Determination of the Total $c\bar{c}$ Production Cross Section in 340 GeV/$c$ $\Sigma^-$-Nucleus Interactions
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Abstract. The production of charmed particles by $\Sigma^-$ of 340 GeV/c momentum was studied in the hyperon beam experiment WA89 at the CERN-SPS, using the $\Omega$-spectrometer. In two data-taking periods in 1993 and 1994 an integrated luminosity of $1600 \mu b^{-1}$ on copper and carbon targets was recorded. From the reconstruction of $930 \pm 90$ charm particle decays in 10 decay channels production cross sections for $D$, $\bar{D}$, $D_s$ and $\Lambda_c^+$ were determined in the region $x_F > 0$. Assuming an $A^4$ dependence of the cross section on the nucleon number, we calculate a total $c\bar{c}$ production cross section of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}(x_F > 0) = 5.3 \pm 0.4$ (stat) $\pm 1.0$ (syst) $+ 1.0$ ($\Xi_c$) $\mu b$ per nucleon. The last term is an upper limit on the unknown contribution from charmed-strange baryon production.
1 Introduction

Nearly 25 years after the discovery of the charm quark, charm hadron physics is still a major field of research. This results from the difficult identification of charm particle decays due to low production cross sections, short lifetimes and branching ratios of only a few percent in the principal decay modes. Not only the properties of the charmed hadrons themselves are the object of intense experimental studies. Charm quark production is also of interest, since it can be used for tests of QCD calculations. As the lightest of the “heavy” quarks, the charm quark is of special interest as its production can be described using perturbative methods and it is experimentally accessible with reasonable statistics.

Usually charm particle production is modeled as a two-step process involving different energy scales: one hard part, which describes the production of the charm quark pair itself, and the soft process of subsequent hadronization.

The elementary charm quark production process, dominated at typical fixed target energies by gluon-gluon fusion, has been calculated in the framework of perturbative QCD in leading and next to leading order, the NLO contributions exceeding the leading ones by a factor of three (see, for instance, [1]).

Because of the relatively small charm quark mass, NLO calculations still contain considerable uncertainties coming from uncertainties in the renormalization and factorization scales, unprecise parton density functions as well as the unknown charm quark mass. At present these uncertainties are much larger than the experimental errors. Therefore measurements of charm production in different beams and at different energies provide a data set on which many further developments of QCD-based calcula- tions can be tested rigorously.

In fixed target experiments charm particle production has so far been studied mainly with pion and proton beams, with beam momenta ranging from 200 to 600 and 200 to 800 GeV/c, respectively. Only few data on charm production by a kaon beam exist so far. All measured cross sections lie within the wide margins of the NLO calculations.

Many of these experiments measured $D_s^0$, $D^0$, $D^+_s$, and $\Lambda_c^+$ productions. Results on the charge asymmetries in the production of $D^+$, $D^0$, $D^+_s$, $\Lambda_c$ and their antiparticles have already been published [2].

2 Experimental setup

Experiment WA89 at the CERN-SPS used a secondary beam of $\Sigma^-$ created in 450 GeV/c p-Be interactions. The detector was designed as a typical forward spectrometer, set up in the CERN West area around the $\Omega$-magnet, and consisted of a target region, a decay region, the $\Omega$-spectrometer, a ring imaging Cherenkov counter and an electromagnetic and a hadronic calorimeter. Figure 2 shows the setup in 1993 – the setup in 1994 differed only marginally.

The hyperon beam was produced from 450 GeV/c protons from the CERN-SPS impinging on a beryllium rod of 40 cm length and 2 mm diameter positioned 16 m upstream of the experimental target. A magnetic channel selected negative particles with a mean momentum of 345 GeV/c and a momentum spread of $\sigma(p)/p = 9\%$. A beam of $4.0 \times 10^{10}$ protons per 2.1 seconds spill in a machine cycle of 14.5 seconds yielded about $4.5 \times 10^9 \pi^-$ and $1.8 \times 10^5 \Sigma^-$ at the experimental target. A transition radiation detector was used to suppress $\pi^-$ on the trigger level [3]. A detailed description of the hyperon beam setup and parameters can be found in [4].

The experimental target consisted of one 4 mm thick copper plate and three 2.2 mm thick carbon plates spaced at 2 cm intervals along the beam. For the carbon targets the mean efficiency in the central region with its high track density is 88%. To increase the tracking efficiency inside the target. The total interaction length of all targets was 4.95%. The spacing of 2 cm ensured that most charm decays occurred in the gaps between the targets and hence had a lower background from secondary interactions.

A total of 23 silicon microstrip detectors with a pitch of 25 and 50 $\mu$m, assembled closely downstream of the targets, was used for the reconstruction of the charm production and decay vertices. Another set of 14 planes upstream of the targets was used for beam track reconstruction [5].

The vertex area was followed by a 12 m long decay zone for short-living strange particles, equipped with 38 drift chamber planes with a wire spacing of 5 cm, a sensitive area of $80 \times 80$ cm$^2$ and a spatial resolution of 300 $\mu$m. The mean efficiency was 88%. To increase the tracking efficiency in the central region with its high track density the drift chambers were interleaved with 5 sets of MWPCs.
of 4 planes each. These MWPCs had a wire spacing of 1 mm, a sensitive region of \( \approx 12 \times 12 \text{ cm}^2 \) and an efficiency of 99%.

To improve the track connection between the target and decay regions three sets of 4 MWPCs with a wire spacing of 1 mm were installed 2 m downstream of the target.

Particle momenta were measured in the \( \Omega \)-spectrometer, a superconducting magnet with an integrated field of 7.5 Tm. A total of 45 MPWCs inside the magnet and 8 driftchamber planes and 4 MWPCs at the magnet exit allowed a momentum resolution of \( \sigma(p)/p^2 \approx 10^{-4} \text{(GeV/c)}^{-1} \).

Charged particle identification was accomplished by a ring imaging Cherenkov counter with a 5 m long radiator volume filled with nitrogen at atmospheric pressure. The Cherenkov threshold was at \( \gamma = 41 \). UV photons were detected in driftchambers filled with TMAE-saturated ethylene. A resolution of \( \sigma = 2.8 \text{ mm} \) and a mean number of 15.5 photoelectrons per ring, equivalent to a quality factor of \( N_0 = 53 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), allowed pion/kaon and pion/proton separation up to a momentum of 90 GeV/c and 150 GeV/c, resp. with a rejection factor of 10 [6].

An electro-magnetic lead glass calorimeter and a hadronic lead/scintillator “spaghetti” calorimeter were located downstream of the RICH. Both were not used in the analysis presented here.

The trigger was relatively open and had an average efficiency of 60-70% for the charm decays discussed here. It required a minimum track multiplicity in the target region, derived from two scintillators placed after the first 12 microstrip planes. Also two high-momentum particles were required with their momenta estimated from hit correlations in scintillators and wire chambers inside and behind the magnet.

The experiment had two main data taking periods in 1993 and 1994 during which a total of 350 million interaction triggers were recorded, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 450 \( \mu \text{b}^{-1} \) on copper and 1160 \( \mu \text{b}^{-1} \) on carbon.

3 Data Analysis

Since there were only minor differences in the detector setups of 1993 and 1994, both data sets could be handled identically. The reconstruction of charm particle decays followed a candidate-driven approach: for each track combination in the target area whose charges matched the decay considered, reconstruction of the decay vertex was attempted. If the reconstruction was successful, the production vertex was reconstructed from the remaining tracks rejecting those which contributed most to the \( \chi^2 \).
of the vertex fit until χ²/(degrees of freedom) fell below a
given limit.

Opposed to the total analysed sample which contains
about 350 million events, the final charm samples show a
total of only a few 10^6 entries per histogram. The reduc-
tion factor of more than 10^9 was accomplished in three
passes by cuts on (values in brackets give typical cut val-
ues and resolutions):

- the separation between production and decay vertex
  (> 7 - 13 σ; σ ≈ 500 μm),
- the impact parameter of the reconstructed charm can-
didate track w.r.t. the production vertex (< 3 - 6 σ; σ ≈
10 μm),
- the impact parameters of the decay vertex tracks w.r.t.
  the production vertex (> 3 - 6 σ; σ ≈ 10 μm)

Additional requirements were:

- a decay vertex location outside the targets
- a soft RICH identification of protons and kaons

Table 1 lists the reconstructed decay modes, the num-
ber of reconstructed events and the respective branching
ratios are taken from [7].

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay mode</th>
<th>branching ratio</th>
<th>events/background</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>b [cm²/GeV²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D⁺ → K⁻π⁺</td>
<td>(3.83 ± 0.12)%</td>
<td>140/54</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D⁻ → K⁻π⁻</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 0.6)%</td>
<td>30/15</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D⁺ → K⁺π⁻</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 0.6)%</td>
<td>116/30</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D⁻ → K⁻π⁺</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 0.6)%</td>
<td>187/29</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D⁺ → K⁺π⁻</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 0.6)%</td>
<td>55/15</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D⁻ → K⁻π⁺</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 0.6)%</td>
<td>49/15</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Mass spectra in different decay channels used for the
cross section measurement.
Fig. 3. $D^-$ detection efficiency as a function of $x_F$ and $p_t$. “cuts” denotes the charm identification cuts.

Fig. 4. $D^-$ differential production cross sections $d\sigma/dx_F$ and $d\sigma/dp_t^2$ (arbitrary units). Fits as described in the text.

80000 events were simulated per channel with different $x_F$ distributions to ensure sufficient statistics at high $x_F$.

The efficiencies obtained for the different decay channels analyzed show a similar behaviour. As an example, figure 3 shows the efficiencies for $D^-$ as functions of $p_t^2$ and $x_F$. While the efficiencies are practically flat in $p_t^2$ over the whole relevant region, the dependence on $x_F$ shows a sharp rise at about $x_F = 0.1$ and levels off above $x_F = 0.2$ at a value of 10% - 20%, depending on the decay channel. At high $x_F$, the charm identification cuts produce a decrease in efficiency because of the difficulty to reconstruct a production vertex from the few remaining low momentum tracks, which often are not seen in the detector.

After the TRD decision in the trigger only $\approx 2/3$ of the beam particles are $\Sigma^-$. A large amount of contamination originates from pions from $\Sigma^-$ decays between the end of the beam collimator and the target. These were suppressed by a cut on the track position/angle correlation which is fulfilled for beam particles coming from the production target, but not for decay pions (see [4] for details). Table 2 shows the beam composition after this cut for both data taking periods. The remaining contributions are fast pions, misidentified as $\Sigma^-$ in the TRD, and a few $K^-$ and $\Xi^-$ which cannot be distinguished from $\Sigma^-$. Table 2. Beam composition after elimination of $\Sigma^-$ decays.

To correct for the beam contamination, we have to make assumptions about the dependence of the charm production cross section on the beam projectile. In experiment E769 [14] the ratio of $D/D\bar{D}$ production by $\pi^-$ to production by protons was measured to be $r_{\pi p} = \sigma(\pi N \rightarrow D/\bar{D})/\sigma(pN \rightarrow D/\bar{D}) = 1.31 \pm 0.24$ at 250 GeV/c. With
a 360 GeV/c π− beam and a 400 GeV/c proton beam, the LEBC-EHS (NA27) collaboration measured this ratio to be $r_{pπ} = 1.05 ± 0.20$. For the total charm production, a ratio $\sigma(πN → c\bar{c})/\sigma(pN → c\bar{c}) = 1.00 ± 0.15$ was obtained in NLO and NNLO QCD calculations. We therefore correct for the pion and kaon contamination by using a value of $r_{pπ} = 1.2±0.3$, which amounts to a shift of (4.5±7)%. The possible effect from the $\Xi^−$ contamination is negligible in this context.

## 4 Cross Section Results

Since the detector acceptance drops sharply at small values of $x_F$, the measured differential cross sections were extrapolated to $x_F = 0$ using the usual ansatz $dσ/dxF ∝ (1 − x_F)^n$ where $n$ was obtained from a fit to the data above $x_F = 0.1$. As an example, the measured $dσ/dxF$ distribution for D− production is shown in figure 4 together with the fit results. The values of $n$ obtained in the fits are included in table 1. The errors quoted are the statistical errors of the fits only. The values of $n$ obtained are in agreement with values obtained in pion and proton beam experiments (14,15) and earlier references therein. The errors preclude any conclusions about possible differences in $n$ between the different charm particles produced in this experiment or between our Σ− beam result and the pion or proton beam results.

We also fitted the observed $p_t$ spectra with a form $dσ/dp_t^2 ∝ \exp(−b · p_t^2)$, assuming $b$ to be independent of $n$. Figure 5 shows the spectrum and fit result for $D^−$ production, and the values of $b$ obtained are listed in the last column of table 1. Again we observe agreement with the earlier experiments quoted above, but are unable to draw further conclusions.

The data from both targets were added and extrapolated from $Σ^−$ -nucleus to $Σ^−$ -nucleon cross sections assuming an $A^α$ dependence with $α = 1$. The same dependence was used in the analyses of the proton beam experiments listed in table 1. The most precise measurements of the exponent $α$ in charm production come from the proton beam experiments WA82 (14), E769 (15) and BEATRICE(WA92) (16). The averages from the three experiments are $α = 0.95 ± 0.04$ for both D0/ $D^0$ and $D^+$ production, with no significant dependence of $α$ on the longitudinal or transverse momenta. Use of $α = 0.95$ would increase the resulting $Σ^−$ -nucleon cross sections by a factor of 1.13 (1.23) for carbon (copper) targets.

The resulting integrated cross sections are listed in table 1. A first consistency check of the analysis can be obtained by comparing the results from the two-body and four-body decay modes of the D0, which are in good agreement.

The total $c\bar{c}$ production cross section is taken to be the mean of the sums of the observed charm and anti-charm cross sections (using a weighted mean for the two D0 cross sections) with the result: $σ_{c\bar{c}}(x_F > 0) = 5.6 ± 0.4 (stat) μb$.

Extensive studies of possible systematic effects have been carried out. No considerable dependence of the result on the cut values or on reasonable variations of detector efficiencies was found. We assign an overall systematic error of 20% to all cross sections due to the uncertainties of the Monte Carlo simulation, the extrapolation to $x_F=0$ and the luminosity measurement.

Our cross section result is based on the observation of D and D± mesons, to which all excited charm mesons will eventually decay, and of Λc, to which all charmed non-strange baryons will decay. Therefore possible unidentified contributions from charm anti-baryons and from charmed-strange baryons remain, which will be discussed in the following.

A significant contribution to the total cross section by charm anti-baryons is not expected due to the observed strong asymmetry in $Λ_c/Λ_c$ production. From the results of a direct search for charmed-strange baryon production, we cannot exclude production cross sections of 1 μb [17]. We therefore add a further systematic uncertainty of $+1 μb$ from Ξc production to our total $c\bar{c}$ production cross section. A contribution from $Ω_c$ should be small compared to the overall uncertainties. The total $c\bar{c}$ production cross section for $x_F > 0$ then is: $σ_{c\bar{c}}(x_F > 0) = 5.6 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 1.1 (syst) ± 1.0 (Ξc) μb$.

### Table 3. Production cross sections for anti-charmed and charmed hadrons in the range $x_F > 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>$σ(π)[μb]$</th>
<th>$σ(c)[μb]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D^0 → Kπ$</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.29</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$→ Kπππ$</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.41</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^−$</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^+_c$</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Λ_c → Kπ$</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>5.54 ± 0.51</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 Discussion

In the hyperon beam experiment WA99 at CERN, close to 1000 charm particles were found in 340 GeV/c $Σ^−$ -nucleus interactions. We determined production cross sections for $D^0$, $\overline{D^0}$, $D^+$, $\overline{D^+}$, $D^0_\ast$, $\overline{D^0_\ast}$ and $Λ_c^+$ in the region $x_F > 0$. While a clean $Λ_c^+$ signal could be observed, the $\overline{Λ_c}$ could not be singled out. This suppression of antibaryon production in baryon beams is well known from non-charm baryon production. The cross sections for $D^\pm$ and $\overline{D^\pm}$ production are comparable. The suppression of $D^+_c$ production relative to $D^-_c$ is probably caused by the suppression of $\Xi$ production relative to $\Sigma$ production, while the s quark of the $D^-_c$ can be supplied by the $Σ^−$ -beam particle. From this argument one would expect an enhancement of $D^−$ over $D^+$ production as well, but this enhancement is not so strong since light antiquarks are produced more copiously than the heavier s quarks. Indeed, we observed a significant $D^-/D^+$ production asymmetry at larger $x_F$ [2].
but the effect on the integrated cross section for $x_F > 0$ is not observable within the errors of our measurements.

In figure 5 and in table 4 our result for the $(D$ or $\overline{D})$ production cross section $\sigma_{D/\overline{D}}(x_F > 0)$ is $7.4 \pm 0.4 \text{(stat.)} \pm 1.5 \text{(syst.)} \mu b$ compared to measurements in proton beams \cite{18,19,20,21}. Proton beam measurements valid for $-1 < x_F < 1$ have been divided by 2 for this comparison. Our result is significantly lower than the proton beam values. It is not clear, however, whether for this comparison we should include all or part of our measured value for $D_s^{+}$ production, $\sigma_{D_s^{+}} = 1.6 \pm 0.4 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.3 \text{(syst.)} \mu b$, since our beam projectile carries a strange quark.

Our measured value for the ratio of charged and neutral $D/\overline{D}$ production, $\sigma(D^\pm)/\sigma(D^0, \overline{D}^0) = 0.49 \pm 0.06$, is compatible with the mean value from the proton beam experiments \cite{18,19,20,21}. $\sigma(D^\pm)/\sigma(D^0, \overline{D}^0) = 0.66 \pm 0.09$. The corresponding ratio from pion beam experiments at beam energies between 200 and 600 GeV/c is $\sigma(D^\pm)/\sigma(D^0, \overline{D}^0) = 0.415 \pm 0.010$ in good agreement with our result (for the pion beam results, see ref. \cite{18} and earlier references therein). For the ratio of $D_s^{-}$ and $D$ production we obtained $\sigma(D_s^{-})/\sigma(D, \overline{D}) = 0.22 \pm 0.06$. This ratio has not been measured in proton beams. In the pion beam experiments quoted above, the ratio of $D_s^{+}$ and $D_s^{-}$ production to $D$ production has been measured to be $\sigma(D^\pm)/\sigma(D, \overline{D}) = 0.129 \pm 0.012$. Since both $D_s^{+}$ and $D_s^{-}$ are non-leading when produced in a pion beam, we can safely assume that $\sigma(D_s^{-})/\sigma(D, \overline{D}) = 0.065 \pm 0.006$ in pion beams, which is markedly lower than our $\Sigma^{-}$ beam result. This is another clear manifestation of the leading particle effect.

Theoretical calculations exist only for the total charm production cross section, $\sigma_c$, for all $x_F$. Therefore our result and the results of the proton beam experiments \cite{18} obtained in the kinematic region $x_F > 0$, were multiplied by a factor of 2. This factor of 2 holds for interactions with equal beam and target particles, but even in $\Sigma^{-}$ N interactions, no significant deviation from 2 is expected, since the production cross sections integrated over the range $0 < x_F < 1$ show only small differences between leading and non-leading charm hadrons as discussed above. Furthermore, the $D/\overline{D}$ production cross sections measured in the proton beam experiments have to be extrapolated to total charm production. Our value for the ratio of total charm production to $D/\overline{D}$ production is $\sigma_c/\sigma_{D/\overline{D}} = 1.53 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.10$. The E769 collaboration \cite{18} measured $\sigma_{D_s^{-}} = 9.0 \pm 1.5 \mu b$ and $5.1 < \sigma_c < 21.5 \mu b$ in their proton beam, which is consistent with our ratio. In their pion beam they measured $\sigma(\Lambda_c + D_s)/\sigma(D^+ + D^0) = 0.53 \pm 0.14$ (particles and antiparticles added). In the photoproduction experiment NA14/2 this ratio was measured to be $0.36 \pm 0.11$. These values indicate that the ratio $\sigma_c/\sigma_{D/\overline{D}}$ does not depend strongly on the nature of the beam particle. We used a value $\sigma_c/\sigma_{D/\overline{D}} = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ to calculate $\sigma_c$ from the proton beam results on $\sigma_{D/\overline{D}}$. Finally, the production cross section for $c\bar{c}$-pairs, $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}$, was obtained by dividing the above results for $c$ or $\overline{c}$ by a factor of 2. The results are listed in the last column of table 4 and are compared in figure 4 with theoretical calculations.

The dashed/solid/dotted bands were obtained by Frixione et al. in NLO QCD-calculations for charm quark mass values of $1.2/1.5/1.8$ GeV/c$^2$, respectively \cite{21}. The width of the bands for fixed $m_c$ is given mainly by the uncertainties of the renormalization scales. The proton beam measurements prefer the upper range of the predictions, and seem to exclude a value of $m_c = 1.8$ GeV/c$^2$. This holds also
for charm production measurements in pion beams, as discussed in [12, 22]. The thick dot-dashed line denotes the results of Smith and Vogt [13] for a charm quark mass of 1.5 GeV/c². These authors used resummation techniques and approximations for NNLO. At our beam energy, their results are larger by a factor 3 than the NLO calculations for the same charm quark mass.

Our experiment provides the first comparison of charm production by Σ⁻ and by protons. Our result seems to be lower than the proton beam results, in line with the observation that the total Σ⁻ N cross sections are smaller than the pp cross sections [23]. However, in view of the problems encountered when comparing cross sections from different experiments, it may be premature to claim that σᶜ or σᴰ/INV is smaller in Σ⁻ than in proton beams. The forthcoming results from the hyperon beam experiment SELEX at FNAL will help to clarify this point [24].

Fig. 6. Total charm production cross sections by Σ⁻ (this expt., full circle) and protons (open circles) compared to theoretical predictions as explained in the text.
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