to the HL-LHC, i.e. an increase in the discovery potential by a factor of two. In case $Z'$ bosons are not discovered yet, the HE-LHC will be able to further rule out $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ and $Z'_{\psi}$ bosons up to 12.8 TeV and 11.4 TeV, respectively.
Exclusion Discovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>$\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV</th>
<th>$\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV</th>
<th>$\sqrt{s} = 15$ TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclusion</td>
<td>Discovery</td>
<td>Exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{SSM} \to ee$</td>
<td>6.0 TeV</td>
<td>5.9 TeV</td>
<td>6.4 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{SSM} \to \mu\mu$</td>
<td>5.5 TeV</td>
<td>5.4 TeV</td>
<td>5.8 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{SSM} \to ll$</td>
<td>6.1 TeV</td>
<td>6.1 TeV</td>
<td>6.5 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{ee} \to ee$</td>
<td>5.3 TeV</td>
<td>5.3 TeV</td>
<td>5.7 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{ee} \to \mu\mu$</td>
<td>4.9 TeV</td>
<td>4.6 TeV</td>
<td>5.2 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{ee} \to ll$</td>
<td>5.4 TeV</td>
<td>5.4 TeV</td>
<td>5.8 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2.2: Expected 95% C.L. lower limit on the $Z'$ mass in TeV in the dielectron and dimuon channels and their combination for two benchmark $Z'$ models for different centre of mass energies assuming 3 ab$^{-1}$ of data to be taken at the HL-LHC. In addition, the discovery reach for finding such new heavy particles is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>Exclusion [TeV]</th>
<th>Discovery [TeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{SSM} \to ee$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{ee} \to ee$</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2.3: Lower limits at 95% C.L. and discovery reach on the $Z'_{SSM}$ and $Z'_{ee}$ boson mass in the dielectron channel assuming 15 ab$^{-1}$ of pp data to be taken at the HE-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV.

6.2.6 $W' \to e\nu, \mu\nu$ or $tb, t \to b\ell\nu$ searches at HL-LHC

**Contributors:** M. Bugge, J. Donini, D. Hayden, G. Lee, K. Lin, M. Marjanovic, L. Vaslin, M. Wielers, ATLAS

Resonances decaying into a lepton and missing transverse momentum

The sensitivity to $W'$ resonances decaying into an electron or a muon and a neutrino is studied for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV pp collisions at the HL-LHC [668]. Such resonances would manifest themselves as an excess of events above the SM background at high transverse mass $m_T$. The SM background mainly arises from processes with at least one prompt final-state electron or muon, with the largest source being off-shell charged-current Drell-Yan (DY), leading to a final state with an electron or a muon and a neutrino. Other non-negligible contributions are from top-quark pair and single-top-quark production, neutral-current DY process, diboson production, and from events in which one final-state jet or photon satisfies the lepton selection criteria. This last component of the background, referred to in the following as the multijet background, receives contributions from multijet, heavy-flavour quarks and $\gamma +$ jet production; it is one of the smallest backgrounds in this analysis. It is evaluated in a data-driven way in the Run-2 analysis and cannot be yet reliably estimated from MC samples and is therefore not considered here. It was found to be negligible in the muon channel at $m_T > 3$ TeV in the Run-2 analysis based on 79.8 fb$^{-1}$ of pp collisions [679]. In the electron channel, the contribution constitutes around 10% of the total background at $m_T \approx 3$ TeV and mainly arises from jets misidentified as electrons.

The projection study relies on MC simulation with the SSM $W'$ signal generated using PYTHIA 8 in the same setup as for the SSM $Z'$ signal described in Section 6.2.5. This also includes off-shell production. The charged and neutral Drell-Yan background is also generated in the same way. Background from $tt$ events is produced with POWHEG-BOX and the NNPDL30NNLO PDF set interfaced with PYTHIA 6 using the A14 tune. Diboson events are generated with SHERPA [195] and the CT10 PDF set [680].

The event selection proceeds similarly to the Run-2 analysis described in Ref. [679]. Events are required to satisfy the single-electron or single-muon triggers. The single electron trigger selects events containing at least one electron with $p_T > 22$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, while the single muon trigger requires a muon with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$. Events are required to contain exactly one lepton which can be either an electron or a muon. Muons must have $p_T > 55$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$ as well as satisfy the high-$p_T$ identification criteria [7]. Electrons must have $p_T > 55$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.37$ or
1.52 < |\eta| < 2.47, as well as satisfy the tight identification criteria. These \( p_T \) thresholds are the same as in the Run-2 analysis and are motivated by the triggers which select events containing leptons with loose identification criteria and without isolation requirements. Though not applied in this analysis, such events will be needed for the data-driven background subtraction methods, as employed in Run-2, to work. The \( p_T \) thresholds for these “looser” triggers are not yet available and therefore in the following it is assumed that the thresholds will be similar to those used in Run-2. The magnitude of the missing transverse momentum (\( E_T^{\text{miss}} \)) must exceed 55 GeV (65 GeV) in the electron (muon) channel. Events in both channels are vetoed if they contain additional leptons satisfying loosened selection criteria, namely electrons with \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) satisfying the medium identification criteria or muons with \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) passing the loose muon selection.

The total acceptance times efficiency in the electron (muon) channel decreases from a value of \( \sim 85\% \) (70\%) at a \( W' \) mass of 1 TeV to \( \sim 65\% \) (60\%) for masses between 5 and 9 TeV. The resulting \( m_T \) distributions are shown in Fig. 6.2.12 for both the expected background and the \( W' \) signal with a mass of 6.5 TeV.

Systematic uncertainties arise from both experimental and theoretical sources. Since the uncertainties from the Run-2 analysis are found to increase as a function of \( m_T \) these are parametrised as a percentage of the \( m_T \) value expressed in units of TeV. The uncertainties are then scaled down to account for the increased statistical power at the HL-LHC according to recommendations in Ref. [7]. The experimental systematic uncertainties due to the reconstruction, identification, and isolation of muons result in a value of \( 2.5\% \times m_T \) [TeV], while these uncertainties are negligible for electrons. Systematic uncertainties due to the energy resolution and scale are set to \( 2.5\% \times m_T \) [TeV]. The main systematic uncertainties in the \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) calculation and on the jet energy scale are found to be negligible in Run-2 and are therefore not considered in this analysis. Theoretical uncertainties are related to the production cross sections estimated from MC simulation. The effects when propagated to the total background estimate are significant for charged and neutral current DY, and to some extent for top-quark production, but are negligible for diboson production. No theoretical uncertainties are considered for the \( W' \) boson signal in the statistical analysis. The largest uncertainties arise from the PDF uncertainty in the DY background. The uncertainties due to the choice of PDF set are taken to be \( 5\% \times m_{ll} \) [TeV] and the uncertainties in the parameters of the nominal PDF set are assumed to be \( 2.5\% \times m_{ll} \) [TeV]. The uncertainty in the multijet background in the electron channel is assumed to be \( 2.5\% \times m_T \) [TeV]. Overall these uncertainties in the background event yield add up to \( \sim 7\% \times m_T \) [TeV]. As the search looks for an excess in the high \( m_T \) tail, the sensitivity is primarily limited by the statistical uncertainties.
The statistical analysis relies on a Bayesian approach to set cross section times branching fraction upper limits and a profile likelihood approach to derive the discovery reach as for the $Z' \rightarrow \ell \ell$ search described above. The branching fraction corresponds to that for decays into a single lepton generation, assumed to be universal in the combination of the two channels. The 95% C.L. upper limit on $\sigma \times B$ as a function of $W'$ mass is shown in Fig. 6.2.13 for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ after combination of the electron and muon channels. The upper limits on $\sigma \times B$ for $W'$ bosons start to weaken above a pole mass of $\sim 5$ TeV, which is mainly caused by the combined effect of a rapidly falling signal cross section towards the kinematic limit and the increasing proportion of the signal being produced off-shell in the low-$m_T$ tail of the signal distribution. The $W'$ bosons in the SSM can be excluded up to masses of $7.6$ (7.3) TeV in the electron (muon) channel. These limits are based on a NNLO cross-section calculation including off-shell production for the signal. The limits in the electron channel are stronger due to the superior energy resolution of the calorimeter for high-momentum electrons as compared to that of the muon spectrometer for high-momentum muons. The combination of the two channels increases the limits to just over 7.9 TeV. This is an improvement of more than 2 TeV with respect to the current exclusion limits using 79.8 fb$^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data. For comparison, assuming the performance of the upgraded ATLAS detector and a luminosity of 300 fb$^{-1}$, $W'$ masses up to 6.7 TeV can be excluded for the combined electron and muon channels. Though the detector resolutions for the upgraded detector at the HL-LHC are applied, this is a good approximation of the reach with the current detector at the end of LHC Run-3.

The discovery reach is based on a 5$\sigma$ significance. In the context of the SSM, $W'$ bosons can be discovered up to masses of 7.7 TeV. The discovery reach is shown in Table 6.2.4 together with the exclusion limits discussed above. As can be seen, the discovery reach typically is only few hundred GeV lower than the mass limits obtained with a background-only hypothesis. The similarity of the values for the discovery reach and the exclusion limit is expected, as in the high-$m_T$ tail the background contribution approaches zero, while the number of signal events is about three. The expected reach with 300 fb$^{-1}$ of data will be 1.2 TeV lower assuming the same detector performance.
Table 6.2.4: Expected 95% C.L. lower limit on the $W'$ mass in the electron and muon channels as well as their combination in the context of the SSM assuming 3 ab$^{-1}$ of data. In addition, the discovery reach for finding such new heavy particles is shown. These limits are based on a NNLO cross-section calculation including off-shell production ($pp \to W'/W'^* \to \ell\nu$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>Exclusion [TeV]</th>
<th>Discovery [TeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W^\prime_{SSM} \to e\nu$</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^\prime_{SSM} \to \mu\nu$</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^\prime_{SSM} \to \ell\nu$</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resonances decaying into a top quark and a bottom quark

The search for $W'$ bosons in the lepton plus neutrino channel is sensitive to large mass scales but it is not sensitive to right-handed $W'$ bosons. This can be alleviated by searching for $W_R \to t\bar{b}$ decays with subsequent decays $t \to Wb$ and $W \to \ell\nu$. The final-state signature consists of two $b$-quarks, one charged lepton (electron or muon) and $E_T^{miss}$ from the escaping neutrino.

Events are required to pass one of the single-lepton triggers: at least one electron with $p_T > 22$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ or at least one muon with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$. Electrons must satisfy the tight identification requirements [681] requirements and have $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$ but outside the barrel–endcap transition region, $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$. Similarly, muon candidates must meet the tighter identification criteria [682] and have $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.65$.

The projection study relies on MC simulation for the $W'$ signal based on MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO with the NNPDF23LO PDF set interfaced to PYTHIA 8 and the A14 tune for the parton shower, hadronisation, and the underlying event. Background for the various top-quark production mechanisms is generated by POWHEG-BOX. In the case of the dominant $tt$ background, events are produced with the CTEQ6L1 PDF set and interfaced to PYTHIA 6 using the PERUGIA2012 tune [683]. $W$+jets events are produced with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO with the NNPDF23NLO PDF set interfaced to PYTHIA 8 and the A14 tune, whereas $Z$+jets events are produced with POWHEG-BOX and the CT10 PDF set interfaced to PYTHIA8 and the AU2 tune [684]. Diboson events are generated as for the $W'$ → $\ell\nu$ search above.

The dominant background processes are the production of $tt$ pairs and $W$+jets. Smaller contributions are also expected from single top quarks ($t$-channel, $Wt$ and $s$-channel, $Z$+jets and diboson ($WW$, $WZ$, and $ZZ$) production. All background processes are modelled with MC simulation. Instrumental background coming from misidentified electrons, referred to as the multijet background, is also present but it is very small and further suppressed by applying dedicated selection criteria, and it is neglected in the following. Events are required to satisfy $E_T^{miss} > 80$ (30) GeV in the electron (muon) channel as well as $m_T W > E_T^{miss} > 100$ GeV.

The $W'$ candidates are built from $W$ boson and top-quark candidates. The $W$ bosons are reconstructed from the lepton–$E_T^{miss}$ system with the longitudinal momentum component of the neutrino from the $W$ decay extracted by imposing a $W$-boson mass constraint. This $W$ boson candidate is then combined with all selected jets in the event to reconstruct a top-quark candidate as the $W$+jet combination that has a mass closest to the top-quark mass. The jet used to form the top-quark candidate is referred to as “$b_{top}$”. Finally, the candidate $W'$ boson is reconstructed by combining the top-quark candidate with the highest-$p_T$ remaining jet (referred to as “$b_1$”). The invariant mass of the reconstructed $W' \to t\bar{b}$ system ($m_{t\bar{b}}$) is the discriminating variable of this search. An event selection common to all signal regions is defined as: lepton $p_T > 50$ GeV, $p_T(b_1) > 200$ GeV, and $p_T(top) > 200$ GeV. As the signal events are expected to be boosted, the angular separation between the lepton and $b_{top}$ is required to satisfy $\Delta R(\ell, b_{top}) < 1.0$.

The phase space is divided into eight signal regions (SR) defined by the number of jets and $b$-tagged jets, and are labelled as “X-jet Y-tag” where $X = 2, 3$ and $Y = 1, 2$, that are further sep-
Fig. 6.2.14: Post-fit distributions of the reconstructed mass of the $W_R'$ boson candidate in the 2-jet 2-tag signal region for the electron (left) and muon (right) channels. An expected signal contribution corresponding to a $W_R'$ boson mass of 3 TeV is shown. Uncertainty bands include all systematic uncertainties.

Separated into electron and muon channels. The signal selection acceptance times efficiency rises from $\sim 4.4\% (7.7\%)$ at a $W_R'$ mass of 1 TeV to 4.6\% (11.0\%) at 2 TeV, then decreasing to 2.6\% (8.2\%) at 5 TeV in the electron (muon) channel. This decrease is due to the $b$-tagging performance and the higher boost at higher mass. The muon channel outperforms the electron channel due to overlap removal at a higher boost at higher mass. The muon channel outperforms the electron channel due to overlap removal requirements, as they are relaxed by using a variable $\Delta R$ cone size. The variable $\Delta R$ cone size is not used for electrons because of the possible double counting of the energies of electron and jet.

Systematic uncertainties are evaluated following the analysis of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV $pp$ data in Ref. [685] and then scaled according to the recommendations in Ref. [7]. The uncertainty in the luminosity (1\%) and in the theory cross sections (5\% for diboson, 10\% for $Z+jets$, and 3\% for single top) are included in the expected limits and significance calculation. The $b$-tagging and the modelling uncertainties (which are the dominant uncertainties in the shape of the discriminating variable from the previous analysis) are also included.

The presence of a massive resonance is tested by simultaneously fitting the $m_{tb}$ templates of the signal and background simulated event samples using a binned maximum–likelihood approach (ML). Each signal region is treated as an independent search channel with correlated systematic uncertainties.

The normalisations of the $t\bar{t}$ and $W+jets$ backgrounds were found to be different than those in the analysis of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$, therefore they are free parameters in the fit. They are constrained by Asimov dataset to one by construction. The other background normalisations are assigned Gaussian priors based on their respective normalisation uncertainties. The signal normalisation is a free parameter in the fit.

As an example, the $m_{tb}$ distributions for two of the eight signal regions after the ML fit are shown in Fig. 6.2.14 for the expected background and signal contribution corresponding to a $W_R'$ boson with a mass of 3 TeV. The binning of the $m_{tb}$ distribution is chosen to optimise the search sensitivity while minimising statistical fluctuations.

The limits are evaluated assuming the modified frequentist CL$_s$ method [95] with a profile-likelihood-ratio test statistic [257] and using the asymptotic approximation. The 95\% C.L. upper limits on the production cross section multiplied by the branching fraction for $W_R' \rightarrow tb$ are shown in Fig. 6.2.15 as a function of the resonance mass for 3 ab$^{-1}$. The expected exclusion limits range between 0.02 pb and $6 \times 10^{-3}$ pb for $W_R'$ boson masses from 1 TeV to 7 TeV. The existence of $W_R'$ bosons with masses below 4.9 TeV is expected to be excluded, assuming that the $W_R'$ coupling $g'$ is equal to the SM weak coupling constant $g$. This would increase the limit obtained with 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ [685] by 1.8 TeV.
Fig. 6.2.15: Upper limits at the 95% C.L. on the $W'_R$ production cross section times branching fraction as a function of resonance mass. The dashed curve and shaded bands correspond to the limit expected in the absence of signal and the regions enclosing one/two standard deviation (s.d.) fluctuations of the expected limit. The theory prediction is also shown.

The expected discovery significance is calculated using the profile likelihood test statistic for different mass hypotheses for a luminosity of $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ with the asymptotic approximation. Based on $5\sigma$ significance, it is found that $W'_R$ with masses up to $4.3 \text{ TeV}$ can be discovered at the HL-LHC.

6.2.7 Searches for $W' \rightarrow \tau + E_T^{\text{miss}}$

Contributors: K. Hoepfner, C. Schuler, CMS

New $W'$ heavy gauge bosons might decay as $W' \rightarrow \tau \nu$. This yields to final states characterised by a single hadronically decaying tau ($\tau_h$) as the only detectable object, and missing energy due to the neutrinos. Hadronically decaying tau leptons are selected since the corresponding branching fraction, about 60%, is the largest among all $\tau$ decays. Tau-jets are experimentally distinctive because of their low charged hadron multiplicity, unlike QCD multi-jets, which have high charged hadron multiplicity, or other leptonic $W'$ boson decays, which yield no jet. This Phase-2 study [686] follows closely the recently published Run-2 result [687], using hadronically decaying tau leptons.

The signature of a $W'$ boson (see Fig. 6.2.16), is considered similar to a high-mass W boson. It could be observed in the distribution of the transverse mass ($M_T$) of the transverse momentum of the $\tau$ ($p_T^\tau$) and the missing transverse momentum: $M_T = \sqrt{2p_T^\tau E_T^{\text{miss}}(1 - \cos \Delta \phi(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}))}$. Unlike the leptonic search channels, the signal shape of $W'$ bosons with hadronically decaying tau leptons does not show a Jacobian peak structure because of the presence of two neutrinos in the final state. Despite the multi-particle final state, the decay appears as a typical two-body decay; the axis of the hadronic tau jet is back to back with $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and the magnitude of both is comparable such that their ratio is about unity.

The results are interpreted in the context of the sequential standard model in terms of $W'$ mass and coupling strength. A model-independent cross section limit allows interpretations in other models. The signal is simulated at LO and the detector performance simulated with DELPHES. The $W'$ boson coupling strength, $g_{W'}$, is given in terms of the SM weak coupling strength $g_W = e/\sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.65$. Here, $\theta_W$ is the weak mixing angle. If the $W'$ boson is a heavier copy of the SM W boson, their coupling ratio is $g_{W'}/g_W = 1$ and the SSM $W'$ boson theoretical cross sections, signal shapes, and widths apply. However, different couplings are possible. Because of the dependence of the width of a particle on its couplings the consequent effect on the transverse mass distribution, a limit can also be set on the coupling strength.
Fig. 6.2.16: Left: Illustration of the studied channel $W' \rightarrow \tau\nu$ with the subsequent hadronic decay of the tau ($\tau_h$). Right: The discriminating variable, $M_T$, after all selection criteria for the HL-LHC conditions of 3 ab$^{-1}$ and 200 PU. The relevant SM backgrounds are shown according to the labels in the legend. Signal examples for $W'$ boson masses of 4 TeV and 6 TeV are scaled to their SSM LO cross section and 3 ab$^{-1}$.

The dominant background appears in the high mass tail of the $M_T$ distribution of SM $W$ boson events. Subleading background contributions arise from $t\bar{t}$ and QCD multijet events. These backgrounds primarily arise as a consequence of jets misidentified as $\tau_h$ candidates and populate the lower transverse masses while the signal exhibits an excess of events at high $M_T$. Events with one hadronically decaying $\tau$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ are selected if the ratio of $p_T^{\tau}$ to $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ satisfies $0.7 < p_T^{\tau}/E_T^{\text{miss}} < 1.3$ and the angle $\Delta\phi(p_T^{\tau}, E_T^{\text{miss}})$ is greater than 2.4 radians.

The physics sensitivity is studied based on the $M_T$ distribution in Fig. 6.2.16 (right). Signal events are expected to be particularly prominent at the upper end of the $M_T$ distribution, where the expected SM background is low. So far, there are no indications for the existence of a SSM $W'$ boson [687]. With the high luminosity during Phase-2, the $W'$ mass reach for potential observation increases to 6.9 TeV and 6.4 TeV for 3$\sigma$ evidence and 5$\sigma$ discovery, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6.2.17 (left). Alternatively, in case of no observation, one can exclude SSM $W'$ boson masses up to 7.0 TeV with 3 ab$^{-1}$. These are multi-bin limits taking into account the full $M_T$ shape.

While the SSM model assumes SM-like couplings of the fermions, the couplings could well be weaker if further decays occur. The HL-LHC has good sensitivity to study these couplings. The sensitivity to weaker couplings extends significantly. A model-independent cross section limit for new physics with $\tau+E_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the final state is depicted in Fig. 6.2.17 (right), calculated as a single-bin limit by counting the number of events above a sliding threshold $M_T^{\text{min}}$.

6.2.8 HL- and HE-LHC sensitivity to 2HDMs with $U(1)_X$ Gauge Symmetries

Contributors: D. A. Camargo, L. Delle Rose, S. Moretti, F. S. Queiroz

Extended Higgs sectors belonging to various BSM scenarios offer the possibility to solve some of the open problems of the SM. Such frameworks with extended Higgs sectors have recently come together with new $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetries, offering a natural solutions to the DM and the neutrino mass problems. These scenarios [688–693] predict a rich new phenomenology due to the presence of a massive $Z'$ gauge boson arising after the $U(1)_X$ spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Our goal is to explore the potential of the HL- and HE-LHC to study such a scenario. To do so, we fist use the latest available dilepton data from the LHC [442,694] to constrain the mass and couplings of such $Z'$ gauge bosons and, consequently, the viable parameter space of the underlying model. Then we use this result to asses the capabilities of the HL- and HE-LHC to test the existence of such heavy
neutral vector bosons. An extended version of this contribution can be found in Ref. [695].

The relevant part of the Lagrangian of the model we consider is

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC} \supset -\frac{g}{2} J_{NC}^\mu \cos \xi \, Z_\mu - \frac{g}{2} J_{NC}^\mu \sin \xi \, Z'_\mu + \frac{1}{2} g_X \sin \xi \left[ (Q_X^R + Q_X^L) \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^\mu \psi_f + \left( Q_X^R - Q_X^L \right) \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi_f \right] Z_\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2.1)

$$-\frac{1}{2} g_X \cos \xi \left[ (Q_X^R + Q_X^L) \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^\mu \psi_f - \left( Q_X^R - Q_X^L \right) \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi_f \right] Z'_\mu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2.3)

where $\xi$ represents the $Z - Z'$ mixing parameter, $g_X$ the gauge coupling of the new abelian symmetry while $Q_X^L (Q_X^R)$ are the left(right)-handed fermion charges under $U(1)_X$ defined according to Ref. [695]. This interaction Lagrangian represents the key information for the collider phenomenology we are going to tackle, because it dictates $Z'$ production rates at the LHC as well as its most prominent decays to be searched for.

Present LHC bounds are obtained here by simulating at 13 TeV of c.o.m. energy the process

$$pp \rightarrow t^+ t^- + X,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2.4)

where $l = e, \mu$, leading to dilepton signals, and $X$ represents the surrounding hadronic activity. (The dijet signal case was studied in Ref. [695] and found to be less sensitive.) Since this channel is mediated by a heavy $Z'$, alongside $\gamma$ and $Z$, a peak around the $Z'$ mass would appear at large values of the invariant mass of the dilepton final state. We describe our results adopting both the Narrow Width Approximation (NWA) and also introducing Finite Width (FW) effects. In this respect, we have implemented in FeynRules [696] the models shown in Ref. [695], where the corresponding $U(1)_X$ charge assignment is explicitly shown, and we have simulated the partonic events with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [697]. For hadronisation and detector effects we used PYTHIA 8 [50] and DELPHES [33], respectively.

Assuming, for the sake of definiteness, the NWA with $g_X = 0.1, 0.2$ and $0.3$, we project the current experimental limits to the HL- and HE-LHC. In order to extrapolate the current bound to a new collider configuration, one would need to scale the relevant backgrounds and find the new point giving the same number of background events, which, assuming same efficiencies and acceptances, would lead to the same excluded signal cross section, as outlined in Ref. [698]. However, in the case of the dilepton final state, the signal and the background scale equally with energy/luminosity, so that one can employ a simpler strategy, based on a direct rescaling of the bound on the number of signal events. Therefore, in order to find the future sensitivities we just solve an equation for $M_{new}$ (i.e., the new limit on $m_{Z'}$).
 knowingly the current bound \( M \), as follows:

\[
\frac{N_{\text{signal events}}(M_{\text{new}}, E_{\text{new}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{new}})}{N_{\text{signal events}}(M^2, 13 \text{ TeV}, 36 \text{ fb}^{-1})} = 1,
\]

with obvious meaning of the subscripts.

The results from this iteration are summarised in Tables 6.2.5, 6.2.6 and 6.2.7. The lower mass bounds found presently compared to the expected ones at the HL-LHC and/or HE-LHC clearly show how important is any LHC upgrade to test new physics models including a \( Z' \). For some models and benchmark points, such as, e.g., \( U(1)_A \) with \( g_X = 0.1 \), the HE-LHC will potentially probe \( Z' \) masses up to 7 TeV, while for others, such as, e.g., \( U(1)_F \) with \( g_X = 0.3 \), it will potentially exclude masses up to 12 TeV. In short, both LHC upgrades can generally extend the current reach in \( m_{Z'} \) by a factor 2 to 3. The results are summarised in the tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>13 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>14 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 15 ab(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_A )</td>
<td>3.07 TeV</td>
<td>4.3 TeV</td>
<td>5.02 TeV</td>
<td>7.05 TeV</td>
<td>8.51 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_B )</td>
<td>3.07 TeV</td>
<td>4.3 TeV</td>
<td>5.02 TeV</td>
<td>7.05 TeV</td>
<td>8.51 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_C )</td>
<td>2.37 TeV</td>
<td>3.52 TeV</td>
<td>3.73 TeV</td>
<td>5.54 TeV</td>
<td>6.96 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_D )</td>
<td>4.45 TeV</td>
<td>5.81 TeV</td>
<td>7.76 TeV</td>
<td>9.89 TeV</td>
<td>11.34 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_E )</td>
<td>3.18 TeV</td>
<td>4.45 TeV</td>
<td>5.24 TeV</td>
<td>7.27 TeV</td>
<td>8.75 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_F )</td>
<td>4.55 TeV</td>
<td>5.91 TeV</td>
<td>7.97 TeV</td>
<td>10.09 TeV</td>
<td>11.54 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_G )</td>
<td>1.73 TeV</td>
<td>2.73 TeV</td>
<td>2.62 TeV</td>
<td>4.16 TeV</td>
<td>5.45 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_{B-L} )</td>
<td>2.84 TeV</td>
<td>4.07 TeV</td>
<td>4.60 TeV</td>
<td>6.55 TeV</td>
<td>8.02 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2.5: HL-LHC and HE-LHC projected sensitivities for all \( U(1)_X \) models studied in this work using dilepton data at 13 TeV, 14 TeV and 27 TeV of CM energy and for \( \mathcal{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \) and 15 ab\(^{-1}\). Here, \( g_X = 0.1 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>13 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>14 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 15 ab(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_A )</td>
<td>4.14 TeV</td>
<td>5.49 TeV</td>
<td>7.14 TeV</td>
<td>9.26 TeV</td>
<td>10.73 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_B )</td>
<td>4.14 TeV</td>
<td>5.49 TeV</td>
<td>7.17 TeV</td>
<td>9.26 TeV</td>
<td>10.73 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_C )</td>
<td>3.62 TeV</td>
<td>4.93 TeV</td>
<td>6.09 TeV</td>
<td>8.18 TeV</td>
<td>9.66 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_D )</td>
<td>5 TeV</td>
<td>6.43 TeV</td>
<td>9 TeV</td>
<td>11.1 TeV</td>
<td>12.52 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_E )</td>
<td>5 TeV</td>
<td>6.43 TeV</td>
<td>9 TeV</td>
<td>11.1 TeV</td>
<td>12.52 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_F )</td>
<td>5.53 TeV</td>
<td>6.94 TeV</td>
<td>10.02 TeV</td>
<td>12.09 TeV</td>
<td>13.511 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_G )</td>
<td>2.37 TeV</td>
<td>3.52 TeV</td>
<td>3.73 TeV</td>
<td>5.54 TeV</td>
<td>6.96 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_{B-L} )</td>
<td>3.83 TeV</td>
<td>5.16 TeV</td>
<td>6.5 TeV</td>
<td>8.62 TeV</td>
<td>10.10 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2.6: HL-LHC and HE-LHC projected sensitivities for all \( U(1)_X \) models studied in this work using dilepton data at 13 TeV, 14 TeV and 27 TeV of CM energy and for \( \mathcal{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \) and 15 ab\(^{-1}\). Here, \( g_X = 0.2 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>13 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>14 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 300 fb(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 3 ab(^{-1})</th>
<th>27 TeV, 15 ab(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_A )</td>
<td>4.75 TeV</td>
<td>6.12 TeV</td>
<td>8.38 TeV</td>
<td>10.5 TeV</td>
<td>11.94 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_B )</td>
<td>4.75 TeV</td>
<td>6.12 TeV</td>
<td>8.38 TeV</td>
<td>10.5 TeV</td>
<td>11.94 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_C )</td>
<td>4 TeV</td>
<td>5.38 TeV</td>
<td>6.93 TeV</td>
<td>9.05 TeV</td>
<td>10.52 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_D )</td>
<td>5.72 TeV</td>
<td>7.14 TeV</td>
<td>10.4 TeV</td>
<td>12.48 TeV</td>
<td>13.90 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_E )</td>
<td>5.14 TeV</td>
<td>6.53 TeV</td>
<td>9.2 TeV</td>
<td>11.3 TeV</td>
<td>12.72 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_F )</td>
<td>5.91 TeV</td>
<td>7.34 TeV</td>
<td>10.84 TeV</td>
<td>12.87 TeV</td>
<td>14.28 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_G )</td>
<td>4 TeV</td>
<td>5.38 TeV</td>
<td>6.93 TeV</td>
<td>9.05 TeV</td>
<td>10.52 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U(1)_{B-L} )</td>
<td>4.35 TeV</td>
<td>5.70 TeV</td>
<td>7.55 TeV</td>
<td>9.68 TeV</td>
<td>11.14 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2.7: HL-LHC and HE-LHC projected sensitivities for all \( U(1)_X \) models studied in this work using dilepton data at 13 TeV, 14 TeV and 27 TeV of CM energy and for \( \mathcal{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = 3 \) and 15 ab\(^{-1}\). Here, \( g_X = 0.3 \).
6.2.9 $Z'$ discrimination at HE-LHC in case of an evidence/discovery after the HL-LHC

**Contributors:** C. Helsens, D. Jamin, M. L. Mangano, T. Rizzo, M. Selvaggi

**Context of the study and HL-LHC bounds**

It is still legitimate to assume that a heavy resonance could be seen at the end of HL-LHC. If that is the case a new collider with higher energy in the c.o.m. is needed to study its properties as too few events will be available at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. In this section we present the discrimination potential between six $Z'$ models of a HE-LHC with an assumed c.o.m. energy of 27 TeV and an integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 15\,\text{ab}^{-1}$. Under the assumption that these $Z'$s decay only to SM particles, we show that there are sufficient observables to perform this model differentiation in most cases.

As a starting point it is needed to estimate what are, for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, the typical exclusion/discovery reaches for standard reference $Z'$ models assuming $\mathcal{L} = 3\,\text{ab}^{-1}$ employing only the $e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels. The production cross section times leptonic branching fraction is shown in Fig. 6.2.18 (left) for these models at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV in the narrow width approximation (NWA). It has been and will be assumed here that these $Z'$ states only decay to SM particles.

Studies presented in this report on prospects for searches of $Z'$ by ATLAS (see Section 6.2.5) shows that discovery and exclusion reaches are between 5 and 6.5 TeV in $M_{Z'}$ depending on the model assumption. Based on these results, we will assume in our study below that we are dealing with a $Z'$ of mass 6 TeV. Figure 6.2.18 (right) shows the NWA cross sections for the same set of models but now at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 15\,\text{ab}^{-1}$. We note that very large statistical samples will be available for the case of $M_{Z'} = 6$ TeV for each dilepton channel.

**Definition of the discriminating variables**

The various $Z'$ models can be disentangled with the help of 3 inclusive observables: the production cross section times lepton branching fraction $\sigma B_l$, the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}$ and the rapidity ratio $r_y$. The variable $A_{FB}$ can be seen as an estimate of the charge asymmetry

$$A_{FB} = A_C = \frac{\sigma(\Delta|y| > 0) - \sigma(\Delta|y| < 0)}{\sigma(\Delta|y| > 0) + \sigma(\Delta|y| < 0)},$$

where $\Delta|y| = |y_l| - |y_\bar{l}|$. It has been checked that this definition is equivalent to defining

$$A_{FB} = \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B},$$

Fig. 6.2.18: Left: $\sigma B_l$ in the NWA for the $Z'$ production at the $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV LHC as functions of the $Z'$ mass: SSM(red), LRM (blue), $\psi$(green), $\chi$(magenta), $\eta$(cyan), I(yellow). Right: $\sigma B_l$ of $Z'$ in models described in (left) at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV.
with \( \sigma_F = \sigma(\cos\theta_{cs}^\ast) > 0 \) and \( \sigma_B = \sigma(\cos\theta_{cs}^\ast) < 0 \) where \( \theta_{cs}^\ast \) is the Collins-Soper frame angle. The variable \( r_y \) is defined as the ratio of central over forward events:

\[
    r_y = \frac{\sigma(|y_{Z'}| < y_1)}{\sigma(y_1 < |y_{Z'}| < y_2)},
\]

where \( y_1 = 0.5 \) and \( y_2 = 2.5 \).

**Model discrimination**

The model discrimination presented in this section has been performed assuming the HE-LHC detector parametrisation [699] in DELPHES [33]. In such a detector, muons at \( \eta \approx 0 \) are assumed to be reconstructed with a resolution \( \sigma(p)/p \approx 7\% \) for \( p_T = 3 \) TeV.

**Leptonic final states**  

The potential for discriminating various \( Z' \) models is first investigated using the leptonic \( ee \) and \( \mu\mu \) final states only. The signal samples for the 6 models and the Drell-Yan backgrounds have been generated with PYTHIA 8.230 [68] including the interference between the signal and background. The \( Z' \) decays assume lepton flavour universality. For a description of the event selection and a discussion of the discovery potential in leptonic final states for the list of \( Z' \) models being discussed here, the reader should refer to Section 6.2.4. We simply point out here that with \( \mathcal{L} = 15 \) ab\(^{-1} \), all \( Z' \) models with \( m_{Z'} \lesssim 10 \) TeV can be excluded at \( \sqrt{s} = 27 \) TeV.

Figure 6.2.19 (left) shows the correlated predictions for the \( A_{FB} \) and the rapidity ratio \( r_y \) observables defined previously for these six models given the above assumptions. Although the interference with the SM background was included in the simulation, its effect is unimportant due to the narrowness of the mass window around the resonance that was employed. Furthermore, the influence of the background uncertainty on the results has been found to have little to no impact on the model discrimination potential. Therefore the displayed errors on \( A_{FB} \) and \( r_y \) are of statistical origin only. The results show that apart from a possible near degeneracy in models \( \psi \) and \( \eta \), a reasonable \( Z' \) model separation can indeed be achieved.

Using a profile likelihood technique, the signal strength \( \mu \), or equivalently, \( \sigma B_t \), can be fitted together with its corresponding error using the the di-lepton invariant mass shape. The quantity \( \sigma B_t \) and its total estimated uncertainty is shown in Fig. 6.2.19 (centre) as a function of the integrated luminosity. The \( \sigma B_t \) measurement seems to be able to resolve the degeneracy between the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) models with \( \mathcal{L} = 15 \) ab\(^{-1} \). It should be noted however that since the cross-section can easily be modified by an overall rescaling of the couplings, further handles will be needed for a convincing discrimination.

**Hadronic final states**  

Model discrimination can be improved by including an analysis involving three \( Z' \) addition hadronic final states: \( t\bar{t}, b\bar{b} \) and \( q\bar{q} \), where \( q = u, d, c, s \). The sample production and event selection for the \( t\bar{t}, q\bar{q} \) final states will be described to some extent in Section 6.4.6. We simply remind the reader that the analysis involves requiring the presence of two central high \( p_T \) jets. In order to ensure complete orthogonality between the various final states, jets are required to be tagged as follows. In the \( Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t} \) analysis both jets should be top-tagged. For the \( Z' \rightarrow b\bar{b} \) final state both jets are required to be b-tagged and we veto events containing at least one top-tagged jet. Finally, in the \( Z' \rightarrow q\bar{q} \) analysis, we veto events that contain at least one b-tagged or top-tagged jet.

Figure 6.2.19 (right) summarises the discrimination potential in terms of fitted cross-section of the different models considering the three aforementioned hadronic decays, \( t\bar{t}, b\bar{b} \) and \( q\bar{q} \). An good overall discrimination among the various models can be achieved using all possible final states. For example, the SSM and \( \psi \) models, which have very close predictions for \( r_y \) and \( A_{FB} \), have measurably different fractions of \( t\bar{t} \) or \( b\bar{b} \) final states. We note however that the degeneracy between \( \eta \) and \( \psi \) can only be partially resolved resolved at \( \approx 1\sigma \) by exploiting the difference in \( t\bar{t} \) yield.
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Fig. 6.2.19: Left: scatter plot of $r_y$ versus $A_{FB}$ with 200 GeV and mass window. The full interference is included. Centre: Fitted signal cross-section together with its corresponding error versus integrated luminosity. Right: fitted cross-section of the three hadronic analyses. Statistical and full uncertainties are shown on each point.

Fig. 6.3.1: Feynman diagram of the production of excited leptons in $\ell\ell\gamma$ final states.

In summary, in this section we studied the discrimination potential of six $Z'$ models at HE-LHC with an assumed c.o.m. energy of 27 TeV and an integrated luminosity of $L = 15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$. The exercise has been performed assuming the evidence of an excess observed at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ at a mass $m_{Z'} \approx 6 \text{ TeV}$. Overall it was found that it is possible to distinguish among most models. Finally, it should be noted that further studies, perhaps employing 3-body decay modes or associated $Z'$ production will be clearly needed to be pursued in case of discovery to further characterise the resonance properties.

6.3 Spin 1/2 resonances

In this section, prospect studies for spin-1/2 resonances are presented, targeting excited leptons and heavy vector-like quarks. Resonances coupled to leptons and quarks or gauge bosons and quarks are considered.

6.3.1 Search for excited leptons at HL-LHC

Contributors: S. Ha, B. Kim, M. S. Kim, K. Nam, S. W. Lee, H. D. Yoo, CMS

A search for excited leptons (electrons and muons) is studied at the HL-LHC with the upgraded CMS detector using simulation [700]. Excited leptons are predicted by many BSM theories where quarks and leptons are not elementary but instead are themselves composite objects. The HL-LHC environment (a c.o.m. energy of 14 TeV and an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$) allows to extend the discovery potential of excited leptons. This analysis presents a search for excited leptons ($\ell^* = e^*, \mu^*$) in $\ell\ell\gamma$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) final states where the excited lepton decays to a SM lepton and a photon ($\ell^* \rightarrow \ell\gamma$). An illustration of the production decay mode is shown in Fig. 6.3.1.
In this search, a clear signature of an opposite-sign same-flavour lepton pair and a photon allows highly efficient signal selection to assess the CMS upgrade physics reach. However, an ambiguity between the lepton from the excited lepton decay and the lepton from the contact interaction makes it challenging to identify the reconstructed mass of the excited lepton due to two possible pairings of a lepton and the photon. For this search, information from both invariant mass combinations is used to discriminate the excited lepton signal from SM background processes. We consider a benchmark model based on the formalism described in Ref. [394].

The signal samples are generated with PYTHIA 8.205 [92] at $\Lambda = 10$ TeV for $\ell^* \ell^*$ masses ranging from 3.5 TeV to 6.5 TeV in steps of 250 GeV, where $\Lambda$ is the compositeness scale. The simulated signal samples are generated at leading order (LO) in perturbative quantum chromodynamics. The main background is the SM $Z\gamma$ process, which is generated at NLO using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [67,697]. The generated signal and background samples are interfaced to delphes [33], which features a parametric simulation of the CMS Phase 2 detector at the particle level.

We select events having two isolated electrons or muons and a photon with requirements as follows. Electron and photon candidates are required to have pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$ and transverse momentum $p_T > 35$ GeV, and they are excluded in the electromagnetic calorimeter barrel-endcap transition region ($1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$). Muon candidates should be isolated with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_T > 35$ GeV. The leptons are required to have opposite charge and the selected electrons and muons must be separated from the photon by $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2 } > 0.7$. In addition, the invariant mass of the two same flavour leptons $m_{\ell\ell}$ is required to be larger than 116 GeV in order to suppress the dominant background contribution from real Z boson production ($Z$ resonance veto criteria).

The main SM background after the event selection is Drell-Yan production associated with a photon ($Z\gamma$), which has the same signature as the final state of the signal, when the Z boson decays into two leptons. This background is significantly suppressed by the Z boson veto requirement. Contributions of other SM processes like diboson and top quark pair production in association with a photon ($t\bar{t}+\gamma$) are relatively small, in particular in the signal search region of excited lepton masses above 2 TeV. Simulated $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ events are studied in this analysis, however the background events are imperfectly estimated due to the insufficient sample size. Hence, we only consider the dominant $Z\gamma$ background in this search, and additional background contributions are considered as systematic uncertainties on the total background estimate. The photon misidentification rate under the HL-LHC conditions is studied in Ref. [701] using PHASE-2 DELPHES samples. The photon misidentification rate is expected to be about 1% when the photon $p_T$ is on the order of 100 GeV, which is compatible with the 2016 result. In the previous CMS Run-2 $\ell^\ast$ search [702], we observed 20% and 5% contribution from $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ and misidentified photon
Fig. 6.3.3: Exclusion limits for excited electrons (left) and muons (right) on the product of cross section and branching fraction.

backgrounds, respectively, at $M_{\ell^*} > 1$ TeV; therefore a 25% systematic uncertainty is assigned for the missing background contributions.

To distinguish between signal and background events, a two-dimensional distribution of the two invariant masses $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ and $M_{\ell\gamma}$ is used. A search window is set in the two-dimensional distribution of $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ versus $M_{\ell\gamma}$. For $\ell^*$ events, either $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ or $M_{\ell\gamma}$ corresponds to the reconstructed invariant mass of $\ell^*$. Therefore, the mass resonance of the signal is concentrated in an “L” shape [703, 704]. On the other hand, background events have no such correlation in $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ and $M_{\ell\gamma}$ and are scattered around at low masses below about 2 TeV. This distinction between signal and background events in the distribution of $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ versus $M_{\ell\gamma}$ is used to set the search window. We set the lower $M_{\ell^*\gamma}$ bound at 2 TeV in the two-dimensional distribution as the search window in order to maximise the signal yields. The reason why the L-shaped search window is not applied in this analysis is due to the insufficient MC statistics. Therefore the results of the limits presented here are likely to be improved upon with an actual search. The product of signal acceptance and efficiency ($A \times \varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$) is obtained using the simulated DELPHES signal samples and the results are 58% ($\mu^*$) and 45% ($e^*$) with negligible $M_{\ell^*}$ dependence.

Systematic uncertainties for the performance of the lepton (0.5%) and photon (2.0%) reconstruction and identification, and the integrated luminosity (1.0%) follow the recommendation for upgrade analyses [705]. The theoretical systematic uncertainty is reduced by a factor of 1/2 with respect to the 2016 result. The statistical uncertainty in the entire signal region is dominant in this analysis. The missing background contribution is considered to be the main systematic uncertainty in the background estimation.

The upper limit of the excited electrons and muons is determined under the the HL-LHC scenario, based on an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$. We set 95% C.L. upper limits on the production cross sections, which are computed with the modified frequentist CL$_{s}$ method [94, 95], with a likelihood ratio used as a test statistic. The systematic uncertainties are treated as nuisance parameters with log-normal priors.

The discovery potential as a function of excited lepton mass shown in Fig. 6.3.2 indicates that 3$\sigma$ evidence (5$\sigma$ discovery) is possible for both excited electrons and excited muons with masses up to 5.5 (5.1) TeV. Figure 6.3.3 shows the expected upper limits for $e^*$ (left) and $\mu^*$ (right). The expected exclusion of the excited leptons is $M_{\ell^*} < 5.8$ TeV for both $e^*$ and $\mu^*$ in the case where $M_{\ell^*} = \Lambda$. While the electron channel has a lower signal yield than the muon channel, it also has lower background, and the net result is that the excluded cross sections differ only by about 10%, producing a similar exclusion limit on the excited lepton mass.
Vector Like Quarks (VLQs) are hypothetical heavy quarks whose left- and right-handed chiral components transform under the same representation of the SM gauge group. In minimal extensions of the SM, VLQs couple to SM quarks via Yukawa-type interactions and gauge invariant renormalisable operators can be written only for the singlet, doublet, and triplet representations of $SU(2)$ \cite{706}. It can be shown that the couplings of the VLQs with the SM bosons and quarks always have a dominant chiral component \cite{706,707} and this depends only on whether their weak isospin is integer or half-integer, the other component being suppressed by a factor proportional to $m_{SM}^{q}/m_{VLQ}$, with $m_{SM}^{q}$ the mass of the SM quark with which the VLQ mixes.

This property affects the polarisation of the gauge bosons and quarks arising from the VLQs decay. While the gauge bosons tend to have a dominant longitudinal polarisation, the polarisation of the final state quarks allows one to extract useful information. In particular if the VLQ decays into a top quark, its polarisation properties will affect the kinematic distributions of the final decay products. This can slightly affect the reach of new physics searches but, more importantly, in the fortunate event of a signal excess being observed, this difference can be used to probe the structure of the interactions between the VLQs and the SM sector.

In this contribution, based on the results of Ref. \cite{708}, we analyse the possibility of discriminating the chiral structure of VLQ couplings at the HL- and HE-LHC, under the hypothesis that the VLQ decays to the SM top quark. This eventually allows one to discriminate its representations under the SM $SU(2)$ gauge group.

The polar angle distribution of the top quark decay product $f$ in the top rest frame is described by

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma_{l}} \frac{d\Gamma_{l}}{d \cos \theta_{f,\text{rest}}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + P_{t} \cos \theta_{f,\text{rest}})
$$

(6.3.1)

where $\Gamma_{l}$ is the partial width, $\theta_{f,\text{rest}}$ is the angle between the momentum of the decay product $f$ and the top spin vector and $P_{t}$ is the polarisation of the top. From Eq. (6.3.1) one sees that for positive (negative) polarised top quarks most of the decay products come in the forward direction, that is the directions of the would-be momentum of the top quark in the laboratory frame. In the same frame the $\theta_{f}$ distribution is now described by Eq. (6.3.1) combined with a boost from the top rest frame to the laboratory frame. This implies that positive polarised top quarks will produce harder decay products.

To show how the polarisation information can be used to disentangle a VLQ chiral structure we focus on a VLQ with charge $2/3$ interacting exclusively with the top quark and the $Z$ boson. We recast...
a search for pair produced VLQs performed by the ATLAS collaborations in the single lepton channel with an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [709]. Throughout this work the VLQ pair production cross sections have been computed with HATHOR [204] and are reported in Fig. 6.3.4.

We then project the discovery and exclusion reach of the ATLAS search for the case of the 14 TeV LHC assuming the same signal acceptances than for the 13 TeV case and rescaling the backgrounds by the relevant parton luminosities ratios. We do these projections for higher values of integrated luminosities and different values of the systematic uncertainties on the background determination, $\epsilon_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{syst}}$, and then perform a $\chi^2$ fit on the leading lepton $p_T$ between the left- and right-handed coupling scenario considering the number of bins of the distribution as degrees of freedom, and under the simplifying assumption that the background (conservatively assumed to be distributed as the signal) can be subtracted with a certain efficiency, ranging from the extreme scenarios of 0% and 100%: the relation we use is therefore $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{bins}} (L_i - R_i)^2 / \text{max} \{L_i, R_i\} + \epsilon_{\text{cont}}^{\text{bkg}} (B + (\epsilon_{\text{syst}} B)^2)$, where $L_i$ and $R_i$ are the number of events in the left- and right-handed coupling scenario, $\epsilon_{\text{cont}}^{\text{bkg}}$ represents the contamination percentage of background events considered for the discrimination, and we consider Poissonian uncertainties for the signal.

The results are shown in Fig. 6.3.5, where we illustrate the $5\sigma$ discovery reaches for different $\epsilon_{\text{syst}}^{\text{bkg}}$ values (left panel) and the $2\sigma$ discrimination contours from the $\chi^2$ fit assuming $\epsilon_{\text{syst}}^{\text{bkg}} = 10\%$ (right panel). We see that, if it is possible to perform a discrimination $\chi^2$ test after removing all background events, should a VLQ with a mass lighter than around 1300 GeV be discovered, a mild increase in integrated luminosity will be needed to disentangle the two hypotheses, while the collected dataset will already be enough for the discrimination if a VLQ heavier than 1300 GeV is found with a $5\sigma$ significance. If background events cannot be removed the discrimination becomes more difficult, as the differences in the shapes become less relevant. The intersection between the discovery reach and the discrimination reach moves therefore towards higher luminosities, and in the limit of 100% background contamination we find that the discovery reach corresponds to the discrimination power at the nominal luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$.

The results obtained for the 14 TeV LHC show that with the signal region currently used in the
Fig. 6.3.6: Same as Fig. 6.3.5 for the 2SSL search for a $X$ VLQ decaying with 100% BR into the $Wt$ final state at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV. We use the $p_T$ distribution of the sub-leading lepton with a binning of 200 GeV and assume an uncertainty on the background determination of 20% (right).

ATLAS search we have considered, the LHC discovery reach will mildly increase when further data will be collected (unless one assumes a strong reduction of the current systematic uncertainties on the background determination), due to the fact that at hadron colliders the contribution of the PDFs drops when the transferred momentum of the process approaches the kinematic limit $\sqrt{s}/2$\(^{16}\). We then estimate here what is the mass reach of the high energy upgrade of the LHC for discovering pair-produced VLQs and discriminate their coupling structure.

We focus on the case of a VLQ with charge $5/3$ decaying into a $W$ boson and a top quark and closely follow the search strategy defined in Ref. [710]. In this case we consider for discrimination the $p_T$ distribution of the sub-leading lepton, which is almost always coming from the SM top decay. With the same statistical procedure adopted above, and rescaling the backgrounds yields given in Ref. [710] for $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV we show the results in Fig. 6.3.6. Our results show that a discrimination among the left- and right-handed coupling hypotheses is possible in all the discovery range accessible at the HE-LHC for $\epsilon_{\text{bkg syst}} = 20\%$, regardless of the background contamination. In particular, if the background can be entirely subtracted, should a VLQ with mass greater than $\sim 2$ TeV be discovered, the collected data set will already be sufficient to exclude one of the two coupling structure, while if the background is entirely considered, discrimination is possible at the same time as discovery for a VLQ with mass greater then $\sim 2100$ GeV.

6.4 Signature based analyses

Several contributions that are constructed around experimental signatures rather than specific theoretical models are presented in this section. This includes analyses of dijets, diphotons, dibosons and ditops final state events at HL- and HE-LHC.

6.4.1 Coloured Resonance Signals at the HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: T. Han, I. Lewis, Z. Liu

While much of the attention for new physics discovery has centred on precision measurements of the Higgs and EW sectors, the LHC is a QCD machine and most initial states are composed of coloured particles. Hence, new coloured dijet resonances that couple to partons will be produced with favourable rates at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC.

\(^{16}\)Clearly an optimisation of the signal regions can be performed to increase the sensitivity already at the current LHC energy.
In Ref. [711] we classified the possible coloured resonances at the LHC according to their spin, electric charge, and colour representation. We now update those results for the HE-LHC. This involves comparing the cross sections of the various resonances at the LHC and HE-LHC.

Most initial states at the LHC are composed of coloured particles, i.e. quarks and gluons. We now review the possible interactions of coloured resonances with SM partons. A more detailed discussion, including examples of specific realisations of the various resonances in the existing literature, is given in Ref. [711]. All interactions are after EWSB.

Quark-quark annihilation can produce colour antitriplet or sextet scalars and vectors, so-called “diquarks”. Please note that the diquarks under discussion here are fundamental particles and not composite. The possible scalar diquark are denoted as $E_{N_D}$, $U_{N_D}$, and $D_{N_D}$, with electric charges $4/3, 2/3, -1/3$ respectively. The subscript $N_D = 3, 6$ for the $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ colour representations, respectively. Vector diquarks are represented with an additional Lorentz index $\mu$. The interaction Lagrangian between quarks and diquarks is then

$$\mathcal{L}_{qqD} = K^j_{ab} \left[ \lambda^E_{\alpha\beta} E_{N_D}^{ij} u_{\alpha a} P_\tau u_{\beta b} + \lambda^U_{\alpha\beta} U_{N_D}^{ij} d_{\alpha a} P_\tau d_{\beta b} + \lambda^D_{\alpha\beta} D_{N_D}^{ij} d_{\alpha a} P_\tau u_{\beta b} \right] + \text{h.c.},$$

(6.4.1)

where $P_\tau = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)$ with $\tau = R, L$ for the right- and left-chirality projection operators, $a, b$ are colour indices for the $SU(3)_C$ fundamental representation, $j$ are colour indices of the $N_D$ representation of $SU(3)_C$, $\alpha, \beta$ are flavour indices, and $K^j_{ab}$ are $SU(3)_C$ Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficients.

Quarks and gluons annihilate into colour triplet or antisextet fermions with 1/2 or 3/2 spin. It is possible to produce a 15 colour representation, but the existence of such a fermion would spoil asymptotic freedom [712]. The spin 1/2 (3/2) fermion states are denoted by $d_{N_D}^*, u_{N_D}^*$ ($d_{N_D}^\mu, u_{N_D}^\mu$) with electric charged $-1/3$ and $2/3$, respectively. The lowest order gauge invariant interactions between a gluon, quark, and heavy fermion is dimension five:

$$\mathcal{L}_{qgF} = \frac{g_s}{\Lambda} F^{A,\rho\sigma} \left[ \bar{u} K_{N_D, A}(\lambda_L^P P_L + \lambda_R^P P_R) \sigma_{\rho\sigma} u_{N_D}^* + \bar{d} K_{N_D, A}(\lambda_L^P P_L + \lambda_R^P P_R) \sigma_{\rho\sigma} d_{N_D}^* \right] + \text{h.c.},$$

(6.4.2)

where $A$ is the adjoint colour index, $F^{A,\rho\sigma}$ is the gluon field strength tensor, $\sigma_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_\rho, \gamma_\sigma]$, $\Lambda$ is the scale of new physics, and $K_{N_D, A}$ are $3 \times N_D$ CG coefficient matrices.

Gluon-gluon annihilation can result in many different representations, that unlike the 15 fermion do not spoil asymptotic freedom. A complete list of the possible resonances from gluon-gluon annihilation can be found in Table 1 of Ref. [711]. We will focus on the theoretically motivated colour octet resonances. Two possible resonances that can result from gluon-gluon annihilation are colour octet scalars, $S_8$, and tensors, $T_8^{\mu\nu}$. These interactions can be described in a gauge invariant way by dimension five operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ggS} = g_s d^{ABC} \left( \kappa_S \frac{\Lambda_S}{\Lambda_T} S_8^A F_{\mu\nu}^B F_{C,\mu\nu}^C + \kappa_T \frac{\Lambda_T}{\Lambda_T} (T_8^{A,\mu\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^B F^C_{\nu} + f T_8^{A,\mu} F_{B,\mu\nu}^C F^C_{\mu\nu}) \right),$$

(6.4.3)

where $\Lambda_S, T$ are the new physics scales, and the relative coupling factor $f$ is expected to be order one.

Finally, quark-antiquark annihilation can produce colour octet or singlet scalars and vectors with zero or unit charge. The neutral vector-octet is denoted by $V_8^0$ and the charged vector octet states $V_8^\pm$. 
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The interaction Lagrangian is then
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{qq}V} = g_s \left[ V_8^{0,A,\mu} \bar{u} T^A \gamma_\mu (g_L^U P_L + g_R^U P_R) u + V_8^{0,A,\mu} \bar{d} T^A \gamma_\mu (g_L^D P_L + g_R^D P_R) d \right. \\
\left. + \left\{ V_8^{+,-,\mu} \bar{u} T^A \gamma_\mu (C_L V_8^\text{CKM} P_L + C_R V_8^\text{CKM} P_R) d + \text{h.c.} \right\} \right],
\]
where $V_8^\text{CKM}$ are the left- and right-handed Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrices, respectively. To avoid constraints from flavour physics it is assumed that the CKM matrices align with the SM CKM matrices and that there are no tree level flavour changing neutral currents, \textit{i.e.}, $g_{L,R}^{U,D}$ and $C_{L,R}$ are flavour-diagonal. To obtain the interactions with the colour singlet bosons it is sufficient to replace the representation matrices $T^A_{\alpha \beta}$ with the Kronecker delta $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$. The couplings between octet and singlet scalar and light quarks is constrained to be small by minimal flavour violation \cite{713}. It is possible for a new scalar to have substantial couplings to the third generation quarks consistent with minimal flavour violation if it has a non-trivial representation under the SM flavour groups \cite{714}. We will only consider particles that couple to the light quarks and can be resonantly produced at the LHC. Hence, we ignore scalar singlet and octet contributions to $s$-channel resonances with quark/anti-quark initial states.

In Table 6.4.1 we summarise the different coloured resonances discussed in this section. We list our notation for the different states along with the leading couplings to SM partons and spin, colour representation, and electric charge of each state. The subscript $S$ on $8_S$ indicates that this colour octet representation is the symmetric combination of two other octets, as shown in Eq. (6.4.3).

Since all of the resonances listed in Table 6.4.1 couple to SM partons, they can decay back into SM partons. Hence, they can be observed as dijet resonances. We assume that the resonances decay exclusively back into (slim) dijets, \textit{i.e.} not new particles or fat jets from top quarks. If there are additional decay channels, our results can be simply rescaled by a BR.

The cross section for resonance $R$ production via quark and/or gluon initial states at the LHC is
\[
\sigma = \mathcal{L}_{12}(\tau_0) \frac{4\pi^2}{S_H} \frac{N_D (2J_R + 1) \Gamma(R \rightarrow X_1 X_2)}{N_1 N_2 M_R} (1 + \delta_{X_1 X_2}),
\]
where $X_1, X_2$ are the initial state particles, $M_R$ is the resonance mass, $S_H = 14, 27$ TeV is the c.o.m. energy, and $J_R$ is the spin of the resonance. The dimension of the colour representation of the resonance and initial state particles are denoted by $N_D$ and $N_1, N_2$, respectively. The parton luminosity is
\[
\mathcal{L}_{12}(\tau_0) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{X_1 X_2}} \int_{\tau_0}^1 \frac{dx}{x} (f_1(x) f_2(\tau_0/x) + f_2(x) f_1(\tau_0/x)),
\]
where $\tau_0 = M_R^2 / S_H$, $f_1$ is the PDF of $X_1$, and $f_2$ is the PDF of $X_2$. 

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Particle Names & $J$ & $SU(3)_C$ & $|Q_e|$ & $B$ & Related models \\
\hline
$E_{3,6}^{uu}$ & 0, 1 & $\mathbf{3}$ & $\frac{3}{3}$ & $-\frac{3}{4}$ & scalar/vector diquarks \\
$D_{2,6}^{ud}$ & 0, 1 & $\mathbf{3}$ & $\frac{3}{3}$ & $-\frac{3}{4}$ & scalar/vector diquarks; $d$ \\
$U_{2,6}^{dd}$ & 0, 1 & $\mathbf{3}$ & $\frac{3}{3}$ & $-\frac{3}{4}$ & scalar/vector diquarks; $\bar{u}$ \\
\hline
$u_3,6$ ($u\bar{u}$) & $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ & $\mathbf{3}$ & $\frac{3}{3}$ & $\frac{1}{3}$ & excited $u$; quixes; stringy \\
$d_3,6$ ($d\bar{u}$) & $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ & $\mathbf{3}$ & $\frac{3}{3}$ & $\frac{1}{3}$ & excited $d$; quixes; stringy \\
$S_8$ ($gg$) & 0 & $8_S$ & 0 & 0 & $\pi_{TC}$, $\eta_{TC}$ \\
$T_8$ ($gg$) & 2 & $8_S$ & 0 & 0 & stringy \\
$V_8^0$ ($u\bar{u}$, $dd$) & 1 & $8$ & 0 & 0 & axigluon; $g_{KK}$, $\rho_{TC}$; coloron \\
$V_8^+$ ($ud$) & 1 & $8$ & 1 & 0 & $\rho_\tau$; coloron \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Table 6.4.1: Summary for resonant particle names, their quantum numbers, and possible underlying models.}
\end{table}
6.4.2 Precision searches in dijets at the HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: S. V. Chekanov, J. T. Childers, J. Proudfoot, R. Wang, D. Frizzell

Model-independent searches for deviations in dijet invariant mass distributions ($M_{jj}$) predicted by the SM is one of the central studies at the LHC. The main goal of such searches is to find small deviations from background distributions, under the assumption that a new resonant state decaying to partons that form two jets may introduce an excess in dijet masses localised around the resonance mass. In recent years, such searches for new physics at the LHC have been performed by both ATLAS and CMS collaborations (see the recent studies in Ref. [114, 114, 715–720]), but no statistically significant deviations from background expectations were found. Such searches are usually performed for resonances with a
Fig. 6.4.2: Expectations for the dijet invariant mass distribution for $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ at HL-LHC and $15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ at the HE-LHC using the Pythia generator. Contributions from $W/Z/H^0$-boson processes and top-quark processes are shown separately (without stacking the histograms). The bottom plots show the relative statistical uncertainties in each bin, together with the line indicating the mass point at which the uncertainty is 100%. The figures are taken from [721].

Fig. 6.4.3: Expected 95% C.L. upper limits obtained from the $M_{jj}$ distribution on fiducial cross-section times the BR to two jets for a hypothetical BSM signal approximated by a Gaussian contribution to the dijet mass spectrum. The limits are obtained for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC energies. The figure shows the limits for inclusive dijet production (left) and for events with at least one isolated muon with $p_T > 60 \text{ GeV}$.

width of up to 15% of the resonance mass. Searches for broader resonances are usually more difficult since the available tools to determine the background hypothesis have a number of limitations that do not allow for reliable estimates of background shapes in the presence of broad resonances. Despite the generality of dijet searches, such studies can exclude a number of BSM models, such as models with quantum black holes, excited quarks, and $Z'$ bosons and so on. Currently, the LHC run II data provide the 95% C.L. exclusion limits on BSM resonances up to 6.5 TeV in masses.

Shapes of the background $M_{jj}$ distributions can be affected by several instrumental factors, making such studies difficult for low-mass regions where statistics are large. In the case of inclusive jet production, the rate of dijets is reduced due to triggers with low acceptance rate, which leads to complicated shapes of in the region of $M_{jj}$ below 1 TeV. This leads to difficulties in interpretation of the shapes
of the $M_{jj}$ distributions using QCD-motivated fit functions. Recently, such searches have been extended to less inclusive events, such as events with $b$-jets and associated leptons [722,723]. These studies rely on less inclusive triggers with low thresholds, thus accessing regions with small dijet masses.

With the increase of the LHC luminosity, searches for new physics beyond the SM in the invariant mass of two jets become increasingly important since the large event rate allows one to explore a large $M_{jj}$ phase space with improved statistics. The proposed HL-LHC and HE-LHC experiments will open a new chapter in model independent searches, both in terms of the physics reach and the complexity of derivations of data-driven backgrounds. Here we will give an overview of the physics potential for model-independent searches [721] in dijets for the HE-LHC and HL-LHC experiments, as well as describe some technical problems in understanding of "signal-like" feature on a smoothly falling dijet mass distributions. We will cover searches in inclusive jets, as well as more exclusive searches in events with $b$-jets and leptons.

The presented studies use MC event generation with representative for the HL-LHC experiment event statistics. This was achieved using high-performance computers at NERSC. The PYTHIA 8 [50] generator with the default parameter settings and the ATLAS A14 tune [676] was used. The c.o.m. collision energy of $pp$ collisions was set to 14 TeV and 27 TeV for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC respectively. About 100 billion MC events with the multi-jet QCD, $t\bar{t}$ and $W+jet$ categories of processes were simulated using the HepSim software [724] deployed on supercomputers at NERSC. Such a large number of events is required in order to obtain $M_{jj}$ distributions which are sufficiently smooth for calculations of limits. In addition, a phase-space re-weighting was used for $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes to increase the statistics in the tail of the $M_{jj}$ distribution as discussed in Ref. [50]. The jets were reconstructed with the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [34], as implemented in the FastJet package [35], using a distance parameter of $R = 0.4$. The minimum transverse momenta of jets was 40 GeV, and the pseudorapidity range was $|\eta| < 2.4$. Dijet invariant masses were reconstructed by combining the two leading jets having the highest transverse momentum. The $b$-jets are selected by requiring a distance, defined in pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle, between the $b$-quark and jet to be less than 0.4 and the $b$-quark $p_T$ to be at least 50% of the jet $p_T$. A constant 10% mis-tag rate was assumed, which is sufficiently realistic [725] for large $p_T(jet)$.

In addition to jets, muons were also used for such studies. They are required to be isolated using a cone of the size 0.2 in the azimuthal angle and pseudorapidity is defined around the true direction of the lepton. A lepton is considered to be isolated of it carries more than 90% of the cone energy. We also simulated a misidentification rate of muons (or “fake” rate) assuming that a muon can be mis-identified with a rate of 0.1% [726].

Figure 6.4.2 shows two representative $M_{jj}$ distributions using the simulations discussed above. The results are shown for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC colliders using different integrated luminosities, 3 ab$^{-1}$ (for HL-LHC) and 15 ab$^{-1}$ (for HE-LHC). The figure also shows contributions to the total event rate from $W/Z/H^0$-boson processes combined and top-quark processes from the hard interactions (shown separately). The lower panel shows the relative statistical uncertainty on the data points, i.e. $\Delta d_i / d_i$, where $d_i$ is the number of the events in the bins, and $\Delta d_i$ its statistical uncertainty (which is $\sqrt{d_i}$ in the case of counting statistics). For a quantitative characterisation of the dijet mass reach, the dash lines on the lower panel show the $M_{jj}$ point at which statistical uncertainty in a bin is 100% (or $\Delta d_i / d_i = 1$). We have chosen this point to define the statistical reach for the measurements using the $M_{jj}$ distributions. The $M_{jj}$ mass reach at the HL-LHC is 11.2 TeV, while the mass reach at the c.o.m. of 27 TeV is close to 21 TeV for the the nominal luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$.

The dijet distributions discussed above were used to set the 95% C.L. upper limit on fiducial cross-section times the BR for a generic Gaussian signal with the width ($\sigma_C$) being 10% of the Gaussian peak position. Figure 6.4.3 shows the obtained limits. In addition to inclusive dijet events, this figure shows the upper limits for events with at least one isolated muon with transverse momentum above 60 GeV. The results show that even the HE-LHC experiment with an integrated luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ has advantages over the HL-LHC (with the nominal luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$) in terms of statistical sensitivity to high-mass
Fig. 6.4.4: Expected 95% C.L. upper limits obtained from the $M_{jj}$ distribution for jets identified as $b$-jets. The limits are set on fiducial cross-section times the BR to two jets for a hypothetical BSM signal approximated by a Gaussian contribution to the dijet mass spectrum. The limits are obtained for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC energies.

Fig. 6.4.5: Comparisons of the 95% C.L. upper limits obtained from the $M_{jj}$ distribution for the HL-LHC at 14 TeV (left) and the HE-LHC at 27 TeV (right). The limits for the masses 0.4 TeV, 1 TeV, 4 TeV and 8 TeV are shown for 100 fb$^{-1}$, 3 ab$^{-1}$ and 15 ab$^{-1}$ for different channels with different selections. When using the nominal integrated luminosity of 15 ab$^{-1}$ for HE-LHC, the HE-LHC provides a factor of two larger reach for dijet masses compared to the HL-LHC with the nominal luminosity.

Figure 6.4.4 shows the 95% C.L. upper limits obtained from the $M_{jj}$ distribution for events where both leading jets were identified as $b$-jets. As for the previous simulation based on inclusive jets, the physics reach of the HE-LHC with the nominal luminosity is a factor two larger than that of the HL-LHC.

Figure 6.4.5 shows the comparisons of the limits for dijet and muon associated dijet channels with inclusive or $b$-tagging selections for the 14 TeV and 27 TeV collision energies. In addition to the inclusive jet case, we also calculated the upper limits after applying the rapidity difference requirement $|y^*| < 0.6$ between two jets [727] in order to enhance the sensitivity to heavy BSM particles decaying to jets.

The studies discussed [721] have also shown that searches for signals in dijets invariant masses require well-understood estimates for the $M_{jj}$ background shape. An example of such challenging background shape is shown in Fig. 6.4.2. A data-driven determination of the shape background at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC should be performed with the relative statistical precision of 0.01% per data point for $M_{jj} < 1$ TeV. Currently, it is difficult to achieve such precision using the available tools used for the
In conclusion, it was illustrated that the HE-LHC provides substantial improvements for searches of new physics in dijet invariant masses, compared to searches at the HL-LHC. Even for a rather modest 100 fb\(^{-1}\) luminosity of the HE-LHC project, the simulations show that the mass reach for dijet searches is about 50\% larger than that from the HL-LHC with the nominal luminosity of 3 ab\(^{-1}\). It was also shown that the HE-LHC project with the nominal luminosity of 15 ab\(^{-1}\) will extend the HL-LHC mass reach by a factor two. The reported limits can be used for exclusions of BSM resonances decaying that decay to form two jets in inclusive dijet events, events with di-b-jets, and events with associated muons. Note that the actual exclusion ranges significantly depend on expected cross-sections and BRs of BSM models for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC collision energies.

6.4.3 Dissecting heavy diphoton resonances at HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: B. Allanach, D. Bhatia, A. Iyer

We examine the phenomenology of the production of a heavy resonance \(X\), which decays via other new on-shell particles \(n\) into multi- (i.e. three or more) photon final states. In the limit that \(n\) has a much smaller mass than \(X\), the multi-photon final state may dominantly appear as a two photon final state because the \(γs\) from the \(n\) decay are highly collinear and remain unresolved. We discuss how to discriminate this scenario from \(X \rightarrow γγ\): rather than discarding non-isolated photons, it is better instead to relax the isolation criterion and instead form photon jet substructure variables. The spins of \(X\) and \(n\) leave their imprint upon the distribution of pseudorapidity gap \(Δ\eta\) between the apparent two photon states. In some models, the heavy resonance \(X\) may decay into \(nn\) or \(nγ\), where \(n\) is an additional light particle, may further decay into photons leading to a multi-photon\(^{17}\) final state. Examples of such models include hidden valley models [346, 728], the NMSSM [528] or Higgs portal scenarios [729]. Describing angles in terms of the pseudorapidity \(η\) and the azimuthal angle around the beam \(ϕ\), the angular separation between two photons may be quantified by \(ΔR = \sqrt{(Δη)^2 + (Δϕ)^2}\). Neglecting its mass, the opening angle between the two photons coming from a highly boosted on-shell \(n\) is

\[
ΔR = \frac{m_n}{\sqrt{z(1-z)p_T(n)}}, \tag{6.4.7}
\]

purely from kinematics (this was calculated already in the context of boosted Higgs to \(bb\) decays [502]), where \(z\) and \((1-z)\) are the momentum fractions of the photons\(^{18}\). Thus,

\[
ΔR = \frac{m_γ}{M_X} \frac{2 \cosh η(n)}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}}, \tag{6.4.8}
\]

In the limit \(m_n/M_X \rightarrow 0\), \(ΔR \rightarrow 0\) and the two photons from \(n\) are collinear, appearing as one photon; thus several possible interpretations can be ascribed to an apparent diphoton signal.

We assume that any couplings of new particles such as the \(X\) (and the \(n\), to be introduced later) to Higgs fields or \(W^±, Z^0\) bosons are negligible. Eq. (6.4.9) gives an effective field theoretic interaction Lagrangian for the coupling of \(X\) to a pair of photons, when \(X\) is a scalar (first line) or a graviton (second line).

\[
\mathcal{L}_{X=\text{spin } 0}^{\text{int}} = -η_{G,GX}G^a_{\mu ν}G^{μνa}X - η_{γ,GX} \frac{1}{4} F_μ^a F^μν X,
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{X=\text{spin } 2}^{\text{int}} = -η_{T,ψX}T^{αβ}_{\text{fermion}}X_{αβ} - η_{TG,X}T^{αβ}_{\text{gluon}}X_{αβ} - η_{γ,GX}T^{αβ}_{\text{photon}}X_{αβ}. \tag{6.4.9}
\]

where \(T^{αβ}_{i}\) is the stress-energy tensor for the field \(i\) and the \(η_j\) are effective couplings of mass dimension -1. \(F_μ^a\) is the field strength tensor of the photon (this may be obtained in a SM invariant way from a

\(^{17}\)In the present paper, whenever we refer to multi-photon final states, we refer to three or more photons.

\(^{18}\)The decay is strongly peaked towards the minimum opening angle \(ΔR = 2m_γ/p_T\) [730].
Table 6.4.2: Cases to discriminate with a scalar $n$ and a heavy resonance which is: scalar ($S$), spin 1 ($Z'$) or spin 2 ($G$). We have listed the main signal processes to discriminate between in the second column, ignoring any proton remnants. The notation used for a given model is $X_k$: $X = S,V,G$ labels the spin of the resonance and $k$ denotes the number of signal photons at the parton level in the final state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>$pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>$pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow nn \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>$pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow n\gamma \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{2f}$</td>
<td>$qq \rightarrow G \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{4g}$</td>
<td>$gg \rightarrow G \rightarrow nn \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{4f}$</td>
<td>$qq \rightarrow G \rightarrow nn \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + \gamma\gamma$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6.4.6: Left: distribution of $\lambda_J$ variable for various signal hypotheses. $m_n$ is noted in parentheses in the legend for the relevant cases. Right: signal $\Delta\eta$ distribution for various different spin combinations at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV, $m_X = 2.5$ TeV. The cases S2 and S4 are practically indistinguishable by eye and so we only plot one histogram for them.

coupling involving the field strength tensor of the hypercharge gauge boson), whereas $G^{a}_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor of a gluon of adjoint colour index $a \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. As noted earlier, the direct decay of a vector boson into two photons is forbidden by the Landau-Yang theorem $[731, 732]$. Although we assume that $n$ is electrically neutral, it may decay to two photons through a loop-level process (as is the case for the SM Higgs boson, for instance). Alternatively, if $X$ is a spin 1 particle, it could be produced by quarks in the proton and then decay into $n\gamma$. The Lagrangian terms would be

$$L_X^{\text{int}}_{\lambda_1,n} = - (\lambda_X q \bar{q} R \gamma_\mu X^\mu q_R + \lambda_X Q \bar{Q} L \gamma_\mu X^\mu Q_L + \text{H.c.}) - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{n \gamma} n X_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \quad (6.4.10)$$

where $\lambda_i$ are dimensionless couplings, $q_R$ is a right-handed quark, $Q_L$ is a left-handed quark doublet and $X_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu X_\nu - \partial_\nu X_\mu$. The decay $X_{\text{spin}=1} \rightarrow n\gamma$ would have to be a loop-level process, as explicitly exemplified in Ref. [730], since electromagnetic gauge invariance forbids it at tree level. The possible different spins involved in multi-photon production processes, along with our nomenclature for them, are listed in Table 6.4.2. The first tool for model discrimination is the photon sub-jet variable $\lambda_J = \log(1 - p_{T_L}/p_{T_j})$, where $p_{T_L}$ denotes the transverse momentum of the leading photon sub-jet whilst $p_{T_j}$ is the transverse momentum of the whole photon jet. Double pronged photon jets have a peak at $\lambda_J = -0.3$. This may be observed when the signal is multi-photon and $m_n$ is not too small, as Fig. 6.4.6 (left) shows.

Here, we neglect backgrounds and only focus on the signal. This is a good approximation for heavy resonances where backgrounds die off exponentially with invariant mass of the apparent diphoton pair, provided that the signal cross-section is large enough. The signal cross-section is of course set by the size of the couplings in Eqs (6.4.9) and (6.4.10), which may be adjusted as required. Here, we
consider one of the cases in Table 6.4.2 at a time, with cross-sections of all other cases set to zero.

If there is a sizeable peak at $\lambda_J = -0.3$, the model possibilities are $S4, V3, G4_{gg}$ and $G4_{ff}$. Further to this, $V3$ may be further discriminated owing to its double peak structure: at $\sim -3$ and at $-0.3$. We call this case A. If there is no sizeable peak, we can either have $S2$ or $m_n$ very small. This latter case we call case B.

After categorisation into case A or B, we can use the fact that each case in Table 6.4.2 corresponds to a different distribution in $\Delta \eta$, the difference in pseudorapidity between the two initially identified photons. We plot the $\Delta \eta$ distributions for the different spin cases in Fig. 6.4.6 (right). We calculate $N_R$, the expected number of signal events required to disfavour a hypothesis $H_S$ over another hypothesis $H_T$ to an odds factor of $R = 20$ from the $\Delta \eta$ distributions in the discretised Kullback-Leibler method proposed in Ref. [733]. From Tables 6.4.3 and 6.4.4, we see that our estimate of the required number of signal events to discriminate two signal hypotheses does not change much between the HL-LHC and the HE-LHC. For identical input parameters, we would expect the number of signal events to be higher at the higher energies, meaning that spins can be more effectively discriminated to smaller production cross-sections at the HE-LHC.

---

### Table 6.4.3: Spin discrimination in case A: $N_R = L_\sigma^{(X)}$, the expected number of total signal events required to be produced to discriminate against the ‘true’ row model versus a column model by a factor of 20 for $m_n = 100$ GeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_R$</th>
<th>$\sqrt{s}$</th>
<th>$S_4$</th>
<th>$G4_{gg}$</th>
<th>$G4_{ff}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S4$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G4_{gg}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G4_{ff}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.4.4: Spin discrimination for case B: $N_R = L_\sigma^{(X)}$, the expected number of total signal events required to be produced to discriminate against the ‘true’ row model versus a column model by a factor of 20 at the 14 TeV LHC for $m_n = 10$ GeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_R$</th>
<th>$\sqrt{s}$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>$S_4$</th>
<th>$V3$</th>
<th>$G2_{gg}$</th>
<th>$G4_{gg}$</th>
<th>$G2_{ff}$</th>
<th>$G4_{ff}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S4$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>19270</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>8676</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V3$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>19256</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>8713</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G2_{gg}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G4_{gg}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G2_{ff}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G4_{ff}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 TeV</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4.4 Prospects for diboson resonances at the HL- and HE-LHC

Contributors: R. Les, V. Cavaliere, T. Nitta, K. Terashi, ATLAS

Prospects are presented [734] for the search for resonances decaying to diboson (WW or WZ, collectively called VV where V = W or Z) in the semileptonic channel where one W-boson decays leptonically and the other W or Z-boson decays to quarks (ℓνqq channel). The results include sensitivity for such new resonances based on an integrated luminosity of 300 fb⁻¹ or 3 ab⁻¹ of pp collisions at √s = 14 TeV using the ATLAS upgraded detector. Searches in other semileptonic and fully hadronic decay channels are expected to have similar sensitivities at high masses, as observed in the ATLAS searches with Run-2 data. The analysis is based on event selection and classification similar to those used in the Run-1 and Run-2 ATLAS searches.

The prospect for resonance searches presented in this article are interpreted in the context of three different models: a heavy vector triplet (HVT) [735], a RS model and a narrow heavy scalar resonance. The parameters of these models are chosen such that along the whole generated mass range, the resonance widths are less than 6% of the mass value, which is smaller than the detector resolution. The main background sources are W bosons produced in association with jets (W+jets), with significant contributions from top-quark production (both tǔ pairwise and single-top), non-resonant vector-boson pair production (ZZ, WZ and WW) and Z bosons produced in association with jets (Z+jets). Background originating from multi-jet processes are expected to be negligible due to the event selection requirements.

Small- and Large-R jets (denoted by j and J) are used in the analysis, reconstructed with the anti-k_t algorithm and radius 0.4 and 1.0 respectively. It is assumed that the performance of a future W/Z-boson tagger at the HL-LHC conditions will have similar, if not better, performance as existing boson taggers.

Events are required to have exactly one lepton satisfying the selection criteria. It is assumed that the effect of trigger thresholds is negligible for the selected leptons with p_T studied in this note. Events are further required to contain a hadronically-decaying W/Z candidate, reconstructed either from two small-R jets, defined as the resolved channel, or from one large-R jet, designated the boosted channel. The missing transverse energy E_T^{miss} has to be greater than 60 GeV, which suppresses multijet background to a negligible level. By constraining the E_T^{miss} + lepton system to be consistent with the W mass, the z component of the neutrino momentum can be reconstructed by solving a quadratic equation. The smallest solution is chosen and in the case where the solution is imaginary, only the real part is taken.

The presence of narrow resonances is searched for in the distribution of reconstructed diboson mass using the signal shapes extracted from simulation of benchmark models. The invariant mass of the diboson system (m(WV)) is reconstructed from the leptonically W candidate and hadronic W/Z candidate, the latter of which is obtained from two small-R jets in the resolved channel (m(ℓνjj)) or large-R jet in the boosted channel (m(ℓνJ)). The background shape and normalisation are obtained from MC simulation with dedicated control regions to constrain systematic uncertainties of the background modelling and normalisation. The search is divided into two orthogonal categories to identify the ggF/qq̄ and VBF production modes by identifying additional forward jets. If an event passes the VBF category selection for the additional forward jets (defined below) it is categorised as a VBF candidate event, otherwise as a ggF/qq̄ candidate event. Events are then processed by a merged-jet selection then a two resolved-jet selection if they fail the merged selection. This prioritisation strategy provides the optimum signal sensitivity as it favours the merged selection which contains less background contributions. Examples of the final distributions can be seen in Fig. 6.4.7 for the merged ggF/qq̄ and VBF signal region.

The results are extracted by performing a simultaneous binned maximum-likelihood fit to the m(WV) distributions in the signal regions and the W+jets and tǔ control regions.

The fit includes five background contributions, corresponding to W+jets, tǔ, single-top, Z+jets, and diboson. Systematic uncertainties are taken into account as constrained nuisance parameters with
Gaussian or log-normal distributions. For each source of systematic uncertainty, the correlations across bins of $m(WV)$ distributions and between different kinematic regions, as well as those between signal and background, are taken into account. The main background modelling systematics, namely the $W$+jets and $t\bar{t}$ shape uncertainties, are constrained by the corresponding control regions and are treated as uncorrelated among the resolved and merged signal regions.

The expected upper limits are set on the signal cross section times BR as a function of the signal mass. For the HVT $W'$ and $Z'$ the limits are estimated to be $4.3$ TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ and $4.9$ TeV with $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions, using the same detector configuration and pileup conditions. For the Bulk graviton the expected limits are estimated as $2.8$ and $3.3$ TeV at $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$. The values at $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ show an expected increase to the sensitivity of the search to the benchmark signals by $\sim 1$ TeV with respect to existing limits in this channel in Run-2.

Figure 6.4.8 (left) shows one of the upper limit plots for the $ggF/q\bar{q}$ category at $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$. A line showing the theoretical cross section for the HVT $Z'$ decaying into $WW$ via $ggF/q\bar{q}$ production at each mass is superimposed and indicates the mass reach of the search. In the circumstance that HL-LHC sees an excess, the expected sensitivity can also be characterised. The discovery significance is defined as the luminosity required to see a $5\sigma$ effect of the signal. Figure 6.4.8 (right) shows the expected discovery significance for the resonant search. The signal significance is the quadratic sum of $s/\sqrt{s + b}$, for each bin of the final discriminant distribution at that luminosity, $s(b)$ representing the number of signal(background) events in the bin. In addition to the expected values, dashed curves shows the expected values for a future $W/Z$-tagger which has a 50% increase in signal efficiency and a further factor of two in background rejection. These values are representative of improvements seen in a recent diboson resonance search in the fully-hadronic $VV \rightarrow qqqq$ analysis by using track-calco clusters as opposed to locally-calibrated topologically-clustered calorimeter jets. Other possible improvements in $W/Z$-tagging in the HL-LHC era can originate from usage of more advanced machine-learning techniques to discriminate against the background contribution and better understanding of jet substructure variables with measurements at higher integrated luminosities.

**Resonance search at HE-LHC**

The prospect analysis [736] at HE-LHC mimics the analysis at HL-LHC but the DELPHES simulation is used. Results are interpreted in the context of the heavy vector triplet (HVT) model [735]. The major backgrounds $W$+jets and $t\bar{t}$ production are simulated with MADGRAPH and AMC@NLO respectively, interfaced with Pythia. $Z$+jets, single top and diboson contribution are not simulated and are expected to contribute at most 10% to the total background.
Fig. 6.4.8: Left: 95% C.L. upper limit for the HVT $Z'$ via $ggF/q\bar{q}$ production. Right: expected luminosity required to observe a $5\sigma$ signal significance for the HVT $W'$ (black), HVT $Z'$ (blue) and $G_{RS}$ (red). The solid curves show the sensitivity using the current $W/Z$-tagger and the dashed curves for a future tagger that has a 50% increased signal efficiency and a factor two increased rejection of background.

Fig. 6.4.9: 95% C.L. upper limit for the HVT $Z'$ via $ggF/q\bar{q}$ production for the HE-LHC (left) and HL-LHC (right).

The analysis sensitivities to the resonance signals are extracted by performing a simultaneous binned maximum-likelihood fit to the $m_{WW}$ distributions as in the HL-LHC in the signal regions and the $W$+jets and $t\bar{t}$ control regions. The signal region and control regions are defined in the same way.

The expected upper limits set on the signal cross section times BR as a function of the signal mass are shown in Fig. 6.4.9 at 27 TeV and compared to the limits obtained for HL-LHC. Based on the $Z'$ production cross section from the HVT signal model the exclusion mass reach is extracted to be 9 and 11 TeV for integrated luminosities of 3 and 15 ab$^{-1}$ at 27 TeV, using DELPHES simulation of a potential detector configuration under no pileup condition.

6.4.5 Prospects for Boosted Object Tagging with Timing Layers at HL-LHC

Contributors: M. D. Klimek

Both CMS and ATLAS are studying new timing detectors to be installed for the high luminosity phase of the LHC. The primary motivation for these new detectors is to aid in mitigating the increased level of pileup that comes along with the increased luminosity. The $O(30\text{ ps})$ timing resolution will permit individual proton-proton interactions within a single bunch crossing to be temporally resolved. Beyond pileup mitigation, these detectors may be useful for novel kinds of searches. For example, it has
been proposed in Ref. [737] that they could be used to set limits on long-lived particles. In this section, we point out that this level of timing precision provides the capability of temporally resolving jets for the first time. Currently, jet substructure techniques play a major role in the search for BSM physics. These exploit correlations in momentum and angular distribution of jet constituents to distinguish standard QCD jets from other objects. The capabilities of the new timing layers open up the possibility of extending jet substructure techniques to the time domain. In this section, we will introduce the relevant concepts and suggest that time domain jet substructure can play a complementary role to existing techniques in the search of boosted resonances at the HL-LHC.

Of the various physics objects that are reconstructed by the LHC experiments, jets are unique in that they are collections of particles. The individual jet constituents have some spread in velocity and therefore arrive at the detector over some finite span of time. On dimensional grounds, we can estimate that the typical scale of Lorentz boosts of the jet constituents is $\gamma = E/m \sim E_j/n\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, where $E_j$ is the jet energy, $n$ is the hadron multiplicity of the jet, and $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ sets the typical hadron mass. The corresponding scale of the spread in arrival times at a detector a distance $R$ from the interaction point is then of order $\delta t \sim R\delta v \sim R\gamma^{-2}$. For $R \sim 1$ m, $E_j \sim 100$ GeV, $n \sim 10$, and $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim 1$ GeV, we have $\delta t \sim 100$ ps. Thus we see that at typical LHC energies, the proposed timing detectors with $O(30 \text{ ps})$ resolution should be sensitive to the temporal structure of jets.

We note that this effect is entirely due to the hadronisation process. Any time differences inherent to the perturbative parton shower should be of order $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$ and will be far below the resolution of the timing detectors. (In practice, the distance from the interaction point to the timing detector will have some dependence on pseudorapidity $\eta$. Because the showering process produces radiation in a cone around the original parton direction, the various jet constituents will then have slightly different distances to travel to the detector. However, for reasonably central jets, this will be a small effect.) If, in the hadronisation process, jet constituents are produced with rapidities $y$ distributed according to $dN/dy = f(y)$, then it is easy to verify that at a detector a distance $R$ in any direction from the interaction point, they will arrive distributed in time according to

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = cR f(y(t)) \frac{(ct)^2 - R^2}{},$$

with $ct > R$, where $c$ is the speed of light and $y(t) = \text{arctanh}(R/ct)$. Although it is not possible to calculate $f(y)$ from first principles, we note that for any reasonably well-behaved $f(y)$ the arrival time distribution will indicate a burst of jet constituents arriving promptly with a tail extending out to later times.

The hadronisation process is treated phenomenologically by a number of models, and we can verify that this behaviour is borne out. For example, the simplest form of the Lund string hadronisation model [738] predicts $f(y) = \text{constant}$. PYTHIA implements a more complete version of the Lund model, and we use it to verify the predictions of the preceding paragraphs. We generated a sample of 50 GeV quark jets from $e^+e^-$ annihilation in PYTHIA 8, and computed the arrival times of all charged hadrons in each jet at a distance of 1 m from the interaction point. A histogram with 100 ps bin size of the averaged arrival time profile is shown in Fig. 6.4.10. We can see that the PYTHIA output displays the expected behaviour, and we verify that the typical width of the profile is indeed $O(100 \text{ ps})$.

A study of the rapidity distribution of jet constituents from arrival time profile of QCD jets could provide additional data with which to tune our models of hadronisation. However, we will now argue that this information also provides a novel method for distinguishing normal QCD jets from jets produced by boosted objects. As described in the preceding paragraphs, in a typical jet we expect most hadrons to arrive together and a few to arrive at later times. Note that under a boost, the ordering of the jet constituents in velocity or rapidity is unchanged. Consider a massive particle that decays in its rest frame producing a jet pointing along the negative $x$ axis. The particles in the tail of its velocity distribution have velocities along the $x$ direction that are less negative than the rest of the jet. Now consider the same massive particle but with a large boost in the positive $x$ direction so that this jet is boosted forward into
the positive direction as well. Despite the change in direction, the ordering of the velocities in the jet is the same. The velocities of all jet constituents are now positive, and the tail of the distribution is more positive. We see that if a jet is sufficiently boosted, the tail of its hadron distribution can arrive at the detector first.

In order to quantify this effect, we make use of a simple diagnostic that can distinguish the boosted from the unboosted jets based on their arrival time profiles. Recall that for an unboosted jet, we expect the majority of the charged hadrons to arrive in close temporal proximity with a few arriving later, whereas for a sufficiently boosted jet, one or more charged hadrons may arrive before most of the others. Let $t_i$ and $p_i$ be the arrival times and momenta of the charged hadrons in a jet and $\bar{t}$ be the median charged hadron arrival time. We define the diagnostic function

$$D_\tau = \frac{\sum p_i \Theta(t_i - \bar{t} - \tau)}{\sum p_i}. \quad (6.4.12)$$

The parameter $\tau$ should be a value of order the time resolution of the detector. For an unboosted jet, the median arrival time will be in the prompt burst of hadrons. For an appropriate value of $\tau$, none of these hadrons nor any that arrive later will satisfy the theta function, and we will obtain $D_\tau = 0$. However, for boosted jets, some hadrons from the boosted tail may arrive before the main burst. Because the median will still be in the main burst, the early hadrons can satisfy the theta function, in which case we will find $D_\tau > 0$.

To verify this behaviour, we generate two samples of jets in PYTHIA 8. The first is a sample of 500 GeV quark jets from $e^+e^-$ annihilation. For comparison, we also generate a similar sample of 50 GeV jets, but then boost them by $v = 0.98$. This is comparable to jets that would be observed from $Z$ decay, where the $Z$ has been produced in the decay of a 1 TeV diboson resonance. We choose a conservative value of $\tau = 200$ ps, which is several times the expected timing detector resolution. In the first sample, we find that our diagnostic is zero in all but 1% of events. However, for the boosted jet sample, we obtain non-zero values in 25% of events.

The actual operating environment of the HL-LHC will present additional challenges coming from the noisy hadronic environment. Jet contamination from pileup and underlying event radiation could mimic the early arrival of the tail of a boosted jet. The information from the timing layers will be used to mitigate pileup and jet grooming techniques can be used to remove stray radiation from the jets before their temporal characteristic are analysed. The timing substructure information can then be combined with existing jet substructure techniques to increase the efficiency for tagging boosted objects, in turn
improving the reach of BSM searches. During an HE-LHC phase, even higher boosts can be expected, demanding effective techniques for boosted object tagging. Further details are presented in Ref. [739].

6.4.6 High mass resonance searches at HE-LHC using hadronic final states

Contributors: C. Helsens, D. Jamin, M. Selvaggi

The presence of new resonant states [472,479,740–746] decaying to two highly boosted particles decaying hadronically could be observed as an excess in the invariant mass spectrum of two jets over the large SM background. In this section we present the reach at the HE-LHC for three distinct hadronic signatures: $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ and $Q' \rightarrow jj$. For the $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ decay mode the SSM $Z'_{SSM}$ [672] and a leptophobic $Z'_{TC2}$ [645,747] have been considered as benchmarks $Z'$ models. For the $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ and $Q' \rightarrow jj$ decay modes, a Randall-Sundrum graviton [472] and excited heavy quarks [394,748] have been taken as a benchmarks respectively.

The decay products of the heavy resonances are typically in the multi-TeV regime and their reconstruction imposes stringent requirements on the detector design. Precise jet energy resolution requires full longitudinal shower containment. Highly boosted $W$ bosons and top quarks decay into highly collimated jets that need to be disentangled from standard QCD jets by characterising their substructure. Thus, in order to achieve high sensitivity excellent granularity is needed both in the tracking detectors and in the calorimeters.

Signal events were generated at LO with PYTHIA 8.230 [68]. The considered SM backgrounds are dijet (QCD), top pairs ($t\bar{t}$), $VV$ and $V+\text{jets}$ where $V=W/Z$, and were generated at LO using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [67]. A conservative constant k-factor of 2 is applied to all the background processes to account for possibly large higher order corrections. The detector simulation was performed with DELPHES [33] assuming an HE-LHC generic detector [699].

An important ingredient of the $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ searches is the identification of hadronically decaying boosted tops and $W$ bosons. To this end, a tagger using jet substructure observables was developed to discriminate $W$ and top jets against QCD jets. It was found that jets using tracking only information (track-jets) feature better angular resolution compared to pure calorimeter based jets. Therefore, track-jets are the optimal choice to build jet substructure observables. The boosted top tagger is built from the following jet substructure observables: the soft-dropped jet mass [504] and N-subjettiness [75] variables $\tau_{2,3}$ and their ratios $\tau_2/\tau_1$ and $\tau_3/\tau_2$. In addition, the $W$-jet versus QCD-jet tagger also uses an “isolation-like” variable that exploits the absence of high $p_T$ final state-radiation (FSR) in the vicinity of the $W$ decay products. Following the strategy defined in Ref. [26], we call these variables $E_T(n,\alpha)$ and define them as:

![Fig. 6.4.11: Invariant mass distribution of the two selected jets for the full selection for a 6 TeV signal for the three benchmark analyses $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ (left), $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ (centre) and $Q' \rightarrow jj$ (right)](image-url)
Fig. 6.4.12: Top: exclusion limit at 95% C.L. versus heavy resonance mass for the three benchmark models: $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ (left), $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ (middle), and $Q' \rightarrow jj$ (right). Bottom: integrated luminosity needed for a $5\sigma$ discovery as a function of the heavy resonance mass for the three benchmark models.

$$E_F(n, \alpha) = \frac{\sum_{\Delta R(k, jet) < \alpha} p_T^{(k)}}{\sum_{\Delta R(k, jet) < \alpha} p_T^{(k)}}$$

(6.4.13)

We choose $\alpha = 0.05$, construct 5 variables $E_F(n, \alpha)$ with $n = 1.5$ and use them as input to the multivariate tagger. The $W$ tagging performance has significantly better performance than the top-tagging due to the use of the energy-flow variables. We choose our working points with a top and $W$ tagging efficiencies of $\epsilon_S^{\text{top}} = 60\%$ and $\epsilon_S^{W} = 90\%$ corresponding respectively to a background efficiency of $\epsilon_B^{\text{top}} = \epsilon_B^{W} = 10\%$.

The event selection proceeds as follows: we require two jets with $p_T > 1$ TeV, $|\eta| < 3$ and a small rapidity gap $\Delta \eta < 1.5$ between the two high $p_T$ jets. For the $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and $G_{RS} \rightarrow WW$ searches, the rapidity gap selection is relaxed to $\Delta \eta < 2.4$, both jets are required to be respectively top or $W$-tagged, and, to further reject background QCD jets, we require for both jets a large soft-dropped mass $m_{SD} > 40$ GeV. Finally, for the $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ search alone, we require that both selected jets must also be $b$-tagged. Since no lepton veto is applied, there is also some acceptence for leptonic decays. The sensitivity to semi-leptonic $t\bar{t}$ decays is enhanced by adding the $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ vector to the closest jet 4-momentum (among the two leading jets). The invariant mass of the two selected jets is used as a discriminant and is shown for the three benchmark analyses in Fig. 6.4.11.

Hypothesis testing is performed using a modified frequentist method based on a profile likelihood fit that takes into account the systematic uncertainties (mostly the background normalisations) as nuisance parameters. The expected exclusion limit at 95% C.L. and discovery reach at $5\sigma$ are shown in
Fig. 6.4.13: Event rates for current integrated luminosity, HL-LHC, and HE-LHC, for the choice $k = 0.5$ TeV and $M_5 = 7$ TeV, which is a parameter point currently on the 95% exclusion contour of a recent CMS analysis [749]. Left: events are shown for a bin width of 100 GeV, as in Ref. [749]. Right: events are shown for a bin width of 10 GeV, which is close to the current experimental resolution, and reveals the oscillating nature of the signal arising due to the closely spaced resonances.

Fig. 6.4.12 (top and bottom) for the various scenarios that have been considered. At $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV, and with an integrated luminosity $L = 15$ ab$^{-1}$, it is possible to discover a $G_{RS}$ up to $m_G \approx 7$ TeV, and to exclude $m_G \lesssim 8$ TeV. For the $Z' \to tt$ search the exclusion reach is $m_{Z'} \lesssim 10(8.5)$ TeV and it is possible to discover it up to $m_{Z'} \approx 8(6.5)$ TeV for the TC2 and SSM models respectively. Finally, for the excited quark model, the exclusion reach is $m_{Q'} \lesssim 14$ TeV and the discovery reach is $m_{Q'} \approx 12$ TeV.

6.4.7 On the power (spectrum) of HL/HE-LHC

Contributors: G. Giudice, Y. Kats, M. McCullough, R. Torre, A. Urbano

Typical searches for heavy new physics beyond the SM focus on particular forms of kinematic features in differential event distributions, such as isolated resonant structures, edges, and fat tails, to name a few. However the space of theoretically consistent BSM signatures extends well beyond these classic signatures.

Recently, in Ref. [750], it was suggested that new physics signatures could give rise to peculiar oscillating patterns in collider data. These signatures may be revealed as a resonance, however rather than being a resonance in the invariant mass distribution within some SM final state, this resonance would show up in Fourier space, after performing a Fourier transform on the relevant collider data.

The particular model studied in Ref. [750], which provides a theoretically robust example of such a signature, is the ‘Linear Dilaton Model’ which is known to be connected to continuum limit of ‘Clockwork’ models. We will refer to all classes of models of this form as CW/LD. The CW/LD models can all give rise to various forms of oscillating patterns within SM final states. In particular, the LD model predicts closely packed resonances, starting at some threshold determined by a parameter ‘k’ which is related to an extra-dimensional geometry. These resonances are predicted to have mass splittings at the $O(\text{few\%})$ level. Such mass splittings are still greater than the detector energy resolution in some high-resolution final states, such as $\gamma\gamma$ and $l^+l^-$. Motivated by this, in Ref. [750] a detailed proposal for a class of collider searches in Fourier space was discussed. In this contribution we provide a simple extension of this proposal to estimate the expected reach of the HL-LHC and HE-LHC. The analysis is basic and has not been systematically optimised, thus the search reach based on the Fourier space analysis presented here should be considered
as a preliminary estimate, however it is likely that a dedicated study could perform better.

In Fig. 6.4.13 we show the spectrum of events in the diphoton final state expected for two choices of binning, to reveal the oscillating nature of the signal. The spectrum is calculated for the EEBE selections of the recent analysis [749]. The SM events are taken from the NNLO prediction calculated in Ref. [749]. In Ref. [749] the normalisation of the NNLO prediction is determined by fitting to the data, which is dominated by the low energy events. To obtain the corresponding prediction at 14 TeV and 27 TeV the SM events are rescaled by the ratio of $q\bar{q}$ PDFs at the same $m_{\gamma\gamma}$, relative to 13 TeV. We adopt the diphoton energy resolution detailed in Ref. [750].

Signal events are generated and passed through the acceptance curves for the EEBE category shown in Ref. [749] and we find good agreement with Ref. [749] for the same signal parameters shown in Figure 5 of Ref. [749]. Similarly to the SM events, 14 TeV and 27 TeV predictions are obtained by reweighting according to the PDF ratio.

In Fig. 6.4.13 the oscillatory pattern due to the multiple closely-spaced resonances is clear. We now move to Fourier space, which is suited to searching for such oscillating patterns. We adopt an analogous diphoton ‘Power’ to the function detailed in Ref. [750], with the modification that, rather than integrating over bins, a discrete Fourier transform, which sums over bin heights at the midpoint energy, is employed. A second modification is that rather than subtracting a fitted curve to find the residuals, we subtract the NNLO prediction detailed in Ref. [749], where the systematics related to the overall normalisation are removed by floating the distribution and fitting to the data.

The resulting power spectrum is illustrated in Fig. 6.4.14. Figure 6.4.14 (right) was obtained by generating 5000 sets of fake experiments, where the number of events in each bin is generated from a Poisson distribution, for both the SM only and SM + signal hypotheses, before subtracting the SM prediction. The curves for $\langle P \rangle$, $P_{68\%}$, and $P_{95\%}$ represent the mean value of $P(T)$ at a given value of $T$, over all fake experiments, and also the corresponding upper limits at a given C.L. derived from the distributions. The average value, when signal is included, is also shown for a variety of $M_5$ values.

In each case, the peak in Fourier space is clearly visible and approaches the $P_{95\%}$ line. We will not attempt a robust statistical analysis here, which would require a thorough treatment of systematics in
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**Fig. 6.4.14:** Left: example power spectra for 1000 fake experiments at the LHC. The individual SM lines are shown in grey, alongside the mean and confidence intervals. The power with signal ($k = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $M_5 = 7 \text{ TeV}$) included is shown in black, alongside the mean in red. Right: the power spectrum, defined in [750], for current integrated luminosity of $35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, HL-LHC, and HE-LHC, for $k = 0.5 \text{ TeV}$ and a variety of values of $M_5$. The red lines show the average power in the presence of signal and background. The significance of the peak in the power spectrum at $T \approx 50 \text{ GeV}$, which corresponds to $T = 1/R$, is clearly seen for higher energy and integrated luminosity colliders.
a likelihood ratio test. Rather, we will focus on the comparison between different colliders. Clearly, if the current LHC data can exclude a parameter point in the ballpark of $k = 0.5 \text{ TeV}$ and $M_5 \approx 7 \text{ TeV}$, then at the HL-LHC one should be sensitive to $M_5 \approx 16 \text{ TeV}$, and at the HE-LHC $M_5 \approx 30 \text{ TeV}$. Furthermore, since the statistics are much higher at these colliders one would presumably benefit from widening the range of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ considered, thus we expect the reach to exceed these values in a dedicated analysis.

To summarise, in this contribution we have estimated the reach of the HL-LHC and HE-LHC for detecting oscillating patterns in BSM contributions to the differential diphoton spectrum. Such searches have not yet been performed at the LHC and they provide a new target in the search for BSM physics. This estimate is performed in the context of the linear dilaton model, as described in Ref. [750].

We have found that for a warping parameter of $k = 500 \text{ GeV}$, which sets the mass scale of the first KK graviton resonance, if current limits on the extra-dimensional Planck scale are at the level of $M_5 \approx 7 \text{ TeV}$, then with HL-LHC they should extend considerably, to around $M_5 \approx 16 \text{ TeV}$, and at HE-LHC further still, to $M_5 \approx 30 \text{ TeV}$. 
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Conclusions and Outlook

The LHC is performing superbly and has already moved on from discovering the Higgs boson to accurately measuring its properties. As yet there are no clear deviations from the SM, in Higgs measurements or elsewhere. Nonetheless, with only a fraction of the 150 fb$^{-1}$ recorded data so far analysed, it is possible that surprises await, or that some of the existing small deviations grow. It is also possible that the new physics has small production cross sections either because it is weakly coupled to the initial state at the LHC, or that it is heavy, or both. Weakly coupled states within kinematic reach of the LHC may require increased data sets to uncover, such as the 3 ab$^{-1}$ of the HL-LHC each for ATLAS and CMS, and up to 300 fb$^{-1}$ for the Upgrade II of LHCb. Heavy states instead might require both increased centre-of-mass energy and increased luminosity, such as the 27 TeV and 15 ab$^{-1}$ of the HE-LHC.

The HL- and HE-LHC will both offer new possibilities to test many BSM scenarios, motivated by long-standing problems such as EW Naturalness, dark matter, the flavour problem, neutrino masses, the strong CP problem, and baryogenesis. All these new physics manifestations predict the existence of new particles, which can be searched for at HL-LHC, profiting from the much larger statistics and slightly higher energy, and at the HE-LHC, profiting from the much larger statistics and much higher energy. In both cases the searches will also benefit from detector upgrades. Careful attention has been paid to the impact of these upgrades and the assessment of the future systematic uncertainties, especially for HL-LHC where concrete proposals for the ATLAS, CMS and LHCb experiments exist.

This document contains numerous studies of the increased reach for many BSM scenarios that can be explored at the HL- and HE-LHC options. As well as determining the reach in sensitivity, several studies analyse the ability for HL- and HE-LHC to characterise potential discoveries and distinguish between possible BSM explanations. Rather than give a complete summary of all prospect analyses in this report, we instead provide representative examples of the scope of the BSM searches for the HL-LHC and HE-LHC. Broadly speaking, in most BSM scenarios, we expect the HL-LHC will increase the present reach in mass and coupling by 20−50% and potentially discover new physics that is currently unconstrained. The reach of the HE-LHC is generically more than double that of the HL-LHC. In Fig. 7.1 we present a summary of the results of SUSY searches (both prompt and long-lived) for sparticles produced via strong- or electroweak interactions, as described in detail in Section 2. Figure 7.2 shows a summary of most of the resonance searches (both single and pair production) presented in Sections 5 and 6.

HL-LHC
Supersymmetry

The extension of the kinematic reach for supersymmetry searches at the HL-LHC is reflected foremost in the sensitivity to EW states, including sleptons, but also for gluinos and squarks. Studies under various hypothesis were made, including prompt and long-lived SUSY particle decays. Wino-like chargino pair production processes are studied considering dilepton final states. Masses up to 840 (660) GeV can be excluded (discovered) for charginos decaying as $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, in R-parity conserving scenarios with $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as the lightest supersymmetric particle. The results extend by about 500 GeV the mass reach obtained with 80 fb$^{-1}$ of 13 TeV pp collisions, and extend beyond the LEP limit by almost an order of magnitude in case of massless neutralinos. Compressed SUSY spectra are theoretically well motivated but are among the most challenging scenarios experimentally, and are barely covered by the Run-2 analyses. HL-LHC searches for low momentum leptons will be sensitive to $\tilde{\chi}^\pm$ masses up to 350 GeV for $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \approx 5$ GeV, and to mass splittings between 0.8 and 50 GeV, thus bringing significant new reach to Higgsino models. Similar search techniques can also be used to target pair produced $\tilde{e}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ in compressed scenarios. If $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) < 1$ GeV, charginos can decay after the inner layers of the pixel detectors.

Dedicated searches for sleptons, characterised by the presence of at least one hadronically-
### HL/HE-LHC SUSY Searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\ell\ell'$, jets, $\tau$</th>
<th>Jets</th>
<th>Mass limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{t}_R$, $\tilde{t}_L$, or $\tilde{b}$</td>
<td>Single $\tau$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9 (3.2) TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{t}_R$, $\tilde{t}_L$, or $\tilde{b}$</td>
<td>Single $\ell\ell'$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.2 (5.7) TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{t}_R$, $\tilde{t}_L$, or $\tilde{b}$</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3 (2.5) TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{t}_R$, $\tilde{t}_L$, or $\tilde{b}$, $\tilde{g}$</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.3 (4.9) TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{t}_R$, $\tilde{t}_L$, or $\tilde{b}$, $\tilde{g}$</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4 (2.8) TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section:** 4.1.1
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**Fig. 7.1:** A summary of the expected mass reach for 5$\sigma$ discovery and 95% C.L. exclusion at the HL/HE-LHC, as presented in Section 2.

Decaying $\tau$ and missing $E_T$, will be sensitive to currently unconstrained pair-produced $\tilde{t}$: exclusion (discovery) for $m_{\tilde{t}}$ up to around 700 (500) GeV can be achieved under realistic assumptions of performance and systematic uncertainties.

In the strong SUSY sector, HL-LHC will probe gluino masses up to 3.2 TeV, with discovery reach around 3 TeV, in R-parity conserving scenarios and under a variety of assumptions on the $\tilde{g}$ prompt decay mode. This is about 0.8 – 1 TeV above the Run-2 $\tilde{g}$ mass reach for 80 fb$^{-1}$. Pair-production of top squarks has been studied assuming $t\bar{t} \rightarrow t\tilde{t}$ and fully hadronic final states with large missing $E_T$. Top squarks can be discovered (excluded) up to masses of 1.25 (1.7) TeV for massless neutralinos, *i.e.* $\Delta m(t, \chi^0) > m_t$, under realistic uncertainty assumptions. This extends by about 700 GeV the reach of Run-2 for 80 fb$^{-1}$. The reach in $m_{\tilde{t}}$ degrades for larger $\chi^0$ masses. If $\Delta m(t, \chi^0) \sim m_t$, the discovery (exclusion) reach is 650 (850) GeV.

**Dark Matter and Dark Sectors**

Compressed SUSY scenarios, as well as other DM models, can be targeted using signatures such as mono-jet, mono-photon and vector-boson-fusion production. Mono-photon and VBF events allow targeting an EW fermionic triplet (minimal DM), equivalent to a wino-like signature in SUSY, for which there is no sensitivity in Run-2 searches with 36 fb$^{-1}$. Masses of the $\chi^0$ up to 310 (130) GeV can be excluded by the mono-photon (VBF) channel, with improvements possible, reducing the theoretical uncertainties. Projections for searches for a mono-$Z$ signature, with $Z \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ recoiling against missing $E_T$, have been interpreted in terms of models with a spin-1 mediator, and models with two Higgs doublets and an additional pseudoscalar mediator $a$ coupling to DM (2HDMa). The exclusion is expected for mediator masses up to 1.5 TeV, and for DM and pseudoscalar masses up to 600 GeV, a
Fig. 7.2: A summary of the expected mass reach for $5\sigma$ discovery and 95% C.L. exclusion at the HL/HE-LHC, as presented in Sections 5 and 6.

factor of $\sim 3$ better than the 36 fb$^{-1}$ Run-2 constraints. The case of 2HDMa models is complemented by 4-top final states, searched in events with two same-charge leptons, or with at least three leptons. While searches using 36 fb$^{-1}$ Run-2 data have limited sensitivity considering the most favourable signal scenarios (e.g. $\tan \beta = 0.5$), HL-LHC will probe possible evidence of a signal with $\tan \beta = 1$, $m_H = 600$ GeV and mixing angle of $\sin \theta = 0.35$, assuming $m_a$ masses between 400 GeV and 1 TeV, and will allow exclusion for all 200 GeV < $m_a$ < 1 TeV. For DM produced in association with bottom or top quarks, where a (pseudo)scalar mediator decays to a DM pair, the HL-LHC will improve the sensitivity to mediator masses by a factor of $3-8$ relative to the Run-2 searches with 36 fb$^{-1}$.

A compelling scenario in the search for portals between the visible and dark sectors is that of the dark photon $A'$. Prospects for an inclusive search for dark photons decaying into muon or electron pairs indicate that the HL-LHC could cover a large fraction of the theoretically favoured $\epsilon - m_{A'}$ space, where $\epsilon$ is the kinetic mixing between the photon and the dark photon and $m_{A'}$ the dark photon mass.

Resonances

Several studies of resonance searches, in a variety of final states, have been performed and were presented here. A right-handed gauge boson with SM couplings, decaying as $W_R \rightarrow b(\rightarrow b\ell\nu)$, can be excluded (discovered) for masses up to 4.9 (4.3) TeV, 1.8 TeV larger than the 36 fb$^{-1}$ Run-2 result. For a sequential SM $W'$ boson in $\ell\nu$ final states ($\ell = e, \mu$), the mass reach improves by more than 2 TeV.
w.r.t. Run-2 (80 fb\(^{-1}\)) reach, and by more than 1 TeV w.r.t. 300 fb\(^{-1}\). The HL-LHC bound will be \(M_{W'} = 7.9\) TeV, with discovery potential up to \(M_{W'} = 7.7\) TeV. Projections for searches of SSM \(Z'\) bosons in the dilepton final state predict exclusion (discovery) up to masses of 6.5 (6.4) TeV. The 36 fb\(^{-1}\) Run-2 exclusion is 4.5 TeV, expected to grow to 5.4 TeV after 300 fb\(^{-1}\). Using top-tagging techniques, a Randall–Sundrum Kaluza–Klein gluon decaying to \(t\bar{t}\) is expected to be excluded up to 6.6 TeV and discovered up to 5.7 TeV, extending by over 2 TeV the 36 fb\(^{-1}\) bounds.

Models related to the apparent flavour anomalies in \(B\) decays suggest the presence of heavy resonances, either \(Z'\) or leptoquarks (LQ), coupling to second and/or third generation SM fermions. The HL-LHC will be able to cover a significant portion of the parameter space allowed by flavour constraints, with an exclusion reach up to 4 TeV for the \(Z'\), depending on the structure and size of the \(Z'\) couplings. Pair produced scalar LQs coupling to \(\mu (\tau)\) and b-quarks, on the other hand, can be excluded up to masses of 2.5 (1.5) TeV, depending on assumptions on couplings. Finally, prospect studies for third generation LQ in the \(t\mu\) and \(t\tau\) channels deliver mass limits (discovery potential) increased by 500 (400) GeV with respect to 36 fb\(^{-1}\), with prospect discovery in the \(t\mu\) channel up to 1.7 TeV.

**Long-lived particles**

In addition to the significant expansion of expected luminosity, new detector upgrades will enable searches in the long-lived particle regime. Muons displaced from the beamline, such as found in SUSY models with \(\tilde{\mu}\) lifetimes of \(c\tau > 25\) cm, can be excluded at 95\% C.L.. New fast timing detectors will also be sensitive to displaced photon signatures arising from LLP in the 0.1 < \(c\tau < 300\) cm range.

Prospect studies for disappearing tracks searches using simplified models of \(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}\) production lead to exclusions of chargino masses up to \(m(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_1) = 750\) GeV (1100 GeV) for lifetimes of 1 ns for the higgsino (wino) hypothesis. When considering the lifetime predicted by theory, masses up to 300 GeV and 830 GeV can be excluded in higgsino and wino models, respectively. This improves the 36 fb\(^{-1}\) Run-2 mass reach by a factor of 2-3. The discovery reach is reduced to 160 GeV and 500 GeV respectively, due to the loss in acceptance at low lifetime (0.2 ns), but sensitivity is expected to be recovered with dedicated optimisations.

Several studies are available also for long-lived \(\tilde{g}\). As an example, we expect a 1 TeV extension of the 36 fb\(^{-1}\) Run-2 mass reach, for models with \(\tilde{g}\) lifetimes \(\tau > 0.1\) ns, and an exclusion of \(m_{\tilde{g}}\) up to 3.4 – 3.5 TeV. Finally, the signature of long-lived dark photons decaying to displaced muons can be reconstructed with dedicated algorithms and is sensitive to very small coupling \(e^2 \sim 10^{-14}\) for masses of the dark photons between 10 and 35 GeV. Complementarities in long-lived particle searches and enhancements in sensitivity might be achieved if new proposals of detectors and experiments such as Mathusla, FASER, Codex-B, MilliQan and LHeC are realised in parallel to the HL-LHC.

**HE-LHC**

**Supersymmetry**

The increase in energy from 14 TeV to 27 TeV leads to a large increase in the production cross section of heavy coloured states, a 3.5 TeV gluino has nearly a 400-fold increase in production cross section. For supersymmetric spectra without compression the HE-LHC has sensitivity to gluinos up to masses of 6 TeV and discovery potential of 5.5 TeV. The corresponding numbers for stop squarks are 3.5 TeV and 3 TeV, respectively. These results allow to put in perspective the question to what extent classes of “natural” supersymmetric models are within the reach of HE-LHC and can be discovered or excluded conclusively. Examples of specific model scenarios were studied during the Workshop: while HL-LHC can only cover part of the parameter space of the models considered, HE-LHC covers it entirely. The HE-LHC would allow for a 5\(\sigma\) discovery of most natural SUSY models via the observation of both gluinos and stops.

If the coloured states are close in mass to the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP), the amount
of missing transverse momentum ($E_T^{\text{miss}}$) in the event is decreased. The typical multijet + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ SUSY searches are less sensitive and must be replaced with monojet-like analyses. Prospect studies show that if for example the gluino-LSP mass splitting is held at 10 GeV, gluino masses can be excluded up to 2.6 TeV. If the lightest coloured state is the stop, and the $\tilde{t}$-LSP splitting is such that final states include very off-shell $W$ and $b$-jets, $\tilde{t}$ masses up to about 1 TeV could be excluded, extending the HL-LHC reach by about a factor of two.

The electroweakino sector of supersymmetry presents a particular challenge for hadronic machines. If the LSP is pure higgsino or wino, there is a very small neutralino-chargino mass splitting ($\sim 340$ MeV, $\sim 160$ MeV respectively) and the chargino has a correspondingly long lifetime ($c\tau \sim 5, 1$ cm respectively). The $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is again small unless the pair produced electroweakinos recoil against an ISR jet. Taking into account contributions from both chargino and neutralino production the monojet search will deliver a sensitivity for exclusion (discovery) of winos up to $\sim 600$ GeV (300 GeV) and higgsinos up to $\sim 400$ GeV (150 GeV). Taking advantage of the long lifetime of the charginos allows searches to be done for disappearing charged tracks. Considering a detector similar to the ones available for HL-LHC, winos below $\sim 1800$ GeV (1500 GeV) can be excluded (discovered), while the equivalent masses for Higgsinos are $\sim 500$ GeV (450 GeV).

While these results come short of covering the full range of masses for electroweakinos to be a thermal relic and account for all of DM, the mass range accessible to HE-LHC greatly extends the HL-LHC potential and can be complementary to the indirect detection probes using gamma rays from dwarf-spheroidal galaxies.

**Dark Matter**

Monojet searches, as well as monophoton and vector-boson-fusion production searches, might be sensitive to generic weakly interactive dark matter candidates beyond compressed SUSY scenarios. Analyses of the reach of the HE-LHC under various assumptions of the structure of the DM-SM coupling have been carried out. Models characterised by the presence of an extended Higgs sector, with Higgs doublets mixing with an additional scalar or pseudoscalar mediator that couples to DM have been studied assuming associate production of DM with a pair of top quarks.

Using leptonic decays of the tops, a fit to the distribution of the opening angle between the two leptons can help distinguish signal from SM processes, after other background-suppressing selections. Assuming the DM is lighter than half the mediator mass, a scalar or pseudoscalar mediator can be ruled out at 95% C.L. up to 900 GeV using this technique, a factor of 2 higher in mass compared to the HL-LHC bounds.

If a dark sector exists and contains heavy coloured particles, $Q$, nearly degenerate with the DM and decaying to DM and SM coloured particles, a monojet topology could be again the most sensitive. The rate and shape of the monojet distribution depend upon the mass, spin and colour representation of $Q$. Fermionic colour triplet $Q$ could be discovered up to 1.1 TeV at the HE-LHC, a fermionic octet will be ruled out if lighter than 1.8 TeV, and a scalar colour triplet almost degenerate in mass to the DM could be probed up to masses of 600 GeV. Should an excess be observed identifying the spin and colour representation, NNLO precision will be needed for the predictions of the SM backgrounds. Analyses of double-ratios of cross sections at varying $p_T$ could be utilised to partially cancel uncertainties.

**Resonances**

Searches for heavy resonances will greatly benefit from the increased partonic energy of a 27 TeV machine. If the resonance is narrow the search can be data driven but if the resonance is wide it will require a precise understanding of the backgrounds, which presents a formidable challenge. The HE-LHC can expect to approximately double the mass reach on dijet resonances of the HL-LHC. For instance, the reach for an excited quark decaying to dijets will be 14 TeV.
Dilepton resonances, e.g. $Z'$, are present in many gauge extensions of the SM. The exact reach in any model depends upon the coupling to light quarks and BR to charged leptons. A $Z'$ whose couplings to SM quarks and leptons are as in the SM, a so called sequential $Z_{SSM}$, will be discoverable in the dilepton channel up to $\sim 13$ TeV. In the di-tau channel the reconstruction is harder, reducing the reach to $\sim 6$ TeV. In the di-top channel a $Z'_{SSM}$ will be discovered up to $6$ TeV and excluded up to $8$ TeV. It is an interesting question to ask: if a resonance is discovered can we determine the nature of the model? Considering a $6$ TeV $Z'$ decaying into $e, \mu, t, b, q$ final states, it is sufficient to consider three observables ($\sigma \cdot B$, the forward-backward asymmetry, and the rapidity asymmetry) to distinguish between six $Z'$ models in most cases.

Gauge extensions of the SM also often contain new heavy charged vector bosons, $W'$. One promising search channel for these new particles is the $WZ$ final state. More generally BSM models can contain resonances decaying to di-bosons $WZ/WW$. Searching for a RS graviton $G_{RS}$ resonance in $WW$ final states exploiting the all-hadronic signature presents a considerable challenge and requires dedicated identification of boosted $W$-jets. The estimated reach is $\sim 8$ TeV, almost a factor of two increase with respect to the HL-LHC. Diboson searches in $WW \rightarrow \ell\nu qq$ final states are sensitive also to heavy vector triplet (HVT) model produced via $ggF/qq\bar{q}$. HVT $Z'$ masses up to $11$ TeV can be excluded by HE-LHC, extending the HL-LHC reach by about $6$ TeV.

Searches for top partners decaying to a top and a $W$ boson in the same-sign dilepton signature can lead to discovery up to more than $2$ TeV, with the possibility to discriminate left- and right-handed couplings at the $2\sigma$ level in all the accessible discovery range.

Models related to the generation of the observed pattern of neutrino masses and mixings offer a variety of signatures that could profit from the high energy available at HE-LHC. For instance, searches for lepton flavour violating (LFV) final states with two opposite-sign different-flavour leptons and two jets arising from the production of a heavy pseudo-Dirac neutrino and a SM lepton could test heavy neutrino masses in seesaw models up to more than $1$ TeV. In left-right symmetric models, a right-handed heavy $W_R$ boson decaying to a Majorana neutrinos can be discovered with $5$ ab$^{-1}$ up to $\sim 10.5$ TeV and excluded up to masses of around $\sim 16$ TeV with an integrated luminosity of $15$ ab$^{-1}$. This increases the reach of HL-LHC by about $10$ TeV. Doubly charged scalars in type-II seesaw models, can also be searched for in multi-lepton signatures, with a reach in the $1.5$ TeV region. Heavy leptons in type-III seesaw models, giving rise to final states with two leptons, jets and $b$-jets can be excluded (discovered) up to $3.8$ (3.2) TeV. For comparison, the exclusion reach for the HL-LHC is about $1.6$ TeV.

Finally, models related to observed flavour anomalies in neutral-current $B$ decays, suggest the presence of heavy resonances, either $Z'$ or leptoquarks, coupling to muons and $b$-quarks. The HE-LHC will be able to cover an ample region of the parameter space allowed by flavour constraints, with an exclusion (discovery) reach up to $10$ (7) TeV for the $Z'$, depending on the assumptions on the $Z'$ couplings structure and size. Pair produced leptoquarks, on the other hand, can be excluded up to masses of $4.2$ TeV, again depending on assumptions on couplings. These masses are typically a factor of 2 to 2.5 higher than the HL-LHC sensitivity.
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