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Abstract

An analytical model is developed to describe the longitudinal phase space of a hybrid beam of pions and decay muons.
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## 1 Introduction

The properties of the muon beam created by decay of pions are usually obtained by simulation. Here, an analytical model is developed to get insight into the properties of the beam and guidelines in the adjustment of the magnets of the decay channel and of the RF system that collect the muon beam. It is assumed that there is no coupling between transverse and longitudinal spaces. This treatment is thus more appropriate in the present stage for a quadrupolar than for a solenoidal decay channel. All the pions are supposed to travel along the axis of the channel. It is thus essentially the kinematic effects of the pion motion, muon creation and muon motion that are investigated. These effects are described in terms of energy or momentum density, of time density and of longitudinal phase space portraits. Densities are manipulated using random variable methods.

## 2 Momentum and energy spectra

Calculations that follow are based on the kinematic relations of the decay process $\pi \to \mu + \nu$. The following notations are used: pion mass $m_\pi$, its lifetime at rest $\tau_\pi^*$, energy $E_\pi$, muon mass $m_\mu$, center of mass decay angle $\theta_\mu^*$ with respect to pion velocity. The basic kinematics ingredients needed are the pion
- laboratory frame lifetime $\tau_\pi = \gamma_\pi \tau_\pi^*$,
- decay law $N(s) = N_0 e^{-\eta s / p_\pi}$, wherein $\eta = m_\pi / c \tau_\pi^*$,

and the muon
- center of mass energy $E_\mu^* = (m_\pi^2 + m_\mu^2) / 2 m_\pi$ and momentum $p_\mu^* = (m_\pi^2 - m_\mu^2) / 2 m_\pi$
- laboratory frame energy $E_\mu = \gamma_\pi (E_\mu^* + \beta_\pi p_\mu^* \cos \theta_\mu^*)$.

The technique used to calculate a density in some variable $x$ as a function of a density in another variable $y$ relies on the relation $g_x = g_y \left| d y / d x \right|$. The properties of the muon beam created by decay of pions are usually obtained by simulation. Here, an analytical model is developed to get insight into the properties of the beam and guidelines in the adjustment of the magnets of the decay channel and of the RF system that collect the muon beam. It is assumed that there is no coupling between transverse and longitudinal spaces. This treatment is thus more appropriate in the present stage for a quadrupolar than for a solenoidal decay channel. All the pions are supposed to travel along the axis of the channel. It is thus essentially the kinematic effects of the pion motion, muon creation and muon motion that are investigated. These effects are described in terms of energy or momentum density, of time density and of longitudinal phase space portraits. Densities are manipulated using random variable methods.

### 2.1 Muon spectra at fixed pion momentum

**Muon momentum** Given fixed pion momentum $p_\pi$, the decay muon momentum satisfies a $p_\pi$-conditional density that writes

$$g_{p_\pi, \mu}(p_\mu) = g_{\theta_\mu^*}(\theta_\mu^*) \left| d \theta_\mu^* / dp_\mu \right|$$

wherein $g_{\theta_\mu^*}(\theta_\mu^*) = \sin \theta_\mu^*/2$ ($\theta_\mu^* \in [0, \pi]$) is the decay angle density. $g_{p_\mu, \pi}(p_\mu) \equiv 0$ outside the specified $p_\mu$ interval. FIG. 1 shows typical shapes of $g_{p_\mu, \pi}(p_\mu)$. Monte Carlo histograms $\Delta N_{p_\mu, \pi} / N_0 \Delta p_\mu$ are superimposed for comparison.

**Muon energy** Similar calculations in the case of a change of variable $\theta_\mu^* \to E_\mu$, or as well using $d/dE = (1/\beta) d/dp$ in Eq. 1, yield the energy density at fixed $p_\pi$

$$g_{E_\mu, \pi}(E_\mu) = \frac{m_\pi}{2 p_\pi p_\mu^*}, \quad E_\mu \in [\gamma_\pi (E_\mu^* - \beta_\pi p_\mu^*), \gamma_\pi (E_\mu^* + \beta_\pi p_\mu^*)]$$

### 2.2 Pion and muon spectra versus flight distance

**Pions** Pion densities properties that intervene in the sequel are as follows. The decay density as a function of flight distance $s$, given $p_\pi$, writes

$$g_s(p_\pi, s, \nu) = \eta / p_\pi \exp (-\eta s / p_\pi)$$

$$\mu$$
Given parent pions with initial momentum density \( g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi) \) (say, at \( s = 0 \)), one gets the 2-D density at arbitrary \( s > 0 \)

\[
g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) = g_{s|p_\pi} \times g_{p_\pi} \quad \text{(and } \int_{s=0}^{\infty} \int g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) \, ds \, dp_\pi = 1)\]

In the following, for the sake of simplification, we will illustrate things using a uniform initial pion momentum density

\[
g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi) = 1_{\Delta p_\pi}(p_\pi) = \frac{1}{(p_{\pi 2} - p_{\pi 1})} \quad (p_\pi \in [p_{\pi 1}, p_{\pi 2}])
\]

The ensuing form of \( g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) \) is shown in FIG. 2, given a pion bunch launched at \( s = 0 \) with zero size and \( p_\pi \in [100,500] \) MeV/c. Integrating Eq. 4 with respect to \( s \) yields the \( p_\pi \)-density of the decayed parent pions at distance \( s \),

\[
g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi)|_s = \int_0^s g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) \, ds = 1_{\Delta p_\pi}(p_\pi) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\eta s/p_\pi\right)\right)
\]

The \( p_\pi \)-density of the non-decayed pion population ensues,

\[
g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi)|_s = (g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi) - g_{p_\pi}(p_\pi)|_s) = 1_{\Delta p_\pi}(p_\pi) \exp\left(-\eta s/p_\pi\right)
\]

**Muons** A non-zero pion momentum byte is accounted for by multiplying the \( p_\pi \)-conditional density \( g_{p_\mu|p_\pi}(p_\mu) \) (Eq. 1) by the muon density at \( s \) at given \( p_\pi \), \( g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) \) (Eq. 4). (The muon decay is not taken into account in the following for simplicity, doing so would mean accounting for an \( s \)-dependent muon survival additional factor.) This yields the muon momentum spectrum at \( s \) under the integral form

\[
g_{p_\mu}(p_\mu)|_s = \int_{\Delta p_\pi} g_{p_\mu|p_\pi} \, dp_\pi \int_0^s g_{s,p_\pi}(s,p_\pi) \, ds \quad \text{(and } \lim_{s \to \infty} g_{p_\mu}(p_\mu)|_s = 1)\]

The \( \Delta p_\pi \) integration interval is a function of \( p_\mu \) following the dependence given in Eq. 1 (not all pions can produce a muon of momentum \( p_\mu \)). A similar integral holds for the energy spectrum \( g_{E_\mu}(E_\mu)|_s \), given \( g_{E_\mu|p_\pi} \) (Eq. 2).

The summation in Eq. 8 can be viewed as a superposition of the fixed-\( p_\pi \) muon spectra of FIG. 1, this is the way the muon spectra shown in FIG. 3 has been numerically calculated (Monte Carlo histograms \( \Delta N_{p_\mu}|_s/N_0 \Delta p_\mu \) have been superimposed for comparison). However the calculations can been completed analytically, as performed for obtaining the energy spectra in FIG. 4-left, which we do not detail for shortness.
2.3 Mean value and standard deviation

**Parent pion beam** The pion beam average momentum as a function of $s$ is obtained from Eq. 7 that yields

$$p_{\pi}(s) = \int p \, \tilde{g}_{p_{\pi}}(p) \, dp / \int \tilde{g}_{p_{\pi}}(p) \, dp = \frac{\sum_{i=1,2} \left( - \frac{p_{\pi i}^2 - \eta s p_{\pi i} - \eta^2 A_{\pi i}^2 \frac{\eta s}{p_{\pi i}}}{2 e^{\eta s / p_{\pi i}} \text{Ei}(-\frac{\eta s}{p_{\pi i}})} \right)}{\sum_{i=1,2} \left( - \frac{p_{\pi i} + \eta s e^{\eta s / p_{\pi i}} \text{Ei}(\frac{\eta s}{p_{\pi i}})}{e^{\eta s / p_{\pi i}}} \right)}$$

**Muon beam** Similar calculations apply to the determination of the mean momentum $p_{\mu}(s)$ and energy $E_{\mu}(s)$ of the muons and to the momentum of the center of gravity of the hybrid beam.

Average momenta of both pion and muon beams are increasing functions of the distance, because the lower energy parent pions decay faster, whereas the average momentum of the $\pi + \mu$ beam decreases monotonically here (FIG. 4-right), a behavior that can be accounted for to maintain constant focusing strength in tuning the decay channel [1].
Another parameter, relevant to the voltage of the RF system, is the second moment of the energy density:

$$
\sigma_{E_\mu}(s) = \left( \int_{E_{\mu1}}^{E_{\mu2}} (E - \overline{E_\mu})^2 g_{E_\mu} \, dE / \int_{E_{\mu1}}^{E_{\mu2}} g_{E_\mu} \, dE \right)^{1/2}
$$

Both mean energy and ends of the standard energy interval are displayed in FIG. 4-right. The capture efficiency can be defined as

$$
y_{E_\mu}(s) = \frac{\overline{E_\mu} + \sigma_{E_\mu}}{\overline{E_\mu} - \sigma_{E_\mu}} \int_{E_{\mu1}}^{E_{\mu2}} g_{E_\mu} \, dE / \int_{E_{\mu1}}^{E_{\mu2}} g_{E_\mu} \, dE
$$

3 Time spectra

The approach followed for the energy distribution can be resumed for time distribution. The $p_\pi$-conditional time density $g_{t_\mu|p_\pi}(p_\mu)$ of the muons at arbitrary $s$ can be derived from $g_{\theta_\mu^*}(\theta_\mu^*)$ through a change of variable

$$
\theta_\mu^* \rightarrow t_\mu = s_d/c\beta_\pi + (s - s_d)/c\beta_\mu
$$

with $s_d$ being the pion decay distance. On the other hand $g_{t_\mu|p_\pi}(p_\mu)$ can be derived from $g_{p_\mu|p_\pi}(p_\mu)$ (Eq. 1) using a change of variable $p_\mu \rightarrow t_\mu$.

A non-zero pion momentum byte $\Delta p_\pi$ is accounted for in the muon density calculation, by introducing the decayed pion density at $s_d$, under the form of a $g_{s,p_\pi}(s, p_\pi)$ factor (Eq. 4). This yields the muon time density under the integral form

$$
g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu)\big|_s = \int_{s_d=0}^{s} ds_d \int_{\Delta p_\pi} g_{t_\mu|p_\pi}(t_\mu) g_{s,p_\pi}(s_d, p_\pi) \, d\phi_\pi
$$

with still a $p_\mu$ dependence, and in addition a $s_d$ dependence, of the integration domain $\Delta p_\pi$. The calculation cannot be performed analytically because of the presence of $\beta_\pi$ together with $p_\pi$ in the integrand. Moreover, integrating over $s$ cannot be done separately.

The numerical method of histograms superimposition followed for calculating the momentum and energy densities remains however valid. The boundary times correspond to the fastest and slowest muon emitted by the fastest and slowest pion. The typical shape of $g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu)\big|_s$ is displayed as a projected density in FIG. 6. The first two moments of $g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu)\big|_s$ can be calculated so as to derive capture efficiencies as was done for the energy spectra.
Proton bunch length The time distribution is affected by the length $\tau$ of the proton bunch which generates the pions by interaction with the target (which in turn affects the muon yield [2,Tab. 5.2]). Accounting for that is a matter of re-writing the density function under the form of a convolution product:

$$g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu|s) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau S(t_\mu - \tau) g_{t_\mu}(\tau) d\tau,$$

wherein $S$ characterizes the density of pions inside the bunch at the time of production.

4 Longitudinal phase-space

4.1 2-D density

The muon time density $g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu|s)$ (Eq. 11) has an explicit dependence on $s$. Note that, given the pion energies in concern here, the flight distance $s$ can be considered in good approximation as the position along the channel length. $g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu|s)$ also has an implicit dependence on $p_\mu$ or $E_\mu$ (from the $p_\mu \leftrightarrow t_\mu$ correlation). As a consequence $g_{t_\mu}(t_\mu|s)$ can be considered as a 2-D density $g_{t_\mu,E_\mu}(t_\mu, E_\mu|s)$ in the longitudinal phase-space with parameter $s$. This is illustrated in FIG. 5 in the case $p_\pi \in [200, 400]$ MeV/c and $s = 30$ m.

The muon population at arbitrary $s$ can also be reconstructed from Eq. 11 : the $(t_\mu, E_\mu)$ space can be meshed, $N_0 g_{t_\mu,E_\mu}(t_\mu, E_\mu|s) \Delta p_\mu \Delta E_\mu$ gives the local number of points on the mesh. This is illustrated in FIG. 6-left, taking $p_\pi \in [200, 400]$ MeV/c and $s = 40$ m. Monte Carlo simulations of longitudinal...
phase-space at distance $s$ along a drift axis are displayed in Fig. 6-right for comparison, showing excellent agreement, in particular it is seen that time and energy projected densities in the left and right plots compare fairly well.

### 4.2 RF parameters

The first two moments of the marginal densities $g_{t\mu}$ and $g_{E\mu}$ can be calculated as described earlier. In the conditions illustrated in FIG. 5 it yields the following results. The bunch distribution in time and in energy satisfy

$$\bar{c}_\mu \pm \sigma_{c\mu} = 33.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ m}, \quad \bar{E}_\mu \pm \sigma_{E\mu} = 258 \pm 55 \text{ MeV}$$

The $rms$ time extent determines the choice of $45$ MHz RF frequency for a half-wave extent, whereas $\sigma_{E\mu}$ determines a $\approx 55$ MV total RF voltage, for bunch rotation (consistent with the CERN design parameters [2,Sec.5.2]).

The $rms$ bunch emittance is $\epsilon/\pi = 1.23$ eV.s, yielding a capture efficiency of 64% namely, the ratio of the number of muons contained in the $rms$ bunch to the total number of muons. The $c_\mu$ to $E_\mu$ correlation coefficient is $-0.87$. The proton bunch length upper limit in order to avoid excessive muon bunch lengthening is about $5$ ns (in quadratic mean).

### 5 Conclusion

The model described in this paper explains the shape of the density functions of a muon beam and allows calculating the 2-D longitudinal phase-space density. The calculations can be applied to a realistic pion spectrum once HARP will have provided its results [3], yet the hypothesis of uniform spectrum is fairly well fulfilled for a $\pi^\pm$ momentum interval of $150 - 500$ MeV/c [4], allowing an estimate of various parameters entering muon capture dynamics.

The energy and time densities can be given a simple integral form and thus calculated numerically almost instantaneously. Moreover several of the integral expressions involved have an analytical primitive, this has not been detailed for the sake of shortness.

Various quantities relevant to beam dynamics can be derived: average beam momentum applies to the adjustment of the focusing strength of the quadrupoles in the decay channel. The mean energy and the energy spread affect the RF voltage. The mean arrival time and the muon bunch length are relevant to the RF phase and the choice of the RF frequency. The predictions of the model have been compared with results from Monte Carlo simulations for validation.

Calculation and transport of the transverse densities and phase-space portraits have been undertaken in a similar way [5], and will be subject to further publication.

Further developments and applications can be foreseen, including fast propagation of densities by methods of second order transport, using these techniques of random variables and their combination.
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