Response of RadFET Dosimeters to High Fluences of Fast Neutrons
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ABSTRACT

We present irradiation experiments carried out on RadFETs in the 20.4 MeV high intensity T2 neutron beam at the CRC-UCL in Belgium. The aim of the test was to characterize the neutron response of RadFETs in view of their use as an integrated part of a radiation-monitoring sensor for the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiments. Two types of RadFETs were investigated up to a total neutron fluence of $3 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ corresponding to a deposited dose of 744 Gy in Silicon. The responses of bare devices to neutrons are compared to the commonly used reference measurements with gamma rays. It is found that the gamma ray calibration cannot directly be adopted to convert the RadFET signals into neutron dose. In a second experiment, the influence of a plastic packaging, simulated by Polyethylene slabs of different thicknesses, was tested in the neutron beam and compared to GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulations. An increase of the RadFET neutron sensitivity by a factor of up to 7 due to the packaging is found. The influence of these findings on the conception of the radiation-monitoring sensor is discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The response of MOSFET dosimeters (RadFETs) to different particle environments is under investigation at CERN (European Organization for Nuclear Research) with the aim to apply this technology for the radiation monitoring of the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment [1] and possibly also the other LHC experiments [2].

In CMS, a strong mixed radiation field composed of neutrons, photons and charged hadrons, is expected and has been calculated in detail by Monte Carlo Simulations [3]. At large radii from the interaction point (> 2 m) this complex field is dominated by fast neutrons. In some locations a fast neutron fluence of more than \(10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) is expected over a 10-years period.

To monitor the ionizing and non-ionizing components of the radiation field in CMS, an integrated sensor based on a combination of RadFET and Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dosimeters as well as \(p-i-n\) diodes has already been proposed elsewhere [4], [5].

In a first test [6], significant differences in the response of unpackaged (i.e. bare die) and packed RadFETs were observed in the mixed gamma/neutron environment of the IRRAD2 facility at the CERN Proton-Synchrotron (PS) accelerator [7]. Therefore, the influence of the packaging, especially in a fast neutron field, has become a crucial issue which will influence the design of the above mentioned radiation monitor sensor.

In this work we describe experimental results obtained with different MOSFET devices irradiated at the high-intensity T2 pure neutron source at the Cyclotron Research Centre (CRC) of the Catholic University of Louvain-La-Neuve (UCL) in Belgium [8]. Bare die chips as well as chips covered with Polyethylene (PE) slabs of different thicknesses were tested in order to simulate the chip’s plastic packaging. The results are compared to the predictions of a Monte Carlo simulation using the GEANT4 package [9].

Furthermore, the data obtained with the unpackaged devices in the UCL neutron beam are compared with gamma ray reference measurements and data previously obtained by Blamires et al. [10] on 15 MeV neutrons.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Devices Tested

RadFET dosimeters are \(p\)-channel MOS transistors that respond to ionizing dose via a charge build-up in the \(\text{SiO}_2\) layer of the device. The growth of the threshold voltage \(V_{th}\) of the transistor (more precisely the voltage for a given drain current) is proportional to the deposited dose [11], [12]. A voltage \(V_I\) can be applied to the gate of the device during exposure in order to influence its response. The negative shift of the threshold voltage \(\Delta V_{th}\) is a smooth but complex function of the dose. For \(V_I = 0\) V (exposure mode chosen in this work and usually called “zero bias mode”) the expected response of the voltage shift \(\Delta V_{th}\) follows a power-law:

\[
-\Delta V_{th} = a \cdot D^b
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are experimental parameters. Usually it is not possible to find values for \(a\) and \(b\) which are valid throughout a large dose range; in such cases pairs of \(a\) and \(b\) are given for different dose ranges. For small doses \(b\) is usually close to one while for high doses much smaller values are found. Parameter \(a\) in fact contains several constant device parameters such as oxide thickness, number and cross-section of hole-traps in the gate oxide.

RadFETs are integrating dosimeters. They are usually used where regular measurements of integrated doses are required within limited volumes [13]. The RadFET dosimeters used for our test were obtained from two different suppliers: NMRC, Ireland [14] and REM, England [15]. Bare die MOSFET chips with different oxide thicknesses (\(t_{ox}\)) of 0.40 \(\mu\)m (ESAPMOS04) and 0.25 \(\mu\)m (TOT-501C type K) were supplied respectively.

A third type of RadFET, provided by Thomson and Nielsen Electronics Ltd. (T&N), Canada [16], was used for the measurement of the gamma background during the neutron irradiation. These devices, with oxide thicknesses varying from 0.10 \(\mu\)m to 0.50 \(\mu\)m, were supplied in an 8-pin Dual-In-line (DIP) plastic package.

B. Irradiation Setup

1) Neutron Irradiation

The T2 high intensity neutron beam at the CRC is a secondary beam based on the nuclear reaction \(^{9}\text{Be}(d,n)^{10}\text{B}\). The 50 MeV primary deuteron beam of the UCL Isochronous Cyclotron that hits the beryllium target is used to generate a neutron field with energy spectrum ranging from about 15 to 25 MeV and maximum that lies at 20.4 MeV [17]. Deuteron bunches of 4 ns width and with a repetition period of about 80 ns are making the neutron beam quasi-continuous in time.

The samples were mounted with the sensing surface perpendicular to the beam and exposed to a flux of \(1.96\times10^{13}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}\) corresponding to a total neutron fluence (\(\Phi\)) of \(3.04\times10^{14}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\) cumulated in 15.5 hours of irradiation. Over the irradiation spot of 4.0 cm radius, the beam intensity varied smoothly by about 20 %.

In terms of fluence, the contamination of the beam with gamma rays from neutron interactions with the material of the filters surrounding the target has been measured to be 2.4 % [18].

Four thin Printed Circuit Boards (PCBs), of 4.2 \(\text{cm}^2\) area containing six bare die RadFETs each, were produced and assembled as shown in Fig. 1. The six (three REM and three NMRC) were mounted in an area of a few square millimeters in one corner of a metallised square as indicated by (a) in
The device-to-device response for all the dosimeter series varied only by a few percent, proving a good reproducibility of the measured results.

One board was exposed directly to the neutron beam while the other three were covered with polyethylene (PE) slabs of different thicknesses (2 mm, 5 mm and 10 mm) in order to simulate different plastic packaging. Fig. 2, taken during the assembly, shows two PE slabs already positioned on top of two PCBs (right hand side) and the uncovered PCB.

The assembly of four boards was inserted into a cavity worked into a block of lithium-doped polyethylene (LiPE). The dimensions of the LiPE block and the cavity were 20 cm x 20 cm x 6.5 cm and 11 cm x 9 cm x 1 cm, respectively (see Fig. 1).

The LiPE material, developed as neutron shielding for the ATLAS detector at CERN [19], was used to shield backscattered neutrons generated by interaction of the primary neutron beam with surrounding materials in the irradiation area. The Hydrogen contained in PE is slowing down neutrons, while the Lithium is capturing them via the reaction \( ^6\text{Li}(n,\alpha)^3\text{H} \), that is not accompanied by gamma ray emission [20].

To allow the determination of the low gamma ray contamination of the beam, 3 T&N RadFETs were placed in a LiPE box of smaller volume (1500 cm\(^3\)), placed downstream in the irradiation area, 1.80 m away from the samples in the beam.

2) Gamma Irradiation

The \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) irradiations were performed at the CERN Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF) [21]. In this facility a radioactive Cesium source of 652 GBq (September 2002) is used. Its intensity was measured, before RadFETs exposure, by means of a calibrated PTW ionization chamber [22] of 1 cm\(^3\) volume [23].

C. RadFETs Readout

All readings were taken in “zero bias” mode during irradiation. The readout protocol was designed to accommodate the “read-time instability” (i.e. increase of the signal over time due to “border states”) [12]. The current values applied to the devices were chosen according to the producer specifications and were 10 \( \mu \text{A} \) and 160 \( \mu \text{A} \) for the NMRC and REM devices respectively.

The DAQ consisted of a 40-channel Agilent Switch Matrix and a Keithley Source Meter 2410. The Source Meter was used as current generator and also to record the MOSFETs’ threshold voltage. Both units, placed outside the irradiation area, were under PC control by means of a LabVIEW program. The RadFETs were connected via a 16 m long twisted-pair cable to the Switch Matrix.

III. GAMMA RADIATION RESPONSES FOR RADFETS

To allow the calculation of the RadFET neutron responses presented in section IV, a calibration describing the device responses to gamma radiation is needed.

RadFETs response to \(^{60}\text{Co}\) gamma-rays is the most frequently used calibration to convert the RadFET signal into absorbed dose.

In the present work, the \( \Delta V_{th} \) versus dose curves for gamma rays were obtained combining the data of an irradiation carried out at CERN using a \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) source (see section II.B.2) and \(^{60}\text{Co}\) data provided by the manufacturer [24], [25]. The above mentioned reference curves were finally fitted using Eq. 1.

Fig. 3 shows the gamma calibration for the REM and NMRC devices over three decades of dose ranging from \( 10^{-1} \) Gy\(_{Si} \) to \( 10^{2} \) Gy\(_{Si} \). The experimental data are represented with circles (filled for \(^{60}\text{Co}\) and empty for \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) ), as well as the
power-law parameterization obtained from a least-square fit to
the data.

\[ \Delta V_{th} = 0.0782 D^{-0.9472} \]

\[ \Delta V_{th} = 0.018 D^{-0.9699} \]

Fig. 3 Gamma ray reference curves for REM and NMRC RadFETs obtained with $^6$Co (E$_\gamma = 1.3$ MeV, filled circles) and $^{137}$Cs (E$_\gamma = 660$ keV, empty circles). Devices exposed at V$_i = 0$ V.

Analogous measurements in the dose range from 0.1 to 20 Gy$_{Si}$ were performed for the T&N devices at the CERN GIF facility. Table I shows the values of the coefficient $a$ and $b$ of Eq. 1 obtained for T&N RadFETs with three different oxide thicknesses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>GAMMA CALIBRATION FOR T&amp;N DOSIMETERS</th>
<th>DEVICES EXPOSED AT V$_i = 0$ V.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_{ox} = 0.10$ $\mu$m</td>
<td>$t_{ox} = 0.25$ $\mu$m</td>
<td>$t_{ox} = 0.50$ $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$ $[V/Gy_{Si}]$</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>1.0374</td>
<td>0.9497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RESULTS

A. Gamma-ray contamination measurement

The signals measured with the three T&N RadFETs outside the beam were converted into absorbed doses at the irradiation position by means of the parameters given in Table I. The T&N devices placed in the LiPE box outside the beam, and therefore measuring only the gamma-ray background in the irradiation area, received a dose of 5.62 Gy$_{Si}$. The RMS variation on this measurement was $\pm$ 3 %. In the calculation of the gamma dose, the weak attenuation of the photons in air was taken into account.

B. Response of bare die RadFETs to pure neutron beam

Taking into account the above measurements of gamma-ray contamination, the REM and NMRC RadFET responses to the 20.4 MeV neutrons were calculated as follows.

The neutron spectrum of the T2 beam [18] was folded with the absorbed ionizing-dose factors $d_f(E)$ simulated for thin semiconductor devices in a similar high-energy neutron field [26] resulting in an averaged $d_f$ of 2.47x10$^{-12}$ Gy cm$^{-2}$. In this way the cumulated total neutron fluence of 3.04x10$^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ was converted into a dose in Silicon of about 744 Gy$_{Si}$.

Using the parameterization given in Fig. 3 and the measured gamma ray background, the expected shift of the threshold voltage due to gamma rays for the NMRC and the REM devices were calculated for each reading. By subtracting these values from the measured threshold voltage shift it was possible to obtain the RadFET response to neutrons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>NEUTRON SENSITIVITIES OF BARE-DIE RADFETS</th>
<th>DEVICES EXPOSED AT V$_i = 0$ V.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REM</td>
<td>Low Dose Range (1-50 Gy)</td>
<td>High Dose Range (600-744 Gy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[mV/Gy]</td>
<td>[mV/Gy]</td>
<td>[mV/Gy]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NMRC

| Neutron  | 26.9                     | 1.6                         |
| Gamma    | 66.8                     | 8.5                         |

In Fig. 4 the circle marks are the neutron responses obtained with the above procedure for the bare die devices. For comparison also the gamma ray responses of Fig. 3, are plotted (square marks). The curves of Fig. 4 are plotted as function of both absorbed dose in Silicon (upper x-axis) and total neutron
fluence (lower x-axis). Table II summarizes the measured sensitivities (mV/Gy) for low (1 to 50 Gy$_{Si}$) and high (600 to 744 Gy$_{Si}$) doses for gamma rays and neutrons.

C. Packaging influence on RadFET response
For the PE covered samples the data were treated as for the bare die samples presented in section IV.B.

In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 the responses of the REM and NMRC devices covered with different PE slabs of increasing thicknesses from 2 mm to 10 mm are plotted in linear scale as a function of the total neutron fluence.

Square, diamond and triangular empty marks represent in both pictures the PE slabs of 2 mm, 5 mm and 10 mm thickness respectively. To compare the influence of the slabs on the device’s response, the data of the uncovered bare die devices are also plotted in the figures (filled marks).

Finally, in Table III the sensitivity enhancements measured for the devices covered by PE slabs, with respect to the bare-die samples, are summarized. In analogy with Table II the relative sensitivities for low (0.5 to 3x10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$) and high (2.5 to 3x10$^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) total neutron fluences are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III</th>
<th>SENSITIVITY ENHANCE OF PE COVERED SAMPLES WITH RESPECT TO BARE DIE ONES. DEVICES EXPOSED AT $V_i = 0$ V.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REM</td>
<td>Low $\Phi$ range (0.5 to 3x10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mm PE</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mm PE</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mm PE</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRC</td>
<td>Low $\Phi$ range (0.5 to 3x10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mm PE</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mm PE</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mm PE</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. GEANT4 SIMULATIONS
To model the influence of the PE absorbers, the particle production from polyethylene slabs was calculated using the GEANT4 simulation tool [9], [27]. In the model, a neutron beam of infinitesimal radius, hit perpendicularly polyethylene blocks of 5x5 cm$^2$ surface and with several thicknesses in beam direction. The neutron energy was varying according to a Gaussian distribution of a width of 5 MeV around the mean value of 20 MeV.

Table IV shows the calculated relative abundances of all particles except neutrons ejected from the polyethylene in forward direction as a function of slab thickness.

Fig. 7 shows the angular distribution of the emitted particles as functions of cos $\theta$ when 10$^{8}$ neutrons are launched on 2 mm and 10 mm PE slabs respectively. The angle $\theta$ is defined from the direction of the incoming particles. In this reference system the beam direction corresponds thus to an angle $\theta = 0$ (i.e. cos $\theta = 1$ as plotted in Fig. 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV</th>
<th>ABUNDANCES OF EJECTED PARTICLE EXCEPT NEUTRONS IN FORWARD DIRECTION FROM GEANT4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle</td>
<td>PE Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrons</td>
<td>$2.7x10^{-4}$ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positrons</td>
<td>$4.3x10^{-5}$ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protons</td>
<td>0.64 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photons</td>
<td>0.035 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7 Angular distributions of all ejected particles simulated for the 2 mm PE slab (left hand picture) and for the 10 mm (right hand picture). From the top to the bottom: proton, gamma, electron, and positron distributions are plotted respectively.

VI. COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS WORK

A similar work that was performed on REM RadFETs in metal cans, with 15 MeV monoenergetic neutrons and in a lower dose range, has been reported by Blamires et al. [10].

The REM type was the TOT-202A with an oxide thickness of tox = 0.20 µm irradiated with VI = 0. The data are plotted in Fig. 8 as filled circles. Since the oxide thickness of the devices used in this work was 0.25 µm, the data were rescaled by a factor of:

\[
\frac{\Delta V_{th, TOT-50C}}{\Delta V_{th, TOT-202A}} \propto \left(\frac{t_{ox, TOT-50C}}{t_{ox, TOT-202A}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{0.25}{0.20}\right)^2 = 1.56,
\]

taking into account the quadratic dependence of the threshold voltage shift on the oxide thickness [28]. The rescaled data are given as open circles in Fig. 8. As can be seen the data match well with our measurements.

VII. DISCUSSION

A. Comparison of neutron and gamma response

These experiments confirm what others have predicted [29] and demonstrated [30] namely that the RadFET will reliably indicate any ionization which is released within the oxide layer, whatever the nature of the particle which releases it. However, it is known that, RadFETs respond less to particles having high Linear Energy Transfer (LET) such as knock-on protons [31]. Sensitivity to our neutron beam in mV per unit dose is at least 1.5 times lower than sensitivity to gamma rays. In the low dose range up to about 50 Gy the reduction is 1.6 (REM) and 2.5 (NMRC). Similar reduction factors in the order of about two have been reported for similar dose ranges in other work [30], [32] and this is explained by Oldham [31] as enhanced recombination due to high electron-hole density in the particle tracks. The products of (n,p) and (n,α) reactions, as calculated in section IV.B, have LET values well above the level required to give enhanced recombination. As a result, the number of holes trapped per Gy - and hence the RadFET signal in mV per Gy - is reduced.

The above statements can be verified taking into account that, in the thick oxide of the NMRC devices exposed with VI = 0 V, the electric field (E) across the oxide layer due to the work function potential [33] is about a factor 2 lower with respect to the REM devices. It has been demonstrated [34] that in a thick oxide the MOSFETs sensitivity is proportional to E^{0.7}. So, in our situation, the reduction factor (ratio of sensitivities to gamma rays versus neutrons) for the NMRC devices is expected to be a factor 2^{0.7} ~ 1.6 higher with respect to the REM samples. This is good agreement with our measurements on two Al-gate devices with different oxide thickness values. Thus:

\[
\frac{\text{Ratio}_{\text{NMRC}}}{\text{Ratio}_{\text{REM}}} \sim 1.6
\]

(2)

A notable difference between neutron and gamma responses, as plotted in Fig. 4, is the difference in curve shape under the different types of radiation. For the REM devices (thinner oxide) the absolute threshold voltage shifts for neutron and gamma rays are only slightly different. However, for the NMRC devices (thick oxide) the response curve for the neutrons starts to saturate earlier than for gamma rays and the response above 100 GySi is near saturation. Given a proper conversion of fluence to dose, we would expect curves from the two types of radiation to coincide quite closely, so there is no obvious mechanism for the mismatch.
The most likely explanation for differences in curve shape lies in the profiles of oxide trapped charge in the four cases. This profile can be modified for example by the introduction of new radiation defects in SiO₂ by high neutron fluences. Differences in charge profile defects lead to differences in the profile of the internal field. In turn, these apply different restraining forces on electrons attempting to leave the oxide. In the thinner oxide of the REM devices this effect will be observed later as its initial electric field is 2x higher. Clearly, the use of RadFETs for neutron dosimetry at high dose requires careful calibration.

B. Influence of the RadFET packaging

In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 the enhancement of dose due to a PE cover, simulating polymeric RadFET packaging, is vividly demonstrated. The PE slabs act as a neutron converter producing recoil protons with energies up to 30 MeV, confirming the work of Kronenberg and Brucker for different oxide thicknesses, neutron energies and fluences [30]. The GEANT4 simulation, presented in section V, demonstrates that the gamma photon fluence is about 10 times lower than the proton fluence while the electron/positron production is negligible. The addition of the PE slabs in the range 2 to 10 mm increases the neutron sensitivity on average by a factor of 6.2 for the REM devices and by a factor of 2.5 for the NMRC RadFETs for fluences lower than 3x10¹³ cm⁻². Further GEANT analysis, using more accurate representations of MOSFET structures may clarify this apparent effect of sensor-layer thickness on charge yield. New simulations should also be based on the full real neutron spectra, with better accuracy in the spectrum below 15 MeV produced by the Be(d,n) reaction. Such adjustments will give a better figure for the effectiveness of the PE converters [35].

At higher fluences, the observed differences in the sensitivities of the two device types are possibly driven by the different degree of saturation. However the enhancement of sensitivity lay around a factor 3.0 for both devices.

The weak dependence on the thickness of the PE slabs was not expected. While GEANT predicts over 40 % variation from 2 to 10 mm, the variation observed was less than one-third of this. The variation s observed were 15 % for the REM devices and 8 % for NMRC. This unexpected behavior suggests a repeated experiment with smaller variations in slab thickness, varying from say 0.5 mm to 5 mm.

VIII. Conclusion

The responses of different RadFET dosimeters over a wide range of fast-neutron fluences were presented extending previously obtained data [10] by two orders of magnitude in dose corresponding to a total neutron fluence of 3x10¹⁴ cm⁻². A reduced sensitivity by a factor of about 2 was measured with respect to gamma ray responses at doses up to 50 Gy (see Table II). Moreover it was found that at high neutron fluences significantly different sensitivities and strong saturation effects in the RadFET responses due to different gate oxides can arise. These findings lead to the conclusion that the commonly used gamma calibration cannot be directly applied to dose measurements in high intensity neutron dominated irradiation fields. The situation can be clarified by calculating the enhanced recombination in the sensor oxide layer taking place with low-energy protons and alphas but differences in curve shapes at high dose are unexplained. Only for low neutron fluences the gamma calibration might be adopted by a scaling factor in order to measure neutron doses. The upper limits of the validity of such scaling vary with oxide thickness.

For the use of RadFETs in radiation fields containing neutrons, gammas and other particles, as e.g. in the planned CERN LHC experiments, special care has to be taken. The best solution would of course be to calibrate the RadFETs directly in the mixed irradiation field of interest or a very similar one. However, this is only rarely possible and therefore a set of sensitivity versus dose curves for different particle types must be used to estimate the total deposited dose.

It was shown that the presence of a plastic package (here simulated by slabs of polyethylene) on the beam entry side can increase the response to neutrons significantly (a factor of up to 7 was found in this work). While MOSFETs are thought of as "neutron-insensitive", introducing a hydrogenous material gave neutron sensitivity values which were higher than the sensitivity for gamma rays. Using polyethylene slabs of 2, 5 and 10 mm, it was found that even the thinnest layer was sufficient to increase the sensitivity. The variation of the sensitivity in dependence of the polyethylene thickness was found to be lower than the 20 % uncertainty of the fluence measurement.

Our experiment confirms that, in the context of the LHC, the mounting of dosimeter surrounding materials have a significant influence on their response to neutrons. For the development of our radiation sensor this means that, while preliminary calibrations of single dosimeters in individual hadron beams can serve to select operational dosimeters in LHC, dose calibration of the radiation sensor to high accuracy can only be performed with its final design and using the material environment in the array position of the LHC experiment.
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